

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-33708

Philip Morris International Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Virginia

13-3435103

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

120 Park Avenue
New York, New York

10017

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code _____ (917) 663-2000

Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

At April 30, 2013, there were 1,635,507,185 shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, no par value per share.

PHILIP MORRIS INTERNATIONAL INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012	3 – 4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012	5
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012	6
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' (Deficit) Equity for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012	7
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012	8 – 9
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	10 – 32
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	33 – 59
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	60
PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	61
Item 1A. Risk Factors	61
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	62
Item 6. Exhibits	63
Signature	64

In this report, "PMI," "we," "us" and "our" refers to Philip Morris International Inc. and its subsidiaries.

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
(in millions of dollars)
(Unaudited)

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,981	\$ 2,983
Receivables (less allowances of \$57 in 2013 and \$56 in 2012)	3,591	3,589
Inventories:		
Leaf tobacco	3,441	3,548
Other raw materials	1,624	1,610
Finished product	2,911	3,791
	7,976	8,949
Deferred income taxes	381	450
Other current assets	732	619
Total current assets	16,661	16,590
Property, plant and equipment, at cost	13,568	13,879
Less: accumulated depreciation	7,095	7,234
	6,473	6,645
Goodwill (Note 5)	9,765	9,900
Other intangible assets, net (Note 5)	3,597	3,619
Other assets	922	916
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 37,418	\$ 37,670

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Continued

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Continued)
(in millions of dollars, except share data)
(Unaudited)

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
LIABILITIES		
Short-term borrowings (Note 12)	\$ 1,539	\$ 2,419
Current portion of long-term debt (Note 12)	3,263	2,781
Accounts payable	967	1,103
Accrued liabilities:		
Marketing and selling	472	527
Taxes, except income taxes	4,306	5,350
Employment costs	797	896
Dividends payable	1,405	1,418
Other	857	952
Income taxes	783	1,456
Deferred income taxes	120	114
Total current liabilities	<u>14,509</u>	<u>17,016</u>
Long-term debt (Note 12)	20,796	17,639
Deferred income taxes	1,873	1,875
Employment costs	2,483	2,574
Other liabilities	489	419
Total liabilities	<u>40,150</u>	<u>39,523</u>
Contingencies (Note 10)		
Redeemable noncontrolling interest (Note 7)	1,323	1,301
STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT) EQUITY		
Common stock, no par value (2,109,316,331 shares issued in 2013 and 2012)	—	—
Additional paid-in capital	1,266	1,334
Earnings reinvested in the business	25,800	25,076
Accumulated other comprehensive losses	(3,720)	(3,604)
	<u>23,346</u>	<u>22,806</u>
Less: cost of repurchased stock (470,084,480 and 455,703,347 shares in 2013 and 2012, respectively)	27,660	26,282
Total PMI stockholders' deficit	(4,314)	(3,476)
Noncontrolling interests	259	322
Total stockholders' deficit	(4,055)	(3,154)
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT) EQUITY	<u>\$ 37,418</u>	<u>\$ 37,670</u>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings
(in millions of dollars, except per share data)
(Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Net revenues	\$ 18,527	\$ 18,022
Cost of sales	2,489	2,442
Excise taxes on products	10,943	10,574
Gross profit	5,095	5,006
Marketing, administration and research costs	1,681	1,571
Asset impairment and exit costs (Note 2)	3	8
Amortization of intangibles	24	24
Operating income	3,387	3,403
Interest expense, net	236	213
Earnings before income taxes	3,151	3,190
Provision for income taxes	933	958
Net earnings	2,218	2,232
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	93	71
Net earnings attributable to PMI	\$ 2,125	\$ 2,161
Per share data (Note 8):		
Basic earnings per share	\$ 1.28	\$ 1.25
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 1.28	\$ 1.25
Dividends declared	\$ 0.85	\$ 0.77

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings
 (in millions of dollars)
 (Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Net earnings	\$ 2,218	\$ 2,232
Other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of income taxes:		
Currency translation adjustments, net of income taxes of (\$28) in 2013 and \$22 in 2012	(234)	461
Change in net loss and prior service cost:		
Amortization of net losses, prior service costs and net transition costs, net of income taxes of (\$14) in 2013 and (\$12) in 2012	59	38
Change in fair value of derivatives accounted for as hedges:		
Gains transferred to earnings, net of income taxes of \$4 in 2013 and \$1 in 2012	(31)	(10)
Gains recognized, net of income taxes of (\$13) in 2013 and (\$5) in 2012	96	46
Total other comprehensive (losses) earnings	(110)	535
Total comprehensive earnings	2,108	2,767
Less comprehensive earnings attributable to:		
Noncontrolling interests	53	61
Redeemable noncontrolling interest	46	49
Comprehensive earnings attributable to PMI	<u>\$ 2,009</u>	<u>\$ 2,657</u>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' (Deficit) Equity
for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012
(in millions of dollars, except per share amounts)
(Unaudited)

	PMI Stockholders' (Deficit) Equity						
	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Earnings Reinvested in the Business	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Losses	Cost of Repurchased Stock	Noncontrolling Interests	Total
Balances, January 1, 2012	\$ —	\$ 1,235	\$ 21,757	\$ (2,863)	\$ (19,900)	\$ 322	\$ 551
Net earnings			2,161			29 ^(a)	2,190 ^(a)
Other comprehensive earnings, net of income taxes				496		32 ^(a)	528 ^(a)
Issuance of stock awards and exercise of stock options		(60)			112		52
Dividends declared (\$0.77 per share)			(1,326)				(1,326)
Payments to noncontrolling interests						(117)	(117)
Common stock repurchased					(1,500)		(1,500)
Balances, March 31, 2012	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 1,175</u>	<u>\$ 22,592</u>	<u>\$ (2,367)</u>	<u>\$ (21,288)</u>	<u>\$ 266</u>	<u>\$ 378</u>
Balances, January 1, 2013	\$ —	\$ 1,334	\$ 25,076	\$ (3,604)	\$ (26,282)	\$ 322	\$ (3,154)
Net earnings			2,125			49 ^(a)	2,174 ^(a)
Other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of income taxes				(116)		4 ^(a)	(112) ^(a)
Issuance of stock awards and exercise of stock options		(68)			122		54
Dividends declared (\$0.85 per share)			(1,401)				(1,401)
Payments to noncontrolling interests						(116)	(116)
Common stock repurchased					(1,500)		(1,500)
Balances, March 31, 2013	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 1,266</u>	<u>\$ 25,800</u>	<u>\$ (3,720)</u>	<u>\$ (27,660)</u>	<u>\$ 259</u>	<u>\$ (4,055)</u>

(a) For the three months ended March 31, 2012, net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests exclude \$42 million of earnings related to the redeemable noncontrolling interest, which is reported outside of the equity section in the condensed consolidated balance sheet. Other comprehensive earnings, net of income taxes, also exclude \$7 million of net currency translation adjustment gains related to the redeemable noncontrolling interest at March 31, 2012. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests exclude \$44 million of earnings related to the redeemable noncontrolling interest, which is reported outside of the equity section in the condensed consolidated balance sheet. Other comprehensive earnings, net of income taxes, also exclude \$2 million of net currency translation adjustment gains related to the redeemable noncontrolling interest at March 31, 2013.

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(in millions of dollars)
(Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net earnings	\$ 2,218	\$ 2,232
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to operating cash flows:		
Depreciation and amortization	222	227
Deferred income tax provision	79	51
Asset impairment and exit costs, net of cash paid	(2)	(5)
Cash effects of changes, net of the effects from acquired and divested companies:		
Receivables, net	(67)	(151)
Inventories	806	1,036
Accounts payable	1	23
Income taxes	(734)	(53)
Accrued liabilities and other current assets	(1,260)	(1,543)
Pension plan contributions	(25)	(32)
Other	125	113
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,363	1,898
CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Capital expenditures	(240)	(227)
Other	18	3
Net cash used in investing activities	(222)	(224)

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Continued

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Continued)
(in millions of dollars)
(Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Short-term borrowing activity by original maturity:		
Net (repayments) issuances - maturities of 90 days or less	\$ (947)	\$ 2,833
Issuances - maturities longer than 90 days	93	473
Repayments - maturities longer than 90 days	(25)	(742)
Long-term debt proceeds	4,569	1,220
Long-term debt repaid	(739)	(1,667)
Repurchases of common stock	(1,453)	(1,427)
Dividends paid	(1,414)	(1,338)
Other	(137)	(135)
Net cash used in financing activities	(53)	(783)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(90)	135
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Increase	998	1,026
Balance at beginning of period	2,983	2,550
Balance at end of period	\$ 3,981	\$ 3,576

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Note 1. Background and Basis of Presentation:*Background*

Philip Morris International Inc. is a holding company incorporated in Virginia, U.S.A., whose subsidiaries and affiliates and their licensees are engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products in markets outside of the United States of America. Throughout these financial statements, the term “PMI” refers to Philip Morris International Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Basis of Presentation

The interim condensed consolidated financial statements of PMI are unaudited. These interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and such principles are applied on a consistent basis. It is the opinion of PMI’s management that all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the interim results presented have been reflected therein. All such adjustments were of a normal recurring nature. Net revenues and net earnings attributable to PMI for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the entire year.

These statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes, which appear in PMI’s Annual Report to Shareholders and which are incorporated by reference into PMI’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Note 2. Asset Impairment and Exit Costs:

Pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs consisted of the following:

(in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Separation programs:		
Latin America & Canada	\$ —	\$ 8
Total separation programs	—	8
Contract termination charges:		
Asia	3	—
Total contract termination charges	3	—
Asset impairment and exit costs	\$ 3	\$ 8

*Exit Costs***Separation Programs**

PMI recorded pre-tax separation program charges of \$8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. These charges related to severance costs for a factory restructuring.

Contract Termination Charges

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, PMI recorded exit costs of \$3 million related to the termination of distribution agreements.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Movement in Exit Cost Liabilities

The movement in exit cost liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was as follows:

(in millions)	
Liability balance, January 1, 2013	\$ 20
Charges	3
Cash spent	(5)
Currency/other	—
Liability balance, March 31, 2013	\$ 18

Cash payments related to exit costs at PMI were \$5 million and \$13 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Future cash payments for exit costs incurred to date are expected to be approximately \$18 million, and will be substantially paid by the end of 2013.

Note 3. Stock Plans:

In May 2012, PMI's stockholders approved the Philip Morris International Inc. 2012 Performance Incentive Plan (the "2012 Plan"). The 2012 Plan replaced the 2008 Performance Incentive Plan (the "2008 Plan") and, as a result, there will be no additional grants under the 2008 Plan. Under the 2012 Plan, PMI may grant to eligible employees restricted stock, restricted stock units and deferred stock units, performance-based cash incentive awards and performance-based equity awards. Up to 30 million shares of PMI's common stock may be issued under the 2012 Plan. At March 31, 2013, shares available for grant under the 2012 Plan were 27,216,430.

In 2008, PMI adopted the Philip Morris International Inc. 2008 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the "Non-Employee Directors Plan"). A non-employee director is defined as a member of the PMI Board of Directors who is not a full-time employee of PMI or of any corporation in which PMI owns, directly or indirectly, stock possessing at least 50% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock entitled to vote in the election of directors in such corporation. Up to 1 million shares of PMI common stock may be awarded under the Non-Employee Directors Plan. At March 31, 2013, shares available for grant under the plan were 798,801.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, PMI granted 2.8 million shares of deferred stock awards to eligible employees at a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$88.43 per share. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, PMI granted 3.2 million shares of deferred stock awards to eligible employees at a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$79.42 per share. PMI recorded compensation expense related to stock awards of \$72 million and \$77 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of March 31, 2013, PMI had \$386 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted and deferred stock awards. The cost is recognized over the original restriction period of the awards, which is typically three or more years after the date of the award, subject to earlier vesting on death or disability or normal retirement, or separation from employment by mutual agreement after reaching age 58.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, 2.9 million shares of PMI restricted stock and deferred stock awards vested. The grant date fair value of all the vested shares was approximately \$140 million. The total fair value of restricted stock and deferred stock awards that vested during the three months ended March 31, 2013 was approximately \$265 million.

Note 4. Benefit Plans:

Pension coverage for employees of PMI's subsidiaries is provided, to the extent deemed appropriate, through separate plans, many of which are governed by local statutory requirements. In addition, PMI provides health care and other benefits to substantially all U.S. retired employees and certain non-U.S. retired employees. In general, health care benefits for non-U.S. retired employees are covered through local government plans.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Pension Plans

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost

Net periodic pension cost consisted of the following:

(in millions)	U.S. Plans		Non-U.S. Plans	
	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Service cost	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 65	\$ 49
Interest cost	4	4	43	49
Expected return on plan assets	(4)	(4)	(87)	(84)
Amortization:				
Net loss	3	3	51	31
Prior service cost	—	—	2	3
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 5	\$ 5	\$ 74	\$ 48

Employer Contributions

PMI makes, and plans to make, contributions, to the extent that they are tax deductible and to meet specific funding requirements of its funded U.S. and non-U.S. plans. Employer contributions of \$25 million were made to the pension plans during the three months ended March 31, 2013. Currently, PMI anticipates making additional contributions during the remainder of 2013 of approximately \$195 million to its pension plans, based on current tax and benefit laws. However, this estimate is subject to change as a result of changes in tax and other benefit laws, as well as asset performance significantly above or below the assumed long-term rate of return on pension assets, or changes in interest rates.

Note 5. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, net:

Goodwill and other intangible assets, net, by segment were as follows:

(in millions)	Goodwill		Other Intangible Assets, net	
	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
	European Union	\$ 1,395	\$ 1,448	\$ 630
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	620	637	237	242
Asia	4,753	4,791	1,522	1,542
Latin America & Canada	2,997	3,024	1,208	1,188
Total	\$ 9,765	\$ 9,900	\$ 3,597	\$ 3,619

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Goodwill is due primarily to PMI's acquisitions in Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, Greece, Serbia, Colombia and Pakistan, as well as the business combination in the Philippines in February 2010. The movements in goodwill from December 31, 2012, were as follows:

(in millions)	European Union	Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	Asia	Latin America & Canada	Total
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 1,448	\$ 637	\$ 4,791	\$ 3,024	\$ 9,900
Changes due to:					
Currency	(53)	(17)	(38)	(27)	(135)
Balances, March 31, 2013	\$ 1,395	\$ 620	\$ 4,753	\$ 2,997	\$ 9,765

Additional details of other intangible assets were as follows:

(in millions)	March 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Non-amortizable intangible assets	\$ 2,072		\$ 2,046	
Amortizable intangible assets	2,014	\$ 489	2,046	\$ 473
Total other intangible assets	\$ 4,086	\$ 489	\$ 4,092	\$ 473

Non-amortizable intangible assets substantially consist of trademarks from PMI's acquisitions in Indonesia and Mexico. Amortizable intangible assets primarily consist of certain trademarks, distribution networks and non-compete agreements associated with business combinations. The range of useful lives as well as the weighted-average remaining useful life of amortizable intangible assets at March 31, 2013 is as follows:

Description	Initial Estimated Useful Lives	Weighted-Average Remaining Useful Life
Trademarks	2 - 40 years	25 years
Distribution networks	20 - 30 years	15 years
Non-compete agreements	3 - 10 years	2 years
Other (including farmer contracts and intellectual property rights)	12.5 - 17 years	13 years

Pre-tax amortization expense for intangible assets was \$24 million for each of the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. Amortization expense for each of the next five years is estimated to be \$97 million or less, assuming no additional transactions occur that require the amortization of intangible assets.

The decrease in the gross carrying amount of other intangible assets from December 31, 2012, was due to currency movements.

During the first quarter of 2013, PMI completed its annual review of goodwill and non-amortizable intangible assets for potential impairment, and no impairment charges were required as a result of this review.

Note 6. Financial Instruments:

Overview

PMI operates in markets outside of the United States of America, with manufacturing and sales facilities in various locations around the world. PMI utilizes certain financial instruments to manage foreign currency and interest rate exposure. Derivative financial instruments are used by PMI principally to reduce exposures to market risks resulting from fluctuations in foreign currency

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

exchange rates by creating offsetting exposures. PMI is not a party to leveraged derivatives and, by policy, does not use derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes. Financial instruments qualifying for hedge accounting must maintain a specified level of effectiveness between the hedging instrument and the item being hedged, both at inception and throughout the hedged period. PMI formally documents the nature and relationships between the hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk-management objectives, strategies for undertaking the various hedge transactions and method of assessing hedge effectiveness. Additionally, for hedges of forecasted transactions, the significant characteristics and expected terms of the forecasted transaction must be specifically identified, and it must be probable that each forecasted transaction will occur. If it were deemed probable that the forecasted transaction would not occur, the gain or loss would be recognized in earnings. PMI reports its net transaction gains or losses in marketing, administration and research costs on the condensed consolidated statements of earnings.

PMI uses deliverable and non-deliverable forward foreign exchange contracts, foreign currency swaps and foreign currency options, collectively referred to as foreign exchange contracts, to mitigate its exposure to changes in exchange and interest rates from third-party and intercompany actual and forecasted transactions. The primary currencies to which PMI is exposed include the Euro, Indonesian rupiah, Japanese yen, Mexican peso, Russian ruble, Swiss franc and Turkish lira. At March 31, 2013, PMI had contracts with aggregate notional amounts of \$13.7 billion. Of the \$13.7 billion aggregate notional amount at March 31, 2013, \$2.8 billion related to cash flow hedges and \$10.9 billion related to other derivatives that primarily offset currency exposures on intercompany financing.

The fair value of PMI's foreign exchange contracts included in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, were as follows:

(in millions)	Asset Derivatives			Liability Derivatives		
	Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value		Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value	
		At March 31, 2013	At December 31, 2012		At March 31, 2013	At December 31, 2012
Foreign exchange contracts designated as hedging instruments	Other current assets	\$ 221	\$ 146	Other accrued liabilities	\$ 1	\$ 8
	Other assets	7	—	Other liabilities	2	—
Foreign exchange contracts not designated as hedging instruments	Other current assets	39	14	Other accrued liabilities	50	47
	Other assets	5	—			
Total derivatives		\$ 272	\$ 160		\$ 53	\$ 55

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Hedging activities, which represent movement in derivatives as well as the respective underlying transactions, had the following effect on PMI's condensed consolidated statements of earnings and other comprehensive earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013				
Gain (Loss)	Cash Flow Hedges	Net Investment Hedges	Other Derivatives	Income Taxes	Total
Statement of Earnings:					
Net revenues	\$ 41		\$ —		\$ 41
Cost of sales	3		—		3
Marketing, administration and research costs	—		—		—
Operating income	44		—		44
Interest expense, net	(9)		—		(9)
Earnings before income taxes	35		—		35
Provision for income taxes	(4)		—		(4)
Net earnings attributable to PMI	<u>\$ 31</u>		<u>\$ —</u>		<u>\$ 31</u>
Other Comprehensive Earnings/(Losses):					
Gains transferred to earnings	\$ (35)			\$ 4	\$ (31)
Recognized gains	109			(13)	96
Net impact on equity	<u>\$ 74</u>			<u>\$ (9)</u>	<u>\$ 65</u>
Currency translation adjustments		<u>\$ 3</u>		<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>

(in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012				
Gain (Loss)	Cash Flow Hedges	Net Investment Hedges	Other Derivatives	Income Taxes	Total
Statement of Earnings:					
Net revenues	\$ 11		\$ —		\$ 11
Cost of sales	15		—		15
Marketing, administration and research costs	—		—		—
Operating income	26		—		26
Interest expense, net	(15)		1		(14)
Earnings before income taxes	11		1		12
Provision for income taxes	(1)		—		(1)
Net earnings attributable to PMI	<u>\$ 10</u>		<u>\$ 1</u>		<u>\$ 11</u>
Other Comprehensive Earnings/(Losses):					
Gains transferred to earnings	\$ (11)			\$ 1	\$ (10)
Recognized gains	51			(5)	46
Net impact on equity	<u>\$ 40</u>			<u>\$ (4)</u>	<u>\$ 36</u>
Currency translation adjustments		<u>\$ —</u>			<u>\$ —</u>

Each type of hedging activity is described in greater detail below.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Cash Flow Hedges

PMI has entered into foreign exchange contracts to hedge foreign currency exchange risk related to certain forecasted transactions. The effective portion of gains and losses associated with qualifying cash flow hedge contracts is deferred as a component of accumulated other comprehensive losses until the underlying hedged transactions are reported in PMI's condensed consolidated statements of earnings. During the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, ineffectiveness related to cash flow hedges was not material. As of March 31, 2013, PMI has hedged forecasted transactions for periods not exceeding the next fifteen months. The impact of these hedges is included in operating cash flows on PMI's condensed consolidated statements of cash flows.

For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, foreign exchange contracts that were designated as cash flow hedging instruments impacted the condensed consolidated statements of earnings and comprehensive earnings as follows:

(pre-tax, in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31,				
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationship	Statement of Earnings Classification of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Other Comprehensive Earnings/(Losses) into Earnings	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Other Comprehensive Earnings/(Losses) into Earnings		Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Earnings/(Losses) on Derivatives	
		2013	2012	2013	2012
Foreign exchange contracts				\$ 109	\$ 51
	Net revenues	\$ 41	\$ 11		
	Cost of sales	3	15		
	Marketing, administration and research costs	—	—		
	Interest expense, net	(9)	(15)		
Total		\$ 35	\$ 11	\$ 109	\$ 51

Hedges of Net Investments in Foreign Operations

PMI designates certain foreign currency denominated debt and foreign exchange contracts as net investment hedges of its foreign operations. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, these hedges of net investments resulted in gains (losses), net of income taxes, of \$91 million and \$(42) million, respectively. These gains (losses) were reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive losses within currency translation adjustments. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, ineffectiveness related to net investment hedges was not material. Other investing cash flows on PMI's condensed consolidated statements of cash flows include the premiums paid for and settlements of net investment hedges.

For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, foreign exchange contracts that were designated as net investment hedging instruments impacted the condensed consolidated statements of earnings and comprehensive earnings as follows:

(pre-tax, in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31,				
Derivatives in Net Investment Hedging Relationship	Statement of Earnings Classification of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Other Comprehensive Earnings/(Losses) into Earnings	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Other Comprehensive Earnings/(Losses) into Earnings		Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Earnings/(Losses) on Derivatives	
		2013	2012	2013	2012
Foreign exchange contracts				\$ 3	\$ —
	Interest expense, net	\$ —	\$ —		

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Other Derivatives

PMI has entered into foreign exchange contracts to hedge the foreign currency exchange and interest rate risks related to intercompany loans between certain subsidiaries, and third-party loans. While effective as economic hedges, no hedge accounting is applied for these contracts; therefore, the unrealized gains (losses) relating to these contracts are reported in PMI's condensed consolidated statements of earnings. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, the gains (losses) from contracts for which PMI did not apply hedge accounting were \$(90) million and \$62 million, respectively. The gains (losses) from these contracts substantially offset the losses and gains generated by the underlying intercompany and third-party loans being hedged.

