Subject: ACCEPTED FORM TYPE 10-K (0001193125-12-076983) Date: 24-Feb-2012 09:29

THE FOLLOWING SUBMISSION HAS BEEN ACCEPTED BY THE U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.

COMPANY:Philip Morris International Inc.FORM TYPE:10-KNUMBER OF DOCUMENTS: 17RECEIVED DATE:24-Feb-2012 09:28ACCEPTED DATE:24-Feb-2012 09:2824-Feb-2012 09:28TEST FILING:NOCONFIRMING COPY:NONO

ACCESSION NUMBER: 0001193125-12-076983

FILE NUMBER(S): 1.001-33708

THE PASSWORD FOR LOGIN CIK 0001193125 WILL EXPIRE 09-Feb-2013 13:14.

PLEASE REFER TO THE ACCESSION NUMBER LISTED ABOVE FOR FUTURE INQUIRIES.

REGISTRANT(S):

1. CIK: 0001413329 COMPANY: Philip Morris International Inc. ACCELERATED FILER STATUS: LARGE ACCELERATED FILER FORM TYPE: 10-K FILE NUMBER(S): 1. 001-33708

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

OR

□ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to ____ Commission File Number: 001-33708

PHILIP MORRIS INTERNATIONAL INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Virginia

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

120 Park Avenue, New York, New York (Address of principal executive offices) 13-3435103 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

> 10017 (Zip Code)

Name of each exchange on which registered

917-663-2000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Common Stock, no par value 4.875% Notes due 2013 6.875% Notes due 2014 5.875% Notes due 2015 2.500% Notes due 2016 5.650% Notes due 2018 4.500% Notes due 2020 4.125% Notes due 2021 2.900% Notes due 2021 6.375% Notes due 2038 4.375% Notes due 2041 New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☑ No □

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes □ No ☑

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes \square No \square

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T; during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes \boxtimes No \square

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☑

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☑

Accelerated filer $\ \square$

Non-accelerated filer
Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes 🗆 No 🗹

As of June 30, 2011, the aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$118 billion based on the closing sale price of the common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange.

Class	Outstanding at January 31, 2012
Common Stock, 1,721,873,303 shares no par value	
DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY	REFERENCE
Document	Parts Into Which Incorporated
Portions of the registrant's annual report to shareholders for the year end December 31, 2011 (the "2011 Annual Report")	Parts I, II, and IV
Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for use in connectio annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 9, 2012, to be filed wit Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on or about March 30, 20	h the

		Page
PART I		
Item 1.	Business	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	9
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	14
Item 2.	Properties	14
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	14
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	25
<u>PART II</u>		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	26
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	27
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	27
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	27
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	27
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	27
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	27
Item 9B.	Other Information	27
<u>PART III</u>		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	28
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	29
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	29
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	29
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	29
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	30
<u>Signatures</u>		36

In this report, "PMI," "we," "us" and "our" refers to Philip Morris International Inc. and subsidiaries.

PART I

Item 1. Business. (a) General Development of Business

General

Philip Morris International Inc. is a Virginia holding company incorporated in 1987. Our subsidiaries and affiliates and their licensees are engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products in markets outside of the United States of America. Our products are sold in approximately 180 countries and, in many of these countries, they hold the number one or number two market share position. We have a wide range of premium, mid-price and low-price brands. Our portfolio comprises both international and local brands.

Our portfolio of international and local brands is led by *Marlboro*, the world's best selling international cigarette, which accounted for approximately 33% of our total 2011 shipment volume. *Marlboro* is complemented in the premium-price category by *Merit*, *Parliament* and *Virginia Slims*. Our leading mid-price brands are *L&M* and *Chesterfield*. Other leading international brands include *Bond Street*, *Lark*, *Muratti*, *Next*, *Philip Morris* and *Red & White*.

We also own a number of important local cigarette brands, such as *Sampoerna A*, *Dji Sam Soe* and *Sampoerna Kretek* in Indonesia, *Fortune*, *Champion* and *Hope* in the Philippines, *Diana* in Italy, *Optima* and *Apollo-Soyuz* in Russia, *Morven Gold* in Pakistan, *Boston* in Colombia, *Belmont, Canadian Classics* and *Number 7* in Canada, *Best* and *Classic* in Serbia, *f6* in Germany, *Delicados* in Mexico, *Assos* in Greece and *Petra* in the Czech Republic and Slovakia. While there are a number of markets where local brands remain important, international brands are expanding their share in numerous markets. With international brands contributing approximately 70% of our shipment volume in 2011, we are well-positioned to continue to benefit from this trend.

Separation from Altria Group, Inc.

We were a wholly owned subsidiary of Altria Group, Inc. ("Altria") until the distribution of all of our shares owned by Altria (the "Spin-off") was made on March 28, 2008 (the "Distribution Date").

Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements

During 2011, 2010 and 2009, we expanded our business with the following transactions:

<u>2011:</u>

In June 2011, we completed the acquisition of a cigarette business in Jordan, consisting primarily of cigarette manufacturing assets and inventories, for \$42 million. In January 2011, we acquired a cigar business, consisting primarily of trademarks in the Australian and New Zealand markets, for \$20 million.

Effective January 1, 2011, we established a new business structure with Vietnam National Tobacco Corporation ("Vinataba") in Vietnam, further developing our existing joint venture with Vinataba through the licensing of *Marlboro* and establishing a PMI-controlled branch for the building of our brands.

-1-

<u>2010:</u>

In February 2010, our affiliate, Philip Morris Philippines Manufacturing Inc. ("PMPMI"), and Fortune Tobacco Corporation ("FTC") combined their respective business activities by transferring selected assets and liabilities of PMPMI and FTC to a new company called PMFTC Inc. ("PMFTC"). PMPMI and FTC hold equal economic interests in PMFTC, while we manage the day-to-day operations of PMFTC and have a majority of its Board of Directors. The establishment of PMFTC permits both parties to benefit from their complementary brand portfolios, as well as cost synergies from the resulting integration of manufacturing, distribution and procurement, and the further development and advancement of tobacco growing in the Philippines.

In June 2010, we announced that our affiliate, Philip Morris Brasil Industria e Comercio Ltda. ("PMB"), would begin directly sourcing tobacco leaf from approximately 17,000 tobacco farmers in Southern Brazil. This initiative has enhanced PMI's direct involvement in the supply chain and provides approximately 10% of PMI's global leaf requirements. The vertically integrated structure was made possible following separate agreements with two leaf suppliers in Brazil, Alliance One Brasil Exportadora de Tabacos Ltda. ("AOB") and Universal Leaf Tabacos Ltda. ("ULT"). These agreements resulted in AOB and ULT assigning approximately 9,000 and 8,000 contracts with tobacco farmers to PMB, respectively. As a result, PMB offered employment to more than 200 employees, most of them agronomy specialists, and acquired related assets in Southern Brazil. The purchase price for the net assets and the contractual relationships was \$83 million.

<u>2009:</u>

In September 2009, we acquired Swedish Match South Africa (Proprietary) Limited for ZAR 1.93 billion (approximately \$256 million based on exchange rates prevailing at the time of the acquisition), including acquired cash.

In February 2009, we purchased the *Petterøes* tobacco business for \$209 million, which included fine-cut trademarks primarily sold in Norway and Sweden.

In February 2009, we also entered into an agreement with Swedish Match AB ("SWMA") to establish an exclusive joint venture to commercialize Swedish style snus and other smoke-free tobacco products worldwide, outside of Scandinavia and the United States. We and SWMA licensed an agreed list of trademarks and intellectual property exclusively to the joint venture. The joint venture started operations on April 1, 2009.

Source of Funds — Dividends

We are a legal entity separate and distinct from our direct and indirect subsidiaries. Accordingly, our right, and thus the right of our creditors and stockholders, to participate in any distribution of the assets or earnings of any subsidiary is subject to the prior claims of creditors of such subsidiary, except to the extent that claims of our company itself as a creditor may be recognized. As a holding company, our principal sources of funds, including funds to make payment on our debt securities, are from the receipt of dividends and repayment of debt from our subsidiaries. Our principal wholly owned and majority-owned subsidiaries currently are not limited by long-term debt or other agreements in their ability to pay cash dividends or to make other distributions with respect to their common stock.

-2-

(b) Financial Information About Segments

We divide our markets into four geographic regions, which constitute our segments for financial reporting purposes:

- The European Union ("EU") Region is headquartered in Lausanne, Switzerland and covers all the EU countries except for Slovenia, Bulgaria and Romania, and also comprises Switzerland, Norway and Iceland, which are linked to the EU through trade agreements;
- The Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa ("EEMA") Region is also headquartered in Lausanne and covers the Balkans (including Slovenia, Bulgaria and Romania), the former Soviet Union (excluding Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania), Mongolia, Turkey, the Middle East and Africa and our international duty free business;
- The Asia Region is headquartered in Hong Kong and covers all other Asian markets as well as Australia, New Zealand and the Pacific Islands; and
- The Latin America & Canada Region is headquartered in New York and covers the South American continent, Central America, Mexico, the Caribbean and Canada.

Net revenues and operating companies income^{*} (together with a reconciliation to operating income) attributable to each such segment for each of the last three years are set forth in Note 12. *Segment Reporting* to our consolidated financial statements, which is incorporated herein by reference to the 2011 Annual Report. See Part II, Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* for a discussion of our operating results by business segment.

The relative percentages of operating companies income attributable to each reportable segment were as follows:

	2011	2010	2009
European Union	33.5%	37.6%	43.9%
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	23.7	27.5	25.9
Asia	35.5	26.6	23.7
Latin America & Canada	7.3	8.3	6.5
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

We use the term net revenues to refer to our operating revenues from the sale of our products, net of sales and promotion incentives. Our net revenues and operating income are affected by various factors, including the volume of products we sell, the price of our products, changes in currency exchange rates and the mix of products we sell. Mix is a term used to refer to the proportionate value of premium-price brands to mid-price or low-price brands in any given market (product mix). Mix can also refer to the proportion of shipment volume in more profitable markets versus shipment volume in less profitable markets (geographic mix). We often collect excise taxes from our customers and then remit them to local governments, and, in those circumstances, we include excise taxes in our net revenues and excise taxes on products. Our cost of sales consists principally of tobacco leaf, non-tobacco raw materials, labor and manufacturing costs.

Our marketing, administration and research costs include the costs of marketing our products, other costs generally not related to the manufacture of our products (including general corporate

Our management evaluates segment performance and allocates resources based on operating companies income, which we define as operating income before general corporate expenses and amortization of intangibles. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 2. *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* to our consolidated financial statements and are incorporated herein by reference to the 2011 Annual Report.

-3-

expenses), and costs incurred to develop new products. The most significant components of our marketing, administration and research costs are marketing expenses and general and administrative expenses.

(c) Narrative Description of Business

Our subsidiaries and affiliates and their licensees manufacture, market and sell tobacco products outside the United States.

Our total cigarette shipments increased 1.7% in 2011 to 915.3 billion units. We estimate that international cigarette market shipments were approximately 5.7 trillion units in 2011, a 1.1% increase over 2010. We estimate that our reported share of the international cigarette market (which is defined as worldwide cigarette volume excluding the United States) was approximately 16.0% in each of 2011 and 2010 and 15.4% in 2009. Including the 2010 business combination with FTC on a pro-forma basis, our total share of the international cigarette market was 16.2% and 16.5% in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Excluding the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), we estimate that our reported share of the international cigarette market was approximately 28.1%, 27.5%, and 25.8% in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Including the 2010 business combination with FTC on a pro-forma basis, our total share of the international cigarette market, excluding the PRC, was 27.8% and 27.7% in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Shipments of our principal cigarette brand, *Marlboro*, increased 0.9% in 2011, and represented approximately 9.2% of the international cigarette market, excluding the PRC, in 2011, 9.1% in 2010 and 9.0% in 2009.

We have a cigarette market share of at least 15%, and, in a number of instances substantially more than 15%, in 97 markets, including Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Colombia, the Czech Republic, Egypt, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Kazakhstan, Korea, Mexico, the Netherlands, the Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Serbia, Singapore, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Thailand, Turkey and Ukraine.

References to total international cigarette market, total cigarette market, total market and market shares in this Form 10-K are our estimates based on a number of internal and external sources.

Distribution and Sales

The distribution and sales strategy for our products is tailored to the characteristics of each market, including retailer needs and capabilities, the wholesale infrastructure, our competitive position, costs and the regulatory framework. Our goal is to achieve speed, efficiency and widespread availability of our products, while contributing to the success of our direct and indirect trade partners. The four main types of distribution that we use across the globe, often simultaneously in a given market, are:

- Direct Sales and Distribution ("DSD"), where we have set up our own distribution directly to retailers;
- Distribution through single independent distributors who are responsible for distribution in a single market;
- Exclusive Zonified Distribution ("EZD"), where distributors have an exclusive territory within a market to enable them to obtain a suitable return on their investment; and
- Distribution through wholesalers, where we supply either national or regional wholesalers that then service the retail trade.



In many countries we also directly service key accounts, including gas stations, retail chains and supermarkets.

Our distribution and sales systems are supported by sales forces that total approximately 16,700 employees worldwide. Our sales forces are well trained and recognized by trade surveys for their professionalism. They have over time developed a long-lasting relationship with the wholesale and retail trade, thus providing us with a superior presence at the point of sale, reflected by our leading market share position in many markets. In addition, our consumer engagement teams work together with the sales forces to engage adult smokers in promotional activities and to support new product launches where permitted by law.

Our products are marketed and promoted through various media and channels, including, where permitted by law, point of sale communications, brand events, access-restricted Web sites, print, new digital technologies and direct communication to verified adult smokers. Our direct communication with verified adult smokers utilizes mail, email and other electronic communication tools. Promotional activities include, where permitted by law, competitions, invitations to events, interactive programs, consumer premiums and price promotions. To support advertising and promotional activities in the markets, we have a dedicated consumer engagement group that develops innovative engagement tools based on the latest technologies and consumer trends.