As a result, for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, these items impacted the condensed consolidated statements of earnings as follows:

(pre-tax, in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31,			
	Derivatives not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Statement of Earnings Classification of Gain/(Loss)	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Earnings	
			2013	2012
Foreign exchange contracts	Interest expense, net	\$ —	\$ 1	

Qualifying Hedging Activities Reported in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Losses

Derivative gains or losses reported in accumulated other comprehensive losses are a result of qualifying hedging activity. Transfers of these gains or losses to earnings are offset by the corresponding gains or losses on the underlying hedged item. Hedging activity affected accumulated other comprehensive losses, net of income taxes, as follows:

(in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Gain as of January 1,	\$ 92	\$ 15
Derivative (gains)/losses transferred to earnings	(31)	(10)
Change in fair value	96	46
Gain as of March 31,	\$ 157	\$ 51

At March 31, 2013, PMI expects \$154 million of derivative gains that are included in accumulated other comprehensive losses to be reclassified to the condensed consolidated statement of earnings within the next twelve months. These gains are expected to be substantially offset by the statement of earnings impact of the respective hedged transactions.

Contingent Features

PMI's derivative instruments do not contain contingent features.

Credit Exposure and Credit Risk

PMI is exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by counterparties. While PMI does not anticipate non-performance, its risk is limited to the fair value of the financial instruments. PMI actively monitors its exposure to credit risk through the use of credit approvals and credit limits, and by selecting and continuously monitoring a diverse group of major international banks and financial institutions as counterparties.

Fair Value

See Note 13. *Fair Value Measurements* and Note 15. *Balance Sheet Offsetting* for additional discussion of derivative financial instruments.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Note 7. Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest:

Philippines Business Combination:

On February 25, 2010, PMI's affiliate, Philip Morris Philippines Manufacturing Inc. ("PMPMI"), and Fortune Tobacco Corporation ("FTC") combined their respective business activities by transferring selected assets and liabilities of PMPMI and FTC to a new company called PMFTC Inc. ("PMFTC"). PMPMI and FTC hold equal economic interests in PMFTC, while PMI manages the day-to-day operations of PMFTC and has a majority of its Board of Directors. Consequently, PMI accounted for the contributed assets and liabilities of FTC as a business combination.

The fair value of the assets and liabilities contributed by FTC in this non-cash transaction was determined to be \$1.17 billion. FTC holds the right to sell its interest in PMFTC to PMI, except in certain circumstances, during the period from February 25, 2015, through February 24, 2018, at an agreed-upon value of \$1.17 billion, which was recorded on PMI's condensed consolidated balance sheet as a redeemable noncontrolling interest at the date of the business combination.

With the consolidation of PMFTC, FTC's share of PMFTC's comprehensive income or loss is attributable to the redeemable noncontrolling interest, impacting the carrying value. To the extent that the attribution of these amounts would cause the carrying value to fall below the redemption amount of \$1.17 billion, the carrying amount would be adjusted back up to the redemption value through stockholders' (deficit) equity. The movement in redeemable noncontrolling interest for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was as follows:

(in millions)	
Redeemable noncontrolling interest at December 31, 2012	\$ 1,301
Share of net earnings	44
Dividend payments	(24)
Currency translation	2
Redeemable noncontrolling interest at March 31, 2013	\$ 1,323

The redeemable noncontrolling interest balance at March 31, 2012 was \$1,237 million. The increase in redeemable noncontrolling interest from December 31, 2011 through March 31, 2012 of \$25 million was due to \$42 million of net earnings and currency translation gains of \$7 million, partially offset by dividend payments of \$24 million.

In future periods, if the fair value of 50% of PMFTC were to drop below the redemption value of \$1.17 billion, the difference would be treated as a special dividend to FTC and would reduce PMI's earnings per share. Reductions in earnings per share may be partially or fully reversed in subsequent periods if the fair value of the redeemable noncontrolling interest increases relative to the redemption value. Such increases in earnings per share would be limited to cumulative prior reductions. At March 31, 2013, PMI determined that 50% of the fair value of PMFTC exceeded the redemption value of \$1.17 billion.

Note 8. Earnings Per Share:

Basic and diluted earnings per share ("EPS") were calculated using the following:

(in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Net earnings attributable to PMI	\$ 2,125	\$ 2,161
Less distributed and undistributed earnings attributable to share-based payment awards	11	12
Net earnings for basic and diluted EPS	\$ 2,114	\$ 2,149
Weighted-average shares for basic and diluted EPS	1,646	1,719

Unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents are participating securities and therefore are included in PMI's earnings per share calculation pursuant to the two-class method.

For the 2013 and 2012 computations, there were no antidilutive stock options.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Note 9. Segment Reporting:

PMI's subsidiaries and affiliates are engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products in markets outside of the United States of America. Reportable segments for PMI are organized and managed by geographic region. PMI's reportable segments are European Union; Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa; Asia; and Latin America & Canada.

PMI's management evaluates segment performance and allocates resources based on operating companies income, which PMI defines as operating income before general corporate expenses and amortization of intangibles. Interest expense, net, and provision for income taxes are centrally managed and, accordingly, such items are not presented by segment since they are excluded from the measure of segment profitability reviewed by management.

Segment data were as follows:

(in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Net revenues:		
European Union	\$ 6,523	\$ 6,470
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	4,423	4,069
Asia	5,251	5,177
Latin America & Canada	2,330	2,306
Net revenues	\$ 18,527	\$ 18,022
Earnings before income taxes:		
Operating companies income:		
European Union	\$ 938	\$ 1,030
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	935	810
Asia	1,342	1,407
Latin America & Canada	254	237
Amortization of intangibles	(24)	(24)
General corporate expenses	(58)	(57)
Operating income	3,387	3,403
Interest expense, net	(236)	(213)
Earnings before income taxes	\$ 3,151	\$ 3,190

Items affecting the comparability of results from operations are asset impairment and exit costs. See Note 2. *Asset Impairment and Exit Costs* for a breakdown of these costs by segment.

Note 10. Contingencies:***Tobacco-Related Litigation***

Legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters are pending or threatened against us, and/or our subsidiaries, and/or our indemnitees in various jurisdictions. Our indemnitees include distributors, licensees, and others that have been named as parties in certain cases and that we have agreed to defend, as well as to pay costs and some or all of judgments, if any, that may be entered against them. Pursuant to the terms of the Distribution Agreement between Altria Group, Inc. ("Altria") and PMI, PMI will indemnify Altria and PM USA for tobacco product claims based in substantial part on products manufactured by PMI or contract manufactured for PMI by PM USA, and PM USA will indemnify PMI for tobacco product claims based in substantial part on products manufactured by PM USA, excluding tobacco products contract manufactured for PMI.

It is possible that there could be adverse developments in pending cases against us and our subsidiaries. An unfavorable outcome or settlement of pending tobacco-related litigation could encourage the commencement of additional litigation.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Damages claimed in some of the tobacco-related litigation are significant and, in certain cases in Brazil, Canada, Israel and Nigeria, range into the billions of U.S. dollars. The variability in pleadings in multiple jurisdictions, together with the actual experience of management in litigating claims, demonstrate that the monetary relief that may be specified in a lawsuit bears little relevance to the ultimate outcome. Much of the tobacco-related litigation is in its early stages and litigation is subject to uncertainty. However, as discussed below, we have to date been largely successful in defending tobacco-related litigation.

We and our subsidiaries record provisions in the consolidated financial statements for pending litigation when we determine that an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. At the present time, while it is reasonably possible that an unfavorable outcome in a case may occur, after assessing the information available to it (i) management has not concluded that it is probable that a loss has been incurred in any of the pending tobacco-related cases; (ii) management is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss for any of the pending tobacco-related cases; and (iii) accordingly, no estimated loss has been accrued in the consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes in these cases, if any. Legal defense costs are expensed as incurred.

It is possible that our consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position could be materially affected in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year by an unfavorable outcome or settlement of certain pending litigation. Nevertheless, although litigation is subject to uncertainty, we and each of our subsidiaries named as a defendant believe, and each has been so advised by counsel handling the respective cases, that we have valid defenses to the litigation pending against us, as well as valid bases for appeal of adverse verdicts, if any. All such cases are, and will continue to be, vigorously defended. However, we and our subsidiaries may enter into settlement discussions in particular cases if we believe it is in our best interests to do so.

To date, we have paid total judgments, including costs, of approximately €1,400 (approximately \$1,830), in tobacco-related cases. These payments were made in order to appeal three Italian small claims cases, all of which were subsequently reversed on appeal. To date, no tobacco-related case has been finally resolved in favor of a plaintiff against us, our subsidiaries or indemnitees.

The table below lists the number of tobacco-related cases pending against us and/or our subsidiaries or indemnitees as of May 1, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

Type of Case	Number of Cases Pending as of May 1, 2013	Number of Cases Pending as of May 1, 2012	Number of Cases Pending as of May 1, 2011
Individual Smoking and Health Cases	71	76	93
Smoking and Health Class Actions	11	10	11
Health Care Cost Recovery Actions	15	10	11
Lights Class Actions	2	2	2
Individual Lights Cases (small claims court)	1	9	10
Public Civil Actions	4	3	5

Since 1995, when the first tobacco-related litigation was filed against a PMI entity, 401 Smoking and Health, Lights, Health Care Cost Recovery, and Public Civil Actions in which we and/or one of our subsidiaries and/or indemnitees were a defendant have been terminated in our favor. Ten cases have had decisions in favor of plaintiffs. Eight of these cases have subsequently reached final resolution in our favor and two remain on appeal.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

The table below lists the verdicts and post-trial developments in the following cases where verdicts were returned in favor of plaintiffs:

Date	Location of Court/Name of Plaintiff	Type of Case	Verdict	Post-Trial Developments
May 2011	Brazil/Laszlo	Individual Smoking and Health	The Civil Court of São Vicente found for plaintiff and ordered Philip Morris Brasil to pay damages of R\$31,333 (approximately \$15,750), plus future costs for cessation and medical treatment of smoking-related diseases.	In June 2011, Philip Morris Brasil filed an appeal. In December 2011, the Appellate Court reversed the trial court decision. In February 2012, plaintiff appealed the decision. The court rejected the appeal in November 2012, and the case was terminated in March 2013 when plaintiff failed to appeal further. We will no longer report on this case.
September 2009	Brazil/Bernhardt	Individual Smoking and Health	The Civil Court of Rio de Janeiro found for plaintiff and ordered Philip Morris Brasil to pay R\$13,000 (approximately \$6,530) in “moral damages.”	Philip Morris Brasil filed its appeal against the decision on the merits with the Court of Appeals in November 2009. In February 2010, without addressing the merits, the Court of Appeals annulled the trial court’s decision and remanded the case to the trial court to issue a new ruling, which was required to address certain compensatory damage claims made by the plaintiff that the trial court did not address in its original ruling. In July 2010, the trial court reinstated its original decision, while specifically rejecting the compensatory damages claim. Philip Morris Brasil appealed this decision. In March 2011, the Court of Appeals affirmed the trial court’s decision and denied Philip Morris Brasil’s appeal. The Court of Appeals increased the amount of damages awarded to the plaintiff to R\$100,000 (approximately \$50,250). Philip Morris Brasil filed an appeal in June 2011. This appeal is still pending.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Date	Location of Court/Name of Plaintiff	Type of Case	Verdict	Post-Trial Developments
February 2004	Brazil/The Smoker Health Defense Association	Class Action	The Civil Court of São Paulo found defendants liable without hearing evidence. The court did not assess moral or actual damages, which were to be assessed in a second phase of the case. The size of the class was not defined in the ruling.	In April 2004, the court clarified its ruling, awarding “moral damages” of R\$1,000 (approximately \$500) per smoker per full year of smoking plus interest at the rate of 1% per month, as of the date of the ruling. The court did not award actual damages, which were to be assessed in the second phase of the case. The size of the class was not estimated. Defendants appealed to the São Paulo Court of Appeals, which annulled the ruling in November 2008, finding that the trial court had inappropriately ruled without hearing evidence and returned the case to the trial court for further proceedings. In May 2011, the trial court dismissed the claim. Plaintiff has appealed. In addition, the defendants filed a constitutional appeal to the Federal Supreme Tribunal on the basis that the plaintiff did not have standing to bring the lawsuit. This appeal is still pending.

Pending claims related to tobacco products generally fall within the following categories:

Smoking and Health Litigation: These cases primarily allege personal injury and are brought by individual plaintiffs or on behalf of a class or purported class of individual plaintiffs. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, strict liability, fraud, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, breach of express and implied warranties, violations of deceptive trade practice laws and consumer protection statutes. Plaintiffs in these cases seek various forms of relief, including compensatory and other damages, and injunctive and equitable relief. Defenses raised in these cases include licit activity, failure to state a claim, lack of defect, lack of proximate cause, assumption of the risk, contributory negligence, and statute of limitations.

As of May 1, 2013, there were a number of smoking and health cases pending against us, our subsidiaries or indemnitees, as follows:

- 71 cases brought by individual plaintiffs in Argentina (28), Brazil (28), Canada (2), Chile (4), Costa Rica (2), Greece (1), Italy (4), the Philippines (1) and Scotland (1), compared with 76 such cases on May 1, 2012, and 93 cases on May 1, 2011; and
- 11 cases brought on behalf of classes of individual plaintiffs in Brazil (2) and Canada (9), compared with 10 such cases on May 1, 2012, and 11 such cases on May 1, 2011.

In the first class action pending in Brazil, *The Smoker Health Defense Association (ADESF) v. Souza Cruz, S.A. and Philip Morris Marketing, S.A.*, Nineteenth Lower Civil Court of the Central Courts of the Judiciary District of São Paulo, Brazil, filed July 25, 1995, our subsidiary and another member of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, a consumer organization, is seeking damages for smokers and former smokers and injunctive relief. The verdict and post-trial developments in this case are described in the above table.

In the second class action pending in Brazil, *Public Prosecutor of São Paulo v. Philip Morris Brasil Industria e Comercio Ltda.*, Civil Court of the City of São Paulo, Brazil, filed August 6, 2007, our subsidiary is a defendant. The plaintiff, the Public Prosecutor

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

of the State of São Paulo, is seeking (i) unspecified damages on behalf of all smokers nationwide, former smokers, and their relatives; (ii) unspecified damages on behalf of people exposed to environmental tobacco smoke (“ETS”) nationwide, and their relatives; and (iii) reimbursement of the health care costs allegedly incurred for the treatment of tobacco-related diseases by all Brazilian States and Municipalities, and the Federal District. In an interim ruling issued in December 2007, the trial court limited the scope of this claim to the State of São Paulo only. In December 2008, the Seventh Civil Court of São Paulo issued a decision declaring that it lacked jurisdiction because the case involved issues similar to the *ADESF* case discussed above and should be transferred to the Nineteenth Lower Civil Court in São Paulo where the *ADESF* case is pending. The court further stated that these cases should be consolidated for the purposes of judgment. In April 2010, the São Paulo Court of Appeals reversed the Seventh Civil Court's decision that consolidated the cases, finding that they are based on different legal claims and are progressing at different stages of proceedings. This case was returned to the Seventh Civil Court of São Paulo, and our subsidiary filed its closing arguments in December 2010. In March 2012, the trial court dismissed the case on the merits. This decision has been appealed.

In the first class action pending in Canada, *Cecilia Letourneau v. Imperial Tobacco Ltd., Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc. and JTI Macdonald Corp.*, Quebec Superior Court, Canada, filed in September 1998, our subsidiary and other Canadian manufacturers are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages for each member of the class who is deemed addicted to smoking. The class was certified in 2005. In February 2011, the trial court ruled that the federal government would remain as a third party in the case. In November 2012, the Court of Appeals dismissed defendants' third-party claims against the federal government. Trial began on March 12, 2012. At the present pace, trial is expected to last well into 2013 and possibly 2014, with a judgment to follow at an indeterminate point after the conclusion of the trial proceedings.

In the second class action pending in Canada, *Conseil Québécois Sur Le Tabac Et La Santé and Jean-Yves Blais v. Imperial Tobacco Ltd., Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc. and JTI Macdonald Corp.*, Quebec Superior Court, Canada, filed in November 1998, our subsidiary and other Canadian manufacturers are defendants. The plaintiffs, an anti-smoking organization and an individual smoker, are seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages for each member of the class who allegedly suffers from certain smoking-related diseases. The class was certified in 2005. In February 2011, the trial court ruled that the federal government would remain as a third party in the case. In November 2012, the Court of Appeals dismissed defendants' third-party claims against the federal government. Trial began on March 12, 2012. At the present pace, trial is expected to last well into 2013 and possibly 2014, with a judgment to follow at an indeterminate point after the conclusion of the trial proceedings.

In the third class action pending in Canada, *Kunta v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council, et al., The Queen's Bench, Winnipeg, Canada*, filed June 12, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges her own addiction to tobacco products and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (“COPD”), severe asthma, and mild reversible lung disease resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers, their estates, dependents and family members, as well as restitution of profits, and reimbursement of government health care costs allegedly caused by tobacco products. In September 2009, plaintiff's counsel informed defendants that he did not anticipate taking any action in this case while he pursues the class action filed in Saskatchewan (see description of *Adams*, below).

In the fourth class action pending in Canada, *Adams v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council, et al., The Queen's Bench, Saskatchewan, Canada*, filed July 10, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges her own addiction to tobacco products and COPD resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers who have smoked a minimum of 25,000 cigarettes and have allegedly suffered, or suffer, from COPD, emphysema, heart disease, or cancer, as well as restitution of profits. Preliminary motions are pending.

In the fifth class action pending in Canada, *Semple v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council, et al., The Supreme Court (trial court), Nova Scotia, Canada*, filed June 18, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges his own addiction to tobacco products and COPD resulting from the use of tobacco products. He is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers, their estates, dependents and family members, as well as restitution of profits, and reimbursement of government health care costs allegedly caused by tobacco products. No activity in this case is anticipated while plaintiff's counsel pursues the class action filed in Saskatchewan (see description of *Adams*, above).

In the sixth class action pending in Canada, *Dorion v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council, et al., The Queen's Bench, Alberta, Canada*, filed June 15, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges her own addiction to tobacco products and chronic bronchitis and severe sinus infections resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers, their estates, dependents and family members,

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

restitution of profits, and reimbursement of government health care costs allegedly caused by tobacco products. To date, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees have not been properly served with the complaint. No activity in this case is anticipated while plaintiff's counsel pursues the class action filed in Saskatchewan (see description of *Adams*, above).

In the seventh class action pending in Canada, *McDermid v. Imperial Tobacco Canada Limited, et al., Supreme Court, British Columbia, Canada*, filed June 25, 2010, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges his own addiction to tobacco products and heart disease resulting from the use of tobacco products. He is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers who were alive on June 12, 2007, and who suffered from heart disease allegedly caused by smoking, their estates, dependents and family members, plus disgorgement of revenues earned by the defendants from January 1, 1954 to the date the claim was filed. Defendants have filed jurisdictional challenges on the grounds that this action should not proceed during the pendency of the Saskatchewan class action (see description of *Adams*, above).

In the eighth class action pending in Canada, *Bourassa v. Imperial Tobacco Canada Limited, et al., Supreme Court, British Columbia, Canada*, filed June 25, 2010, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, the heir to a deceased smoker, alleges that the decedent was addicted to tobacco products and suffered from emphysema resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers who were alive on June 12, 2007, and who suffered from chronic respiratory diseases allegedly caused by smoking, their estates, dependents and family members, plus disgorgement of revenues earned by the defendants from January 1, 1954 to the date the claim was filed. Defendants have filed jurisdictional challenges on the grounds that this action should not proceed during the pendency of the Saskatchewan class action (see description of *Adams*, above).

In the ninth class action pending in Canada, *Suzanne Jacklin v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council, et al., Ontario Superior Court of Justice*, filed June 20, 2012, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges her own addiction to tobacco products and COPD resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers who have smoked a minimum of 25,000 cigarettes and have allegedly suffered, or suffer, from COPD, heart disease, or cancer, as well as restitution of profits. Plaintiff's counsel have indicated that they do not intend to take any action in this case in the near future.

Health Care Cost Recovery Litigation: These cases, brought by governmental and non-governmental plaintiffs, seek reimbursement of health care cost expenditures allegedly caused by tobacco products. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery including unjust enrichment, negligence, negligent design, strict liability, breach of express and implied warranties, violation of a voluntary undertaking or special duty, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, public nuisance, defective product, failure to warn, sale of cigarettes to minors, and claims under statutes governing competition and deceptive trade practices. Plaintiffs in these cases seek various forms of relief including compensatory and other damages, and injunctive and equitable relief. Defenses raised in these cases include lack of proximate cause, remoteness of injury, failure to state a claim, adequate remedy at law, "unclean hands" (namely, that plaintiffs cannot obtain equitable relief because they participated in, and benefited from, the sale of cigarettes), and statute of limitations.

As of May 1, 2013, there were 15 health care cost recovery cases pending against us, our subsidiaries or indemnitees in Canada (9), Nigeria (5) and Spain (1), compared with 10 such cases on May 1, 2012 and 11 such cases on May 1, 2011.

In the first health care cost recovery case pending in Canada, *Her Majesty the Queen in Right of British Columbia v. Imperial Tobacco Limited, et al., Supreme Court, British Columbia, Vancouver Registry, Canada*, filed January 24, 2001, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitee (PM USA), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, the government of the province of British Columbia, brought a claim based upon legislation enacted by the province authorizing the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, resulting from a "tobacco related wrong." The Supreme Court of Canada has held that the statute is constitutional. We and certain other non-Canadian defendants challenged the jurisdiction of the court. The court rejected the jurisdictional challenge. Pre-trial discovery is ongoing.

In the second health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, *Her Majesty the Queen in Right of New Brunswick v. Rothmans Inc., et al., Court of Queen's Bench of New Brunswick, Trial Court, New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada*, filed March 13, 2008, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of New Brunswick based on legislation enacted in the province. This legislation is similar to the law introduced in British Columbia that authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a “tobacco related wrong.” Pre-trial discovery is ongoing.

In the third health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, *Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Ontario v. Rothmans Inc., et al., Ontario Superior Court of Justice, Toronto, Canada*, filed September 29, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Ontario based on legislation enacted in the province. This legislation is similar to the laws introduced in British Columbia and New Brunswick that authorize the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a “tobacco related wrong.” Preliminary motions are pending.

In the fourth health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, *Attorney General of Newfoundland and Labrador v. Rothmans Inc., et al., Supreme Court of Newfoundland and Labrador, St. Johns, Canada*, filed February 8, 2011, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Newfoundland and Labrador based on legislation enacted in the province that is similar to the laws introduced in British Columbia, New Brunswick and Ontario. The legislation authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a “tobacco related wrong.” Preliminary motions are pending.

In the fifth health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, *Attorney General of Quebec v. Imperial Tobacco Limited, et al., Superior Court of Quebec, Canada*, filed June 8, 2012, we, our subsidiary, our indemnitee (PM USA), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Quebec based on legislation enacted in the province that is similar to the laws enacted in several other Canadian provinces. The legislation authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a “tobacco related wrong.” Preliminary motions are pending.

In the sixth health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, *Her Majesty in Right of Alberta v. Altria Group, Inc., et al., Supreme Court of Queen's Bench Alberta, Canada*, filed June 8, 2012, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Alberta based on legislation enacted in the province that is similar to the laws enacted in several other Canadian provinces. The legislation authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a “tobacco related wrong.” We, our subsidiaries and our indemnitees have all been served with the statement of claim.

In the seventh health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, *Her Majesty the Queen in Right of the Province of Manitoba v. Rothmans, Benson & Hedges, Inc., et al., The Queen's Bench, Winnipeg Judicial Centre, Canada*, filed May 31, 2012, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Manitoba based on legislation enacted in the province that is similar to the laws enacted in several other Canadian provinces. The legislation authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a “tobacco related wrong.” Preliminary motions are pending.

In the eighth health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, *The Government of Saskatchewan v. Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc., et al., Queen's Bench, Judicial Centre of Saskatchewan, Canada*, filed June 8, 2012, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Saskatchewan based on legislation enacted in the province that is similar to the laws enacted in several other Canadian provinces. The legislation authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a “tobacco related wrong.” Preliminary motions are pending.

In the ninth health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, *Her Majesty the Queen in Right of the Province of Prince Edward Island v. Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc., et al., Supreme Court of Prince Edward Island (General Section), Canada*, filed September 10, 2012, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Prince Edward Island based on legislation enacted in the province that is similar to the laws enacted in several other Canadian provinces. The legislation authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a “tobacco related wrong.” Preliminary motions are pending.

In the first health care cost recovery case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Lagos State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al., High Court of Lagos State, Lagos, Nigeria*, filed March 13, 2008, we and other members of the industry are

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. We are in the process of making challenges to service and the court's jurisdiction. Currently, the case is stayed in the trial court pending the appeals of certain co-defendants relating to service objections. We currently have no employees, operations or assets in Nigeria.

In the second health care cost recovery case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Kano State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al., High Court of Kano State, Kano, Nigeria*, filed May 9, 2007, we and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. We are in the process of making challenges to service and the court's jurisdiction. Currently, the case is stayed in the trial court pending the appeals of certain co-defendants relating to service objections.

In the third health care cost recovery case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Gombe State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al., High Court of Gombe State, Gombe, Nigeria*, filed October 17, 2008, we and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. In February 2011, the court ruled that the plaintiff had not complied with the procedural steps necessary to serve us. As a result of this ruling, Philip Morris International Inc. is not currently a defendant in the case. Plaintiff may appeal the ruling or follow the procedural steps required to serve Philip Morris International Inc.

In the fourth health care cost recovery case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Oyo State, et al., v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al., High Court of Oyo State, Ibadan, Nigeria*, filed May 25, 2007, we and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiffs seek reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. We challenged service as improper. In June 2010, the court ruled that plaintiffs did not have leave to serve the writ of summons on the defendants and that they must re-serve the writ. We have not yet been re-served.

In the fifth health care cost recovery case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Ogun State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al., High Court of Ogun State, Abeokuta, Nigeria*, filed February 26, 2008, we and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. In May 2010, the trial court rejected our service objections. We have appealed.

In a series of proceedings in Spain, *Junta de Andalucia, et al. v. Philip Morris Spain, et al., Court of First Instance, Madrid, Spain*, the first of which was filed February 21, 2002, our subsidiary and other members of the industry were defendants. The plaintiffs sought reimbursement for the cost of treating certain of their citizens for various alleged smoking-related illnesses. In May 2004, the first instance court dismissed the initial case, finding that the State was a necessary party to the claim, and thus, the claim must be filed in the Administrative Court. In September 2007, the plaintiffs filed their complaint in the Administrative Court, which dismissed the claim based on a procedural issue in November 2007. In November 2009, the Supreme Court rejected plaintiffs' appeal, resulting in the final dismissal of the claim. However, plaintiffs have filed a second claim in the Administrative Court against the Ministry of Economy. This second claim seeks the same relief as the original claim, but relies on a different procedural posture. The Administrative Court has recognized our subsidiary as a party in this proceeding. Our subsidiary and other defendants filed preliminary objections that resulted in a stay of the term to file the answer. In May 2011, the court rejected the defendants' preliminary objections, but it has not yet set a deadline for defendants to file their answers.

Lights Cases: These cases, brought by individual plaintiffs, or on behalf of a class of individual plaintiffs, allege that the use of the term "lights" constitutes fraudulent and misleading conduct. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery including misrepresentation, deception, and breach of consumer protection laws. Plaintiffs seek various forms of relief including restitution, injunctive relief, and compensatory and other damages. Defenses raised include lack of causation, lack of reliance, assumption of the risk, and statute of limitations.

As of May 1, 2013, there were three lights cases pending against our subsidiaries or indemnitees, as follows:

- 2 cases brought on behalf of individual plaintiffs in Israel, compared with 2 such cases on May 1, 2012 and May 1, 2011; and

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

- 1 case brought by an individual in the equivalent of small claims courts in Italy, where the maximum damages are approximately one thousand Euros per case, compared with 9 such cases on May 1, 2012, and 10 such cases on May 1, 2011.

In the first class action pending in Israel, *El-Roy, et al. v. Philip Morris Incorporated, et al.*, District Court of Tel-Aviv/Jaffa, Israel, filed January 18, 2004, our subsidiary and our indemnitees (PM USA and our former importer) are defendants. The plaintiffs filed a purported class action claiming that the class members were misled by the descriptor “lights” into believing that lights cigarettes are safer than full flavor cigarettes. The claim seeks recovery of the purchase price of lights cigarettes and compensation for distress for each class member. Hearings took place in November and December 2008 regarding whether the case meets the legal requirements necessary to allow it to proceed as a class action. The parties' briefing on class certification was completed in March 2011. In November 2012, the court denied class certification and dismissed the individual claims. Plaintiffs have appealed, and an oral hearing has been scheduled for March 2014.

The claims in the second class action pending in Israel, *Navon, et al. v. Philip Morris Products USA, et al.*, District Court of Tel-Aviv/Jaffa, Israel, filed December 5, 2004, against our indemnitee (our distributor) and other members of the industry are similar to those in *El-Roy*, and the case was stayed pending a ruling on class certification in *El-Roy*. In March 2013, the district court dismissed the case because plaintiffs failed to demonstrate their intent to continue pursuing the case. Plaintiffs may appeal.

Public Civil Actions: Claims have been filed either by an individual, or a public or private entity, seeking to protect collective or individual rights, such as the right to health, the right to information or the right to safety. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery including product defect, concealment, and misrepresentation. Plaintiffs in these cases seek various forms of relief including injunctive relief such as banning cigarettes, descriptors, smoking in certain places and advertising, as well as implementing communication campaigns and reimbursement of medical expenses incurred by public or private institutions.

As of May 1, 2013, there were 4 public civil actions pending against our subsidiaries in Argentina (2), Brazil (1), and Venezuela (1), compared with 3 such cases on May 1, 2012, and 5 such cases on May 1, 2011.

In the first public civil action in Argentina, *Asociación Argentina de Derecho de Danos v. Massalin Particulares S.A., et al.*, Civil Court of Buenos Aires, Argentina, filed February 26, 2007, our subsidiary and another member of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, a consumer association, seeks the establishment of a relief fund for reimbursement of medical costs associated with diseases allegedly caused by smoking. Our subsidiary filed its answer in September 2007. In March 2010, the case file was transferred to the Federal Court on Administrative Matters after the Civil Court granted the plaintiff's request to add the national government as a co-plaintiff in the case.

In the second public civil action in Argentina, *Conciencia Ciudadana Mejorar Asociación Civil, et al. v. Massalin Particulares S.A., 4th Civil & Commercial Court of Zarate, Argentina*, filed September 20, 2012, our subsidiary is a defendant. Plaintiffs, a civil association and an individual, seek an order requiring our subsidiary to place information regarding tar, nicotine, and carbon monoxide yields on the packages of cigarettes in the *Marlboro* brand family. Plaintiffs also seek moral and punitive damages. Our subsidiary has been served with the complaint.

In the public civil action in Brazil, *The Brazilian Association for the Defense of Consumer Health (“SAUDECON”) v. Philip Morris Brasil Industria e Comercio Ltda. and Souza Cruz S.A.*, Civil Court of City of Porto Alegre, Brazil, filed November 3, 2008, our subsidiary is a defendant. The plaintiff, a consumer organization, is asking the court to establish a fund that will be used to provide treatment to smokers who claim to be addicted and who do not otherwise have access to smoking cessation treatment. Plaintiff requests that each defendant's liability be determined according to its market share. In May 2009, the trial court dismissed the case on the merits. Plaintiff has appealed.

In the public civil action in Venezuela, *Federation of Consumers and Users Associations (“FEVACU”), et al. v. National Assembly of Venezuela and the Venezuelan Ministry of Health, Constitutional Chamber of the Venezuelan Supreme Court*, filed April 29, 2008, we were not named as a defendant, but the plaintiffs published a notice pursuant to court order, notifying all interested parties to appear in the case. In January 2009, our subsidiary appeared in the case in response to this notice. The plaintiffs purport to represent the right to health of the citizens of Venezuela and claim that the government failed to protect adequately its citizens' right to health. The claim asks the court to order the government to enact stricter regulations on the manufacture and sale of tobacco products. In addition, the plaintiffs ask the court to order companies involved in the tobacco industry to allocate a percentage of their “sales or benefits” to establish a fund to pay for the health care costs of treating smoking-related diseases. In October 2008, the court ruled that plaintiffs have standing to file the claim and that the claim meets the threshold admissibility requirements. In

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

December 2012, the court admitted our subsidiary and BAT's subsidiary as interested third parties. In February 2013, our subsidiary answered the complaint.

Other Litigation

We are also involved in other litigation arising in the ordinary course of our business. While the outcomes of these proceedings are uncertain, management does not expect that the ultimate outcomes of other litigation, including any reasonably possible losses in excess of current accruals, will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

Note 11. Income Taxes:

Income tax provisions for jurisdictions outside the United States, as well as state and local income tax provisions, were determined on a separate company basis and the related assets and liabilities were recorded in PMI's condensed consolidated balance sheets.

The American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 (the "Act") was enacted on January 2, 2013. Included in the Act were extensions through 2013 of several expired or expiring temporary business tax provisions, commonly referred to as "extenders." The tax impact of new legislation is recognized in the reporting period in which it is enacted. Therefore, PMI recognized the impact of the Act, which was \$17 million of expense, in the condensed consolidated financial statements in the first quarter of 2013.

PMI's effective tax rates for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were 29.6% and 30.0%, respectively. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2013, was unfavorably impacted by the additional expense associated with the Act (\$17 million).

The effective tax rates are based on PMI's full-year geographic earnings mix projections and cash repatriation plans. Changes in earnings mix or in cash repatriation plans could have an impact on the effective tax rates, which PMI monitors each quarter. Significant judgment is required in determining income tax provisions and in evaluating tax positions.

PMI is regularly examined by tax authorities around the world and is currently under examination in a number of jurisdictions. The U.S. federal statute of limitations remains open for the years 2007 and onward. Foreign and U.S. state jurisdictions have statutes of limitations generally ranging from three to five years. Years still open to examination by foreign tax authorities in major jurisdictions include Germany (2007 onward), Indonesia (2007 onward), Russia (2010 onward) and Switzerland (2011 onward).

It is reasonably possible that, within the next twelve months, certain tax examinations will close, which could result in a change in unrecognized tax benefits along with related interest and penalties. An estimate of any possible change cannot be made at this time.

Note 12. Indebtedness:

Short-term Borrowings:

At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, PMI's short-term borrowings, consisting of commercial paper and bank loans to certain PMI subsidiaries, had a carrying value of \$1,539 million and \$2,419 million, respectively. The fair value of PMI's short-term borrowings, based on current market interest rates, approximates carrying value.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Long-term Debt:

At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, PMI's long-term debt consisted of the following:

(in millions)	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
U.S. dollar notes, 0.337% to 6.875% (average interest rate 4.280%), due through 2043	\$ 16,513	\$ 14,702
Foreign currency obligations:		
Euro notes, 1.750% to 5.875% (average interest rate 3.361%), due through 2025	6,156	3,724
Swiss franc notes, 0.875% to 2.000% (average interest rate 1.239%), due through 2021	1,204	1,579
Other (average interest rate 3.637%), due through 2024	186	415
	24,059	20,420
Less current portion of long-term debt	3,263	2,781
	\$ 20,796	\$ 17,639

Other foreign currency debt above includes mortgage debt in Switzerland at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and debt from our business combination in the Philippines at December 31, 2012. Other foreign currency debt also includes capital lease obligations primarily associated with PMI's vending machine distribution network in Japan.

PMI's debt offerings in the first quarter of 2013 were as follows:

(in millions)					
Type	Face Value	Interest Rate	Issuance	Maturity	
U.S. dollar notes ^(a)	\$400	Floating	March 2013	February 2015	
U.S. dollar notes ^(b)	\$600	2.625%	March 2013	March 2023	
U.S. dollar notes ^(b)	\$850	4.125%	March 2013	March 2043	
EURO notes ^(c)	€1,250 (approximately \$1,621)	1.750%	March 2013	March 2020	
EURO notes ^(c)	€750 (approximately \$972)	2.750%	March 2013	March 2025	
Swiss franc notes ^(d)	CHF200 (approximately \$217)	0.875%	March 2013	March 2019	

^(a) Interest on these notes is payable quarterly in arrears beginning in May 2013. The notes will bear interest from date of issuance at a rate per annum, reset quarterly, equal to three month LIBOR plus 0.05%.

^(b) Interest on these notes is payable semiannually in arrears beginning in September 2013.

^(c) Interest on these notes is payable annually in arrears beginning in March 2014.

^(d) Interest on these notes is payable annually in arrears beginning in March 2014.

The net proceeds from the sale of the securities listed in the table above were used to meet PMI's working capital requirements, to repurchase PMI's common stock, to refinance debt and for general corporate purposes.

Credit Facilities:

On February 12, 2013, PMI entered into a 364-day revolving credit facility in the amount of \$2.0 billion.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

At March 31, 2013, PMI's total committed credit facilities were as follows:

(in billions)

Type	Committed Credit Facilities
364-day revolving credit, expiring February 11, 2014	\$ 2.0
Multi-year revolving credit, expiring March 31, 2015	2.5
Multi-year revolving credit, expiring October 25, 2016	3.5
Total facilities	\$ 8.0

At March 31, 2013, there were no borrowings under these committed credit facilities.

Note 13. Fair Value Measurements:

The authoritative guidance defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The guidance also establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The guidance describes three levels of input that may be used to measure fair value, which are as follows:

- Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2 - Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities; and
- Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

PMI's policy is to reflect transfers between hierarchy levels at the end of the reporting period.

Derivative Financial Instruments – Foreign Exchange Contracts

PMI assesses the fair value of its derivative financial instruments, which consist of deliverable and non-deliverable foreign exchange forward contracts, foreign currency swaps and foreign currency options, using internally developed models that use, as their basis, readily observable market inputs. The fair value of PMI's foreign exchange forward contracts is determined by using the prevailing foreign exchange spot rates and interest rate differentials, and the respective maturity dates of the instruments. The fair value of PMI's currency options is determined by using a Black-Scholes methodology based on foreign exchange spot rates and interest rate differentials, currency volatilities and maturity dates. PMI's derivative financial instruments have been classified within Level 2 in the table shown below. See Note 6. *Financial Instruments* for an additional discussion of derivative financial instruments.

Debt

The fair value of PMI's outstanding debt, which is utilized solely for disclosure purposes, is determined using quotes and market interest rates currently available to PMI for issuances of debt with similar terms and remaining maturities. The aggregate carrying value of PMI's debt, excluding short-term borrowings and \$26 million of capital lease obligations, was \$24,033 million at March 31, 2013. The fair value of PMI's outstanding debt, excluding the aforementioned short-term borrowings and capital lease obligations, has been classified within Level 1 and Level 2 in the table shown below.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

The aggregate fair values of PMI's derivative financial instruments and debt as of March 31, 2013, were as follows:

(in millions)	Fair Value at March 31, 2013	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 272	\$ —	\$ 272	\$ —
Total assets	\$ 272	\$ —	\$ 272	\$ —
Liabilities:				
Debt	\$ 25,949	\$ 25,769	\$ 180	\$ —
Foreign exchange contracts	53	—	53	—
Total liabilities	\$ 26,002	\$ 25,769	\$ 233	\$ —

Note 14. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Losses:

PMI's accumulated other comprehensive losses, net of taxes, consisted of the following:

(in millions)	At March 31, 2013	At December 31, 2012	At March 31, 2012
Currency translation adjustments	\$ (571)	\$ (331)	\$ 129
Pension and other benefits	(3,306)	(3,365)	(2,547)
Derivatives accounted for as hedges	157	92	51
Total accumulated other comprehensive losses	\$ (3,720)	\$ (3,604)	\$ (2,367)

Reclassifications from Other Comprehensive Earnings

The movement in accumulated other comprehensive losses and the related tax impact, for each of the components above, that is due to current period activity and reclassifications to the income statement are shown on the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012. For additional information, see Note 4. *Benefit Plans* and Note 6. *Financial Instruments* for disclosures related to PMI's pension and other benefits, and derivative financial instruments.

Note 15. Balance Sheet Offsetting:

Foreign Exchange Contracts

PMI uses deliverable and non-deliverable forward foreign exchange contracts, foreign currency swaps and foreign currency options, collectively referred to as foreign exchange contracts, to mitigate its exposure to changes in exchange and interest rates from third-party and intercompany actual and forecasted transactions. Substantially all of PMI's foreign exchange contracts are subject to master netting arrangements, whereby the right to offset occurs in the event of default by a participating party. While these contracts contain the enforceable right to offset through close-out netting rights, PMI elects to present them on a gross basis in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Collateral associated with these arrangements is in the form of cash and is unrestricted. See Note 6. *Financial Instruments* for disclosures related to PMI's derivative financial instruments.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

The effects of these foreign exchange contract assets and liabilities to PMI's condensed consolidated balance sheet were as follows:

(in millions)	Gross Amounts Recognized	Gross Amount Offset in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet	Net Amounts Presented in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet	Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet			Net Amount
				Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral Received/ Pledged		
<u>At March 31, 2013</u>							
Assets							
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 272	\$ —	\$ 272	\$ (23)	\$ (4)		245
Liabilities							
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 53	\$ —	\$ 53	\$ (23)	\$ —		30
<u>At December 31, 2012</u>							
Assets							
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 160	\$ —	\$ 160	\$ (24)	\$ —		136
Liabilities							
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 55	\$ —	\$ 55	\$ (24)	\$ —		31

Item 2.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Description of Our Company

We are a holding company whose subsidiaries and affiliates, and their licensees, are engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products in markets outside the United States of America. We manage our business in four segments:

- European Union;
- Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa (“EEMA”);
- Asia; and
- Latin America & Canada.

Our products are sold in more than 180 markets and, in many of these markets, they hold the number one or number two market share position. We have a wide range of premium, mid-price and low-price brands. Our portfolio comprises both international and local brands.

We use the term net revenues to refer to our operating revenues from the sale of our products, net of sales and promotion incentives. Our net revenues and operating income are affected by various factors, including the volume of products we sell, the price of our products, changes in currency exchange rates and the mix of products we sell. Mix is a term used to refer to the proportionate value of premium-price brands to mid-price or low-price brands in any given market (product mix). Mix can also refer to the proportion of shipment volume in more profitable markets versus shipment volume in less profitable markets (geographic mix). We often collect excise taxes from our customers and then remit them to governments, and, in those circumstances, we include the excise taxes in our net revenues and in excise taxes on products. Our cost of sales consists principally of tobacco leaf, non-tobacco raw materials, labor and manufacturing costs.

Our marketing, administration and research costs include the costs of marketing and selling our products, other costs generally not related to the manufacture of our products (including general corporate expenses), and costs incurred to develop new products. The most significant components of our marketing, administration and research costs are marketing and sales expenses and general and administrative expenses.

Philip Morris International Inc. is a legal entity separate and distinct from our direct and indirect subsidiaries. Accordingly, our right, and thus the right of our creditors and stockholders, to participate in any distribution of the assets or earnings of any subsidiary is subject to the prior rights of creditors of such subsidiary, except to the extent that claims of our company itself as a creditor may be recognized. As a holding company, our principal sources of funds, including funds to make payment on our debt securities, are from the receipt of dividends and repayment of debt from our subsidiaries. Our principal wholly owned and majority-owned subsidiaries currently are not limited by long-term debt or other agreements in their ability to pay cash dividends or to make other distributions with respect to their common stock.

Executive Summary

The following executive summary provides significant highlights from the "Discussion and Analysis" that follows.

Consolidated Operating Results for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 – The changes in our reported diluted earnings per share ("diluted EPS") for the three months ended March 31, 2013, from the comparable 2012 amounts, were as follows:

	Diluted EPS	% Growth
For the three months ended March 31, 2012	\$ 1.25	
2012 Asset impairment and exit costs	—	
2012 Tax items	—	
Subtotal of 2012 items	—	
2013 Asset impairment and exit costs	—	
2013 Tax items	(0.01)	
Subtotal of 2013 items	(0.01)	
Currency	(0.07)	
Interest	(0.01)	
Change in tax rate	0.01	
Impact of lower shares outstanding and share-based payments	0.05	
Operations	0.06	
For the three months ended March 31, 2013	\$ 1.28	2.4%

Asset Impairment and Exit Costs – During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we recorded pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs of \$3 million (less than one cent impact on diluted EPS) related to the termination of distribution agreements in Asia. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we recorded pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs of \$8 million (less than one cent impact on diluted EPS) related to severance costs for a factory restructuring in Latin America & Canada.