Competition

We are subject to highly competitive conditions in all aspects of our business. We compete primarily on the basis of product quality, brand recognition, brand loyalty, taste, innovation, packaging, service, marketing, advertising and retail price. Our competitors include three large international tobacco companies and several regional and local tobacco companies and, in some instances, state-owned tobacco enterprises, principally in Algeria, Egypt, the PRC, Taiwan, Thailand and Vietnam. Industry consolidation and privatizations of state-owned enterprises have led to an overall increase in competitive pressures. Some competitors have different profit and volume objectives, and some international competitors are less susceptible to changes in currency exchange rates. We compete predominantly with American type blended cigarette brands, such as *Marlboro, L&M* and *Chesterfield*, which are the most popular across many of our markets. We seek to compete in all profitable retail price categories, although our brand portfolio is weighted towards the premium category.

Procurement and Raw Materials

We purchase tobacco leaf of various grades and styles throughout the world, the majority through independent tobacco suppliers. We also contract directly with farmers in several countries including Brazil, the United States, Pakistan, Argentina, the Philippines, Poland, Italy, Colombia, Ecuador, Mexico, the Dominican Republic and Kazakhstan.

As discussed above, in June 2010, we announced that our affiliate, PMB, began directly sourcing tobacco leaf from tobacco farmers in Southern Brazil. This initiative enhances PMI's direct involvement in the supply chain and provides approximately 10% of PMI's global leaf requirements.

Our largest sources of supply are:

- The United States for Virginia (flue-cured) and Burley tobaccos, particularly higher quality varieties for use in leading international brands;
- Brazil, particularly for Virginia tobaccos but also for Burley;
- Indonesia, mostly for domestic use in kretek products;



- Turkey and Greece, mostly for Oriental; and
- Argentina and Malawi, mostly for Burley.

We believe that there is an adequate supply of tobacco in the world markets to satisfy our current and anticipated production requirements.

In addition to tobacco leaf, we purchase a wide variety of direct materials from a total of approximately 430 suppliers. Our top ten suppliers of direct materials combined represent more than 55% of our total direct materials purchases. The three most significant direct materials that we purchase are printed paper board used in packaging, acetate tow used in filter making and fine paper used in cigarette manufacturing. In addition, the supply of cloves is of particular importance to our Indonesian business.

Business Environment

Information called for by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to the paragraphs captioned "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Operating Results by Business Segment—Business Environment" on pages 24 to 32 of the 2011 Annual Report and made a part hereof.

Other Matters

Customers

None of our business segments is dependent upon a single customer or a few customers, the loss of which would have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations.

Employees

As of December 31, 2011, we employed approximately 78,100 people worldwide, including employees under temporary contracts and hourly paid part-time staff. Our businesses are subject to a number of laws and regulations relating to our relationship with our employees. Generally, these laws and regulations are specific to the location of each business. In addition, in accordance with European Union requirements, we have established a European Works Council composed of management and elected members of our workforce. We believe that our relations with our employees and their representative organizations are excellent.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The disclosure regarding executive officers is set forth under the heading "Executive Officers as of February 24, 2012" in Item 10 of Part III of this Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

Research and Development

One of the top priorities of our research efforts is the development of a variety of innovative Next Generation Products (NGPs) that have the potential to reduce the risk of smoking-related diseases in comparison to conventional cigarettes. In developing these products in its state-of-the-art R&D facilities, PMI draws upon a team of world-class scientists from a broad spectrum of scientific disciplines. In 2011, we further enhanced our product development capabilities by acquiring the global patent rights for a new technology that employs a unique method for delivering a nicotine-containing aerosol. Prior to commercialization, we are employing rigorous scientific methodologies to evaluate and substantiate the ability of these products to reduce the individual risk of smoking-related diseases



compared to conventional tobacco products and their impact on the population as a whole. We cannot predict whether we will succeed in developing commercially viable NGPs or whether regulators will permit the marketing of NGPs with claims of reduced risk.

We also conduct research to support and reinforce our conventional product business. We seek to be at the forefront of innovation. Significant investments have been made in new product development efforts for conventional products, resulting in a wide range of product enhancements and the launch of innovative new products. Further, with the increase in product regulations, support for the conventional cigarette business has expanded and is expected to become more complex, requiring additional capacity for analysis and testing in compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Finally, working through biotechnology partners, we are conducting research and development on technology platforms that can potentially lead to the development of alternative uses of tobacco.

The research and development expense for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 is set forth in Note 14. *Additional Information* to our consolidated financial statements, which is incorporated herein by reference to the 2011 Annual Report.

Intellectual Property

Our trademarks are valuable assets and their protection and reputation are essential to us. We own the trademark rights to all of our principal brands, including *Marlboro*, or have the right to use them in all countries where we use them.

In addition, we have more than 2,700 granted patents worldwide and about as many pending patent applications. Our patent portfolio, as a whole, is material to our business. However, no one patent, or group of related patents, is material to us. We also have proprietary secrets, technology, know-how, processes and other intellectual property rights that are not registered.

Effective January 1, 2008, PMI entered into an Intellectual Property Agreement with Philip Morris USA Inc. ("PM USA"). The Intellectual Property Agreement governs the ownership of intellectual property between PMI and PM USA. Ownership of the jointly funded intellectual property has been allocated as follows:

- PMI owns all rights to the jointly funded intellectual property outside the United States, its territories and possessions; and
- PM USA owns all rights to the jointly funded intellectual property in the United States, its territories and possessions.

Ownership of intellectual property related to patent applications and resulting patents based solely on the jointlyfunded intellectual property, regardless of when filed or issued, will be exclusive to PM USA in the United States, its territories and possessions and exclusive to PMI everywhere else in the world.

The Intellectual Property Agreement contains provisions concerning intellectual property that is independently developed by us or PM USA following the Distribution Date. For the first two years following the Distribution Date, if we or PM USA independently developed new intellectual property that satisfied certain conditions and was incorporated into a new product or included in a patent application, the new intellectual property is subject to the geographic allocation described above. For ten years following the Distribution Date, independently developed intellectual property may be subject to rights under certain circumstances that would allow either us or PM USA a priority position to obtain the rights to the new intellectual property from the other party, with the price and other terms to be negotiated.

-7-

In the event of a dispute between us and PM USA under the Intellectual Property Agreement, we have agreed with PM USA to submit the dispute first to negotiation between our and PM USA's senior executives and then to binding arbitration.

Seasonality

Our business segments are not significantly affected by seasonality, although in certain markets cigarette consumption trends rise during the summer months due to longer daylight time and tourism.

Environmental Regulation

We are subject to applicable international, national and local environmental laws and regulations in the countries in which we do business. We have specific programs across our business units designed to meet applicable environmental compliance requirements and reduce wastage as well as water and energy consumption. We have developed and implemented a consistent environmental and occupational health and safety ("EHS") management system, which involves policies, standard practices and procedures at all our manufacturing centers. We also conduct regular safety assessments at our offices, warehouses and car fleet organizations. Furthermore, we have engaged an external certification body to validate the effectiveness of our EHS management system at all our manufacturing centers around the world, in accordance with internationally recognized standards. Our subsidiaries expect to continue to make investments in order to drive improved performance and maintain compliance with environmental laws and regulations. We assess and report the compliance status of all our legal entities on a regular basis. Based on the management and controls we have in place, environmental expenditures have not had, and are not expected to have, a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations, capital expenditures, financial position, earnings or competitive position.

(d) Financial Information About Geographic Areas

The amounts of net revenues and long-lived assets attributable to each of our geographic segments for each of the last three fiscal years are set forth in Note 12. *Segment Reporting* to our consolidated financial statements, which is incorporated herein by reference to the 2011 Annual Report.

(e) Available Information

We are required to file with the SEC annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information required by the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Investors may read and copy any document that we file, including this Annual Report on Form 10-K, at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Investors may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, the SEC maintains an Internet Web site at http://www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC, from which investors can electronically access our SEC filings.

We make available free of charge on, or through, our Web site (www.pmi.com) our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. Investors can access our filings with the SEC by visiting www.pmi.com.

The information on our Web site is not, and shall not be deemed to be, a part of this report or incorporated into any other filings we make with the SEC.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

The following risk factors should be read carefully in connection with evaluating our business and the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report. Any of the following risks could materially adversely affect our business, our operating results, our financial condition and the actual outcome of matters as to which forward-looking statements are made in this Annual Report.

Forward-Looking and Cautionary Statements

We may from time to time make written or oral forward-looking statements, including statements contained in filings with the SEC, in reports to stockholders and in press releases and investor webcasts. You can identify these forward-looking statements by use of words such as "strategy," "expects," "continues," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "will," "estimates," "intends," "projects," "goals," "targets" and other words of similar meaning. You can also identify them by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts.

We cannot guarantee that any forward-looking statement will be realized, although we believe we have been prudent in our plans and assumptions. Achievement of future results is subject to risks, uncertainties and inaccurate assumptions. Should known or unknown risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove inaccurate, actual results could vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected. Investors should bear this in mind as they consider forward-looking statements and whether to invest in or remain invested in our securities. In connection with the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, we are identifying important factors that, individually or in the aggregate, could cause actual results and outcomes to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements made by us; any such statement is qualified by reference to the following cautionary statements. We elaborate on these and other risks we face throughout this document, particularly in the "Business Environment" section. You should understand that it is not possible to predict or identify all risk factors. Consequently, you should not consider the following to be a complete discussion of all potential risks or uncertainties. We do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement that we may make from time to time except in the normal course of our public disclosure obligations.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

<u>Cigarettes are subject to substantial taxes. Significant increases in cigarette-related taxes have been proposed or enacted and are likely to continue to be proposed or enacted in numerous jurisdictions. These tax increases may disproportionately affect our profitability and make us less competitive versus certain of our competitors.</u>

Tax regimes, including excise taxes, sales taxes and import duties, can disproportionately affect the retail price of manufactured cigarettes versus other tobacco products, or disproportionately affect the relative retail price of our manufactured cigarette brands versus cigarette brands manufactured by certain of our competitors. Because our portfolio is weighted toward the premium-price manufactured cigarette category, tax regimes based on sales price can place us at a competitive disadvantage in certain markets. As a result, our volume and profitability may be adversely affected in these markets.

Increases in cigarette taxes are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on our sales of cigarettes, due to resulting lower consumption levels, a shift in sales from manufactured cigarettes to other tobacco products and from the premium-price to the mid-price or low-price cigarette categories, where we may be under-represented, from local sales to legal cross-border purchases of lower price products, or to illicit products such as contraband and counterfeit.



Our business faces significant governmental action aimed at increasing regulatory requirements with the goal of preventing the use of tobacco products.

Governmental actions, combined with the diminishing social acceptance of smoking and private actions to restrict smoking, have resulted in reduced industry volume in many of our markets, and we expect that such factors will continue to reduce consumption levels and will increase downtrading and the risk of counterfeiting, contraband and cross-border purchases. Significant regulatory developments will take place over the next few years in most of our markets, driven principally by the World Health Organization's Framework Convention on Tobacco Control ("FCTC"). The FCTC is the first international public health treaty on tobacco, and its objective is to establish a global agenda for tobacco regulation. The FCTC has led to increased efforts by tobacco control advocates and public health organizations to reduce the palatability and attractiveness of tobacco products to adult smokers. Regulatory initiatives that have been proposed, introduced or enacted include:

- the levying of substantial and increasing tax and duty charges;
- restrictions or bans on advertising, marketing and sponsorship;
- the display of larger health warnings, graphic health warnings and other labeling requirements;
- restrictions on packaging design, including the use of colors, and plain packaging;
- restrictions or bans on the display of tobacco product packaging at the point of sale and restrictions or bans on cigarette vending machines;
- requirements regarding testing, disclosure and performance standards for tar, nicotine, carbon monoxide and other smoke constituents;
- disclosure, restrictions, or bans of tobacco product ingredients;
- increased restrictions on smoking in public and work places and, in some instances, in private places and outdoors;
- · elimination of duty free allowances for travelers; and
- encouraging litigation against tobacco companies.

Our operating income could be significantly affected by regulatory initiatives resulting in a significant decrease in demand for our brands, in particular requirements that lead to a commoditization of tobacco products, as well as any significant increase in the cost of complying with new regulatory requirements.

Litigation related to tobacco use and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke ("ETS") could substantially reduce our profitability and could severely impair our liquidity.

There is litigation related to tobacco products pending in certain jurisdictions. Damages claimed in some tobaccorelated litigation are significant and, in certain cases in Brazil, Canada, Israel and Nigeria, range into the billions of U.S. dollars. We anticipate that new cases will continue to be filed. The FCTC encourages litigation against tobacco product manufacturers. It is possible that our consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position could be materially affected in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year by an unfavorable outcome or settlement of certain pending litigation. See Item 3. *Legal Proceedings* of this Form 10-K.

We face intense competition, and our failure to compete effectively could have a material adverse effect on our profitability and results of operations.

We compete primarily on the basis of product quality, brand recognition, brand loyalty, taste, innovation, packaging, service, marketing, advertising and price. We are subject to highly competitive

-10-

conditions in all aspects of our business. The competitive environment and our competitive position can be significantly influenced by weak economic conditions, erosion of consumer confidence, competitors' introduction of lower-price products or innovative products, higher tobacco product taxes, higher absolute prices and larger gaps between retail price categories, and product regulation that diminishes the ability to differentiate tobacco products. Competitors include three large international tobacco companies and several regional and local tobacco companies and, in some instances, state-owned tobacco enterprises, principally in Algeria, Egypt, the PRC, Taiwan, Thailand and Vietnam. Industry consolidation and privatizations of state-owned enterprises have led to an overall increase in competitive pressures. Some competitors have different profit and volume objectives and some international competitors are less susceptible to changes in currency exchange rates.

Because we have operations in numerous countries, our results may be influenced by economic, regulatory and political developments in many countries.

Some of the countries in which we operate face the threat of civil unrest and can be subject to regime changes. In others, nationalization, terrorism, conflict and the threat of war may have a significant impact on the business environment. Economic, political, regulatory or other developments could disrupt our supply chain or our distribution capabilities. In addition, such developments could lead to loss of property or equipment that are critical to our business in certain markets and difficulty in staffing and managing our operations, which could reduce our volumes, revenues and net earnings. In certain markets, we are dependent on governmental approvals of various actions such as price changes.

In addition, despite our high ethical standards and rigorous control and compliance procedures aimed at preventing and detecting unlawful conduct, given the breadth and scope of our international operations, we may not be able to detect all potential improper or unlawful conduct by our employees and international partners.

We may be unable to anticipate changes in consumer preferences or to respond to consumer behavior influenced by economic downturns.