Income Taxes – Our effective income tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased by 0.4 percentage points to 29.6%. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2013, was unfavorably impacted by the additional expense associated with the enactment of the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 (\$17 million). This special tax item decreased our diluted EPS by \$0.01 per share in 2013. Excluding the impact of this special tax item, the change in tax rate that increased our diluted EPS by \$0.01 per share in 2013 was primarily due to earnings mix and repatriation cost differences.

Currency – The unfavorable currency impact during the reporting period was due primarily to the Argentine peso, Indonesian rupiah and Japanese yen, partially offset by the Brazilian real and Swiss franc.

Interest – The unfavorable impact of interest was due primarily to higher average debt levels, partially offset by lower average interest rates on debt.

Lower Shares Outstanding and Share-Based Payments – The favorable diluted EPS impact was due to the repurchase of our common stock pursuant to our share repurchase programs.

Operations – The increase in diluted EPS of \$0.06 from our operations was due primarily to the following segments:

- EEMA: Higher pricing, partially offset by higher marketing, administration and research costs; and
- Asia: Higher pricing, partially offset by higher manufacturing costs, unfavorable volume/mix and higher marketing, administration and research costs; partially offset by
- European Union: Unfavorable volume/mix, partially offset by higher pricing.

For further details, see the “Consolidated Operating Results” and “Operating Results by Business Segment” sections of the following “Discussion and Analysis.”

2013 Forecasted Results - On April 18, 2013, we revised, for prevailing exchange rates only, our 2013 full-year reported diluted EPS forecast to be in a range of \$5.55 to \$5.65, versus \$5.17 in 2012. Excluding an unfavorable currency impact, at then prevailing exchange rates, of approximately \$0.19 for the full-year 2013, reported diluted earnings per share are projected to increase by approximately 10% to 12% versus adjusted diluted earnings per share of \$5.22 in 2012, unchanged from the constant-currency earnings per share forecast disclosed on February 20, 2013. The \$0.19 in unfavorable currency for the full-year 2013, based on then prevailing exchange rates, represents an increase of \$0.13 compared to the \$0.06 of full-year unfavorable currency impact previously disclosed on February 20, 2013. This forecast includes a one-year gross productivity and cost savings target for 2013 of approximately \$300 million and a share repurchase target for 2013 of \$6.0 billion. The bulk of our earnings per share growth is expected to occur in the latter part of the year, and we anticipate a particularly strong fourth quarter. We calculated 2012 adjusted diluted EPS as reported diluted EPS of \$5.17, plus the \$0.02 per share charge related to discrete tax items and the \$0.03 per share charge related to asset impairment and exit costs.

This 2013 guidance excludes the impact of potential future acquisitions, unanticipated asset impairment and exit cost charges, changes in currency exchange rates and any unusual events. The factors described in the “Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results” section of the following “Discussion and Analysis” represent continuing risks to these projections.

Adjusted diluted EPS is not a U.S. GAAP measure. We define adjusted diluted EPS as reported diluted EPS adjusted for asset impairment and exit costs, discrete tax items and unusual items. We believe it is appropriate to disclose this measure as it represents core earnings, improves comparability and helps investors analyze business performance and trends. Adjusted diluted EPS should be considered neither in isolation nor as a substitute for reported diluted EPS prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Discussion and Analysis

Consolidated Operating Results

See pages 55-59 for a discussion of our "Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results." Our cigarette volume, net revenues, excise taxes on products and operating companies income by segment were as follows:

(in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Cigarette volume:		
European Union	42,967	47,789
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	66,834	65,928
Asia	72,619	81,030
Latin America & Canada	22,527	24,343
Total cigarette volume	204,947	219,090
Net revenues:		
European Union	\$ 6,523	\$ 6,470
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	4,423	4,069
Asia	5,251	5,177
Latin America & Canada	2,330	2,306
Net revenues	\$ 18,527	\$ 18,022
Excise taxes on products:		
European Union	\$ 4,553	\$ 4,417
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	2,380	2,234
Asia	2,461	2,400
Latin America & Canada	1,549	1,523
Excise taxes on products	\$ 10,943	\$ 10,574
Operating income:		
Operating companies income:		
European Union	\$ 938	\$ 1,030
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	935	810
Asia	1,342	1,407
Latin America & Canada	254	237
Amortization of intangibles	(24)	(24)
General corporate expenses	(58)	(57)
Operating income	\$ 3,387	\$ 3,403

As discussed in Note 9. *Segment Reporting* to our condensed consolidated financial statements, we evaluate segment performance and allocate resources based on operating companies income, which we define as operating income before general corporate expenses and amortization of intangibles. We believe it is appropriate to disclose this measure to help investors analyze the business performance and trends of our various business segments.

References to total international cigarette market, total cigarette market, total market and market shares throughout this "Discussion and Analysis" reflect our best estimates based on a number of internal and external sources.

Consolidated Operating Results for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013

The following discussion compares our consolidated operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2013, with the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Our cigarette shipment volume of 204.9 billion units decreased by 14.1 billion units (6.5%), reflecting a challenging comparison to the first quarter of 2012 in which cigarette shipment volume grew by 5.3%, excluding acquisitions. The first quarter of 2012 benefited from the favorable impact of the leap year. Conversely, the first quarter of 2013 was adversely impacted by the reversal in the quarter of trade inventories built up in the fourth quarter of 2012 ahead of excise tax increases in 2013, notably in Turkey; the unfavorable impact of excise tax-driven price increases, the weak economic and employment environment, the growth of the other tobacco products ("OTP") category, and the increased prevalence of illicit trade in the European Union; and the unfavorable impact of the disruptive January 2013 excise tax increase in the Philippines, which reduced our shipment volume by approximately 10.0 billion units or 42.5%. Excluding the Philippines, our cigarette shipment volume was down by 2.1%, or by 1.7% including OTP in cigarette equivalent units.

In the European Union, our cigarette shipment volume of 43.0 billion units decreased by 10.1%, predominantly due to the aforementioned factors, notably in southern Europe, which accounted for approximately 60% of the total decline. In EEMA, our cigarette shipment volume of 66.8 billion units grew by 1.4%, driven notably by the Middle East, North Africa, Russia and Ukraine, partly offset by Turkey. In Asia, our cigarette shipment volume of 72.6 billion units decreased by 10.4%, largely reflecting the unfavorable excise tax impact in the Philippines, partially offset by Indonesia and Japan. Excluding the Philippines, our total cigarette shipment volume in Asia grew by 2.8%. In Latin America & Canada, our cigarette shipment volume of 22.5 billion units decreased by 7.5%, due primarily to a lower total market in Argentina, Brazil and Mexico.

Our market share grew in a number of key markets, including Algeria, Brazil, Canada, Colombia, Egypt, France, Germany, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Italy, Kazakhstan, the Netherlands, Poland, Saudi Arabia, Spain, Thailand, Turkey, Ukraine and the United Kingdom.

Total cigarette shipments of *Marlboro* of 68.7 billion units were down by 4.8%, due primarily to declines in: the European Union, notably France and Spain, partly offset by Germany; EEMA, primarily Russia, Turkey and Ukraine, partly offset by Egypt; Asia, largely the Philippines, partly offset by Japan; and Latin America & Canada, mainly Argentina, Brazil and Mexico. Excluding the Philippines, total cigarette shipments of *Marlboro* declined by 2.2%.

Total cigarette shipments of *L&M* of 22.2 billion units were up by 4.3%, driven notably by Egypt, Russia and Saudi Arabia, partly offset by Germany and Turkey. Total cigarette shipments of *Bond Street* of 9.9 billion units decreased by 0.7%. Total cigarette shipments of *Parliament* of 9.8 billion units were up by 5.4%, fueled by Kazakhstan and Russia. Total cigarette shipments of *Philip Morris* of 8.5 billion units decreased by 11.4%, due primarily to Italy and the Philippines. Total cigarette shipments of *Chesterfield* of 7.7 billion units were down by 6.0%, due primarily to Italy, Russia, Spain and Ukraine, partly offset by Germany. Total cigarette shipments of *Lark* of 6.8 billion units decreased by 8.3%, due predominantly to Turkey, partly offset by Japan.

Our OTP consist mainly of tobacco for roll-your-own and make-your-own cigarettes, pipe tobacco, cigars and cigarillos. Total shipment volume of OTP, in cigarette equivalent units, grew by 8.8% to 8.1 billion cigarette equivalent units, notably in Belgium, France, and Spain.

Total shipment volume for cigarettes and OTP combined was down by 6.0%.

Our net revenues and excise taxes on products were as follows:

(in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		Variance	%
	2013	2012		
Net revenues	\$ 18,527	\$ 18,022	\$ 505	2.8%
Excise taxes on products	10,943	10,574	369	3.5%
Net revenues, excluding excise taxes on products	\$ 7,584	\$ 7,448	\$ 136	1.8%

Currency movements decreased net revenues by \$106 million and net revenues, excluding excise taxes on products, by \$103 million. The \$103 million decrease was due primarily to the Argentine peso, Brazilian real, Indonesian rupiah and Japanese yen, partially offset by the Euro, Korean won, Mexican peso, Philippine peso, Polish zloty, Russian ruble and Turkish lira.

[Table of Contents](#)

Net revenues shown in the table above include \$450 million in 2013 and \$404 million in 2012 related to sales of OTP. These net revenue amounts include excise taxes billed to customers. Excluding excises taxes, net revenues for OTP were \$179 million in 2013 and \$156 million in 2012.

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased by \$505 million (2.8%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased by \$136 million (1.8%) to \$7.6 billion. This increase was due to:

- price increases (\$531 million), partly offset by
- unfavorable volume/mix (\$292 million) and
- unfavorable currency (\$103 million).

Excise taxes on products increased by \$369 million (3.5%), due primarily to:

- higher excise taxes resulting from changes in retail prices and tax rates (\$1,062 million), partly offset by
- volume/mix (\$690 million).

Governments have consistently increased excise taxes in most of the markets in which we operate. As discussed under the caption “Business Environment,” we expect excise taxes to continue to increase.

Our cost of sales; marketing, administration and research costs; and operating income were as follows:

(in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		Variance	%
	2013	2012		
Cost of sales	\$ 2,489	\$ 2,442	\$ 47	1.9 %
Marketing, administration and research costs	1,681	1,571	110	7.0 %
Operating income	3,387	3,403	(16)	(0.5)%

Cost of sales increased by \$47 million (1.9%), due primarily to:

- higher manufacturing costs (\$110 million, principally in Indonesia), partly offset by
- volume/mix (\$61 million).

With regard to tobacco leaf prices, we continue to expect modest increases going forward, broadly in line with sourcing country inflation, as the market has now stabilized. We however anticipate some cost pressure in 2013, driven in large measure by historical leaf tobacco price changes that will continue to affect our product costs in the current year, higher prices for cloves and higher prices for a number of other direct materials we use in the production of our brands.

Marketing, administration and research costs increased by \$110 million (7.0%), due to:

- higher expenses (\$89 million, principally related to increased investments behind new brand launches in Japan, and the annualization of business infrastructure investments in Russia) and
- unfavorable currency (\$21 million).

Operating income decreased by \$16 million (0.5%). This decrease was due primarily to:

- unfavorable volume/mix (\$231 million),
- unfavorable currency (\$122 million),
- higher manufacturing costs (\$110 million) and
- higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$89 million), partly offset by
- price increases (\$531 million).

Interest expense, net, of \$236 million increased \$23 million, due primarily to higher average debt levels, partially offset by lower average interest rates on debt.

Our effective tax rate decreased by 0.4 percentage points to 29.6%. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2013, was unfavorably impacted by the additional expense associated with the enactment of the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 (\$17 million). The effective tax rate is based on our full-year geographic earnings mix and cash repatriation plans. Changes in our cash repatriation plans could have an impact on the effective tax rate, which we monitor each quarter. Significant judgment is required in determining income tax provisions and in evaluating tax positions.

We are regularly examined by tax authorities around the world, and we are currently under examination in a number of jurisdictions. It is reasonably possible that within the next twelve months certain tax examinations will close, which could result in a change in unrecognized tax benefits along with related interest and penalties. An estimate of any possible charge cannot be made at this time.

Net earnings attributable to PMI of \$2.1 billion decreased \$36 million (1.7%). This decrease was due primarily to an unfavorable currency impact on operating income and higher interest expense, net, partially offset by a lower effective tax rate. Diluted and basic EPS of \$1.28 increased by 2.4%. Excluding an unfavorable currency impact of \$0.07, diluted EPS increased by 8.0%.

Operating Results by Business Segment

Business Environment

Taxes, Legislation, Regulation and Other Matters Regarding the Manufacture, Marketing, Sale and Use of Tobacco Products

The tobacco industry faces a number of challenges that may adversely affect our business, volume, results of operations, cash flows and financial position. These challenges, which are discussed below and in “*Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results,*” include:

- actual and proposed tobacco legislation and regulation;
- actual and proposed excise tax increases, as well as changes in excise tax structures and retail selling price regulations;
- price gaps and changes in price gaps between premium and mid-price and low-price brands and between cigarettes and other tobacco products;
- increased efforts by tobacco control advocates and governments to “denormalize” smoking and impose extreme regulatory requirements impacting our ability to communicate with adult consumers and differentiate our products from competitors’ products, including legislation to mandate plain (generic) packaging resulting in the expropriation of our brands and trademarks;
- actual and proposed extreme regulatory requirements related to the ingredients in tobacco products, including restrictions and complete bans;
- other actual and proposed restrictions affecting tobacco manufacturing, testing and performance standards and requirements, packaging, marketing, advertising, product display and sales;
- governmental and private bans and restrictions on smoking;
- illicit trade in cigarettes and other tobacco products, including counterfeit, contraband and so called “illicit whites;”
- actual and proposed restrictions on imports in certain jurisdictions;
- pending and threatened litigation as discussed in Note 10. *Contingencies*; and
- governmental investigations.

In the ordinary course of business, many factors can affect the timing of sales to customers, including the timing of holidays and other annual or special events, the timing of promotions, customer incentive programs and customer inventory programs, as well as the actual or speculated timing of pricing actions and tax-driven price increases.

Framework Convention on Tobacco Control: The World Health Organization's ("WHO") Framework Convention on Tobacco Control ("FCTC") entered into force in February 2005. As of May 2013, 175 countries, as well as the European Community, have become Parties to the FCTC. The FCTC is the first international public health treaty, and its objective is to establish a global agenda for tobacco regulation with the purpose of reducing initiation of tobacco use and encouraging cessation. The treaty recommends (and, in certain instances, requires) Parties to have in place or enact legislation that would:

- establish specific actions to prevent youth smoking;
- restrict and/or eliminate all tobacco product advertising, marketing, promotions and sponsorships;
- initiate public education campaigns to inform the public about the health consequences of smoking and the benefits of quitting;
- implement regulations imposing tobacco product testing, disclosure and performance standards;
- impose health warning requirements on tobacco product packaging;
- adopt measures aimed at eliminating illicit trade in tobacco products;
- restrict smoking in public places;
- implement public health-based fiscal policies (tax and price measures);
- adopt and implement measures that ensure that packaging and labeling, including descriptive terms, do not create the false impression that one brand of tobacco products is safer than another;
- phase out or restrict duty free tobacco sales; and
- encourage litigation against tobacco product manufacturers.

In many respects, the areas of regulation we support mirror provisions of the FCTC. For example, we have long advocated for laws that strictly prohibit the sale of tobacco products to minors, limit public smoking, mandate the placement of health warnings on tobacco product packaging, and regulate product content to ensure that changes to the product do not increase the adverse health effects of smoking and to establish a regulatory framework for future reduced risk products. We also strongly support the use of tax and price policies to achieve public health objectives, provided that they do not result in increased illicit trade. We do not, however, agree with the current views of the WHO and others who are pursuing policies that have gone far beyond the original text of the FCTC treaty.

For example, following the entry into force of the FCTC, the Conference of the Parties ("CoP"), the governing body of the FCTC, has adopted several guidelines proposed by tobacco control advocates and supported by WHO that provide non-binding recommendations which purport to supplement specific articles of the treaty. The recommendations include measures that we strongly oppose, such as point-of-sale display bans, plain packaging, a ban on all forms of communications to adult smokers, measures to prohibit or restrict ingredients that may increase the palatability or attractiveness of tobacco products, bans on charitable contributions, measures to prevent the use of journalistic expression or political commentary "for the promotion of tobacco use," bans on retailer incentive programs and limits on tobacco industry involvement in the development of tobacco policy and regulations.

These recommendations and the actions of the WHO and others reflect an extreme application of the treaty, are not based on sound evidence of a public health benefit, and in many cases are likely to lead to adverse consequences. The evidence does not show that these measures will help achieve the public health goals of the original treaty provisions. In fact, as we discuss below, some of these extreme measures are likely to undermine the original goals of the FCTC and public health by leading to a further increase in illicit trade, the proliferation of low-price cigarettes and, in the case of measures such as plain packaging, will result in the expropriation of our trademarks, harm competition and violate international treaties.

Although to date only a few governments have adopted these extreme measures, it is not possible to predict whether or to what extent the various CoP guidelines and WHO recommendations will be adopted. If governments choose to implement regulation based on these extreme recommendations, such regulation may adversely affect our business, volume, results of operations, cash flows and financial position. In some instances, including those described below, where such regulation has been adopted, we have commenced legal proceedings challenging the regulation. It is not possible to predict the outcome of these legal proceedings.

Excise Taxes: Tobacco products are subject to substantial excise taxes and to other product taxation worldwide. Significant increases in tobacco-related taxes or fees have been proposed or enacted and are likely to continue to be proposed or enacted. For example, in 2012, the Philippines enacted a new excise tax law that increased the excise tax on the premium-price segment by more than 100% and on the low-price segment by nearly 340% in 2013 and provides for further significant increases primarily

affecting the lower tier until both tiers are merged in 2017. In addition, in certain jurisdictions, our products are subject to tax structures that discriminate against premium-price products and manufactured cigarettes.

Excessive and disruptive tax increases and discriminatory tax structures, which we oppose, are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on our sales of cigarettes, due to lower consumption levels and to a shift in consumer purchases from premium to non-premium, discount, other low-price or low-taxed tobacco products, such as fine cut tobacco, and/or illicit products. Such tax increases and tax structures undermine public health and ultimately undercut government revenue objectives.

At the fifth session of the CoP held in November 2012, the Parties did not adopt guidelines on price and tax measures to reduce the demand for tobacco but instead adopted a brief “set of guiding principles and recommendations for implementation of Article 6,” which does not include extreme proposals. An inter-session working group will prepare and present new draft guidelines at the sixth session of the CoP in 2014.

EU Tobacco Products Directive: In December 2012, the European Commission adopted its proposal for a significantly revised EU Tobacco Products Directive (2001/37/EC). Among other things, the European Commission's proposal includes a ban on menthol cigarettes, a ban on slim cigarettes, oversized health warnings covering 75% of the front and back panels, as well as 50% of the side panels of cigarette packs, mandatory sizes and shapes for tobacco packaging, and further restrictions on product descriptions and brand differentiation. Under the proposal, Member States would have the option to further standardize tobacco packaging, including by introducing plain packaging, if justified in the “public interest.” The proposal would also require non-traditional nicotine-containing products, such as certain e-cigarettes, as well as certain reduced risk products under development, to obtain approval under the Medicinal Products Directive. In addition, by seeking to prohibit accurate, substantiated product descriptions related to reduced risk, the European Commission's proposal would introduce significant hurdles to the commercialization of reduced risk products in the EU.

The proposal may be amended and must be approved by the European Parliament and the Council of Ministers, a process that, according to the European Commission, is expected to continue until 2014, with full implementation in 2015 and 2016. It is not possible to predict the ultimate outcome of this legislative process.

Plain Packaging: We strongly oppose plain packaging, which not only constitutes an expropriation of our valuable trademarks, but is a pure and simple confiscation of the core of our business. We believe that transforming the industry into a low-price commodity business will not reduce consumption, smoking incidence or initiation. Indeed, there is no sound evidence that plain packaging will have any measurable impact on smoking behavior. Even many public health advocates agree today that plain packaging will have no impact on current smokers and claim that the measure is intended to reduce initiation among youth. However, the data do not support that claim either. On the contrary, over time plain packaging is likely to be counterproductive from a public health perspective because it will increase the availability of cheaper tobacco products and spur an increase in illicit trade. Cheaper tobacco products are known to increase consumption, especially among youth. Further, increased illicit trade will hurt the legitimate industry, its entire supply chain and government revenues. Moreover, plain packaging imposes on free competition and trade as well as the use of intellectual property; it violates the terms of: (1) the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (“TRIPS”), which prohibits unjustified encumbrances on trademarks and protects geographical indications; (2) the Paris Convention, which prevents acts that constitute unfair competition; and (3) the Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade, which prohibits regulations that constitute unnecessary barriers to trade. We will take all steps necessary to ensure that all constituencies understand the adverse consequences of plain packaging and to obtain all protection and relief to which we are entitled under the law.

Plain packaging went into effect in Australia in December 2012. The Australian law bans the use of company branding, logos and colors on packaging of all tobacco products for sale to consumers other than the brand name and variant, which may be printed only in specified locations and in a uniform font. It also imposes restrictions on the branding of individual cigarettes.

The Australian plain packaging legislation triggered three legal challenges. Our Australian subsidiary, Philip Morris Limited (“PML”), and other major tobacco companies filed lawsuits against the government in the High Court of Australia arguing that by implementing plain packaging, the government violated the Australian Constitution because it acquired property without compensation. The High Court initially heard the cases brought by certain British American Tobacco companies (“BAT”) and by JT International. In August 2012, the High Court issued its ruling in favor of the government. In the court's written reasons, published in October 2012, a majority of the Justices recognized that plain packaging deprived the plaintiffs of property. However, because no “benefit” inured to the government or a third party, the court held that plain packaging did not violate the Australian Constitution. We expect that the court's ruling will also apply to PML's case. Given the particular nature of the Australian Constitution, we do not expect the decision to be a significant adverse precedent in other jurisdictions. In fact, we expect that the court's finding that plain packaging deprives tobacco manufacturers of their intellectual property would raise serious questions about the legality of plain packaging legislation in other jurisdictions.

Our subsidiary, Philip Morris Asia Limited, has initiated international arbitration proceedings against the Australian government pursuant to the Hong Kong-Australia Bilateral Investment Treaty (the “Investment Treaty”). Formal proceedings under the Investment Treaty commenced in November 2011. In the arbitration, Philip Morris Asia Limited is seeking substantial compensation from the Australian government. The arbitration may take several years to complete.

Three World Trade Organization (“WTO”) members, Ukraine, Honduras and the Dominican Republic, have initiated WTO dispute settlement cases against Australia. They claim, among other things, that plain packaging creates unnecessary barriers to trade in violation of the WTO Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade; unjustifiably encumbers the use of trademarks and reduces the protection of geographical indications in violation of TRIPS; and will create confusion among consumers in violation of the Paris Convention. In September 2012, Ukraine requested a panel to hear the dispute, which the Dispute Settlement Body of the WTO has established. Thirty-four countries and the European Union have intervened as third parties in the Ukrainian case, including Indonesia, China, Japan, Korea and the United States. Honduras and the Dominican Republic have both announced they will request that panels be formed to hear their disputes against Australia. WTO dispute settlement cases can take several years to complete. It is not possible to predict the outcome of these cases.

Although plain packaging was already recommended in 2008 under the FCTC guidelines adopted by the third CoP, to date no country other than Australia has adopted this measure. Two countries, the UK and New Zealand conducted consultations to consider plain packaging. In the UK, between April and August 2012, the Department of Health held a public consultation “to seek the views of interested people, businesses and organisations on a policy initiative that would require the packaging of tobacco products to be standardized [plain], the aim being to improve public health by reducing the use of tobacco.” It is not possible to predict whether the consultation will result in any legislative action. In New Zealand, the government announced in February 2013 that it would agree in principle to follow Australia but that it would “wait and see what happens with Australia’s legal cases” before adopting legislation and/or implementing regulation. Thus, plain packaging is not expected to be implemented in New Zealand, if at all, until the WTO and other challenges to Australia’s legislation are resolved.

In addition, in some instances, such as under the recently adopted proposal of the European Commission, excessively large health warnings, combined with other standardization measures and packaging restrictions, may impact our ability to use our distinctive brands and trademarks in a manner that approximates, or is substantially equivalent to, plain packaging.

Restrictions and Bans on the Use of Ingredients and Disclosure Laws: Until recently, efforts to regulate the use of ingredients have focused on whether ingredients increase the toxicity and/or addictiveness of cigarette smoke. Increasingly, however, tobacco control advocates and some regulators, including the WHO, the European Commission and individual governments, are considering regulating or have regulated cigarette ingredients with the stated objective of reducing the “palatability” or “attractiveness” of cigarette smoke, smoking and/or tobacco products. We oppose regulations that would ban ingredients for the purpose of reducing the palatability or attractiveness of tobacco products because, in light of the millions of smokers in countries like Canada, the UK and China who prefer cigarettes without ingredients, there is no reasonable basis to conclude that an ingredient ban would reduce smoking prevalence or youth smoking initiation. Indeed, we do not believe it is appropriate to use “attractiveness,” which is an inherently subjective and variable term, as a basis for regulation of ingredients, let alone bans of entire product categories. It also lacks scientific, empirically verified criteria.

In November 2010, the fourth session of the CoP adopted “partial” and “provisional” guidelines on Articles 9 and 10 of the FCTC (regulation of contents and disclosure of tobacco products). Among other things, these guidelines recommend that Parties implement measures to prohibit or restrict ingredients and colorings that may increase the palatability or attractiveness of tobacco products. The CoP determined that these guidelines will have to be periodically re-assessed “in light of the scientific evidence and country experience.” The Working Group on Articles 9 and 10 is expected to present a set of recommendations focused on toxicity and addictiveness at future sessions of the CoP.

In March 2012, Brazil's National Sanitation Agency (“ANVISA”) published a resolution that prohibits the use of all synthetic and natural substances with flavoring or aromatic properties, with a limited exception, to allow for the use of sugars to replace those lost during the tobacco leaf-drying process. Manufacturers have until September 2013 to comply with the resolution. The ban, if implemented, would make it impossible to continue producing the traditional American Blend tobacco products currently preferred by Brazilian smokers and will require manufacturers to modify most, if not all, products in the market. In September 2012, Sinditabaco (a tobacco industry union of which Philip Morris Brasil Ltda. is a member) filed a lawsuit in Brazilian federal court against ANVISA challenging the ingredients ban. The lawsuit claims that ANVISA lacks authority to institute the ban, failed to produce evidence justifying or supporting the ban, failed to comply with due process and proportionality requirements and ignored the unintended consequences of the measure, such as encouraging illicit trade. In December 2012, the trial court granted Sinditabaco a preliminary injunction, suspending ANVISA’s ingredients ban until a full hearing of the dispute. Earlier this year, ANVISA

appealed the injunction order. In April 2013, the Court of Appeals denied ANVISA's appeal and upheld the preliminary injunction. This decision is subject to further appeal. In addition to the Sinditabaco lawsuit, two other lawsuits have been filed by industry associations in Brazil challenging the ingredients ban. It is not possible to predict the outcome of these cases.

We oppose bans or sweeping restrictions on ingredients such as those recently adopted in Brazil or the ban on menthol proposed by the European Commission, which are arbitrary and without any scientific evidence demonstrating a public health benefit. We support regulations that would restrict the use of ingredients that are determined, based on sound scientific testing methods and data, to significantly increase the adverse health effects of tobacco smoke or youth smoking initiation.

Many countries have enacted or proposed legislation or regulations that require cigarette manufacturers to disclose to governments and to the public the ingredients used in the manufacture of tobacco products and, in certain cases, to provide toxicological information about those ingredients. We have made and will continue to make full disclosures where adequate assurances of trade secret protection are provided. In jurisdictions where it is not possible to obtain appropriate assurances of trade secret protection, we will seek to resolve the matter with governments through alternative means.

Bans on Display of Tobacco Products at Retail: In a few of our markets, governments have banned the display of tobacco products at the point of sale ("display bans"), while in other countries proposals for display bans have been specifically rejected. In some countries, proposals are currently under consideration. We oppose display bans on the grounds that they unnecessarily restrict competition and encourage illicit trade -- consequences that undermine public health objectives. Further, the data show that where implemented, display bans have not reduced smoking prevalence and initiation, or had any material beneficial impact on public health. In some markets, our subsidiaries and, in some cases, individual retailers, have commenced legal proceedings to overturn display bans.

Health Warning Requirements: We support health warning requirements designed to inform consumers of the risks of smoking and defer to governments on the content of the warnings, whether graphic or textual, except for content that vilifies tobacco companies or is not justified by the actual repercussions of smoking. In countries where health warnings are not required, we place them on packaging voluntarily in the official language or languages of the country. For example, we are voluntarily placing health warnings on packaging in many African countries in official local languages occupying 30% of the front and back of the pack. In most of our markets, governments require large and often graphic health warnings on cigarette packs, consistent with, and often larger than, the FTC minimum of 30% of the front and back of the pack. For instance, Egypt, Hong Kong, Panama, and Singapore are among the growing number of countries requiring health warnings occupying 50% of the front and back of the pack. We have not opposed such health warning requirements.

To date, only a few countries have implemented warnings covering more than 50% of the front and/or back of the pack. They include, for instance, Australia (75% front and 90% back), Mexico (30% front and 100% back), Uruguay (80% front and back) and Canada (75% front and back). In April 2013, the government in Thailand published a ministerial notification mandating health warnings covering 85% of the front and back of the pack. The notification requires implementation by October 2013.

The data show that disproportionately increasing the size of health warnings does not effectively reduce tobacco consumption. For this reason, and because it infringes upon our intellectual property rights, leaving insufficient space for our distinctive trademarks and pack designs, we oppose extremely large health warnings. In a few markets we have commenced legal proceedings challenging extreme warning size requirements or content that does not reflect the actual effects of smoking.

We believe governments should continue to educate the public on the serious health effects of smoking. Our corporate Web site, www.pmi.com, includes, among other things, the views of public health authorities on smoking, disease causation in smokers, addiction and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke ("ETS"). The site reflects our agreement with the medical and scientific consensus that cigarette smoking is addictive and causes lung cancer, heart disease, emphysema and other serious diseases in smokers. The Web site advises the public to rely on the messages of public health authorities in making all smoking-related decisions. The information on our Web site is not, and shall not be deemed to be, a part of this document or incorporated into any filings we make with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

Other Packaging Restrictions: Tobacco control advocates and some regulators are calling for further restrictions on packaging, including standardizing the shape, format and lay-out of packaging, as well as broad restrictions on how the space left for branding and product descriptions can be used. Such measures further restrict our ability to provide information to consumers and to differentiate our brands from those of our competitors.

Many countries, including all EU Member States, prohibit descriptors such as "lights," "mild" and "low tar." We do not oppose these types of descriptor bans.

Some public health advocates, governments, and the FCTC guidelines have sought the implementation of, and in some cases have passed, restrictions on packaging and labelling that prohibit (1) the use of colors that are alleged to suggest that a brand is less harmful than others, (2) specific descriptive phrases deemed to be misleading, including, “premium,” “full flavor,” “international,” “gold,” “silver,” and “menthol” and (3) in one country, all but one pack variation per brand. The measures proposed by the European Commission would also prohibit any references on the pack to taste and flavor as well as pack design elements suggesting a “positive social effect.” We believe such regulations are unreasonably broad, unnecessarily limit brand and product differentiation, are anticompetitive, prevent us from providing consumers with factual information about our products, unduly restrict our intellectual property and violate international trade agreements. We oppose these broad packaging restrictions and in some instances have commenced litigation to challenge them.

Bans and Restrictions on Advertising, Marketing, Promotions and Sponsorships: For many years, countries have imposed partial or total bans on tobacco advertising, marketing, promotions and sponsorships. The FCTC calls for a “comprehensive ban on advertising, promotion and sponsorship” and requires governments that have no constitutional constraints to ban all forms of advertising. Where constitutional constraints exist, the FCTC requires governments to restrict to the fullest extent possible advertising on radio and television, advertising in print and other media, including the Internet, and sponsorships of international events within five years of the effective date of a country’s ratification of the FCTC. The FCTC also requires disclosure of expenditures on advertising, promotion and sponsorship where such activities are not prohibited. The CoP-adopted guidelines recommend that governments adopt extreme and sweeping prohibitions, including all forms of communications to adult smokers. We oppose complete bans on advertising and communications. We believe that the available evidence does not support the contention that limitations on marketing are effective in reducing smoking prevalence, but we would generally not oppose such limitations as long as manufacturers retain the ability to communicate directly and effectively to adult smokers.

Restrictions on Product Design and Emissions: Tobacco control advocates and some regulators are calling for regulations to further standardize tobacco products themselves by, for example, requiring that cigarettes have a certain minimum diameter, which amounts to a ban on slim cigarettes, or requiring the use of standardized filter and cigarette paper designs. We oppose such restrictions. We believe that there is no correlation between product design variations and overall smoking rates or youth smoking initiation, nor any scientific evidence showing a health benefit that would result from product design restrictions.

Many countries, including all EU Member States, have established, and continue to consider reducing, maximum yields of tar, nicotine and/or carbon monoxide, as measured by the ISO standard test method. Several countries, including Brazil and Canada, require manufacturers to test and report by-brand yields of up to 47 of the more than 100 smoke constituents that have been identified as potential causes of smoking-related diseases. No country to date has adopted ceilings based on an alternative test method or for other smoke constituents, although the concept of “selective constituent reduction” is supported by some public health advocates. At the fifth session of the CoP in November 2012, the working group on FCTC Articles 9 and 10 was tasked with preparing draft guidelines or a progress report, to be presented at the sixth session of the CoP, regarding testing and measuring five selected tobacco contents and nine selected smoke constituents using methods validated by the WHO. It is not certain whether and when actual testing requirements and/or ceilings will be recommended by the CoP and whether individual countries will adopt them. We agree with those scientists who have argued that selectively reducing some constituents in conventional cigarettes will not lead to a meaningful reduction in disease and thus will not benefit public health and could mislead consumers into believing that conventional cigarettes with regulated (i.e., reduced) levels of these constituents are safer.

Reduced cigarette ignition propensity standards have been adopted in several of our markets, for instance in Australia, Canada and the EU, and are being considered in several others. At the fifth session of the CoP in November 2012, guidelines were adopted that recommend that Parties introduce the same reduced ignition propensity standards as adopted by the U.S., Canada, Australia and the EU. Reduced ignition propensity standards should be uniform across jurisdictions, technically feasible and apply equally to all manufacturers. However, we believe that the experience from countries that have mandated reduced ignition propensity requirements for several years -- namely the U.S. and Canada -- should be thoroughly examined to evaluate the effectiveness of such requirements, in particular in terms of reducing the risk of cigarette-ignited fires, before additional countries consider introducing such standards.

Restrictions on Public Smoking: The pace and scope of public smoking restrictions have increased significantly in most of our markets. In the EU, all countries have regulations in place that restrict or ban smoking in public and/or work places, restaurants, bars and nightclubs. Some EU Member States allow narrow exemptions from smoking bans, for instance for separate smoking rooms in the hospitality sector, but others have banned virtually all indoor public smoking. In other regions, many countries have adopted or are likely to adopt regulations introducing substantial public smoking restrictions similar to those in the EU, including Australia, Canada, Hong Kong, Thailand, Turkey and Ukraine. Some public health groups have called for, and some regional governments and municipalities have adopted or proposed, bans on smoking in outdoor places, as well as bans on smoking in cars when minors are present. The FCTC requires Parties to adopt restrictions on public smoking. The CoP-adopted guidelines on public smoking are based on the premise that any exposure to ETS is harmful. The guidelines call for total bans in all indoor

public places, defining “indoor” broadly, and reject any exemptions based on the type of venue (e.g., nightclubs). On private place smoking, such as in cars and homes, the guidelines recommend increased education on the risk of exposure to ETS.

We support a single, consistent public health message on the health effects of exposure to ETS. Our Web site states that “the conclusions of public health authorities on secondhand smoke warrant public health measures that regulate smoking in public places” and that “outright bans are appropriate in many places.” For example, we support banning smoking in schools, playgrounds and other facilities for youth and in indoor public places where general public services are provided, such as public transportation vehicles, supermarkets, public spaces in indoor shopping centers, cinemas, banks and post offices. We believe, however, that governments can and should seek a balance between the desire to protect non-smokers from exposure to ETS and allowing the millions of people who smoke to do so in some public places. In the hospitality sector, such as restaurants, bars, cafés and other entertainment establishments, the law should grant private business owners the flexibility to permit, restrict or prohibit smoking. In the workplace, designated smoking rooms can provide places for adults to smoke. Finally, we oppose legislation that would prohibit smoking outdoors (beyond outdoor places and facilities for children) and in private places such as homes, apartments and cars.

Illicit Trade: Illicit trade may account for as much as 10% of global cigarette consumption; this includes counterfeit, contraband and the growing problem of “illicit whites,” which are unique cigarette brands manufactured predominantly for smuggling. We estimate that illicit trade in the European Union accounted for more than 10% of total cigarette consumption in 2011 and for approximately 11% of total cigarette consumption in 2012. Regulatory measures and related governmental actions to prevent the illicit manufacture and trade of tobacco products are being considered by a number of jurisdictions. At the fifth session of the CoP in November 2012, the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (the “Protocol”) was adopted. The Protocol includes supply chain control measures such as licensing of manufacturers and distributors, enforcement in free trade zones, controls on duty free and internet sales and the implementation of tracking and tracing technologies. In the EU, the European Commission's proposal for revising the Tobacco Products Directive contains tracking and tracing measures that are based to some extent on the Protocol but, unlike the Protocol, also require tracking at pack level down to retail, which we believe is not only unnecessarily burdensome but not feasible, while at the same time providing no incremental benefit in the fight against the illicit trade.

It is not possible to predict how long it will take for the required number of Parties to ratify the Protocol, which will enter into force 90 days after the 40th country ratifies it. So far, no government has ratified the Protocol. Nor is it possible to predict when Parties will implement the Protocol's measures in national legislation or to what extent the measures outlined in the Protocol will be effective in curbing the growth of, or even eliminating, illicit trade. Among other things, the effectiveness of any legislative measure required under the Protocol will depend on whether and how such measure is adopted and implemented in national legislation across the world, and, critically, the level of actual enforcement of such national legislation.

We support strict regulations and enforcement measures to prevent all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. Governments agree that illicit trade is an extremely serious issue. It creates a cheap and unregulated source of tobacco products, thus undermining efforts to reduce smoking, especially among youth, damages legitimate businesses, stimulates organized crime and results in massive amounts of corruption and lost tax revenue. We therefore believe that in addition to taking direct measures against illicit trade, governments, when assessing proposed regulation, such as display bans, plain packaging, ingredients bans or tax increases, should always carefully consider the potential implications of such regulation on illicit trade.

Cooperation Agreements to Combat Illicit Trade of Cigarettes: In 2004, we entered into an agreement with the European Commission (acting on behalf of the European Community) that provides for broad cooperation with European law enforcement agencies on anti-contraband and anti-counterfeit efforts. All 27 Member States of the EU have signed the agreement. Under the terms of the agreement, we agreed to make financial contributions in the form of 13 payments over 12 years. Commencing in July 2007, we began making payments of approximately \$75 million a year over the final 10 years of the agreement, each of which is to be adjusted based on certain variables, including our market share in the EU in the year preceding payment. We record these payments as an expense in cost of sales when product is shipped. We are also required to pay the excise taxes, VAT and customs duties on qualifying product seizures of up to 90 million cigarettes and are subject to payments of five times the applicable taxes and duties if qualifying product seizures exceed 90 million cigarettes in a given year. To date, our annual payments related to product seizures have been immaterial.

In 2009, our subsidiaries Philip Morris Colombia and Coltabaco entered into an Investment and Cooperation Agreement with the Republic of Colombia, together with the Departments of Colombia and the Capital District of Bogotá, to promote investment in and cooperation on anti-contraband and anti-counterfeit efforts with respect to the Colombian tobacco market. The agreement provides \$200 million in funding to the Colombian governments over a 20-year period to address issues of mutual interest, such as combating the illegal cigarette trade, including the threat of counterfeit tobacco products, and increasing the quality and quantity of locally grown tobacco.

In June 2012, we announced that we will contribute €15 million to INTERPOL over a three-year period to support the agency's global initiative to combat trans-border crime involving illicit goods, including tobacco products. The contribution to INTERPOL's Fund For A Safer World will be used for coordination of information gathering, training programs for law enforcement officials, development of product authentication standards and public information campaigns.

Labor Conditions for Tobacco Workers: In July 2010, Human Rights Watch published a report raising issues related to labor conditions for tobacco workers in Kazakhstan, particularly migrant workers. We have undertaken both an internal and third party review of our labor practices and policies in Kazakhstan and subsequently globally. In reviewing our policies and practices, we have sought the advice of local and international non-profit organizations with expertise in the area of fair labor practices. During 2011, we began implementing our comprehensive Agricultural Labor Practices ("ALP") Code, which strengthens and expands our existing practices and policies. This includes setting additional principles and standards for working conditions on tobacco farms, tailored training programs and regular external assessments to monitor the progress we, our suppliers, and farmers make. To date, over 2,900 field technicians in 30 countries have received in-depth training on the ALP Code, including child labor, forced labor prevention and safe work environment requirements. During 2012, these field technicians communicated our expectations to approximately 497,000 independent farmers with whom our affiliates or suppliers have contracts. A progress report on our ALP program is available on our Web site at www.pmi.com. The information on our Web site is not, and shall not be deemed to be, a part of this document or incorporated into any filings we make with the SEC.

Other Legislation, Regulation or Governmental Action: In Argentina, the National Commission for the Defense of Competition issued a resolution in May 2010, in which it found that our affiliate's establishment in 1997 of a system of exclusive zonified distributors ("EZD"s) in Buenos Aires city and region was anticompetitive, despite having issued two prior decisions (in 1997 and 2000) in which it had found the establishment of the EZD system was not anticompetitive. The resolution is not a final decision, and our Argentinean affiliate has opposed the resolution and submitted additional evidence.

It is not possible to predict what, if any, additional legislation, regulation or other governmental action will be enacted or implemented relating to the manufacturing, advertising, sale or use of tobacco products, or the tobacco industry generally. It is possible, however, that legislation, regulation or other governmental action could be enacted or implemented that might materially affect our business, volume, results of operations, cash flows and financial position.

Governmental Investigations

From time to time, we are subject to governmental investigations on a range of matters. As part of an investigation by the Department of Special Investigations ("DSI") of the government of Thailand into alleged under-declaration of import prices by Thai cigarette importers, the DSI proposed to bring charges against our subsidiary, Philip Morris (Thailand) Limited, Thailand Branch ("PM Thailand") for alleged underpayment of customs duties and excise taxes of approximately \$2 billion covering the period from July 28, 2003, to February 20, 2007. In September 2009, the DSI submitted the case file to the Public Prosecutor for review. The DSI also commenced an informal inquiry alleging underpayment by PM Thailand of customs duties and excise taxes of approximately \$1.8 billion, covering the period 2000-2003. We have been cooperating with the Thai authorities and believe that PM Thailand's declared import prices are in compliance with the Customs Valuation Agreement of the World Trade Organization ("WTO") and Thai law. PM Thailand also contends that it reached an agreement with the Thai Customs Department in 2003 regarding valuation methodologies. The Public Prosecutor's office has issued a non-prosecution order in the 2003-2007 investigation. In August 2011, the Director-General of DSI publicly announced that he disagreed with the non-prosecution order. The matter has now been referred to the Attorney General for resolution. If the Attorney General agrees with the Public Prosecutor's non-prosecution order, the 2003-2007 investigation will end. If the Attorney General agrees with the Director General of DSI, the matter will be submitted to the Criminal Court.

Additionally, in November 2010, a WTO panel issued its decision in a dispute that began in August 2006 between the Philippines and Thailand concerning a series of Thai customs and tax measures affecting cigarettes imported by PM Thailand into Thailand from the Philippines. The WTO panel decided that Thailand had no basis to find that PM Thailand's declared customs values and taxes paid were too low, as alleged by the DSI in 2009. While the WTO ruling does not resolve the above-referenced investigation, it should assist the Thai authorities' review of the matter. Further, the WTO ruling creates obligations for Thailand to revise its laws, regulations, or practices affecting the customs valuation and tax treatment of future cigarette imports. The WTO Dispute Settlement Body ("DSB") has adopted the WTO panel's report and also the report by the WTO Appellate Body (following an unsuccessful appeal by Thailand). Thailand agreed in September 2011 to implement VAT-related measures to comply with the DSB's recommendations and rulings by October 15, 2012, and to implement measures to comply with the rest of the DSB's recommendations and rulings by May 15, 2012. Although the Philippines contends that to date Thailand has not fully complied with the DSB's recommendations, the parties remain engaged in consultations to address the outstanding issues. If a dispute settlement panel determines that Thailand has failed to comply with the DSB's recommendations and rulings, the Philippines may request authorization to take retaliatory actions against Thailand.