Our tobacco business is subject to changes in consumer preferences, which may be influenced by local economic conditions. To be successful, we must:

- promote brand equity successfully;
- anticipate and respond to new consumer trends;
- develop new products and markets and broaden brand portfolios;
- improve productivity; and
- be able to protect or enhance margins through price increases.

In periods of economic uncertainty, consumers may tend to purchase lower-price brands, and the volume of our premium-price and mid-price brands and our profitability could suffer accordingly.

We lose revenues as a result of counterfeiting, contraband and cross-border purchases.

Large quantities of counterfeit cigarettes are sold in the international market. We believe that *Marlboro* is the most heavily counterfeited international cigarette brand, although we cannot quantify the revenues we lose as a result of this activity. In addition, our revenues are reduced by contraband and legal cross-border purchases.

-11-

From time to time, we are subject to governmental investigations on a range of matters.

Investigations include allegations of contraband shipments of cigarettes, allegations of unlawful pricing activities within certain markets, allegations of underpayment of customs duties and/or excise taxes, and allegations of false and misleading usage of descriptors such as "lights" and "ultra lights." We cannot predict the outcome of those investigations or whether additional investigations may be commenced, and it is possible that our business could be materially affected by an unfavorable outcome of pending or future investigations. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Operating Results by Business Segment—Business Environment—Governmental Investigations" for a description of governmental investigations to which we are subject.

We may be unsuccessful in our attempts to produce products with the potential to reduce the risk of smoking-related diseases.

We continue to seek ways to develop commercially viable new product technologies that may reduce the risk of smoking. Our goal is to develop products whose potential for risk reduction can be substantiated and meet adult smokers' taste expectations. We may not succeed in these efforts. If we do not succeed, but others do, we may be at a competitive disadvantage. Furthermore, we cannot predict whether regulators will permit the marketing of tobacco products with claims of reduced risk to consumers, which could significantly undermine the commercial viability of these products.

Our reported results could be adversely affected by unfavorable currency exchange rates, and currency devaluations could impair our competitiveness.

We conduct our business primarily in local currency and, for purposes of financial reporting, the local currency results are translated into U.S. dollars based on average exchange rates prevailing during a reporting period. During times of a strengthening U.S. dollar, our reported net revenues and operating income will be reduced because the local currency will translate into fewer U.S. dollars. During periods of local economic crises, foreign currencies may be devalued significantly against the U.S. dollar, reducing our margins. Actions to recover margins may result in lower volume and a weaker competitive position.

The repatriation of our foreign earnings, changes in the earnings mix, and changes in U.S. tax laws may increase our effective tax rate.

Because we are a U.S. holding company, our most significant source of funds is distributions from our non-U.S. subsidiaries. Under current U.S. tax law, in general we do not pay U.S. taxes on our foreign earnings until they are repatriated to the U.S. as distributions from our non-U.S. subsidiaries. These distributions may result in a residual U.S. tax cost. It may be advantageous to us in certain circumstances to significantly increase the amount of such distributions, which could result in a material increase in our overall effective tax rate. Additionally, the Obama Administration has indicated that it favors changes in U.S. tax law that would fundamentally change how our earnings are taxed in the U.S. If enacted and depending upon its precise terms, such legislation could increase our overall effective tax rate.

Our ability to grow may be limited by our inability to introduce new products, enter new markets or to improve our margins through higher pricing and improvements in our brand and geographic mix.

Our profitability may suffer if we are unable to introduce new products or enter new markets successfully, to raise prices or maintain an acceptable proportion of our sales of higher margin products and sales in higher margin geographies.

-12-

We may be unable to expand our brand portfolio through successful acquisitions and the development of strategic business relationships.

One element of our growth strategy is to strengthen our brand portfolio and market positions through selective acquisitions and the development of strategic business relationships. Acquisition and strategic business development opportunities are limited and present risks of failing to achieve efficient and effective integration, strategic objectives and anticipated revenue improvements and cost savings. There is no assurance that we will be able to acquire attractive businesses on favorable terms, or that future acquisitions or strategic business developments will be accretive to earnings.

Government mandated prices, production control programs, shifts in crops driven by economic conditions and the impacts of climate change may increase the cost or reduce the quality of the tobacco and other agricultural products used to manufacture our products.

As with other agricultural commodities, the price of tobacco leaf and cloves can be influenced by imbalances in supply and demand, and crop quality can be influenced by variations in weather patterns, including those caused by climate change. Tobacco production in certain countries is subject to a variety of controls, including government mandated prices and production control programs. Changes in the patterns of demand for agricultural products could cause farmers to plant less tobacco. Any significant change in tobacco leaf and clove prices, quality and quantity could affect our profitability and our business.

Our ability to implement our strategy of attracting and retaining the best global talent may be impaired by the decreasing social acceptance of cigarette smoking.

The tobacco industry competes for talent with consumer products and other companies that enjoy greater societal acceptance. As a result, we may be unable to attract and retain the best global talent.

The failure of our information systems to function as intended or their penetration by outside parties with the intent to corrupt them could result in business disruption, loss of revenue, assets or personal or other sensitive data.

We use information systems to help manage business processes, collect and interpret business data and communicate internally and externally with employees, suppliers, customers and others. Some of these information systems are managed by third-party service providers. We have backup systems and business continuity plans in place, and we take care to protect our systems and data from unauthorized access. Nevertheless, failure of our systems to function as intended, or penetration of our systems by outside parties intent on extracting or corrupting information or otherwise disrupting business processes, could result in loss of revenue, assets or personal or other sensitive data, cause damage to our reputation and that of our brands and result in significant remediation and other costs to us.

-13-

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. *Properties.*

As of December 31, 2011, we operated and owned 55 manufacturing facilities, operated one leased manufacturing facility in Mexico, and maintained contract manufacturing relationships with 21 third-party manufacturers across 23 markets. In addition, we work with 38 third-party operators in Indonesia who manufacture our hand-rolled cigarettes.

PMI Owned Manufacturing Facilities

	EU	EEMA	Asia	Latin America & Canada	TOTAL
Fully integrated	9	9	9	9	36
Make-pack	—		6	3	9
Other	2	1	2	5	10
	—				
Total	11	10	17	17	55

In 2011, 27 of our facilities each manufactured over 10 billion cigarettes, of which 7 facilities each produced over 30 billion units. Our largest factories are in Bergen-op-Zoom (the Netherlands), St. Petersburg (Russia), Berlin (Germany), Marikina and Batangas (Philippines), Krakow (Poland), Izmir (Turkey), Kharkiv (Ukraine), Neuchatel (Switzerland), Merlo (Argentina) and Karawang (Indonesia). Our smallest factories are mostly in Latin America and Asia, where due to tariff constraints we have established small manufacturing units in individual markets, several of which are make-pack operations. We will continue to optimize our manufacturing base, taking into consideration the evolution of trade blocks.

The plants and properties owned or leased and operated by our subsidiaries are maintained in good condition and are believed to be suitable and adequate for our present needs.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

Litigation—General

Legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters are pending or threatened against us, and/or our subsidiaries, and/or our indemnitees in various jurisdictions. Our indemnitees include distributors, licensees, and others that have been named as parties in certain cases and that we have agreed to defend, as well as pay costs and some or all of judgments, if any, that may be entered against them. Pursuant to the terms of the Distribution Agreement between Altria and PMI, PMI will indemnify Altria and PM USA for tobacco product claims based in substantial part on products manufactured by PMI or contract manufactured for PMI by PM USA, and PM USA will indemnify PMI for tobacco product claims based in substantial part on products manufactured by PM USA, excluding tobacco products contract manufactured for PMI. Various types of claims are raised in these proceedings, including, among others, product liability, consumer protection, antitrust, employment and tax.

It is possible that there could be adverse developments in pending cases against us and our subsidiaries. An unfavorable outcome or settlement of pending tobacco-related litigation could encourage the commencement of additional litigation.

Damages claimed in some of the tobacco-related litigation are significant and, in certain cases in Brazil, Canada, Israel and Nigeria, range into the billions of dollars. The variability in pleadings in multiple jurisdictions, together with the actual experience of management in litigating claims, demonstrate that the monetary relief that may be specified in a lawsuit bears little relevance to the ultimate outcome. Much of the tobacco-related litigation is in its early stages and litigation is subject to uncertainty. However, as discussed below, we have to date been largely successful in defending tobacco-related litigation.

We and our subsidiaries record provisions in the consolidated financial statements for pending litigation when we determine that an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. At the present time, while it is reasonably possible that an unfavorable outcome in a case may occur, after assessing the information available to it (i) management has not concluded that it is probable that a loss has been incurred in any of the pending tobacco-related cases; (ii) management is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss for any of the pending tobacco-related cases; and (iii) accordingly, no estimated loss has been accrued in the consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes in these cases, if any. Legal defense costs are expensed as incurred.

It is possible that our consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position could be materially affected in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year by an unfavorable outcome or settlement of certain pending litigation. Nevertheless, although litigation is subject to uncertainty, we and each of our subsidiaries named as a defendant believe, and each has been so advised by counsel handling the respective cases, that we have valid defenses to the litigation pending against us, as well as valid bases for appeal of adverse verdicts, if any. All such cases are, and will continue to be, vigorously defended. However, we and our subsidiaries may enter into settlement discussions in particular cases if we believe it is in our best interests to do so.

The table below lists the number of tobacco-related cases pending against us and/or our subsidiaries or indemnitees as of February 15, 2012, December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

Type of Case	Number of Cases Pending as of February 15, 2012	Number of Cases Pending as of December 31, 2010	Number of Cases Pending as of December 31, 2009
Individual Smoking and Health Cases	76	94	119
Smoking and Health Class Actions	10	11	9
Health Care Cost Recovery Actions	10	10	11
Lights Class Actions	2	2	3
Individual Lights Cases (small claims court)	9	10	12
Public Civil Actions	3	7	11

Since 1995, when the first tobacco-related litigation was filed against a PMI entity, 377 Smoking and Health, Lights, Health Care Cost Recovery, and Public Civil Actions in which we and/or one of our subsidiaries and/or indemnitees were a defendant have been terminated in our favor. Ten cases have had decisions in favor of plaintiffs. Six of these cases have subsequently reached final resolution in our favor and four remain on appeal. To date, we have paid total judgments including costs of approximately six thousand Euros. These payments were made in order to appeal three Italian small claims cases, two of which were subsequently reversed on appeal and one of which remains on appeal. To date, no tobacco-related case has been finally resolved in favor of a plaintiff against us, our subsidiaries or indemnitees.

-15-

The table below lists the verdicts and post-trial developments in the three pending cases (excluding an individual case on appeal from an Italian small claims court) in which verdicts were returned in favor of plaintiffs:

Date	Location of Court/Name of Plaintiff	Type of Case	Verdict	Post-Trial Developments
May 2011	Brazil/Laszlo	Individual Smoking and Health	The Civil Court of São Vicente found for plaintiff and ordered Philip Morris Brasil to pay damages of R\$31,333 (approximately \$16,700), plus future costs for cessation and medical treatment of smoking- related diseases.	In June 2011, Philip Morris Brasil filed an appeal. In December 2011, the Appellate Court reversed the trial court decision. Plaintiff may appeal.
September 2009	Brazil/Bernhardt	Individual Smoking and Health	The Civil Court of Rio de Janeiro found for plaintiff and ordered Philip Morris Brasil to pay R\$13,000 (approximately \$6,900) in "moral damages."	Philip Morris Brasil filed its appeal against the decision on the merits with the Court of Appeals in November 2009. In February 2010, without addressing the merits, the Court of Appeals annulled the trial court's decision and remanded the case to the trial court to issue a new ruling, which was required to address certain compensatory damage claims made by the plaintiff that the trial court did not address in its original ruling. In July 2010, the trial court reinstated its original decision, while specifically rejecting the compensatory damages claim. Philip Morris Brasil appealed this decision. In March 2011, the Court of Appeals affirmed the trial court's decision and denied Philip Morris Brasil's appeal. The Court of Appeals increased the amount of damages awarded to the plaintiff to R\$100,000 (approximately \$53,000). Philip Morris Brasil filed an appeal in June 2011.

-16-

Date	Location of Court/Name of Plaintiff	Type of Case	Verdict	Post-Trial Developments
February 2004	Brazil/The Smoker Health Defense Association	Class Action	The Civil Court of São Paulo found defendants liable without hearing evidence. The court did not assess moral or actual damages, which were to be assessed in a second phase of the case. The size of the class was not defined in the ruling.	In April 2004, the court clarified its ruling, awarding "moral damages" of R\$1,000 (approximately \$530) per smoker per full year of smoking plus interest at the rate of 1% per month, as of the date of the ruling. The court did not award actual damages, which were to be assessed in the second phase of the case. The size of the class was not estimated. Defendants appealed to the São Paulo Court of Appeals, which annulled the ruling in November 2008, finding that the trial court had inappropriately ruled without hearing evidence and returned the case to the trial court for further proceedings. In May 2011, the trial court dismissed the claim. Plaintiff has appealed. In addition, the defendants filed a constitutional appeal to the Federal Supreme Tribunal on the basis that the plaintiff did not have standing to bring the lawsuit. This appeal is still pending.

Pending claims related to tobacco products generally fall within the following categories:

Smoking and Health Litigation: These cases primarily allege personal injury and are brought by individual plaintiffs or on behalf of a class of individual plaintiffs. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, strict liability, fraud, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, breach of express and implied warranties, violations of deceptive trade practice laws and consumer protection statutes. Plaintiffs in these cases seek various forms of relief, including compensatory and other damages, and injunctive and equitable relief. Defenses raised in these cases include licit activity, failure to state a claim, lack of defect, lack of proximate cause, assumption of the risk, contributory negligence, and statute of limitations.

-17-

As of February 15, 2012, there were a number of smoking and health cases pending against us, our subsidiaries or indemnitees, as follows:

- 76 cases brought by individual plaintiffs in Argentina (32), Brazil (31), Canada (2), Chile (2), Greece (1), Italy (5), the Philippines (1), Scotland (1) and Turkey (1), compared with 94 such cases on December 31, 2010, and 119 cases on December 31, 2009; and
- 10 cases brought on behalf of classes of individual plaintiffs in Brazil (2) and Canada (8), compared with 11 such cases on December 31, 2010, and 9 such cases on December 31, 2009.