Next Generation Products

One of our strategic priorities is to develop, assess and commercialize a portfolio of innovative products with the potential to reduce the risk of smoking-related diseases in comparison to conventional cigarettes. We refer to these as next generation products (“NGPs”). In the U.S. regulatory context they are referred to as modified-risk tobacco products (“MRTPs”). Our efforts are guided by the following key objectives:

- to develop a series of products that provides adult smokers the taste, sensory experience and smoking ritual characteristics that are as close as possible to those currently provided by conventional cigarettes;
- to substantiate a significant reduction of risk for the individual adult smoker as well as a reduction of harm for the population as a whole, based on robust scientific evidence derived from well-established assessment processes; and
- to advocate for the development of regulatory frameworks for the assessment, approval and commercialization of NGPs, including the communication of substantiated reductions in risk to consumers.

We believe the elimination of combustion via tobacco heating and other innovative systems for aerosol generation is the most promising path to reduce risk, and, accordingly, the NGPs we are developing are based on platforms that do not involve combustion. These platforms are in various stages of development. One platform is in the early stages of clinical trials and industrial scale-up, another is in its final development phase, and the third requires at least one year for further product development. We are also developing other potential platforms.

Our approach to individual risk assessment is to use cessation as the benchmark, because the short-term and long-term effects of smoking cessation are well known, and the closer the clinical data derived from adult smokers who switch to an NGP resemble the data from those who quit, the more confident one can be that the product reduces risk.

Today, only the United States has established a regulatory framework for assessing MRTPs under the jurisdiction of the Food and Drug Administration (the “FDA”). Future FDA actions are likely to influence the regulatory approach of other interested governments. In March 2012, the FDA released draft guidance establishing the types and levels of evidence necessary to qualify a product as an MRTP. The draft guidance recommends studies that are generally in line with PMI's assessment approach, which we have submitted to the FDA. In parallel, we are beginning engagement with regulators in the EU, as well as in a number of Asian markets.

We are also proceeding with all other aspects that lead to commercialization. We are planning to build one or two new factories to produce NGPs in Europe. We anticipate capital expenditures in the range of €500 million to €600 million (\$653 million to \$783 million) over a three-year period to achieve the capacity to produce 30 billion NGP units per year. This expenditure will be close to double the level of a conventional cigarette factory of equivalent output.

We currently expect the first NGP factory to be ready by 2016, final data from clinical studies during the beginning of 2016 and a launch in the first markets between 2016 and 2017. However, there can be no assurance that we will succeed in these efforts or that regulators will permit the marketing of our NGPs with claims of reduced risk or reduced harm.

Trade Policy

We are subject to various trade restrictions imposed by the United States and countries in which we do business (“Trade Sanctions”), including the trade and economic sanctions administered by the U.S. Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control (“OFAC”) and the U.S. Department of State. It is our policy to fully comply with these Trade Sanctions.

Tobacco products are agricultural products under U.S. law and are not technological or strategic in nature. From time to time we make sales in countries subject to Trade Sanctions, pursuant to either exemptions or licenses granted under the applicable Trade Sanctions.

In April 2013, OFAC granted us a license to sell cigarettes to customers for import into the Iran duty free market. To date, we have not made any sales under this license.

A subsidiary sells products to distributors that in turn sell those products to duty free customers that supply U.N. peacekeeping forces around the world, including those in the Republic of the Sudan. We do not believe that these exempt sales of our products

for ultimate resale in the Republic of the Sudan, which are de minimis in volume and value, present a material risk to our shareholders, our reputation or the value of our shares. We have no employees, operations or assets in the Republic of Sudan.

We do not sell products in Cuba and Syria.

To our knowledge, none of our commercial arrangements result in the governments of any country identified by the U.S. government as a state sponsor of terrorism, nor entities controlled by those governments, receiving cash or acting as intermediaries in violation of U.S. laws.

Certain states within the U.S. have enacted legislation permitting state pension funds to divest or abstain from future investment in stocks of companies that do business with certain countries that are sanctioned by the U.S. We do not believe such legislation has had a material effect on the price of our shares.

Operating Results – Three Months Ended March 31, 2013

The following discussion compares operating results within each of our reportable segments for the three months ended March 31, 2013, with the three months ended March 31, 2012.

European Union. Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased by \$53 million (0.8%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues decreased by \$83 million (4.0%) to \$2.0 billion. This decrease was due to:

- unfavorable volume/mix (\$178 million), partly offset by
- price increases (\$68 million) and
- favorable currency (\$27 million).

The net revenues of the European Union segment include \$366 million in 2013 and \$327 million in 2012 related to sales of OTP. Excluding excise taxes, OTP net revenues for the European Union segment were \$129 million in 2013 and \$112 million in 2012.

Operating companies income of \$938 million decreased by \$92 million (8.9%). This decrease was due primarily to:

- unfavorable volume/mix (\$140 million) and
- unfavorable currency (\$12 million), partly offset by
- price increases (\$68 million).

The total cigarette market in the European Union declined by 10.5% to 112.5 billion units, due primarily to tax-driven price increases, the unfavorable economic and employment environment, particularly in southern Europe, the growth of the OTP category, and the increased prevalence of illicit trade. The total OTP market in the European Union was up by 0.8% to 38.8 billion cigarette equivalent units, driven by the fine cut category, up by 2.1% to 33.9 billion cigarette equivalent units. Although our cigarette shipment volume in the European Union declined by 10.1%, due principally to a lower total market across the region, our market share was up by 0.7 share points to 38.1%.

While shipment volume of *Marlboro* decreased by 5.8%, mainly due to a lower total market, market share was up by 0.7 share points to 18.7%, mainly reflecting flat or growing share across 70% of the region's markets.

Despite a shipment volume decline for *L&M* of 9.7%, market share was flat at 6.6%.

Shipment volume of *Chesterfield* was up by 1.6% and market share was up by 0.2 share points to 3.7%, driven by gains notably in Austria, the Czech Republic, Portugal and the United Kingdom, partly offset by Germany.

Although shipment volume of *Philip Morris* declined by 14.5%, market share was up by 0.3 share points to 1.9%, with gains notably in France, Italy and Portugal.

Our shipment volume of OTP, in cigarette equivalent units, grew by 6.9%, reflecting a higher total market and share. Our OTP total market share was 12.8%, up by 0.7 share points, driven by gains in the fine cut category, notably in Belgium, up by 0.8 share points to 16.9%, France, up by 1.2 share points to 26.4%, Greece, up by 0.6 share points to 11.7%, Italy, up by 3.3 share points to 30.5% and Spain, up by 4.0 share points to 13.5%.

In France, the total cigarette market was down by 8.6% to 11.5 billion units, mainly reflecting the unfavorable impact of price increases in the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase in illicit trade, growth of the OTP category, and a weakening economy. Although

our shipments were down by 5.0%, market share was up by 0.4 share points to 40.0%, mainly driven by the resilience of premium *Philip Morris*, up by 1.0 share point to 9.2%, and the growth of *Chesterfield*, up by 0.2 share points to 3.4%. Market shares of *Marlboro* and *L&M* were down by 0.2 and 0.4 share points to 24.5% and 2.5%, respectively. The total fine cut category was up by 6.5% to 3.4 billion cigarette equivalent units. Our market share of the fine cut category was up by 1.2 share points to 26.4%.

In Germany, the total cigarette market was down by 6.6% to 18.7 billion units, primarily reflecting a challenging comparison to the first quarter of 2012, in which the total cigarette market was up by 3.1%, and the unfavorable reversal in the quarter of trade inventory movements of competitors' products in December 2012 ahead of the January 2013 excise tax increase. Although our shipments were down by 6.2%, market share was up by 0.2 share points to 36.1%, with *Marlboro* up by 1.5 share points to 22.3%, offset by *L&M*, down by 0.7 share points to 10.5% and *Chesterfield*, down by 0.7 share points to 1.6%. The total fine cut category was up by 1.2% to 9.9 billion cigarette equivalent units. Our market share of the fine cut category was flat at 14.9%.

In Italy, the total cigarette market was down by 9.5% to 16.8 billion units, reflecting the impact of price increases in March 2012, an unfavorable economic environment, and an increase in illicit trade. Although our shipments were down by 14.5%, largely due to the lower total market and unfavorable distributor inventory movements, market share was up by 0.6 share points to 53.2%, with *Marlboro*, up by 0.9 share points to 25.6%, driven by both the Red and Gold families. Market share of *Philip Morris* was up by 0.7 share points to 1.9%, benefiting from the 2012 launch of *Philip Morris Selection* in the low-price segment, and share of *Chesterfield* grew by 0.1 share point to 3.6%, partially offset by *Diana* in the low-price segment, down by 0.8 share points to 12.0%, impacted by the availability of non-duty paid products. The total fine cut category was down by 3.6% to 1.4 billion cigarette equivalent units, reflecting the 2012 excise tax-driven reduction of the price gap differential with cigarettes. Our market share of the fine cut category was up by 3.3 share points to 30.5%, driven by the launch of *Marlboro Red* and *Gold* fine cut.

In Poland, the total cigarette market was down by 10.4% to 11.7 billion units, mainly reflecting the unfavorable impact of the availability of non-duty paid OTP products. Although our shipments were down by 9.0%, market share was up by 0.5 share points to 33.3%, benefiting from the 2012 launch of two new *Marlboro* super slims variants. Market shares of *Marlboro*, *Chesterfield* and *L&M* were up by 0.7, 0.1 and 0.9 share points to 10.1%, 1.8% and 15.8%, respectively. The total fine cut category was down by 18.0% to 1.0 billion cigarette equivalent units, reflecting the prevalence of the aforementioned non-duty paid OTP products. Our market share of the fine cut category was down by 1.7 share points to 16.5%.

In Spain, the total cigarette market was down by 15.0% to 10.9 billion units, mainly reflecting the impact of price increases in 2012 and the first quarter of 2013, the unfavorable economic environment, the growth of the OTP category and illicit trade. Although our shipments were down by 21.5%, driven by the total market contraction and unfavorable distributor inventory movements, our market share was up by 0.2 share points to 30.4%, with higher share of *Marlboro*, up by 0.2 share points to 14.1%, and *Chesterfield*, up by 0.3 share points to 9.2%, partly offset by *L&M*, down by 0.1 share point to 6.4% and *Philip Morris*, down by 0.2 share points to 0.5%. The total fine cut category was up by 43.3% to 2.6 billion cigarette equivalent units. Our market share of the fine cut category was up by 4.0 share points to 13.5%.

Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa. Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased by \$354 million (8.7%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased by \$208 million (11.3%) to \$2.0 billion. This increase was due to:

- price increases (\$194 million),
- favorable currency (\$10 million) and
- favorable volume/mix (\$4 million).

Operating companies income of \$935 million increased by \$125 million (15.4%). This increase was due primarily to:

- price increases (\$194 million) and
- favorable volume/mix (\$3 million), partly offset by
- higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$64 million, principally related to the annualization of expenditures to expand our business infrastructure in Russia).

Our cigarette shipment volume in EEMA increased by 1.4%, mainly reflecting improved market conditions and higher share in Egypt, partly offset by the reversal in the quarter of trade inventory movements in the fourth quarter of 2012, particularly ahead of the January 2013 excise tax increase in Turkey. Although our cigarette shipment volume of *Marlboro* was down by 0.9%, shipment volume of premium brands grew by 0.8%, driven by *Parliament*, up by 7.8%.

In Russia, our shipment volume in the first quarter increased by 1.8%, reflecting the timing of favorable distributor inventory movements. Shipment volume of our premium portfolio was up by 3.3%, driven by *Parliament*, up by 11.2%, offsetting a decline in *Marlboro* of 12.3%. In the mid-price segment, shipment volume was down by 0.5%, mainly due to *Chesterfield*, down by 11.5%.

[Table of Contents](#)

partially offset by *L&M*, up by 22.6%. In the low-price segment, shipment volume was up by 2.4%, driven by *Bond Street*, up by 2.5%, and *Next*, up by 11.9%, partially offset by *Optima* and *Apollo Soyuz*, down by 0.7% and 9.2%, respectively. Our market share of 26.1%, as measured by Nielsen, was essentially flat. Market share of *Parliament* was up by 0.2 share points to 3.3%; *Marlboro* was down by 0.1 share point to 1.8%; *L&M* was up by 0.1 share point to 2.6% and *Chesterfield* was down by 0.3 share points to 3.1%; *Bond Street* was up by 0.3 share points to 6.6%; and *Next* was up by 0.1 share point to 3.0%.

In Turkey, the total cigarette market decreased by an estimated 11.6% to 18.2 billion units, primarily reflecting the unfavorable reversal in the quarter of trade inventory movements in the fourth quarter of 2012, ahead of the January 2013 excise tax increase. Although our shipment volume decreased by 17.4%, our market share, as measured by Nielsen, remained flat at 44.7%, driven by premium *Parliament* and mid-price *Muratti*, up by 0.9 and 0.4 share points to 9.3% and 6.8%, respectively, offset by a decline in low-price *L&M*, down by 0.8 share points to 7.6%. Market share of *Marlboro* was down by 0.2 share points to 8.9%.

In Ukraine, the total cigarette market declined by an estimated 11.6% to 16.3 billion units, reflecting a challenging comparison to the first quarter of 2012, in which the total cigarette market was up by 5.6%, and the reversal of trade inventory movements in the fourth quarter of 2012 ahead of an excise tax restructuring in January 2013. Our shipment volume increased by 1.8%, driven by *Optima* and *President*. Our market share, as measured by Nielsen, was up by 1.7 share points to 33.9%, mainly due to growth from our low-price segment brands of *Bond Street*, *Optima* and *President*. Share for premium *Parliament* was up by 0.2 share points to 3.3%. Market share of *Marlboro* was down by 0.2 share points to 5.6%.

Asia. Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased by \$74 million (1.4%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased by \$13 million (0.5%) to \$2.8 billion. This increase was due to:

- price increases (\$193 million), partly offset by
- unfavorable currency (\$121 million) and
- unfavorable volume/mix (\$59 million, primarily due to the Philippines).

Operating companies income of \$1.3 billion decreased by \$65 million (4.6%). This decrease was due primarily to:

- unfavorable currency (\$103 million),
- higher manufacturing costs (\$76 million, principally in Indonesia),
- unfavorable volume/mix (\$43 million) and
- higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$33 million, including the timing of expenditures behind new brand launches in Japan), partly offset by
- price increases (\$193 million).

Our cigarette shipment volume decreased by 10.4%, due primarily to the decline in the Philippines. Excluding the Philippines, our cigarette shipment volume increased by 2.8%, with increases mainly in Indonesia and Japan. Shipment volume of *Marlboro* was down by 6.6%, or up by 4.4% excluding the Philippines, driven by Japan.

In Indonesia, the total cigarette market was up by 0.8% to 74.5 billion units, reflecting a challenging comparison to the first quarter of 2012 in which the total cigarette market grew by 13.9%. The total cigarette market for the full-year 2013 is estimated to increase by approximately 5% to 6%. Our shipment volume in the quarter grew by 4.9%. Our market share was up by 1.4 share points to 36.3%, driven notably by *Sampoerna A* in the premium segment, up by 0.8 share points to 14.4%, and mid-price *U Mild*, up by 1.2 share points to 4.1%. *Marlboro's* market share was up by 0.1 share point to 5.0% and its share of the “white” cigarettes segment increased by 4.0 share points to 74.5%.

In Japan, the total cigarette market decreased by 3.2% to 45.1 billion units. Our shipment volume was up by 6.9%, reflecting favorable distributor inventory movements. Although our market share was down by 0.5 share points to 27.5%, reflecting widespread promotional activities by our principal competitor, *Marlboro* continued to demonstrate resilience with share unchanged at 12.3%. Share of *Lark* and *Philip Morris* was down by 0.4 and 0.2 share points to 8.3% and 2.2%, respectively, partially offset by *Virginia S.*, up by 0.2 share points to 2.2%.

In Korea, the total cigarette market increased by 0.6% to 20.5 billion units, reflecting trade inventory movements ahead of a speculated excise tax increase. Our shipment volume decreased by 4.3%, reflecting share declines following our price increases in February 2012. Our market share was down by 1.2 share points to 19.2%. Market shares of *Marlboro* and *Parliament* were down by 1.2 and 0.2 share points to 7.6% and 6.8%, respectively, partly offset by *Virginia S.*, up by 0.5 share points to 4.1%.

In the Philippines, our shipment volume was down by 42.5%, primarily reflecting: the unfavorable impact of the disruptive excise tax increase in January 2013, which resulted in a recommended retail selling price increase for premium *Marlboro* and low-price

Fortune of approximately 60% and 70%, respectively; the reversal of the prior-year trade inventory build-up in anticipation of the tax increase; and, the unfavorable impact of higher prices on trade working capital requirements. Although industry cigarette shipment volume was estimated to have declined by 39%, Nielsen consumer off-take data indicates that total cigarette consumption declined significantly less. The difference reflects the unfavorable impact of the trade inventory movements and the high prevalence of local non-duty paid product. Total industry cigarette shipments are estimated to decline by 20% to 25% for the full-year 2013. Market share in the quarter declined by 5.0 share points to 87.8%. *Marlboro's* market share was up by 0.7 share points to 21.6%. Share of low-price *Fortune* was down by 6.2 share points to 43.5%.

Latin America & Canada. Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased by \$24 million (1.0%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues decreased by \$2 million (0.3%) to \$781 million. This decrease was due to:

- unfavorable volume/mix (\$59 million) and
- unfavorable currency (\$19 million), partly offset by
- price increases (\$76 million).

Operating companies income of \$254 million increased by \$17 million (7.2%). This increase was due primarily to:

- price increases (\$76 million) and
- lower marketing, administration and research costs (\$14 million), partly offset by
- unfavorable volume/mix (\$51 million) and
- higher manufacturing costs (\$26 million).

Our cigarette shipment volume in Latin America & Canada decreased by 7.5%, principally due to a lower total market in Brazil and a lower total market and share in Argentina and Mexico. Shipment volume of *Marlboro* decreased by 6.8%, mainly reflecting total market declines in Argentina, Brazil and Mexico, partly offset by market share gains in Brazil and Colombia.

In Argentina, the total cigarette market decreased by 4.9% to 10.8 billion units, largely due to the weakening of the economy. Our cigarette shipment volume decreased by 5.3%. Our market share was down by 0.5 share points to 74.6%. The share decline was mainly driven by *Marlboro* and low-price *Next*, down by 0.8 and 0.5 share points to 24.0% and 2.8%, respectively, partially offset by share of mid-price *Philip Morris*, up by 1.5 share points to 40.1%.

In Canada, the total tax-paid cigarette market was down by 4.3% to 6.3 billion units, primarily reflecting trade inventory movements of competitors' products in 2012. Although our cigarette shipment volume declined by 2.0%, market share was up by 0.7 share points to 36.1%, with premium brand *Belmont* up by 0.1 share point to 2.4%, and low-price brand *Next* up by 1.9 share points to 9.1%, partly offset by mid-price *Number 7* and low-price *Accord*, down by 0.1 and 0.4 share points, to 4.3% and 3.0%, respectively. Market share of mid-price *Canadian Classics* was up by 0.1 share point to 10.0%.

In Mexico, the total cigarette market was down by 2.7% to 8.1 billion units, primarily reflecting the impact of price increases in 2012 and January 2013. Our cigarette shipment volume decreased by 3.9%. Our market share declined by 0.8 share points to 73.5%. Although share of *Marlboro* was down by 1.1 share points to 53.2%, its share of the premium price segment increased by 0.8 share points to 81.1%. Market share of premium *Benson & Hedges* declined by 0.5 share points to 5.8%. While market share of low-price *Delicados*, decreased by 0.3 share points to 10.5%, the decline was offset by gains from our other local low-price brands.

Financial Review

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities of \$1.4 billion during the first three months of 2013 decreased by \$535 million from the comparable 2012 period. The decrease was due primarily to an increase in our working capital requirements.

The unfavorable movements in working capital were due primarily to the following:

- more cash used for income taxes (\$681 million), primarily due to the timing of payments; and
- less cash provided by inventories (\$230 million), primarily due to the impact of forestalling on finished goods inventories; partly offset by
- less cash used for accrued liabilities and other current assets (\$283 million), largely due to the timing of payments for excise taxes; and
- less cash used for accounts receivable (\$84 million), primarily due to the timing of cash collections and higher trade purchases in 2012 in anticipation of excise-tax driven price changes.

Net Cash Used in Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities of \$222 million during the first three months of 2013 decreased by \$2 million from the comparable 2012 period.

Our capital expenditures were \$240 million and \$227 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The 2013 expenditures were primarily related to investments in productivity-enhancing programs, equipment for new products and the expansion of our capacity in Indonesia and Russia.

Net Cash Used in Financing Activities

During the first three months of 2013, net cash used in financing activities was \$53 million, compared with net cash used in financing activities of \$783 million during the first three months of 2012. During the first three months of 2013, we used a total of \$4.6 billion to repurchase our common stock, pay dividends, and repay debt. These uses were more than offset by proceeds from our debt offerings and short-term borrowings in 2013 of \$4.7 billion. During the first three months of 2012, we used a total of \$5.2 billion to repurchase our common stock, pay dividends, and repay debt. These uses were partially offset by proceeds from our debt offerings and short-term borrowings in 2012 of \$4.5 billion.

Dividends paid in the first three months of 2013 and 2012 were \$1.4 billion and \$1.3 billion, respectively. The increase reflects a higher dividend rate in 2013, partially offset by lower shares outstanding as a result of our share repurchase programs.

Debt and Liquidity

We define cash and cash equivalents as short-term, highly liquid investments, readily convertible to known amounts of cash that mature within a maximum of three months and have an insignificant risk of change in value due to interest rate or credit risk changes. As a policy, we do not hold any investments in structured or equity-linked products. Our cash and cash equivalents are predominantly held in short-term bank deposits with institutions having a long-term rating of A- or better.

Credit Ratings - The cost and terms of our financing arrangements as well as our access to commercial paper markets may be affected by applicable credit ratings. At March 31, 2013, our credit ratings and outlook by major credit rating agencies were as follows:

	<u>Short-term</u>	<u>Long-term</u>	<u>Outlook</u>
Moody's	P-1	A2	Stable
Standard & Poor's	A-1	A	Stable
Fitch	F1	A	Stable

Credit Facilities – On February 12, 2013, we entered into a 364-day revolving credit facility in the amount of \$2.0 billion. At March 31, 2013, our committed credit facilities and commercial paper outstanding were as follows:

(in billions)

Type	Committed Credit Facilities	Commercial Paper
364-day revolving credit, expiring February 11, 2014	\$ 2.0	
Multi-year revolving credit, expiring March 31, 2015	2.5	
Multi-year revolving credit, expiring October 25, 2016	3.5	
Total facilities	\$ 8.0	
Commercial paper outstanding		\$ 1.1

At March 31, 2013, there were no borrowings under the committed credit facilities, and the entire committed amounts were available for borrowing.

All banks participating in our committed credit facilities have an investment-grade long-term credit rating from the credit rating agencies. We continuously monitor the credit quality of our banking group, and at this time we are not aware of any potential non-performing credit provider.

Each of these facilities requires us to maintain a ratio of consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (“consolidated EBITDA”) to consolidated interest expense of not less than 3.5 to 1.0 on a rolling four-quarter basis. At March 31, 2013, our ratio calculated in accordance with the agreements was 15.6 to 1.0. These facilities do not include any credit rating triggers, material adverse change clauses or any provisions that could require us to post collateral. We expect to continue to meet our covenants. The terms “consolidated EBITDA” and “consolidated interest expense,” both of which include certain adjustments, are defined in the facility agreements previously filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

In addition to the committed credit facilities discussed above, certain of our subsidiaries maintain short-term credit arrangements to meet their respective working capital needs. These credit arrangements, which amounted to approximately \$1.9 billion at March 31, 2013 and \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2012, are for the sole use of our subsidiaries. Borrowings under these arrangements amounted to \$440 million at March 31, 2013, and \$447 million at December 31, 2012.

Commercial Paper Program - We have commercial paper programs in place in the U.S. and in Europe. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had \$1.1 billion and \$2.0 billion, respectively, of commercial paper outstanding.

Effective April 19, 2013, our commercial paper program in the U.S. was increased by \$2.0 billion. As a result, our commercial paper programs in place in the U.S. and in Europe currently have an aggregate issuance capacity of \$8.0 billion.

The existence of the commercial paper program and the committed credit facilities, coupled with our operating cash flows, will enable us to meet our liquidity requirements.

Debt - Our total debt was \$25.6 billion at March 31, 2013 and \$22.8 billion at December 31, 2012.

On February 28, 2011, we filed a new shelf registration statement with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, under which we may from time to time sell debt securities and/or warrants to purchase debt securities over a three-year period.

Our debt offerings in the first quarter of 2013 were as follows:

(in millions)

Type	Face Value	Interest Rate	Issuance	Maturity
U.S. dollar notes ^(a)	\$400	Floating	March 2013	February 2015
U.S. dollar notes ^(b)	\$600	2.625%	March 2013	March 2023
U.S. dollar notes ^(b)	\$850	4.125%	March 2013	March 2043
EURO notes ^(c)	€1,250 (approximately \$1,621)	1.750%	March 2013	March 2020
EURO notes ^(c)	€750 (approximately \$972)	2.750%	March 2013	March 2025
Swiss franc notes ^(d)	CHF200 (approximately \$217)	0.875%	March 2013	March 2019

^(a) Interest on these notes is payable quarterly in arrears beginning in May 2013. The notes will bear interest from date of issuance at a rate per annum, reset quarterly, equal to three month LIBOR plus 0.05%.

^(b) Interest on these notes is payable semiannually in arrears beginning in September 2013.

^(c) Interest on these notes is payable annually in arrears beginning in March 2014.

^(d) Interest on these notes is payable annually in arrears beginning in March 2014.

The net proceeds from the sale of the securities listed in the table above were used to meet our working capital requirements, to repurchase our common stock, to refinance debt and for general corporate purposes.

Guarantees - At March 31, 2013, we were contingently liable for \$0.8 billion of guarantees of our own performance, which were primarily related to excise taxes on the shipment of our products. There is no liability in the condensed consolidated financial statements associated with these guarantees. At March 31, 2013, our third-party guarantees were insignificant.

Equity and Dividends

As discussed in Note 3. *Stock Plans* to our condensed consolidated financial statements, during the three months ended March 31, 2013, we granted 2.8 million shares of deferred stock awards to eligible employees at a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$88.43 per share. Equity awards generally vest three or more years after the date of the award, subject to earlier vesting on death or disability or normal retirement, or separation from employment by mutual agreement after reaching age 58.

In May 2012, our stockholders approved the Philip Morris International Inc. 2012 Performance Incentive Plan (the “2012 Plan”). The 2012 Plan replaced the 2008 Performance Incentive Plan (the “2008 Plan”) and, as a result, there will be no additional grants under the 2008 Plan. Under the 2012 Plan, we may grant to eligible employees restricted stock, restricted stock units and deferred stock units, performance-based cash incentive awards and performance-based equity awards. Up to 30 million shares of our common stock may be issued under the 2012 Plan.

On August 1, 2012, we began repurchasing shares under a new three-year \$18.0 billion share repurchase program that was authorized by our Board of Directors in June 2012. From August 1, 2012, through March 31, 2013, we repurchased 48.9 million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$4.4 billion under this new repurchase program. During the first three months of 2013, we repurchased 16.7 million shares at a cost of \$1.5 billion. During the first three months of 2012, we repurchased 18.1 million shares at a cost of \$1.5 billion under our \$12 billion share repurchase program.

As previously announced on February 7, 2013, we have a share repurchase target amount for 2013 of \$6.0 billion.

Dividends paid in the first three months of 2013 were \$1.4 billion. During the third quarter of 2012, our Board of Directors approved a 10.4% increase in the quarterly dividend to \$0.85 per common share. As a result, the present annualized dividend rate is \$3.40 per common share.

Market Risk

Counterparty Risk - We predominantly work with financial institutions with strong short and long-term credit ratings as assigned by Standard & Poor’s and Moody’s. These banks are also part of a defined group of relationship banks. Non-investment grade institutions are only used in certain emerging markets to the extent required by local business needs. We have a conservative approach when it comes to choosing financial counterparties and financial instruments. As such we do not invest or hold investments

in any structured or equity-linked products. The majority of our cash and cash equivalents is currently invested in bank deposits maturing within less than 30 days.

We continuously monitor and assess the credit worthiness of all our counterparties.

Derivative Financial Instruments - We operate in markets outside of the United States, with manufacturing and sales facilities in various locations throughout the world. Consequently, we use certain financial instruments to manage our foreign currency and interest rate exposure. We use derivative financial instruments principally to reduce our exposure to market risks resulting from fluctuations in foreign exchange rates by creating offsetting exposures. We are not a party to leveraged derivatives and, by policy, do not use derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes.

See Note 6. *Financial Instruments* and Note 13. *Fair Value Measurements* to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further details on our derivative financial instruments.

Contingencies

See Note 10. *Contingencies* to our condensed consolidated financial statements for a discussion of contingencies.

Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results

Forward-Looking and Cautionary Statements

We may from time to time make written or oral forward-looking statements, including statements contained in filings with the SEC, in reports to stockholders and in press releases and investor webcasts. You can identify these forward-looking statements by use of words such as "strategy," "expects," "continues," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "will," "estimates," "intends," "projects," "goals," "targets" and other words of similar meaning. You can also identify them by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts.

We cannot guarantee that any forward-looking statement will be realized, although we believe we have been prudent in our plans and assumptions. Achievement of future results is subject to risks, uncertainties and inaccurate assumptions. Should known or unknown risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove inaccurate, actual results could vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected. Investors should bear this in mind as they consider forward-looking statements and whether to invest in or remain invested in our securities. In connection with the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, we are identifying important factors that, individually or in the aggregate, could cause actual results and outcomes to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements made by us; any such statement is qualified by reference to the following cautionary statements. We elaborate on these and other risks we face throughout this document, particularly in the "Business Environment" section. You should understand that it is not possible to predict or identify all risk factors. Consequently, you should not consider the following to be a complete discussion of all potential risks or uncertainties. We do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement that we may make from time to time except in the normal course of our public disclosure obligations.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

Cigarettes are subject to substantial taxes. Significant increases in cigarette-related taxes have been proposed or enacted and are likely to continue to be proposed or enacted in numerous jurisdictions. These tax increases may disproportionately affect our profitability and make us less competitive versus certain of our competitors.

Tax regimes, including excise taxes, sales taxes and import duties, can disproportionately affect the retail price of manufactured cigarettes versus other tobacco products, or disproportionately affect the relative retail price of our manufactured cigarette brands versus cigarette brands manufactured by certain of our competitors. Because our portfolio is weighted toward the premium-price manufactured cigarette category, tax regimes based on sales price can place us at a competitive disadvantage in certain markets. As a result, our volume and profitability may be adversely affected in these markets.

Increases in cigarette taxes are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on our sales of cigarettes, due to resulting lower consumption levels, a shift in sales from manufactured cigarettes to other tobacco products and from the premium-price to the mid-price or low-price cigarette categories, where we may be under-represented, from local sales to legal cross-border purchases of lower price products, or to illicit products such as contraband, counterfeit and "illicit whites."

Our business faces significant governmental action aimed at increasing regulatory requirements with the goal of reducing or preventing the use of tobacco products.

Governmental actions, combined with the diminishing social acceptance of smoking and private actions to restrict smoking, have resulted in reduced industry volume in many of our markets, and we expect that such factors will continue to reduce consumption levels and will increase downtrading and the risk of counterfeiting, contraband and cross-border purchases. Significant regulatory developments will take place over the next few years in most of our markets, driven principally by the World Health Organization's Framework Convention on Tobacco Control ("FCTC"). The FCTC is the first international public health treaty on tobacco, and its objective is to establish a global agenda for tobacco regulation. The FCTC has led to increased efforts by tobacco control advocates and public health organizations to reduce the palatability and attractiveness of tobacco products to adult smokers. Regulatory initiatives that have been proposed, introduced or enacted include:

- restrictions on or licensing of outlets permitted to sell cigarettes;
- the levying of substantial and increasing tax and duty charges;
- restrictions or bans on advertising, marketing and sponsorship;
- the display of larger health warnings, graphic health warnings and other labeling requirements;
- restrictions on packaging design, including the use of colors, and plain packaging;
- restrictions on packaging and cigarette formats and dimensions;
- restrictions or bans on the display of tobacco product packaging at the point of sale and restrictions or bans on cigarette vending machines;
- requirements regarding testing, disclosure and performance standards for tar, nicotine, carbon monoxide and other smoke constituents;
- disclosure, restrictions, or bans of tobacco product ingredients;
- increased restrictions on smoking in public and work places and, in some instances, in private places and outdoors;
- elimination of duty free sales and duty free allowances for travelers; and
- encouraging litigation against tobacco companies.

Our operating income could be significantly affected by regulatory initiatives resulting in a significant decrease in demand for our brands, in particular requirements that lead to a commoditization of tobacco products, as well as any significant increase in the cost of complying with new regulatory requirements.

Litigation related to tobacco use and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke ("ETS") could substantially reduce our profitability and could severely impair our liquidity.

There is litigation related to tobacco products pending in certain jurisdictions. Damages claimed in some tobacco-related litigation are significant and, in certain cases in Brazil, Canada, Israel and Nigeria, range into the billions of U.S. dollars. We anticipate that new cases will continue to be filed. The FCTC encourages litigation against tobacco product manufacturers. It is possible that our consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position could be materially affected in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year by an unfavorable outcome or settlement of certain pending litigation. Please see Note 10. *Contingencies* to our condensed consolidated financial statements for a discussion of tobacco-related litigation.

We face intense competition, and our failure to compete effectively could have a material adverse effect on our profitability and results of operations.

We compete primarily on the basis of product quality, brand recognition, brand loyalty, taste, innovation, packaging, service, marketing, advertising and price. We are subject to highly competitive conditions in all aspects of our business. The competitive environment and our competitive position can be significantly influenced by weak economic conditions, erosion of consumer confidence, competitors' introduction of lower-price products or innovative products, higher tobacco product taxes, higher absolute prices and larger gaps between retail price categories, and product regulation that diminishes the ability to differentiate tobacco products. Competitors include three large international tobacco companies and several regional and local tobacco companies and, in some instances, state-owned tobacco enterprises, principally in Algeria, China, Egypt, Taiwan, Thailand and Vietnam. Industry consolidation and privatizations of state-owned enterprises have led to an overall increase in competitive pressures. Some competitors have different profit and volume objectives and some international competitors are susceptible to changes in different currency exchange rates.

Because we have operations in numerous countries, our results may be influenced by economic, regulatory and political developments or natural disasters in many countries.

Some of the countries in which we operate face the threat of civil unrest and can be subject to regime changes. In others, nationalization, terrorism, conflict and the threat of war may have a significant impact on the business environment. Economic, political, regulatory or other developments or natural disasters could disrupt our supply chain, manufacturing capabilities or our distribution capabilities. In addition, such developments could lead to loss of property or equipment that are critical to our business in certain markets and difficulty in staffing and managing our operations, which could reduce our volumes, revenues and net earnings. In certain markets, we are dependent on governmental approvals of various actions such as price changes.

In addition, despite our high ethical standards and rigorous control and compliance procedures aimed at preventing and detecting unlawful conduct, given the breadth and scope of our international operations, we may not be able to detect all potential improper or unlawful conduct by our employees and international partners.

We may be unable to anticipate changes in consumer preferences or to respond to consumer behavior influenced by economic downturns.

Our tobacco business is subject to changes in consumer preferences, which may be influenced by local economic conditions. To be successful, we must:

- promote brand equity successfully;
- anticipate and respond to new consumer trends;
- develop new products and markets and broaden brand portfolios;
- improve productivity; and
- be able to protect or enhance margins through price increases.

In periods of economic uncertainty, consumers may tend to purchase lower-price brands, and the volume of our premium-price and mid-price brands and our profitability could suffer accordingly. Such downtrading trends may be reinforced by regulation that limits branding, communication and product differentiation.

We lose revenues as a result of counterfeiting, contraband and cross-border purchases.

Large quantities of counterfeit cigarettes are sold in the international market. We believe that *Marlboro* is the most heavily counterfeited international cigarette brand, although we cannot quantify the revenues we lose as a result of this activity. In addition, our revenues are reduced by contraband and legal cross-border purchases.

From time to time, we are subject to governmental investigations on a range of matters.

Investigations include allegations of contraband shipments of cigarettes, allegations of unlawful pricing activities within certain markets, allegations of underpayment of customs duties and/or excise taxes, allegations of false and misleading usage of descriptors such as “lights” and “ultra lights” and allegations of unlawful advertising. We cannot predict the outcome of those investigations or whether additional investigations may be commenced, and it is possible that our business could be materially affected by an unfavorable outcome of pending or future investigations. See “Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Operating Results by Business Segment-Business Environment-Governmental Investigations” for a description of governmental investigations to which we are subject.

We may be unsuccessful in our attempts to produce products with the potential to reduce the risk of smoking-related diseases compared to conventional cigarettes.

We continue to seek ways to develop commercially viable new product technologies that may reduce the risk of smoking-related diseases. Our goal is to develop products whose potential for risk reduction can be substantiated and provide adult smokers the taste, sensory experience and smoking ritual characteristics that are as close as possible to those currently provided by conventional cigarettes. We may not succeed in these efforts. If we do not succeed, but others do, we may be at a competitive disadvantage. Furthermore, we cannot predict whether regulators will permit the marketing of tobacco products with claims of reduced risk and harm, which could significantly undermine the commercial viability of these products.

Our reported results could be adversely affected by unfavorable currency exchange rates, and currency devaluations could impair our competitiveness.

We conduct our business primarily in local currency and, for purposes of financial reporting, the local currency results are translated into U.S. dollars based on average exchange rates prevailing during a reporting period. During times of a strengthening U.S. dollar, our reported net revenues and operating income will be reduced because the local currency will translate into fewer U.S. dollars. During periods of local economic crises, foreign currencies may be devalued significantly against the U.S. dollar, reducing our margins. Actions to recover margins may result in lower volume and a weaker competitive position.

The repatriation of our foreign earnings, changes in the earnings mix, and changes in U.S. tax laws may increase our effective tax rate. Our ability to receive payments from foreign subsidiaries or to repatriate royalties and dividends could be restricted by local country currency exchange controls.

Because we are a U.S. holding company, our most significant source of funds is distributions from our non-U.S. subsidiaries. Under current U.S. tax law, in general we do not pay U.S. taxes on our foreign earnings until they are repatriated to the U.S. as distributions from our non-U.S. subsidiaries. These distributions may result in a residual U.S. tax cost. It may be advantageous to us in certain circumstances to significantly increase the amount of such distributions, which could result in a material increase in our overall effective tax rate. Additionally, the Obama Administration has indicated that it favors changes in U.S. tax law that would fundamentally change how our earnings are taxed in the U.S. If enacted and depending upon its precise terms, such legislation could increase our overall effective tax rate. Certain countries in which we operate have adopted or could institute currency exchange controls that limit or prohibit our local subsidiaries' ability to make payments outside the country.

Our ability to grow may be limited by our inability to introduce new products, enter new markets or to improve our margins through higher pricing and improvements in our brand and geographic mix.

Our profitability may suffer if we are unable to introduce new products or enter new markets successfully, to raise prices or maintain an acceptable proportion of our sales of higher margin products and sales in higher margin geographies.

We may be unable to expand our brand portfolio through successful acquisitions and the development of strategic business relationships.

One element of our growth strategy is to strengthen our brand portfolio and market positions through selective acquisitions and the development of strategic business relationships. Acquisition and strategic business development opportunities are limited and present risks of failing to achieve efficient and effective integration, strategic objectives and anticipated revenue improvements and cost savings. There is no assurance that we will be able to acquire attractive businesses on favorable terms, or that future acquisitions or strategic business developments will be accretive to earnings.

Government mandated prices, production control programs, shifts in crops driven by economic conditions and the impacts of climate change may increase the cost or reduce the quality of the tobacco and other agricultural products used to manufacture our products.

As with other agricultural commodities, the price of tobacco leaf and cloves can be influenced by imbalances in supply and demand, and crop quality can be influenced by variations in weather patterns, including those caused by climate change. Tobacco production in certain countries is subject to a variety of controls, including government mandated prices and production control programs. Changes in the patterns of demand for agricultural products could cause farmers to plant less tobacco. Any significant change in tobacco leaf and clove prices, quality and quantity could affect our profitability and our business.

Our ability to implement our strategy of attracting and retaining the best global talent may be impaired by the decreasing social acceptance of cigarette smoking.

The tobacco industry competes for talent with consumer products and other companies that enjoy greater societal acceptance. As a result, we may be unable to attract and retain the best global talent.

The failure of our information systems to function as intended or their penetration by outside parties with the intent to corrupt them could result in business disruption, loss of revenue, assets or personal or other sensitive data.

We use information systems to help manage business processes, collect and interpret business data and communicate internally and externally with employees, suppliers, customers and others. Some of these information systems are managed by third-party service providers. We have backup systems and business continuity plans in place, and we take care to protect our systems and data from unauthorized access. Nevertheless, failure of our systems to function as intended, or penetration of our systems by outside parties intent on extracting or corrupting information or otherwise disrupting business processes, could result in loss of

revenue, assets or personal or other sensitive data, cause damage to our reputation and that of our brands and result in significant remediation and other costs to us.

We may be required to replace third party contract manufacturers or service providers with our own resources.

In certain instances, we contract with third parties to manufacture some of our products or product parts or to provide other services. We may be unable to renew these agreements on satisfactory terms for numerous reasons, including government regulations; accordingly, our costs may increase significantly if we must replace such third parties with our own resources.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

PMI carried out an evaluation, with the participation of PMI's management, including PMI's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of PMI's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, PMI's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that PMI's disclosure controls and procedures are effective. There have been no changes in PMI's internal control over financial reporting during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, PMI's internal control over financial reporting.

Part II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

See Note 10. *Contingencies* of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part I – Item 1 of this report for a discussion of legal proceedings pending against Philip Morris International Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Information regarding Risk Factors appears in “MD&A – Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results,” in Part I – Item 2 of this Form 10-Q and in Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. There have been no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Our share repurchase activity for each of the three months in the quarter ended March 31, 2013 was as follows:

Period	Total Number of Shares Repurchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (2)	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
January 1, 2013 – January 31, 2013 (1)	5,861,404	\$ 87.02	38,066,935	\$ 14,636,973,193
February 1, 2013 – February 28, 2013 (1)	4,304,912	\$ 91.33	42,371,847	\$ 14,243,786,980
March 1, 2013 – March 31, 2013 (1)	6,519,062	\$ 91.54	48,890,909	\$ 13,647,045,884
Pursuant to Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	16,685,378	\$ 89.90		
January 1, 2013 – January 31, 2013 (3)	17,426	\$ 87.74		
February 1, 2013 – February 28, 2013 (3)	331,755	\$ 90.43		
March 1, 2013 – March 31, 2013 (3)	—	\$ —		
For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2013	<u>17,034,559</u>	\$ 89.91		

- (1) On June 13, 2012, our Board of Directors authorized a new share repurchase program of \$18 billion over three years. The new program commenced on August 1, 2012 after the completion of the three-year \$12 billion program in July 2012. These share repurchases have been made pursuant to the \$18 billion program.
- (2) Aggregate number of shares repurchased under the above-mentioned share repurchase programs as of the end of the period presented.
- (3) Shares repurchased represent shares tendered to us by employees who vested in restricted and deferred stock awards, or exercised stock options, and used shares to pay all, or a portion of, the related taxes and/or option exercise price.

Item 6. Exhibits.

- 3.1 Amended and Restated By-Laws of Philip Morris International Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 13, 2013).
- 10.1 Employment Agreement with Marc S. Firestone
- 10.2 Employment Agreement with Miroslaw Zielinski
- 10.3 Form of Deferred Stock Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 12, 2013).
- 10.4 Credit Agreement, dated as of February 12, 2013, among Philip Morris International Inc., the lenders named therein and The Royal Bank of Scotland plc, as administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 15, 2013).
- 12 Statement regarding computation of ratios of earnings to fixed charges.
- 31.1 Certification of the Registrant's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of the Registrant's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of the Registrant's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of the Registrant's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document.
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema.
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase.
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase.
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase.
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase.

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PHILIP MORRIS INTERNATIONAL INC.