In the first class action pending in Brazil, *The Smoker Health Defense Association (ADESF) v. Souza Cruz, S.A. and Philip Morris Marketing, S.A., Nineteenth Lower Civil Court of the Central Courts of the Judiciary District of São Paulo, Brazil, filed July 25, 1995, our subsidiary and another member of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, a consumer organization, is seeking damages for smokers and former smokers and injunctive relief. The verdict and post-trial developments in this case are described in the above table.*

In the second class action pending in Brazil, *Public Prosecutor of São Paulo v. Philip Morris Brasil Industria e Comercio Ltda., Civil Court of the City of São Paulo, Brazil,* filed August 6, 2007, our subsidiary is a defendant. The plaintiff, the Public Prosecutor of the State of São Paulo, is seeking (i) unspecified damages on behalf of all smokers nationwide, former smokers, and their relatives; (ii) unspecified damages on behalf of people exposed to environmental tobacco smoke ("ETS") nationwide, and their relatives; and (iii) reimbursement of the health care costs allegedly incurred for the treatment of tobacco-related diseases by all Brazilian States and Municipalities, and the Federal District. In an interim ruling issued in December 2007, the trial court limited the scope of this claim to the State of São Paulo only. In December 2008, the Seventh Civil Court of São Paulo issued a decision declaring that it lacked jurisdiction because the case involved issues similar to the *ADESF* case discussed above and should be transferred to the Nineteenth Lower Civil Court in São Paulo where the *ADESF* case is pending. The court further stated that these cases should be consolidated for the purposes of judgment. Our subsidiary appealed this decision to the State of São Paulo Court of Appeals, which subsequently declared the case stayed pending the outcome of the appeal. In April 2010, the São Paulo Court of Appeals reversed the Seventh Civil Court's decision that consolidated the cases, finding that they are based on different legal claims and are progressing at different stages of proceedings. This case was returned to the Seventh Civil Court of São Paulo, and our subsidiary filed its closing arguments in December 2010.

In the first class action pending in Canada, *Cecilia Letourneau v. Imperial Tobacco Ltd., Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc. and JTI Macdonald Corp., Quebec Superior Court, Canada,* filed in September 1998, our subsidiary and other Canadian manufacturers are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages for each member of the class who is deemed addicted to smoking. The class was certified in 2005. Pre-trial proceedings are ongoing. On February 14, 2012, the court ruled that the federal government will remain as a third-party in the case. Trial is scheduled to begin on March 12, 2012.

In the second class action pending in Canada, *Conseil Québécois Sur Le Tabac Et La Santé and Jean-Yves Blais v. Imperial Tobacco Ltd., Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc. and JTI Macdonald Corp., Quebec Superior Court, Canada, filed in November 1998, our subsidiary and other Canadian manufacturers are defendants. The plaintiffs, an anti-smoking organization and an individual smoker, are seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages for each member of the class who allegedly suffers from certain smoking-related diseases. The class was certified in 2005. Pre-trial proceedings are ongoing. On February 14, 2012, the court ruled that the federal government will remain as a third-party in the case. Trial is scheduled to begin on March 12, 2012.*



In the third class action pending in Canada, *Kunta v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council, et al., The Queen's Bench, Winnipeg, Canada*, filed June 12, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges her own addiction to tobacco products and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease ("COPD"), severe asthma, and mild reversible lung disease resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers, their estates, dependents and family members, as well as restitution of profits, and reimbursement of government health care costs allegedly caused by tobacco products. In September 2009, plaintiff's counsel informed defendants that he did not anticipate taking any action in this case while he pursues the class action filed in Saskatchewan (see description of *Adams*, below).

In the fourth class action pending in Canada, *Adams v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council, et al.*, *The Queen's Bench, Saskatchewan, Canada*, filed July 10, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges her own addiction to tobacco products and COPD resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers who have smoked a minimum of 25,000 cigarettes and have allegedly suffered, or suffer, from COPD, emphysema, heart disease, or cancer, as well as restitution of profits. Preliminary motions are pending.

In the fifth class action pending in Canada, *Semple v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council, et al., The Supreme Court (trial court), Nova Scotia, Canada,* filed June 18, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges his own addiction to tobacco products and COPD resulting from the use of tobacco products. He is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers, their estates, dependents and family members, as well as restitution of profits, and reimbursement of government health care costs allegedly caused by tobacco products. No activity in this case is anticipated while plaintiff's counsel pursues the class action filed in Saskatchewan (see description of *Adams*, above).

In the sixth class action pending in Canada, *Dorion v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council, et al., The Queen's Bench, Alberta, Canada,* filed June 15, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges her own addiction to tobacco products and chronic bronchitis and severe sinus infections resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers, their estates, dependents and family members, restitution of profits, and reimbursement of government health care costs allegedly caused by tobacco products. To date, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees have not been properly served with the complaint. No activity in this case is anticipated while plaintiff's counsel pursues the class action filed in Saskatchewan (see description of *Adams*, above).

In the seventh class action pending in Canada, *McDermid v. Imperial Tobacco Canada Limited, et al., Supreme Court, British Columbia, Canada*, filed June 25, 2010, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges his own addiction to tobacco products and heart disease resulting from the use of tobacco products. He is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers who were alive on June 12, 2007, and who suffered from heart disease allegedly caused by smoking, their estates, dependents and family members, plus disgorgement of revenues earned by the defendants from January 1, 1954 to the

-19-

date the claim was filed. Defendants have filed jurisdictional challenges on the grounds that this action should not proceed during the pendency of the Saskatchewan class action (see description of *Adams*, above).

In the eighth class action pending in Canada, *Bourassa v. Imperial Tobacco Canada Limited, et al., Supreme Court, British Columbia, Canada*, filed June 25, 2010, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, the heir to a deceased smoker, alleges that the decedent was addicted to tobacco products and suffered from emphysema resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers who were alive on June 12, 2007, and who suffered from chronic respiratory diseases allegedly caused by smoking, their estates, dependents and family members, plus disgorgement of revenues earned by the defendants from January 1, 1954 to the date the claim was filed. Defendants have filed jurisdictional challenges on the grounds that this action should not proceed during the pendency of the Saskatchewan class action (see description of *Adams*, above).

Health Care Cost Recovery Litigation: These cases, brought by governmental and non-governmental plaintiffs, seek reimbursement of health care cost expenditures allegedly caused by tobacco products. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery including unjust enrichment, negligence, negligent design, strict liability, breach of express and implied warranties, violation of a voluntary undertaking or special duty, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, public nuisance, defective product, failure to warn, sale of cigarettes to minors, and claims under statutes governing competition and deceptive trade practices. Plaintiffs in these cases seek various forms of relief including compensatory and other damages, and injunctive and equitable relief. Defenses raised in these cases include lack of proximate cause, remoteness of injury, failure to state a claim, adequate remedy at law, "unclean hands" (namely, that plaintiffs cannot obtain equitable relief because they participated in, and benefited from, the sale of cigarettes), and statute of limitations.

As of February 15, 2012, there were 10 health care cost recovery cases pending against us, our subsidiaries or indemnitees in Canada (4), Nigeria (5) and Spain (1), compared with 10 such cases on December 31, 2010 and 11 such cases on December 31, 2009.

In the first health care cost recovery case pending in Canada, *Her Majesty the Queen in Right of British Columbia v. Imperial Tobacco Limited, et al., Supreme Court, British Columbia, Vancouver Registry, Canada,* filed January 24, 2001, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitee (PM USA), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, the government of the province of British Columbia, brought a claim based upon legislation enacted by the province authorizing the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, resulting from a "tobacco related wrong." The Supreme Court of Canada has held that the statute is constitutional. We and certain other non-Canadian defendants challenged the jurisdiction of the court. The court rejected the jurisdictional challenge, and pre-trial discovery is ongoing. The trial court also has granted plaintiff's request that the target trial date of September 2011 be postponed indefinitely. Meanwhile, in December 2009, the British Columbia Court of Appeal ruled that the defendants could pursue a third-party claim against the government of Canada for negligently misrepresenting to defendants. In May 2010, the Supreme Court of Canada agreed to hear both the appeal of the Attorney General of Canada and the defendants' cross-appeal from the British Columbia Court of Appeal decision. In July 2011, the Supreme Court of Canada dismissed the third-party claims against the federal government.

In the second health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, Her Majesty the Queen in Right of New Brunswick v. Rothmans Inc., et al., Court of Queen's Bench of New Brunswick, Trial Court, New

-20-

Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada, filed March 13, 2008, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of New Brunswick based on legislation enacted in the province. This legislation is similar to the law introduced in British Columbia that authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a "tobacco related wrong." Pre-trial discovery is ongoing.

In the third health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, *Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Ontario v. Rothmans Inc., et al., Ontario Superior Court of Justice, Toronto, Canada,* filed September 29, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Ontario based on legislation enacted in the province. This legislation is similar to the laws introduced in British Columbia and New Brunswick that authorize the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a "tobacco related wrong." Preliminary motions are pending.

In the fourth health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, *Attorney General of Newfoundland and Labrador v. Rothmans Inc., et al., Supreme Court of Newfoundland and Labrador, St. Johns, Canada*, filed February 8, 2011, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Newfoundland and Labrador based on legislation enacted in the province that is similar to the laws introduced in British Columbia, New Brunswick and Ontario. The legislation authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a "tobacco related wrong." Preliminary motions are pending.

In the first case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Lagos State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al., High Court of Lagos State, Lagos, Nigeria,* filed April 30, 2007, our subsidiary and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. In February 2008, our subsidiary was served with a Notice of Discontinuance. The claim was formally dismissed in March 2008. However, the plaintiff has since refiled its claim. Our subsidiary is in the process of making challenges to service and the court's jurisdiction. Currently, the case is stayed in the trial court pending the appeals of certain co-defendants relating to service objections. We currently have no employees, operations or assets in Nigeria.

In the second case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Kano State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al., High Court of Kano State, Kano, Nigeria,* filed May 9, 2007, our subsidiary and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. Our subsidiary is in the process of making challenges to service and the court's jurisdiction.

In the third case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Gombe State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al., High Court of Gombe State, Gombe, Nigeria,* filed May 18, 2007, our subsidiary and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. In July 2008, the court dismissed the case against all defendants based on the plaintiff's failure to comply with various procedural requirements when filing and serving the complaint. The plaintiff did not appeal the dismissal. However, in October 2008, the plaintiff refiled its claim. In

-21-

June 2010, the court ordered the plaintiff to amend the claim to properly name Philip Morris International Inc. as a defendant. Philip Morris International Inc. objected to plaintiff's attempted service of amended process. In February 2011, the court granted, in part, our service objections, ruling that the plaintiff had not complied with the procedural steps necessary to serve us. As a result of this ruling, Philip Morris International Inc. is not currently a defendant in the case. Plaintiff may appeal the ruling or follow the procedural steps required to serve Philip Morris International Inc.

In the fourth case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Oyo State, et al., v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al., High Court of Oyo State, Ibadan, Nigeria,* filed May 25, 2007, our subsidiary and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiffs seek reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. Our subsidiary challenged service as improper. In June 2010, the court ruled that plaintiffs did not have leave to serve the writ of summons on the defendants and that they must re-serve the writ. Our subsidiary has not yet been re-served.

In the fifth case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Ogun State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al., High Court of Ogun State, Abeokuta, Nigeria*, filed February 26, 2008, our subsidiary and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. In May 2010, the trial court rejected our subsidiary's service objections. Our subsidiary has appealed.

In a series of proceedings in Spain, Junta de Andalucia, et al. v. Philip Morris Spain, et al., Court of First Instance. Madrid, Spain, the first of which was filed February 21, 2002, our subsidiary and other members of the industry were defendants. The plaintiffs sought reimbursement for the cost of treating certain of their citizens for various smokingrelated illnesses. In May 2004, the first instance court dismissed the initial case, finding that the State was a necessary party to the claim, and thus, the claim must be filed in the Administrative Court. The plaintiffs appealed. In February 2006, the appellate court affirmed the lower court's dismissal. The plaintiffs then filed notice that they intended to pursue their claim in the Administrative Court against the State. Because they were defendants in the original proceeding, our subsidiary and other members of the industry filed notices with the Administrative Court that they are interested parties in the case. In September 2007, the plaintiffs filed their complaint in the Administrative Court. In November 2007, the Administrative Court dismissed the claim based on a procedural issue. The plaintiffs asked the Administrative Court to reconsider its decision dismissing the case, and that request was rejected in a ruling rendered in February 2008. Plaintiffs appealed to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court rejected plaintiffs' appeal in November 2009, resulting in the final dismissal of the claim. However, plaintiffs have filed a second claim in the Administrative Court against the Ministry of Economy. This second claim seeks the same relief as the original claim, but relies on a different procedural posture. The Administrative Court has recognized our subsidiary as a party in this proceeding. Our subsidiary and other defendants filed preliminary objections that resulted in a stay of the term to file the answer. In May 2011, the court rejected the defendants' preliminary objections, but it has not yet set a deadline for defendants to file their answers.

Lights Cases: These cases, brought by individual plaintiffs, or on behalf of a class of individual plaintiffs, allege that the use of the term "lights" constitutes fraudulent and misleading conduct. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery including misrepresentation, deception, and breach of consumer protection laws. Plaintiffs seek various forms of relief including restitution, injunctive relief, and compensatory and other damages. Defenses raised include lack of causation, lack of reliance, assumption of the risk, and statute of limitations.

-22-

As of February 15, 2012, there were a number of lights cases pending against our subsidiaries or indemnitees, as follows:

- 2 cases brought on behalf of various classes of individual plaintiffs (some overlapping) in Israel, compared with 2 such cases on December 31, 2010, and 3 such cases on December 31, 2009; and
- 9 cases brought by individuals in the equivalent of small claims courts in Italy, where the maximum damages are
 approximately one thousand Euros per case, compared with 10 such cases on December 31, 2010, and 12 such
 cases on December 31, 2009.

In the first class action pending in Israel, *El-Roy, et al. v. Philip Morris Incorporated, et al., District Court of Tel-Aviv/Jaffa, Israel,* filed January 18, 2004, our subsidiary and our indemnitees (PM USA and our former importer) are defendants. The plaintiffs filed a purported class action claiming that the class members were misled by the descriptor "lights" into believing that lights cigarettes are safer than full flavor cigarettes. The claim seeks recovery of the purchase price of lights cigarettes and compensation for distress for each class member. Hearings took place in November and December 2008 regarding whether the case meets the legal requirements necessary to allow it to proceed as a class action. The parties' briefing on class certification was completed in March 2011. A hearing for final oral argument on class certification took place in November 2011. We are awaiting the court's decision.

The claims in a second class action pending in Israel, *Navon, et al. v. Philip Morris Products USA, et al., District Court of Tel-Aviv/Jaffa, Israel*, filed December 5, 2004, against our indemnitee (our distributor) and other members of the industry are similar to those in *El-Roy*, and the case is currently stayed pending a ruling on class certification in *El-Roy*.

Public Civil Actions: Claims have been filed either by an individual, or a public or private entity, seeking to protect collective or individual rights, such as the right to health, the right to information or the right to safety. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery including product defect, concealment, and misrepresentation. Plaintiffs in these cases seek various forms of relief including injunctive relief such as banning cigarettes, descriptors, smoking in certain places and advertising, as well as implementing communication campaigns and reimbursement of medical expenses incurred by public or private institutions.

As of February 15, 2012, there were 3 public civil actions pending against our subsidiaries in Argentina (1), Brazil (1), and Venezuela (1), compared with 7 such cases on December 31, 2010, and 11 such cases on December 31, 2009.

In the public civil action in Argentina, *Asociación Argentina de Derecho de Danos v. Massalin Particulares S.A., et al., Civil Court of Buenos Aires, Argentina,* filed February 26, 2007, our subsidiary and another member of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, a consumer association, seeks the establishment of a relief fund for reimbursement of medical costs associated with diseases allegedly caused by smoking. Our subsidiary filed its answer in September 2007. In March 2010, the case file was transferred to the Federal Court on Administrative Matters after the Civil Court granted the plaintiff's request to add the national government as a co-plaintiff in the case.

In the public civil action in Brazil, *The Brazilian Association for the Defense of Consumer Health ("SAUDECON") v. Philip Morris Brasil Industria e Comercio Ltda. and Souza Cruz S.A., Civil Court of City of Porto Alegre, Brazil,* filed November 3, 2008, our subsidiary is a defendant. The plaintiff, a consumer organization, is asking the court to establish a fund that will be used to provide treatment to smokers who claim to be addicted and who do not otherwise have access to smoking cessation treatment. Plaintiff requests that each defendant's liability be determined according to its market share. In May 2009, the trial court dismissed the case on the merits. Plaintiff has appealed.

-23-

In the public civil action in Venezuela, *Federation of Consumers and Users Associations ("FEVACU"), et al. v. National Assembly of Venezuela and the Venezuelan Ministry of Health, Constitutional Chamber of the Venezuelan Supreme Court*, filed April 29, 2008, we were not named as a defendant, but the plaintiffs published a notice pursuant to court order, notifying all interested parties to appear in the case. In January 2009, our subsidiary appeared in the case in response to this notice. The plaintiffs purport to represent the right to health of the citizens of Venezuela and claim that the government failed to protect adequately its citizens' right to health. The claim asks the court to order the government to enact stricter regulations on the manufacture and sale of tobacco products. In addition, the plaintiffs ask the court to order companies involved in the tobacco industry to allocate a percentage of their "sales or benefits" to establish a fund to pay for the health care costs of treating smoking-related diseases. In October 2008, the court ruled that plaintiffs have standing to file the claim and that the claim meets the threshold admissibility requirements.

Other Litigation

Other litigation includes an antitrust suit, a breach of contract action, various tax and individual employment cases and a tort claim.

Antitrust: In the antitrust class action in Kansas, *Smith v. Philip Morris Companies Inc., et al., District Court of Seward County, Kansas*, filed February 7, 2000, we and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff asserts that the defendant cigarette companies engaged in an international conspiracy to fix wholesale prices of cigarettes and sought certification of a class comprised of all persons in Kansas who were indirect purchasers of cigarettes from the defendants. The plaintiff claims unspecified economic damages resulting from the alleged price-fixing, trebling of those damages under the Kansas price-fixing statute and counsel fees. The trial court granted plaintiff's motion for class certification in 2001. Defendants' motions for summary judgment were argued in January 2012. In the event the summary judgment motions are not granted, the court has set a trial date in July 2012.

Breach of Contract: In the breach of contract action in Ontario, Canada, *The Ontario Flue-Cured Tobacco Growers'* Marketing Board, et al. v. Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc., Superior Court of Justice, London, Ontario, Canada, filed November 5, 2009, our subsidiary is a defendant. Plaintiffs in this putative class action allege that our subsidiary breached contracts with the proposed class members (Ontario tobacco growers and their related associations) concerning the sale and purchase of flue-cured tobacco from January 1, 1986 to December 31, 1996. Plaintiffs allege that our subsidiary was required by the contracts to disclose to plaintiffs the quantity of tobacco included in cigarettes to be sold for duty free and export purposes (which it purchased at a lower price per pound than tobacco that was included in cigarettes to be sold in Canada), but failed to disclose that some of the cigarettes it designated as being for export and duty free purposes were ultimately sold in Canada. Our subsidiary has been served, but there is currently no deadline to respond to the statement of claim. In September 2011, plaintiffs served a notice of motion seeking class certification.

Tax: In Brazil, there are 114 tax cases involving Philip Morris Brasil S.A. and Philip Morris Brasil Ltda. relating to the payment of state tax on the sale and transfer of goods and services, federal social contributions, excise, social security and income tax, and other matters. Fifty-eight of these cases are under administrative review by the relevant fiscal authorities and 56 are under judicial review by the courts.

Employment: Our subsidiaries, Philip Morris Brasil S.A. and Philip Morris Brasil Ltda., are defendants in various individual employment cases resulting, among other things, from the termination of employment in connection with the shut-down of one of our factories in Brazil.

-24-

Tort: In the action in Delaware, *Antonio Emilio Hupan et al. v. Alliance One International, Inc. et al. Superior Court for the State of Delaware in and for New Castle County,* filed February 13, 2012, we, our subsidiaries, other members of the industry, certain companies allegedly involved in the purchase of tobacco leaf in Argentina, and certain companies allegedly involved in the manufacture of pesticides are defendants. Plaintiffs in this action are eight children born between the years of 1996 and 2008 and their families, all residing in Argentina. The plaintiffs claim that the children developed birth defects as a result of the exposure of their parents to pesticides while working on farms in Argentina. The plaintiffs allege that we, our subsidiaries, other members of the industry, and certain companies allegedly involved in the purchase of tobacco leaf in Argentina required the use of pesticides in tobacco growing while failing to warn tobacco growers of the risks. The plaintiffs claim unspecified compensatory and punitive damages. Neither we nor our subsidiaries have been served with the complaint.

Third-Party Guarantees

At December 31, 2011, PMI's third-party guarantees were \$7 million, of which \$2 million expire through December 31, 2012, and the remainder through 2015. PMI is required to perform under these guarantees in the event that a third party fails to make contractual payments. PMI does not have a liability on its consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2011, as the fair value of these guarantees is insignificant due to the fact that the probability of future payments under these guarantees is remote.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

-25-

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Our share repurchase activity for each of the three months in the quarter ended December 31, 2011 was as follows:

Period	Total Number of Shares Repurchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(2)	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
October 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011(1)	3,393,915	\$ 66.57	125,350,931	\$4,469,132,307
November 1, 2011 – November 30, 2011(1)	5,541,247	\$ 71.50	130,892,178	\$4,072,915,789
December 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011(1)	5,555,159	\$ 76.66	136,447,337	\$3,647,045,866
Pursuant to Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	14,490,321	\$ 72.33		
October 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011(3)	433,415	\$ 69.99		
November 1, 2011 – November 30, 2011(3)	116	\$ 75.75		
December 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011(3)	19,835	\$ 76.29		
For the Quarter Ended December 31, 2011	14,943,687	\$ 72.26		

(1) On February 11, 2010, our Board of Directors authorized a new share repurchase program of \$12 billion over three years. The new program commenced in May 2010 after the completion of our previous two-year \$13 billion program. These share repurchases have been made pursuant to this program.

- (2) Aggregate number of shares repurchased under the \$12 billion share repurchase program as of the end of the period presented.
- (3) Shares repurchased represent shares tendered to us by employees who vested in restricted and deferred stock awards, or exercised stock options, and used shares to pay all, or a portion of, the related taxes and/or option exercise price.

The principal stock exchange, on which our common stock (no par value) is listed, is the New York Stock Exchange. At January 31, 2012, there were approximately 83,200 holders of record of our common stock.

Our common stock is also listed on NYSE Euronext in Paris and the Swiss stock exchange.

The other information called for by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to the paragraph captioned "Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)" on page 81 of the 2011 Annual Report and made a part hereof.

-26-

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The information called for by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to the information with respect to 2007-2011 appearing under the caption "Selected Financial Data-Five-Year Review" on page 45 of the 2011 Annual Report and made a part hereof.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The information called for by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to the paragraphs captioned "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" ("MD&A") on pages 17 to 44 of the 2011 Annual Report and made a part hereof.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

The information called for by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to the paragraphs in the MD&A captioned "Market Risk" and "Value at Risk" on page 41 of the 2011 Annual Report and made a part hereof.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

The information called for by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to the 2011 Annual Report as set forth under the caption "Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)" on page 81 of the 2011 Annual Report and in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedules (see Item 15) and made a part hereof.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure. None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

(a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures

PMI carried out an evaluation, with the participation of PMI's management, including PMI's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of PMI's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, PMI's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that PMI's disclosure controls and procedures are effective. There have been no changes in PMI's internal control over financial reporting during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, PMI's internal control over financial reporting.

See Exhibit 13 for the Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on pages 82 to 83 of the 2011 Annual Report incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

-27-

PART III

Except for the information relating to the executive officers set forth in Item 10 and the information relating to equity compensation plans set forth in Item 12, the information called for by Items 10-14 is hereby incorporated by reference to PMI's definitive proxy statement for use in connection with its annual meeting of stockholders to be held on May 9, 2012 that will be filed with the SEC on or about March 30, 2012 (the "proxy statement"), and, except as indicated therein, made a part hereof.

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Executive Officers as of February 24, 2012:

Name	Office	Age
Louis C. Camilleri	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	57
David M. Bernick	Senior Vice President and General Counsel	57*
Bertrand Bonvin	Senior Vice President, Research & Development	43
Patrick Brunel	Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer	46
André Calantzopoulos	Chief Operating Officer	54
Kevin Click	Senior Vice President, Human Resources	51
Frederic de Wilde	Senior Vice President, Marketing and Sales	44
Even Hurwitz	Senior Vice President, Corporate Affairs	50
Martin King	Senior Vice President, Operations	47
Marco Kuepfer	Vice President, Finance and Treasurer	54
James R. Mortensen	President, Latin America & Canada Region	54
Jacek Olczak	President, European Union Region	47
Matteo Pellegrini	President, Asia Region	49
Joachim Psotta	Vice President and Controller	54
Hermann Waldemer	Chief Financial Officer	54
Jerry E. Whitson	Deputy General Counsel and Corporate Secretary	56
Miroslaw Zielinski	President, Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa Region & PMI Duty Free	50

* As previously reported, on February 9, 2012, Mr. Bernick resigned effective June 30, 2012. He will be succeeded by Mr. Marc Firestone, the current Executive Vice President, Corporate & Legal Affairs and General Counsel of Kraft Foods Inc. Between 1988 and 2003, Mr. Firestone, age 52, served with the Company in various capacities, lastly as Senior Vice President and General Counsel.

All of the above-mentioned officers, except for Messrs. Camilleri, Bernick and Whitson, have been employed by us in various capacities during the past five years.

Prior to the Distribution Date, Mr. Camilleri served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Altria, positions he held from August 2002 and April 2002, respectively. Mr. Camilleri also served as a director of Kraft from March 2001 to December 2007 and as Kraft's Chairman from September 2002 to March 30, 2007.

Before joining Philip Morris International Inc. in September 2010, Mr. Whitson was a Senior Partner at the law firm of Hunton & Williams LLP, where he served for 30 years, lastly as the head of the firm's Business Practice Group and as a member of its Executive Committee.

-28-

Codes of Conduct and Corporate Governance

We have adopted the Philip Morris International Code of Conduct, which complies with requirements set forth in Item 406 of Regulation S-K. This Code of Conduct applies to all of our employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, and persons performing similar functions. We have also adopted a code of business conduct and ethics that applies to the members of our Board of Directors. These documents are available free of charge on our Web site at www.pmi.com.

In addition, we have adopted corporate governance guidelines and charters for our Audit, Finance, Compensation and Leadership Development, Product Innovation and Regulatory Affairs and Nominating and Corporate Governance committees of the Board of Directors. All of these documents are available free of charge on our Web site at www.pmi.com. Any waiver granted by Philip Morris International Inc. to its principal executive officer, principal financial officer or controller or any person performing similar functions under the Code of Conduct, or certain amendments to the Code of Conduct, will be disclosed on our Web site at www.pmi.com.

The information on our Web site is not, and shall not be deemed to be, a part of this Report or incorporated into any other filings made with the SEC.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

Refer to "Executive Compensation" and "Compensation of Directors" sections of the proxy statement.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The number of shares to be issued upon exercise or vesting and the number of shares remaining available for future issuance under PMI's equity compensation plans at December 31, 2011, were as follows:

	Number of Shares to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options and Vesting of Deferred Stock	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options	Number of Shares Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (excluding Securities reflected in column (a))
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders(1)	9,445,002	\$ 27.07	29,222,431

(1) Approved by Altria as our sole stockholder prior to the Spin-off.

Refer to "Ownership of Equity Securities" section of the proxy statement.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

Refer to "Related Person Transactions and Code of Conduct" and "Independence of Nominees" sections of the proxy statement.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

Refer to "Audit Committee Matters" section of the proxy statement.

-29-

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedules

	2011 Annual Report Page
Data incorporated by reference to Philip Morris International Inc.'s 2011 Annual Report:	
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010	46-47
Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and	48
2009	49
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	50-51
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	52-81
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	82
Report of Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	83

Schedules have been omitted either because such schedules are not required or are not applicable.