/s/ JACEK OLCZAK

Jacek Olczak

Chief Financial Officer

May 3, 2013

PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Marc Firestone
270 Thackeray Lane
Northfield, IL 60093-000
USA

Lausanne, February 17, 2012

Dear Marc,

We are pleased to confirm your employment by Philip Morris International Management SA (hereafter referred to as the "Company") as Senior Vice President and General Counsel, reporting to Louis Camilleri, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer PMI, and based in Lausanne, Switzerland.

The terms and conditions of your employment will be as follows:

Effective date

This contract will be effective as of April 15, 2012 (the "Effective Date"), physically on April 16, 2012 provided that you are in possession of a valid work permit by then.

Annual base salary

Your gross annual base salary, to be paid in 13 installments, will be Swiss Francs (CHF) 1,000,012.--, corresponding to your band, which is B.

Incentive Compensation Award Program

As a band B employee, you will be eligible to participate in the Incentive Compensation ("IC") Award Program, which is administered at the sole discretion of the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee of the Board of Directors pursuant and subject to the terms of the 2008 Performance Incentive Plan (or any similar plan in the future). Each eligible employee has an annual IC award target that assumes a PMI company performance rating of 100 and an "Optimal" individual performance. As a band B employee, this target is currently 180% of annual base salary.

Equity Award Program

As a band B employee, you will be eligible to participate in the Equity Award Program, which is administered at the sole discretion of the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee of the Board of Directors pursuant and subject to the terms of the 2008 Performance Incentive Plan (or any similar plan in the future). Each eligible employee has an annual

equity award target that assumes a PMI company performance rating of 100 and an "Optimal" individual performance. As a band B employee, this target is 270% of annual base salary.

The Incentive Compensation and Equity Award Programs are discretionary and do not obligate the Company to make an award nor entitle employees to receive an award. Eligibility to participate in the Programs does not guarantee receipt of an award and receiving an annual award does not guarantee receipt of an award in the future. Any awards that are made may be higher or lower than the targets mentioned above.

Fidelity premium

For each completed year of service, the Company pays a cumulative bonus of CHF 150.-- on each anniversary of the Effective Date, up to a maximum of CHF 3,000.-- for 20 years of service.

Sign-on stock award

You will be granted deferred stock of the Company as a sign-on award. The value of the award will be calculated reflecting the market value of forfeited equity awards, taking into account the price to exercise options from your previous employer, and based on the market price of the stock on the date the awards are forfeited. The amount will be reduced by \$250,000, which will be paid in cash.

The stock award has been approved by the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee ("Committee") of the Board of Directors of the Company, with the fair market value determined on the date you start employment. The stock will vest generally three years from the date of the award, unless vesting needs to be extended due to black out periods, and you will be entitled to receive dividend equivalents during the vesting period. The stock award will be subject to the terms of the Company's 2008 Performance Incentive Plan and the applicable award agreement.

Home leave

You will be entitled to use the Company aircraft once per year to return home to the United States of America with your accompanying family members. Usage of the aircraft will be on an "as available" basis. Any taxes and social security contributions due on the personal use of Company aircraft will be borne by you.

Pension Fund

In accordance with the Swiss Federal Pension Law ("LPP"), you will become a member of the "Caisse de pensions Philip Morris en Suisse" (the "Pension Fund"), providing old age, disability, and survivor's benefits. For further details, please refer to the Pension Fund regulations.

According to the current Pension Fund regulations, you will pay a contribution equivalent to 6% of your pensionable salary. This contribution will be deducted each month from your salary.

Following your resignation from your current employer, an actuarial calculation will be performed on the pension benefits you will be entitled to from your current employer, versus those projected pension benefits you would have been entitled to had you remained employed with your current employer until the age of 62. This will be compared to the projected pension benefits you will receive from the Company should you remain employed until the age of 62. Should there be a loss to you in total projected pension benefits, the Company will make the appropriate cash contribution to the Pension Fund on your behalf to make you whole.

Swiss social security schemes (AVS/AI/APG/AC)

Contributions to the various Swiss Federal insurance schemes will be deducted from your compensation each month in accordance with the applicable Swiss laws.

Philip Morris International Management SA

AVENUE DE RHODANIE 50 1007 LAUSANNE SWITZERLAND TELEPHONE : +41 58 242 00 00 TELEFAX : +41 58 242 01 01

www.philipmorrisinternational.com

Accident insurance

In accordance with Swiss Federal Insurance Law (LAA), you are automatically covered for accident in the event of occupational or non-occupational accidents. This cover is paid for by the Company.

Health insurance

For the purpose of your health insurance, you and your eligible dependants will be enrolled in IHI health scheme. The Company bears a substantial portion of the insurance premium cost. No Company contributions will be paid if you choose to arrange an individual cover outside the group scheme.

Salary continuation in the event of sickness

In accordance with the regulations of the Company's insurer, 100% of the annual base salary is paid for up to 2 years from the first day you are unable to work. This cover is paid for by the Company.

Life insurance

In accordance with the regulations of the Company's insurer and in coordination with the Pension Fund, you are provided with an insurance cover in case of death and permanent disability paid for by the Company.

Office working hours

The weekly basic work schedule is 40 hours spread over 5 days, from Monday to Friday based on a full time occupational rate. For further details, please refer to the Annual Working Time Policy.

Tax assistance

The Company will pay the fees of tax advisers approved by the Company on the occasions you are required to complete a tax declaration in Switzerland and the United States of America.

Company Car

You will be entitled to a company car in accordance with Company policy.

Vacation days

Your vacation entitlement is 25 working days per calendar year. For the current year your entitlement will be calculated pro rata temporis.

Relocation expenses

With prior approval of the Human Resources Department, the Company will pay a remover selected by the Company to pack, transport, store if necessary, and unpack your household goods from Chicago, Illinois, United States of America to Lausanne, Switzerland, in accordance with Company Policy. The Relocation Services Department will coordinate this with you. Your move should take place within one year from the Effective Date.

The Company will also pay you a relocation allowance amounting to CHF 39,250.-- to assist you with expenses related to your relocation from Chicago, Illinois, United States of America to Lausanne, Switzerland. This amount will be subject to social security deductions and will be taxable.

The Company will also pay for the travel costs associated with your relocation from Chicago, Illinois, United States of America to Lausanne, Switzerland, in accordance with the Company's Business Travel policy. You and your family may choose to use the company aircraft for your initial one-way travel to Switzerland on an "as available" basis.

Temporary accommodation and rental car

In accordance with Company policy, temporary accommodation and a temporary company car or rental car will be provided to you for up to 60 days.

Taxability of benefits

Certain benefits described above are subject to income tax and social security deductions. Please also take into account that the tax and social security treatment of all benefits may change in the future according to Swiss law or Company policy. Details may be obtained from the Human Resources Department.

Privacy policy and data protection

The Company is part of a multinational group of companies, which is active in developing the skills and careers of its employees. As part of the Company's career and skills development program, information about your employee status, personnel profile and similar matters may be transmitted to the Company's affiliates, whether in Switzerland or elsewhere. This information is treated confidentially and in accordance with Philip Morris International's Policy on the Collection, Use and Protection of Personal Data. By signing this contract you will express your consent to such transmittal. You retain the right at any time to withdraw your consent related to the transmittal of any such data as described above, provided that such withdrawal is addressed in writing to the Human Resources Department. For the purposes of this contract an "affiliate" of the Company means any company or other entity which controls or is controlled by or under common control with the Company.

Confidential Information

Consistent with your obligations under Swiss law, you undertake not to disclose any Confidential Information, whether during or after your employment by the Company, and upon termination of your employment to return any Confidential Information in tangible or electronic form in your possession. For these purposes "Confidential Information" means any trade secrets and other proprietary information pertaining to the Company or its affiliates, which has not been made available to the general public by an authorized representative of the Company or its affiliates, whether patentable or not, including for example any idea, formula, technique, invention, process, program, business, marketing and sales plans, financial, organizational and sales data, and similar information in line with all Company Records & Information Management (RIM) policies, procedures, and guidelines.

Company property

Furthermore upon termination of your employment, you should return to the Company and/or its affiliates all paper and electronic files and documents, tapes, CD's, and copies thereof and other items belonging to the Company and its affiliates, irrespective of their source and origin, including Company corporate cards, telephone cards, keys, access and identification cards, computers, and, if requested, will certify that this has been done to the best of your belief and that you also comply with all Company Records & Information Management (RIM) policies, procedures, and guidelines before your departure.

The settlement of any outstanding expenses due to the Company, including, but not limited to any outstanding balance on the account of the corporate card issued in your name will be set-off with any payable sums.

Philip Morris International Management SA

AVENUE DE RHODANIE 50 1007 LAUSANNE SWITZERLAND TELEPHONE : +41 58 242 00 00 TELEFAX : +41 58 242 01 01

www.philipmorrisinternational.com

Termination of employment

This contract shall be terminated in accordance with Swiss law.

Governing law, applicable policies and jurisdiction

The validity, construction and performance of this Employment Agreement shall be governed by the laws of Switzerland without regard to its conflict of law provisions and shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the competent courts of the Canton de Vaud, Switzerland. The Policies and Procedures of the Company, Philip Morris International, as amended or newly issued from time to time, shall also apply.

Miscellaneous

The foregoing represents the basis of your employment with the Company. Please indicate your acceptance thereof by signing and returning one copy of this contract to us.

Should you have any questions, feel free to contact Kevin Click, Senior VP Human Resources.

We look forward to your joining Philip Morris International Management SA in Lausanne.

Yours sincerely,

PHILIP MORRIS INTERNATIONAL MANAGEMENT SA

/s/ KEVIN CLICK
Kevin Click
Senior VP Human Resources PMI

/s/ RALF ZYSK
Ralf Zysk
VP CoE Reward & Retain and Mobility

Read and approved: _____ /s/ MARC S. FIRESTONE _____ Date: 24FEB2012
(Marc Firestone)

Philip Morris International Management SA

AVENUE DE RHODANIE 50 1007 LAUSANNE SWITZERLAND TELEPHONE : +41 58 242 00 00 TELEFAX : +41 58 242 01 01

www.philipmorrisinternational.com

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

HAND DELIVERY

To: Miroslaw Zielinski

Lausanne, April 1, 2012

Dear Miroslaw,

Further to your annual performance assessment and discussion with your supervisor, we are pleased to confirm that effective April 1, 2012, your gross base salary is being increased by 2.78%

from	CHF 900'003.-- p.a.*	CHF 69'231.-- p.m.*
to	CHF 925'002.-- p.a.*	CHF 71'154.-- p.m.*

Your new Position in Range as a result of this salary increase will be 20%.

It is agreed and understood that this letter will for all purposes be regarded as a continuation of your employment with Philip Morris International Management SA.

All other conditions relating to your employment Philip Morris International Management SA remain as stated in your employment contract and, if applicable, in any subsequent amendments.

We would like to take this opportunity to wish you continued success and satisfaction.

Yours sincerely,

PHILIP MORRIS INTERNATIONAL MANAGEMENT SA

/s/ NICOLA ARTINGSTALL RAVUSSIN

Nicola Artingstall Ravussin
Director Human Resources
Business Partner Switzerland

*p.a. = annual

*p.m. = monthly

Philip Morris International Management SA

AVENUE DE RHODANIE 50 * 1007 LAUSANNE * SWITZERLAND * TELEPHONE : +41 58 242 00 00 TELEFAX : +41 58 242 01 01

www.philipmorrisinternational.com



PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL

Mr Miroslaw Zielinski
c/o PMI GLOBAL SERVICES INC.

Lausanne, May 10, 2010

**CONTRACT OF EMPLOYMENT
AMENDMENT**

Dear Miroslaw,

We would like to confirm that your revised effective date of entry will be May 1, 2010, physically May 3, 2010 instead of April 1, 2010, as stated in your contract dated February 22, 2010.

All other terms and conditions of your contract remain unchanged.

Please be so kind as to sign the lower portion of this document and to return a copy to us to acknowledge your agreement with the present amendment.

We look forward to your joining Philip Morris International Management SA in Lausanne.

Yours Sincerely,

PHILIP MORRIS INTERNATIONAL MANAGEMENT SA

/s/ CAN KULLUKCU

/s/ PETER PAUL ADRIAANSEN

Can Kullukcu
Director Human Resources Business
Partner PMI Operation Center,
Brand Building & Sales

Peter Paul Adriaansen
Director Human Resources Decision Support &
Buisness Partner Switzerland

Read and approved:

/s/ MIROSLAW ZIELINSKI

Date: MAY 10, 2010

(Miroslaw Zielinski)

Philip Morris International Management SA

AVENUE DE RHODANIE 50 * 1007 LAUSANNE * SWITZERLAND * TELEPHONE : +41 58 242 00 00 TELEFAX : +41 58 242 01 01

www.philipmorrisinternational.com



PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL

Mr Miroslaw Zielinski
c/o PMI GLOBAL SERVICES INC.

Lausanne, February 22, 2010

Dear Miroslaw,

We are pleased to confirm your employment by Philip Morris International Management SA (hereafter referred to as the "Company") as President EEMA Region & PMI Duty Free, reporting to Mr Andre Calantzopoulos, Chief Operating Officer, and based in Lausanne, Switzerland.

The terms and conditions of your employment will be as follows:

Effective Date

This contract will be effective as of April 1, 2010 (the "Effective Date").

For the purpose of benefits which are linked to seniority in the Company, but with the exception of Pension Fund affiliation, your initial entry date into Philip Morris International Inc. or its subsidiaries will be taken into account, i.e. September 1, 1991.

Annual base salary

Your gross annual base salary, to be paid in 13 instalments, will be Swiss Francs (CHF) 900'003.--, corresponding to your band, which is C. The salary includes your April 2010 merit increase.

Fidelity premium

For each completed year of service, the Company pays a cumulative bonus of CHF 150.-- on each anniversary of the Effective Date, up to a maximum of CHF 3'000.-- for 20 years of service.

Pension Fund

In accordance with the Swiss Federal Pension Law ("LPP"), you will remain a member of the "Caisse de pensions Philip Morris en Suisse" (the "Pension Fund"), providing old age, disability, and survivor's benefits. For further details, please refer to the Pension Fund regulations.

According to the current Pension Fund regulations, you will pay a contribution equivalent to 6% of your pensionable salary. This contribution will be deducted each month from your salary.

Swiss social security schemes (AVS/AI/APG/AC)

Contributions to the various Swiss Federal insurance schemes will be deducted from your compensation each month in accordance with the applicable Swiss laws.

Accident insurance

In accordance with Swiss Federal Insurance Law (LAA), you are automatically covered for accident in the event of occupational or non-occupational accidents. This cover is paid for by the Company.

Health insurance

If you wish, you may join the Company group health insurance contract. Employees and their eligible dependents (spouse and dependent children up to age 18 or up to age 25 if full-time students or apprentices) can enroll into this group health insurance scheme. The Company bears a substantial portion of the insurance premium cost. No Company contributions will be paid if you choose to arrange an individual cover outside the group scheme.

Salary continuation in the event of sickness

In accordance with the regulations of the Company's insurer, 100% of the annual base salary is paid for up to 2 years from the first day you are unable to work. This cover is paid for by the Company.

Life insurance

In accordance with the regulations of the Company's insurer and in coordination with the Pension Fund, you are provided with an insurance cover in case of death and permanent disability paid for by the Company.

Office working hours

The weekly basic work schedule is 40 hours spread over 5 days, from Monday to Friday based on a full time occupational rate. You understand and agree that your annual base salary includes all compensation for time worked in excess of the basic work schedule, and that there will be no extra compensation for such work. For further details, please refer to the Human Resources Department.

Tax assistance

The Company will pay the fees of tax advisers approved by the Company on the occasions you are required to complete a tax declaration in Switzerland.

Company Car

You will be entitled to a company car in accordance with Company policy.

Vacation days

Your vacation entitlement is 25 working days per calendar year. For the current year your entitlement will be calculated pro rata temporis.

Relocation expenses

In accordance with Company policy, you will receive a relocation allowance amounting to CHF 71'364.-- to help defray the miscellaneous non-reimbursable costs associated with your relocation from New York, United States of America to Lausanne, Switzerland. This amount is derived by taking 1/12th of your annual base salary and grossing it up at a fixed standard rate to take account of tax liability on this allowance. This relocation allowance will be paid to you with your first salary and consequently be subject to social security and tax deductions.

The Company will also pay for the travel costs associated with your relocation from New York, United States of America to Lausanne, Switzerland, in accordance with the Company's Business Travel policy.

With prior approval of the Human Resources Department, the Company will pay a remover selected by the Company to pack, transport, store if necessary, and unpack your household goods from New York, United States of America to Lausanne, Switzerland, in accordance with Company Policy. The Relocation Services Department will coordinate this with you. Your move should take place within one year from the Effective Date.

Temporary accommodation

In accordance with Company policy, temporary accommodation will be provided to you for up to 60 days.

Taxability of benefits

Certain benefits described above are subject to income tax and social security deductions. Please also take into account that the tax and social security treatment of all benefits may change in the future according to Swiss law or Company policy. Details may be obtained from the HR Department.

Privacy policy and data protection

The Company is part of a multinational group of companies, which is active in developing the skills and careers of its employees. As part of the Company's career and skills development program, information about your employee status, personnel profile and similar matters may be transmitted to the Company's affiliates, whether in Switzerland or elsewhere. This information is treated confidentially and in accordance with Philip Morris International's Policy on the Collection, Use and Protection of Personal Data. By signing this contract you will express your consent to such transmittal. You retain the right at any time to withdraw your consent related to the transmittal of any such data as described above, provided that such withdrawal is addressed in writing to the Human Resources Department. For the purposes of this contract an "affiliate" of the Company means any company or other entity which controls or is controlled by or under common control with the Company.

Confidential Information

Consistent with your obligations under Swiss law, you undertake not to disclose any Confidential Information, whether during or after your employment by the Company, and upon termination of your employment to return any Confidential Information in tangible or electronic form in your possession. For these purposes "Confidential Information" means any trade secrets and other proprietary information pertaining to the Company or its affiliates, which has not been made available to the general public by an authorized representative of the Company or its affiliates, whether patentable or not, including for example any idea, formula, technique, invention, process, program, business, marketing and sales plans, financial, organizational and sales data, and similar information in line with all Company Records & Information Management (RIM) policies, procedures, and guidelines.

Company Property

Furthermore upon termination of your employment, you should return to the Company and/or its affiliates all paper and electronic files and documents, tapes, CD's, and copies thereof and other items belonging to the Company and its affiliates, irrespective of their source and origin, including Company corporate cards, telephone cards, keys, access and identification cards, computers, and, if requested, will certify that this has been done to the best of your belief and that you also comply with all Company Records & Information Management (RIM) policies, procedures, and guidelines before your departure.

The settlement of any outstanding expenses due to the Company, including, but not limited to any outstanding balance on the account of the corporate card issued in your name will be set-off with any payable sums.

Termination of employment

This contract shall be terminated in accordance with Swiss law.

Governing law, applicable policies and Jurisdiction

The validity, construction and performance of this Employment Agreement shall be governed by the laws of Switzerland without regard to its conflict of law provisions and shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the competent courts of the Canton de Vaud, Switzerland. The Policies and Procedures of the Company, Philip Morris International, as amended or newly issued from time to time, shall also apply.

Miscellaneous

The foregoing represents the basis of your employment with the Company. Please indicate your acceptance thereof by signing and returning one copy of this contract to us.

Should you have any questions, feel free to contact Mr Frederic Patitucci, Vice President Human Resources EEMA and Duty Free.

We look forward to your joining Philip Morris International Management SA in Lausanne, and remain,

Yours sincerely,

PHILIP MORRIS INTERNATIONAL MANAGEMENT SA

/s/ ANDRE CALANTZOPOULOS
André Calantzopoulos
Chief Operating Officer

/s/ DANIELE REGORDA
Daniele Regorda
Senior Vice President Human Resources PMI

Read and approved: _____ /s/ MIROSLAW ZIELINSKI _____ Date: _____ April 1, 2010 _____
(Miroslaw Zielinski)

Philip Morris International Management SA

Exhibit 12

PHILIP MORRIS INTERNATIONAL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges
 (in millions of dollars)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013
Earnings before income taxes	\$ 3,151
Add (deduct):	
Equity in net loss of less than 50% owned affiliates	4
Dividends from less than 50% owned affiliates	—
Fixed charges	290
Interest capitalized, net of amortization	1
Earnings available for fixed charges	<u>\$ 3,446</u>
Fixed charges:	
Interest incurred	\$ 263
Portion of rent expense deemed to represent interest factor	27
Fixed charges	<u>\$ 290</u>
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	<u>11.9</u>

PHILIP MORRIS INTERNATIONAL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges
 (in millions of dollars)

	For the Years Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Earnings before income taxes	\$ 12,987	\$ 12,532	\$ 10,324	\$ 9,243	\$ 9,937
Add (deduct):					
Equity in net loss (earnings) of less than 50% owned affiliates	17	10	8	6	64
Dividends from less than 50% owned affiliates	—	—	—	—	12
Fixed charges	1,115	1,042	1,069	1,006	618
Interest capitalized, net of amortization	2	(2)	1	2	(11)
Earnings available for fixed charges	<u>\$ 14,121</u>	<u>\$ 13,582</u>	<u>\$ 11,402</u>	<u>\$ 10,257</u>	<u>\$ 10,620</u>
Fixed charges:					
Interest incurred	\$ 1,009	\$ 940	\$ 976	\$ 920	\$ 543
Portion of rent expense deemed to represent interest factor	106	102	93	86	75
Fixed charges	<u>\$ 1,115</u>	<u>\$ 1,042</u>	<u>\$ 1,069</u>	<u>\$ 1,006</u>	<u>\$ 618</u>
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	<u>12.7</u>	<u>13.0</u>	<u>10.7</u>	<u>10.2</u>	<u>17.2</u>

Certifications

I, Louis C. Camilleri, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Philip Morris International Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 3, 2013

/s/ LOUIS C. CAMILLERI

Louis C. Camilleri

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Certifications

I, Jacek Olczak, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Philip Morris International Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 3, 2013

/s/ JACEK OLCZAK

 Jacek Olczak
 Chief Financial Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Philip Morris International Inc. (the “Company”) on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2013 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I, Louis C. Camilleri, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ LOUIS C. CAMILLERI

Louis C. Camilleri

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

May 3, 2013

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to Philip Morris International Inc. and will be retained by Philip Morris International Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Philip Morris International Inc. (the “Company”) on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2013 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I, Jacek Olczak, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ JACEK OLCZAK

Jacek Olczak

Chief Financial Officer

May 3, 2013

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to Philip Morris International Inc. and will be retained by Philip Morris International Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.