(b) The following exhibits are filed as part of this Report:

2.1	_	Distribution Agreement between Altria Group, Inc. and Philip Morris International Inc. dated January 30, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 filed February 7, 2008).
3.1	—	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Philip Morris International Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 filed February 7, 2008).
3.2	—	Amended and Restated By-laws of Philip Morris International Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 6, 2011).
4.1	—	Specimen Stock Certificate of Philip Morris International Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 filed February 7, 2008).
4.2	—	Indenture dated as of April 25, 2008, between Philip Morris International Inc. and HSBC Bank USA, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Registration Statement on Form S-3, dated April 25, 2008).
4.3	_	Issue and Paying Agency Agreement, dated March 13, 2009, by and among Philip Morris International Inc., HSBC Private Bank (C.I.) Limited, Jersey Branch, as registrar, HSBC Bank PLC, as principal paying agent and HSBC Corporate Trustee Company (UK) Limited, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 19, 2009).
4.4	—	Trust Deed relating to Euro Medium Term Note Program, dated March 13, 2009, between Philip Morris International Inc., as issuer, and HSBC Corporate Trustee Company (UK) Limited, as trustee

-30-

(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 19, 2009).

- 4.5 The Registrant agrees to furnish copies of any instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of the Registrant and its consolidated subsidiaries that does not exceed 10 percent of the total assets of the Registrant and its consolidated subsidiaries to the Commission upon request.
- 10.1 Transition Services Agreement between Altria Corporate Services, Inc. and Philip Morris International Inc., dated as of March 28, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 31, 2008).
- 10.2 Tax Sharing Agreement between Altria Group, Inc. and Philip Morris International Inc., dated as of March 28, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 31, 2008).
- 10.3 Employee Matters Agreement between Altria Group, Inc. and Philip Morris International Inc., dated as of March 28, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 31, 2008).
- 10.4 Intellectual Property Agreement between Philip Morris International Inc. and Philip Morris USA Inc., dated as of January 1, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 filed March 5, 2008).
- 10.5 Credit Agreement relating to a US\$3,000,000,000 5-Year Revolving Credit Facility (including a US\$900,000,000 swingline option) and a US\$1,000,000 3-Year Revolving Credit Facility (including a US\$300,000,000 swingline option) and a EUR 1,500,000,000 364-Day Term Loan Facility dated as of December 4, 2007 among Philip Morris International Inc. and the Initial Lenders named therein and J.P. Morgan Europe Limited as Facility Agent and Swingline Agent and J.P. Morgan PLC, Citigroup Global Markets Limited, Credit Suisse, Cayman Islands Branch, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Goldman Sachs Credit Partners L.P. and Lehman Brothers Inc. as Mandated Lead Arrangers and Bookrunners (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 filed February 7, 2008).
- 10.6 Credit Agreement relating to a US\$3,500,000,000 Revolving Credit Facility (including a US\$800,000,000 swingline option) dated as of October 25, 2011, among Philip Morris International Inc. and the Initial Lenders named therein and Citibank International plc as Facility Agent and Citibank, N.A. as Swingline Agent and Citigroup Global Markets Limited, Barclays Capital, BNP Paribas, Credit Suisse, Cayman Islands Branch, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Goldman Sachs International, HSBC Bank PLC, J.P. Morgan Limited, RBS Securities Inc. and Société Générale as Mandated Lead Arrangers and Bookrunners (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 26, 2011).
- 10.7 Credit Agreement relating to a US\$2,500,000,000 Revolving Credit Facility (including a US\$700,000,000 swingline option), dated as of March 29, 2010, among Philip Morris International Inc. and the Initial Lenders named therein and J.P. Morgan Europe Limited as Facility Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Swingline Agent and J.P. Morgan plc, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Limited, Credit Suisse AG, Cayman Islands Branch, Goldman Sachs Credit Partners L.P. and RBS Securities Inc., as Mandated Lead Arrangers and Bookrunners (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 29, 2010).

-31-

10.8	_	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement relating to a US\$2,500,000,000 Revolving Credit Facility (including a US\$700,000,000 swingline option), dated as of May 11, 2011, among Philip Morris International Inc. and the Initial Lenders named therein and J.P. Morgan Europe Limited as Facility Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Swingline Agent and J.P. Morgan Limited, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Limited, Credit Suisse AG, Cayman Islands Branch, Goldman Sachs Credit Partners L.P. and RBS Securities Inc. as Mandated Lead Arrangers and Bookrunners (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 17, 2011).
10.9	—	Anti-Contraband and Anti-Counterfeit Agreement and General Release dated July 9, 2004 and Appendices (Portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 filed February 7, 2008).
10.10	—	Philip Morris International Inc. Automobile Policy (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 filed February 7, 2008).*
10.11	—	Amended and Restated Philip Morris International Benefit Equalization Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).*
10.12	—	Philip Morris International Inc. 2008 Performance Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 filed February 7, 2008).*
10.13	_	Form of Philip Morris International Inc. 2008 Performance Incentive Plan Deferred Stock Agreement (Pre-2008 Grants) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 filed February 7, 2008).*
10.14	_	Form of Philip Morris International Inc. 2008 Performance Incentive Plan Deferred Stock Agreement (2008 Grants) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 filed February 7, 2008).*
10.15	_	Form of Philip Morris International Inc. 2008 Performance Incentive Plan Non-Qualified Stock Option Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 filed February 7, 2008).*
10.16	_	Form of Philip Morris International Inc. 2008 Performance Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Agreement (2009 Grants) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 10, 2009).*
10.17	_	Form of Philip Morris International Inc. 2008 Performance Incentive Plan Deferred Stock Agreement (2009 Grants) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 10, 2009).*
10.18	—	Pension Fund of Philip Morris in Switzerland (IC) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).*
10.19	—	Summary of Supplemental Pension Plan of Philip Morris in Switzerland (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009).*
10.20	—	Form of Restated Employee Grantor Trust Enrollment Agreement (Executive Trust Arrangement) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 filed February 7, 2008).*

-32-

10.21	—	Form of Restated Employee Grantor Trust Enrollment Agreement (Secular Trust Arrangement) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 filed February 7, 2008).*
10.22	_	Philip Morris International Inc. 2008 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (amended and restated as of May 11, 2011) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 12, 2011).*
10.23	—	Philip Morris International Inc. 2008 Deferred Fee Plan for Non-Employee Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 filed February 7, 2008).*
10.24	—	Amendment to Employment Agreement with André Calantzopoulos (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010). The employment agreement was previously filed as Exhibit 10.22 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 filed February 7, 2008 and incorporated by reference to this Exhibit 10.24.*
10.25	—	Amendment to Employment Agreement with Hermann Waldemer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010). The employment agreement was previously filed as Exhibit 10.24 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 filed February 7, 2008 and incorporated by reference to this Exhibit 10.25.*
10.26	—	Employment Agreement with David Bernick (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011).*
10.27	_	Employment Agreement with Matteo Pellegrini (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011).*
10.28	_	Agreement with Louis C. Camilleri (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 filed February 7, 2008).*
10.29	_	Amended and Restated Supplemental Management Employees' Retirement Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).*
10.30	—	Support Agreement, dated as of July 31, 2008, between Rothmans Inc., Philip Morris International Inc. and Latin America and Canada Holdings Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 31, 2008).
10.31	_	Amendment and Waiver Agreement dated as of February 6, 2009 to the Credit Agreement dated December 4, 2007 by and among Philip Morris International Inc., the Lenders party thereto and JP Morgan Europe Limited, as Facility Agent and Swingline Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).
10.32	—	Supplemental Equalization Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K to the year ended December 31, 2009).*
10.33	_	Form of Supplemental Equalization Plan Employee Grantor Trust Enrollment Agreement (Secular Trust) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).*
10.34	—	Form of Supplemental Equalization Plan Employee Grantor Trust Enrollment Agreement (Executive Trust) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).*

-33-

10.35	—	Philip Morris International Inc. Form of Indemnification Agreement with Directors and Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 18, 2009).*
10.36	—	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement (2010 Grants) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 17, 2010).*
10.37	_	Form of Deferred Stock Agreement (2010 Grants) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 17, 2010).*
10.38	_	Philip Morris International Performance Incentive Plan, as amended and restated effective February 11, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 17, 2010).*
10.39	—	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement (2011 Grants) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 11, 2011).*
10.40	_	Form of Deferred Stock Agreement (2011 Grants) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 11, 2011).*
10.41	_	Time Sharing Agreement between PMI Global Services Inc. and Louis C. Camilleri dated August 18, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 19, 2010).*
10.42	—	Form of Deferred Stock Agreement (2012 Grants) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 13, 2012).*
10.43	_	Separation Agreement and Release between Philip Morris International Management SA and David Bernick (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 13, 2012).*
12	_	Statement regarding computation of ratios of earnings to fixed charges.
13	_	Pages 17 to 83 of the 2011 Annual Report, but only to the extent set forth in Items 1, 5-8, 9A, and 15 hereof. With the exception of the aforementioned information incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the 2011 Annual Report is not to be deemed "filed" as part of this Report.
21	_	Subsidiaries of Philip Morris International Inc.
23	—	Consent of independent registered public accounting firm.
24	—	Powers of attorney.
31.1	_	Certification of the Registrant's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	_	Certification of the Registrant's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	—	Certification of the Registrant's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	—	Certification of the Registrant's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	_	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	_	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema.

- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase.
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase.
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase.
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase.
- * Denotes management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement in which directors or executive officers are eligible to participate.

-35-

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

PHILIP MORRIS INTERNATIONAL INC.

By: /s/ Louis C. Camilleri

(Louis C. Camilleri Chairman and Chief Executive Officer)

Date: February 24, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Louis C. Camilleri	Director, Chairman and	February 24, 2012
(Louis C. Camilleri)	Chief Executive Officer	
/s/ Hermann Waldemer	Chief Financial Officer	February 24, 2012
(Hermann Waldemer)		
/s/ JOACHIM PSOTTA	Vice President and Controller	February 24, 2012
(Joachim Psotta)		
*HAROLD BROWN, MATHIS CABIALLAVETTA, J. DUDLEY FISHBURN, JENNIFER LI, GRAHAM MACKAY, SERGIO MARCHIONNE, KALPANA MORPARIA, LUCIO A. NOTO, ROBERT B. POLET, CARLOS SLIM HELÚ, STEPHEN M. WOLF	Directors	
*By: /s/ Louis C. Camilleri		February 24, 2012
(Louis C. Camilleri Attorney-in-fact)		

PHILIP MORRIS INTERNATIONAL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges (in millions of dollars)

	For the Years Ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Earnings before income taxes	\$12,532	\$10,324	\$ 9,243	\$ 9,937	\$8,884
Add (deduct):					
Equity in net loss (earnings) of less than 50% owned affiliates	10	8	6	64	(100)
Dividends from less than 50% owned affiliates	—	—		12	100
Fixed charges	1,042	1,069	1,006	618	359
Interest capitalized, net of amortization	(2)	1	2	(11)	(8)
Earnings available for fixed charges	\$13,582	\$11,402	\$10,257	\$10,620	\$9,235
Fixed charges:					
Interest incurred	\$ 940	\$ 976	\$ 920	\$ 543	\$ 280
Portion of rent expense deemed to represent interest factor	102	93	86	75	79
Fixed charges	\$ 1,042	\$ 1,069	\$ 1,006	\$ 618	\$ 359
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	13.0	10.7	10.2	17.2	25.7

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Description of Our Company

We are a holding company whose subsidiaries and affiliates, and their licensees, are engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products in markets outside the United States of America. We manage our business in four segments:

- European Union;
- Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa ("EEMA");
- Asia; and
- Latin America & Canada.

Our products are sold in approximately 180 countries and, in many of these countries, they hold the number one or number two market share position. We have a wide range of premium, mid-price and low-price brands. Our portfolio comprises both international and local brands.

We use the term net revenues to refer to our operating revenues from the sale of our products, net of sales and promotion incentives. Our net revenues and operating income are affected by various factors, including the volume of products we sell, the price of our products, changes in currency exchange rates and the mix of products we sell. Mix is a term used to refer to the proportionate value of premium-price brands to mid-price or low-price brands in any given market (product mix). Mix can also refer to the proportion of shipment volume in more profitable markets versus shipment volume in less profitable markets (geographic mix). We often collect excise taxes from our customers and then remit them to local governments, and, in those circumstances, we include the excise taxes in our net revenues and in excise taxes on products. Our cost of sales consists principally of tobacco leaf, non-tobacco raw materials, labor and manufacturing costs.

Our marketing, administration and research costs include the costs of marketing our products, other costs generally not related to the manufacture of our products (including general corporate expenses), and costs incurred to develop new products. The most significant components of our marketing, administration and research costs are marketing expenses and general and administrative expenses.

We are a legal entity separate and distinct from our direct and indirect subsidiaries. Accordingly, our right, and thus the right of our creditors and stockholders, to participate in any distribution of the assets or earnings of any subsidiary is subject to the prior claims of creditors of such subsidiary, except to the extent that claims of our company itself as a creditor may be recognized. As a holding company, our principal sources of funds, including funds to make payment on our debt securities, are from the receipt of dividends and repayment of debt from our subsidiaries. Our principal wholly owned and majority-owned subsidiaries currently are not limited by long-term debt or other agreements in their ability to pay cash dividends or to make other distributions with respect to their common stock.

Prior to March 28, 2008, we were a wholly owned subsidiary of Altria Group, Inc. ("Altria").

Executive Summary

The following executive summary provides significant highlights from the Discussion and Analysis that follows.

• **Consolidated Operating Results** — The changes in our reported diluted earnings per share ("diluted EPS") for the year ended December 31, 2011, from the comparable 2010 amounts, were as follows:

	Diluted EPS	% Growth
For the year ended December 31, 2010	\$ 3.92	
2010 Asset impairment and exit costs	0.02	
2010 Tax items	(0.07)	
Subtotal of 2010 items	(0.05)	
2011 Asset impairment and exit costs	(0.05)	
2011 Tax items	0.02	
Subtotal of 2011 items	(0.03)	
Currency	0.19	
Interest	0.04	
Change in tax rate	(0.05)	
Impact of lower shares outstanding and share-based payments	0.21	

Operations	0.62	
For the year ended December 31, 2011	<u>\$ 4.85</u>	<u>23.7</u> %

See the discussion of events affecting the comparability of statement of earnings amounts in the Consolidated Operating Results section of the following Discussion and Analysis.

• Asset Impairment and Exit Costs — During 2011, we recorded pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs of \$109 million (\$82 million after tax or \$0.05 per share) primarily related to factory and R&D restructurings, as well as a contract termination charge in EEMA. During 2010, we recorded pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs of \$47 million (\$24 million after tax and noncontrolling interest or \$0.02 per share) related to severance costs for factory restructurings in the European Union, as well as a contract termination charge in Asia. For further details, see Note 5. Asset Impairment and Exit Costs to our consolidated financial statements.

• **Income Taxes** — Our effective income tax rate for 2011 increased 1.7 percentage points to 29.1%, due primarily to higher discrete tax items in 2010 that benefited our 2010 effective tax rate. The 2011 effective tax rate was favorably impacted by an enacted decrease in corporate income tax rates in Greece (\$11 million) and the reversal of a valuation allowance in Brazil (\$15 million). The 2010 effective tax rate was favorably impacted by the reversal of tax reserves

(\$148 million) following the conclusion of the IRS examination of Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated tax returns for the years 2000 through 2003, partially offset by the negative impact of an enacted increase in corporate income tax rates in Greece (\$21 million) and the net result of an audit in Italy (\$6 million). The discrete tax items increased our diluted EPS by \$0.02 per share in 2011, and by \$0.07 per share in 2010.

• **Currency** — The favorable currency impact during 2011 was due primarily to the Australian dollar, the Euro, Indonesian rupiah, Japanese yen and the Russian ruble, partially offset by the Swiss franc and the Turkish lira.

• **Interest** — The favorable impact of interest was due primarily to lower average interest rates on debt and higher interest income, partially offset by higher average debt levels.

• Lower Shares Outstanding and Share-Based Payments — The favorable diluted EPS impact was due to the repurchase of our common stock pursuant to our share repurchase program.

- **Operations** The increase in our operations reflected in the table above was due primarily to the following segments:
 - Asia: Higher pricing and favorable volume/mix, partially offset by higher marketing, administration and research costs (including an increased marketing investment in Japan) and higher manufacturing costs (including higher air freight costs related to increased shipments to Japan); and
 - Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa: Higher pricing and favorable volume/mix, partially offset by higher manufacturing costs and higher marketing, administration and research costs (principally related to a marketing and business infrastructure investment in Russia).

We broadly estimate that our diluted EPS for 2011 increased by approximately \$0.18 as a result of the shortages of competitors' products in Japan following the March 11, 2011, earthquake and tsunami.

For further details, see the "Consolidated Operating Results" and "Operating Results by Business Segment" sections of the following "Discussion and Analysis."

• **2012 Forecasted Results** — On February 9, 2012, we announced our forecast for 2012 full-year reported diluted EPS to be in a range of \$5.25 to \$5.35, at prevailing exchange rates at that time, versus \$4.85 in 2011, resulting in an anticipated unfavorable currency impact of approximately \$0.10 in 2012. Excluding the unfavorable currency impact, reported diluted earnings per share are projected to increase by approximately 10% to 12% versus reported diluted earnings per share in 2011, or by approximately the same percentages versus 2011 adjusted diluted earnings per share of \$4.88. We calculated 2011 adjusted diluted EPS as reported diluted EPS of \$4.85, less the \$0.02 per share benefit of discrete tax items, plus the \$0.05 per share charge related to asset impairment and exit costs. We expect that our 2012 second quarter comparison will be difficult as a result of the unprecedented events in Japan during 2011. This 2012 guidance excludes the impact of potential future acquisitions, unanticipated asset impairment and exit cost charges and any unusual events. The factors described in the *Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results* section of the following *Discussion and Analysis* represent continuing risks to this forecast.

Adjusted diluted EPS is not a U.S. GAAP measure. We define adjusted diluted EPS as reported diluted EPS adjusted for asset impairment and exit costs, discrete tax items and unusual items. We believe it is appropriate to disclose this measure as it represents core earnings, improves comparability and helps investors analyze business performance and trends. Adjusted diluted EPS should be considered neither in isolation nor as a substitute for reported diluted EPS prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Discussion and Analysis

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Note 2. *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* to our consolidated financial statements includes a summary of the significant accounting policies and methods used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. In most instances, we must use a particular accounting policy or method because it is the only one that is permitted under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP").

The preparation of financial statements requires that we use estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of our assets, liabilities, net revenues and expenses, as well as our disclosure of contingencies. If actual amounts differ from previous estimates, we include the revisions in our consolidated results of operations in the period during which we know the actual amounts. Historically, aggregate differences, if any, between our estimates and actual amounts in any year have not had a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

The selection and disclosure of our critical accounting policies and estimates have been discussed with our Audit Committee. The following is a discussion of the more significant assumptions, estimates, accounting policies and methods used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements: • **Revenue Recognition** — As required by U.S. GAAP, we recognize revenues, net of sales and promotion incentives. Our net revenues include excise taxes and shipping and handling charges billed to our customers. Our net revenues are recognized upon shipment or delivery of goods when title and risk of loss pass to our customers. We record shipping and handling costs paid to third parties as part of cost of sales.

• **Goodwill and Non-Amortizable Intangible Assets Valuation** — We test goodwill and non-amortizable intangible assets annually for impairment or more frequently if events occur that would warrant such review. We perform our annual impairment analysis in the first quarter of each year. The impairment analysis involves comparing the fair value of each reporting unit or non-amortizable intangible asset to the carrying value. If the carrying value exceeds the fair value, goodwill or a non-amortizable intangible asset is considered

impaired. To determine the fair value of goodwill, we primarily use a discounted cash flow model, supported by the market approach using earnings multiples of comparable companies. To determine the fair value of non-amortizable intangible assets, we primarily use a discounted cash flow model applying the relief-from-royalty method. These discounted cash flow models include management assumptions relevant for forecasting operating cash flows, which are subject to changes in business conditions, such as volumes and prices, costs to produce, discount rates and estimated capital needs. Management considers historical experience and all available information at the time the fair values are estimated, and we believe these assumptions are consistent with the assumptions a hypothetical marketplace participant would use. We concluded that the fair value of our reporting units and non-amortizable intangible assets exceeded the carrying value and any reasonable movement in the assumptions would not result in an impairment. Since the March 28, 2008, spin-off from Altria, we have not recorded a charge to earnings for an impairment of goodwill or non-amortizable intangible assets.

• **Marketing and Advertising Costs** — As required by U.S. GAAP, we record marketing costs as an expense in the year to which costs relate. We do not defer amounts on our balance sheet. We expense advertising costs during the year in which the costs are incurred. We record trade promotion costs as a reduction of revenues during the year in which these programs are offered, relying on estimates of utilization and redemption rates that have been developed from historical information. Such programs include, but are not limited to, discounts, rebates, in-store display incentives and volume-based incentives. For interim reporting purposes, advertising and certain consumer incentives are charged to earnings based on estimated sales and related expenses for the full year.

• **Employee Benefit Plans** — As discussed in Note 13. *Benefit Plans* to our consolidated financial statements, we provide a range of benefits to our employees and retired employees, including pensions, postretirement health care and postemployment benefits (primarily severance). We record annual amounts relating to these plans based on calculations specified by U.S. GAAP. These calculations include various actuarial assumptions, such as discount rates, assumed rates of return on plan assets, compensation increases and turnover rates. We review actuarial assumptions on an annual basis and make modifications to the assumptions based on current rates and trends when it is deemed appropriate to do so. As permitted by U.S. GAAP, any effect of the modifications is generally amortized over future periods. We believe that the assumptions utilized in calculating our obligations under these plans are reasonable based upon advice from our actuaries.

At December 31, 2011, our discount rate was 4.50% for our U.S. pension and postretirement plans. This rate was 90 basis points lower than our 2010 discount rate. Our weighted-average discount rate assumption for our non-U.S. pension plans decreased to 3.40%, from 4.00% at December 31, 2010. Our weighted-average discount rate assumption for our non-U.S. postretirement plans was 5.45% at December 31, 2011, and 5.14% at December 31, 2010. We anticipate that assumption changes, coupled with the amortization of deferred gains and losses, will increase 2012 pre-tax U.S. and non-U.S. pension and postretirement expense to approximately \$230 million as compared with \$155 million in 2011, excluding amounts related to early retirement programs. A fifty-basis-point decrease in our discount rate would increase our 2012 pension and postretirement expense by approximately \$40 million, and a fifty-basis-point decrease (increase) in the expected return on plan assets would increase (decrease) our 2012 pension expense by approximately \$25 million.

See Note 13. *Benefit Plans* to our consolidated financial statements for a sensitivity discussion of the assumed health care cost trend rates.

• **Income Taxes** — Prior to the spin-off of PMI by Altria, we were a wholly owned subsidiary of Altria. We participated in a tax-sharing agreement with Altria for U.S. tax liabilities, and our accounts were included with those of Altria for purposes of its U.S. federal income tax return. Under the terms of the agreement, taxes were computed on a separate company basis. To the extent that we generated foreign tax credits, capital losses and other credits that could not be utilized on a separate company basis, but were utilized in Altria's consolidated U.S. federal income tax return, we would recognize the resulting benefit in the calculation of our provision for income taxes. We made payments to, or were reimbursed by, Altria for the tax effects resulting from our inclusion in Altria's consolidated United States federal income tax return. On the date of the spin-off of PMI by Altria, we entered into a Tax Sharing Agreement with Altria. The Tax Sharing Agreement generally governs Altria's and our respective rights, responsibilities and obligations for pre-distribution periods and for potential taxes on the spin-off of PMI by Altria. With respect to any potential tax resulting from the spin-off of PMI by Altria, responsibility for the tax will be allocated to the party that acted (or failed to act) in a manner which resulted in the tax. Beginning March 31, 2008, we were no longer a member of the Altria consolidated tax return group, and we filed our own U.S. federal consolidated income tax return.

Income tax provisions for jurisdictions outside the United States, as well as state and local income tax provisions, are determined on a separate company basis, and the related assets and liabilities are recorded in our consolidated balance sheets.

The extent of our operations involves dealing with uncertainties and judgments in the application of complex tax regulations in a multitude of jurisdictions. The final taxes paid are dependent upon many factors, including negotiations with taxing authorities in various jurisdictions and resolution of disputes arising from federal, state, and international tax audits. In accordance with the authoritative guidance for income taxes, we evaluate potential tax exposures and record tax liabilities for anticipated tax audit issues based on our estimate of whether, and the extent to which, additional taxes will be due. We adjust these reserves in light of changing facts and circumstances; however, due to the complexity of some of these uncertainties, the ultimate resolution may

result in a payment that is materially different from our current estimate of the tax liabilities. If our estimate of tax liabilities proves to be less than the ultimate assessment, an additional charge to expense would result. If payment of these amounts ultimately proves to be less than the recorded amounts, the reversal of the liabilities would result in tax benefits being recognized in the period when we determine the liabilities are no longer necessary.

The effective tax rates used for interim reporting are based on our full-year geographic earnings mix projections and cash repatriation plans. Changes in currency exchange rates, earnings mix or in cash repatriation plans could have an impact on the effective tax rates, which we monitor each quarter. Significant judgment is required in determining income tax provisions and in evaluating tax positions.

• **Hedging** — As discussed below in "Market Risk," we use derivative financial instruments principally to reduce exposures to market risks resulting from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates by creating offsetting exposures. For derivatives to which we have elected to apply hedge accounting, we meet the requirements of U.S. GAAP. As a result, gains and losses on these derivatives are deferred in accumulated other comprehensive losses and recognized in the consolidated statement of earnings in the periods when the related hedged transactions are also recognized in operating results. If we had elected not to use the hedge accounting provisions permitted under U.S. GAAP, gains (losses) deferred in stockholders' equity would have been recorded in our net earnings.

• **Contingencies** — As discussed in Note 21. *Contingencies* to our consolidated financial statements, legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters are pending or threatened against us and/or our subsidiaries, and/or our indemnitees in various jurisdictions. We and our subsidiaries record provisions in the consolidated financial statements for pending litigation when we determine that an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. The variability in pleadings in multiple jurisdictions, together with the actual experience of management in litigating claims, demonstrate that the monetary relief that may be specified in a lawsuit bears little relevance to the ultimate outcome. Much of the tobacco-related litigation is in its early stages, and litigation is subject to uncertainty. At the present time, while it is reasonably possible that an unfavorable outcome in a case may occur, after assessing the information available to it (i) management has not concluded that it is probable that a loss has been incurred in any of the pending tobacco-related cases; (ii) management is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss for any of the pending tobacco-related cases; if any. Legal defense costs are expensed as incurred.

Consolidated Operating Results

See pages 41 to 44 for a discussion of "Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results." Our cigarette volume, net revenues, excise taxes on products and operating companies income by segment were as follows:

(in millions)	2011	2010	2009
Cigarette Volume			
European Union	211,493	222,964	235,300
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	290,250	289,312	298,760
Asia	313,282	282,290	226,204
Latin America & Canada	100,241	105,290	103,779
Total cigarette volume	915,266	899,856	864,043
(in millions)	2011	2010	2009
Net Revenues			
European Union	\$ 29,768	\$ 28,050	\$ 28,550
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	17,452	15,928	13,865
Asia	19,590	15,235	12,413
Latin America & Canada	9,536	8,500	7,252
Net revenues	<u>\$ 76,346</u>	\$ 67,713	\$ 62,080
(in millions)	2011	2010	2009
Excise Taxes on Products			
European Union	\$ 20,556	\$ 19,239	\$ 19,509
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	9,571	8,519	7,070
Asia	8,885	7,300	5,885
Latin America & Canada	6,237	5,447	4,581
Excise taxes on products	\$ 45,249	\$ 40,505	\$ 37,045
(in millions)	2011	2010	2009
Operating Income			

\$ 4,560	\$ 4,311	\$ 4,506
3,229	3,152	2,663
4,836	3,049	2,436
988	953	666
(98)	(88)	(74)
(183)	(177)	(157)
<u>\$ 13,332</u>	\$ 11,200	\$ 10,040
	3,229 4,836 988 (98) (183)	3,229 3,152 4,836 3,049 988 953 (98) (88) (183) (177)

As discussed in Note 12. *Segment Reporting* to our consolidated financial statements, we evaluate segment performance and allocate resources based on operating companies income, which we define as operating income before general corporate expenses and amortization of intangibles. We believe it is appropriate to disclose this measure to help investors analyze the business performance and trends of our various business segments.

References to total international cigarette market, total cigarette market, total market and market shares throughout this Discussion and Analysis are our estimates based on a number of internal and external sources.

The following events that occurred during 2011, 2010 and 2009 affected the comparability of our statement of earnings amounts:

• Asset Impairment and Exit Costs — For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs by segment were as follows:

(in millions)	2011	2010	2009
Separation programs:			
European Union	\$ 35	\$27	\$ 29
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	6		
Asia	7		
Latin America & Canada	15		
Total separation programs	63	27	29
Contract termination charges:			
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	12		
Asia		20	
Total contract termination charges	12	20	
Asset impairment charges:			
European Union	10		
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	7		
Asia	8		
Latin America & Canada	9		
Total asset impairment charges	34		
Asset impairment and exit costs	\$109	\$47	\$ 29

For further details, see Note 5. Asset Impairment and Exit Costs to our consolidated financial statements.

• Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement Charge — The 2009 operating companies income of the Latin America & Canada segment included a pre-tax charge of \$135 million related to the Investment and Cooperation Agreement in Colombia. For further details, see Note 18. *Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement* to our consolidated financial statements.

• Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements — For further details, see Note 6. Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements to our consolidated financial statements.

2011 compared with 2010

The following discussion compares our consolidated operating results for the year ended December 31, 2011, with the year ended December 31, 2010.

Our cigarette shipment volume of 915.3 billion units increased 15.4 billion (1.7%), due primarily to gains in:

- Asia, primarily driven by a higher total market and share in Indonesia, higher share in Japan (including the benefit from the shortages of competitors' products) and Korea, as well as the favorable impact of the business combination in the Philippines; and
- EEMA, primarily due to higher total markets in Algeria and Saudi Arabia, and higher share in Algeria and Turkey.

These gains were partially offset by declines in:

- the European Union, primarily due to lower total markets and share, mainly in Italy, Portugal and Spain, and a lower total market in Greece; and
- Latin America & Canada, due mainly to Mexico, reflecting a lower total market, partly offset by a higher total market and share in Argentina, and higher share in Canada.

Excluding acquisitions (primarily the business combination with Fortune Tobacco Corporation in the Philippines), our cigarette shipment volume was up 0.5%, driven by growth from each of our top ten brands by volume, which, collectively, represented more than 75% of our total cigarette shipment volume.

Our market share performance was stable or registered growth in a number of markets, including Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Australia, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Greece, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Mexico, the Netherlands, the Philippines, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, Thailand and Turkey.

Total cigarette shipments of *Marlboro* of 300.1 billion units were up by 0.9%, due primarily to an increase in Asia of 8.8%, mainly Indonesia, Japan, Korea and Vietnam; and growth in EEMA of 5.3%, primarily due to the Middle East and North Africa. These increases were partially offset by declines in the European Union of 5.1%, mainly reflecting lower total markets and share, primarily in Italy, Portugal and Spain, a lower market in Greece, and lower share in Germany, partly offset by share growth in Belgium and Hungary; and in Latin America & Canada of 5.8%, mainly due to a lower total market in Mexico, partly offset by share growth in Argentina, Colombia and Brazil.

Total cigarette shipments of *L&M* of 90.1 billion units were up by 1.7%, reflecting growth in the European Union, EEMA and Latin America & Canada segments. Total cigarette shipments of *Chesterfield* of 36.7 billion units were up by 0.6%, driven by growth in the European Union, primarily in Germany and Portugal. Total cigarette shipments of *Parliament* of 39.4 billion units were up by 12.1%. Total cigarette shipments of *Lark* of 33.7 billion units increased by 17.5%, driven by growth in Japan, partially offset by a decline in Turkey. Total cigarette shipments of *Bond Street* of 45.0 billion units increased by 2.0%, led mainly by growth in Kazakhstan, Russia and Ukraine, partially offset by declines in Hungary and Turkey. Total cigarette shipments of *Philip Morris* of 39.3 billion units increased by 1.4%, mainly reflecting growth in Japan and Argentina, partly offset by a decline in the Philippines.

Total shipment volume of other tobacco products (OTP), in cigarette equivalent units, excluding acquisitions, grew by 7.2%, notably in Benelux, France, Italy and Germany.

Total shipment volume for cigarettes and OTP combined was up by 0.7% excluding acquisitions.

Our net revenues and excise taxes on products were as follows:

(in millions)	2011	2010	Variance	%
Net revenues	\$76,346	\$67,713	\$ 8,633	12.7%
Excise taxes on products	45,249	40,505	4,744	11.7%
Net revenues, excluding excise taxes on products	<u>\$31,097</u>	\$27,208	\$ 3,889	14.3%

Currency movements increased net revenues by \$2.6 billion and net revenues, excluding excise taxes on products, by \$1.2 billion. The \$1.2 billion increase was due primarily to the Australian dollar, the Euro, Indonesian rupiah, Japanese yen, Russian ruble and the Swiss franc, partially offset by the Turkish lira.

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$8.6 billion (12.7%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased \$3.9 billion (14.3%) to \$31.1 billion. This increase was due to:

- price increases (\$1.9 billion),
- favorable currency (\$1.2 billion),
- favorable volume/mix (\$609 million) and
- the impact of acquisitions (\$137 million).

Excise taxes on products increased \$4.7 billion (11.7%), due to:

- higher excise taxes resulting from changes in retail prices and tax rates (\$3.2 billion),
- currency movements (\$1.3 billion),
- volume/mix (\$198 million) and
- the impact of acquisitions (\$52 million).

Governments have consistently increased excise taxes in most of the markets in which we operate. As discussed under the caption "Business Environment," we expect excise taxes to continue to increase.

Our cost of sales; marketing, administration and research costs; and operating income were as follows:

(in millions)	2011	2010	Variance	%
Cost of sales	\$10,678	\$ 9,713	\$ 965	9.9%
Marketing, administration and research costs	6,880	6,160	720	11.7%
Operating income	13,332	11,200	2,132	19.0%

Cost of sales increased \$965 million (9.9%), due to:

- higher manufacturing costs (\$428 million, including air freight costs related to additional shipments to Japan),
- currency movements (\$254 million),
- volume/mix (\$187 million) and
- the impact of acquisitions (\$96 million).

With regard to tobacco leaf prices, we expect modest increases going forward, broadly in line with inflation, as the market has now been stabilized, due in part to our increased direct involvement with local farmers. We also anticipate some cost pressure in 2012, driven in large measure by the historical leaf tobacco price increases that will continue to affect our product costs in the current year, higher prices for cloves and higher prices for a number of other direct materials we use in the production of our brands.

Marketing, administration and research costs increased \$720 million (11.7%), due to:

- currency (\$427 million),
- higher expenses (\$278 million, principally related to increased marketing investment in Japan and Russia, and business infrastructure investment in Russia) and
- the impact of acquisitions (\$15 million).

Operating income increased \$2.1 billion (19.0%). This increase was due primarily to:

- price increases (\$1.9 billion),
- favorable currency (\$565 million) and

- favorable volume/mix (\$422 million), partially offset by
- higher manufacturing expenses (\$428 million),
- higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$278 million) and
- higher asset impairment and exit costs (\$62 million).

Interest expense, net, of \$800 million decreased \$76 million, due primarily to lower average interest rates on debt and higher interest income, partially offset by higher average debt levels.

Our effective tax rate increased 1.7 percentage points to 29.1%, due primarily to higher discrete tax items in 2010 that benefited our 2010 effective tax rate. The 2011 effective tax rate was favorably impacted by an enacted decrease in corporate income tax rates in Greece (\$11 million) and the reversal of a valuation allowance in Brazil (\$15 million). The 2010 effective tax rate was favorably impacted by the reversal of tax reserves (\$148 million) following the conclusion of the IRS examination of Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated tax returns for the years 2000 through 2003, partially offset by the negative impact of an enacted increase in corporate income tax rates in Greece (\$21 million) and the net result of an audit in Italy (\$6 million). The effective tax rate is based on our full-year geographic earnings mix and cash repatriation plans. Changes in our cash repatriation plans could have an impact on the effective tax rate, which we monitor each quarter. Significant judgment is required in determining income tax provisions and in evaluating tax positions. Based upon tax regulations in existence at December 31, 2011, and our cash repatriation plans, we estimate that our 2012 effective tax rate will be approximately 29% to 30%.

We are regularly examined by tax authorities around the world, and we are currently under examination in a number of jurisdictions. It is reasonably possible that within the next twelve months certain tax examinations will close, which could result in a change in unrecognized tax benefits along with related interest and penalties. An estimate of any possible change cannot be made at this time.

Net earnings attributable to PMI of \$8.6 billion increased \$1.3 billion (18.3%). This increase was due primarily to higher operating income, partially offset by a higher effective tax rate. Diluted and basic EPS of \$4.85 increased by 23.7% and 23.4%, respectively. Excluding a favorable currency impact of \$0.19, diluted EPS increased 18.9%.

2010 compared with 2009

The following discussion compares our consolidated operating results for the year ended December 31, 2010, with the year ended December 31, 2009.

Our cigarette shipment volume of 899.9 billion units increased 35.8 billion (4.1%), due primarily to gains in:

- Asia, driven by growth in Indonesia, reflecting a higher total market; Korea, driven by higher share; and the favorable impact of the business combination with Fortune Tobacco Corporation in the Philippines of 57.4 billion units; partially offset by Japan, due to the lower total market reflecting the impact of the October 1, 2010, tax-driven retail price increases and unfavorable trade inventory movements, partly offset by higher market share; and
- Latin America & Canada, mainly due to Canada, reflecting a higher tax-paid market, and Mexico, partially driven by trade inventory movements ahead of the January 1, 2011, excise tax increase.

These gains were partially offset by declines in:

- the European Union, primarily reflecting lower total markets, notably in the Baltic States, Greece, Poland and Spain, driven by tax-driven price increases and adverse economic conditions; and lower market share, mainly in the Czech Republic, Germany, Greece and Portugal; and
- EEMA, primarily due to: Romania, reflecting a lower total market and lower market share following excise tax increases in 2009 and January and July 2010, as well as unfavorable trade inventory movements; Turkey, reflecting the unfavorable impact of a significant excise tax increase in January 2010; and Ukraine, reflecting the unfavorable impact of steep tax-driven price increases in January and July 2010; partially offset by increases in Russia, due primarily to higher market share and favorable distributor inventory movements; and North Africa, primarily Algeria, reflecting higher market share.

Excluding acquisitions (primarily the business combination with Fortune Tobacco Corporation in the Philippines), our cigarette shipment volume was down 2.5%.

Our market share performance was stable or registered growth in a number of markets, including Algeria, Argentina, Belgium, Brazil, Egypt, Indonesia, Japan, Korea, Mexico, the Netherlands, Pakistan, Poland, Russia, Singapore, Switzerland and Thailand.

Total cigarette shipments of *Marlboro* of 297.4 billion units were down by 1.5%, due primarily to a decrease in the European Union of 5.8%, mainly reflecting: lower share in Germany, lower share in Greece, driven by excise tax and VAT-driven price increases, and a lower total market in Spain; a decrease in EEMA of 1.5%, primarily due to Turkey, reflecting tax-driven price increases; Romania and Russia, partially offset by strong growth in North Africa; an increase in Asia of 3.0%, led by growth in Korea and the Philippines, offset by Japan following the significant tax increase of October 1, 2010; and growth in Latin America and Canada of 2.1%, driven by Colombia and Mexico.

Total cigarette shipments of *L&M* of 88.6 billion units were down by 2.4%, with shipment growth in the European Union, primarily in Germany and Greece, more than offset by EEMA, primarily due to declines in Russia and Ukraine, partly offset by growth in Algeria. Total *Chesterfield* cigarette shipments of 36.4 billion units declined 3.3%, driven by lower shipments in Spain and Ukraine, partially offset by growth in Poland and Russia. Total cigarette shipments of *Parliament* of 35.2 billion units were down by 5.7%, due primarily to declines in Japan and Turkey, partially offset by growth in Korea. Total cigarette shipments of 28.7 billion units decreased by 6.0%, due primarily to declines in Japan, partially offset by growth in Turkey. Total cigarette shipments of *Bond Street* of 44.1 billion units increased by 5.7%, driven by double-digit growth in Russia, partly offset by declines in Turkey and Ukraine.

Total shipment volume of other tobacco products (OTP), in cigarette equivalent units, grew by 35.1%, benefiting from the acquisition of Swedish Match South Africa (Proprietary) Limited. Excluding acquisitions, shipment volume of OTP was down by 4.3%, primarily due to lower volume in Poland, reflecting the impact of the excise tax alignment of pipe tobacco to roll-your-own in the first quarter of 2009, partly offset by the growth of fine cut in Belgium, Germany and Spain.

Total shipment volume for cigarettes and OTP was up by 4.8%, or down by 2.5% excluding acquisitions.

Our net revenues and excise taxes on products were as follows:

(in millions)	2010	2009	Variance	%
Net revenues	\$67,713	\$62,080	\$ 5,633	9.1%
Excise taxes on products	40,505	37,045	3,460	<u>9.3</u> %

Net revenues, excluding excise taxes on products	\$27,208	\$25,035	\$2,173	8.7%

Currency movements increased net revenues by \$1.6 billion and net revenues, excluding excise taxes on products, by \$694 million. The \$694 million increase was due primarily to the Australian dollar, Brazilian real, Canadian dollar, Indonesian rupiah, Japanese yen, Korean won, Mexican peso, Russian ruble and Turkish lira, partially offset by the Argentine peso and the Euro.

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$5.6 billion (9.1%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased \$2.2 billion (8.7%) to \$27.2 billion. This increase was due to:

- price increases (\$1.7 billion),
- favorable currency (\$694 million) and
- the impact of acquisitions (\$631 million), partially offset by
- lower volume/mix (\$814 million).

Excise taxes on products increased \$3.5 billion (9.3%), due to:

- higher excise taxes resulting from changes in retail prices and tax rates (\$3.9 billion),
- currency movements (\$863 million) and
- the impact of acquisitions (\$246 million), partially offset by
- lower volume/mix (\$1.5 billion).

Our cost of sales; marketing, administration and research costs; and operating income were as follows:

(in millions)	2010	2009	Variance	%
Cost of sales	\$ 9,713	\$ 9,022	\$ 691	7.7%
Marketing, administration and research costs	6,160	5,870	290	4.9%
Operating income	11,200	10,040	1,160	11.6%

Cost of sales increased \$691 million (7.7%), due to:

- the impact of acquisitions (\$480 million),
- currency movements (\$176 million) and
- higher manufacturing costs (\$165 million, primarily leaf tobacco costs), partially offset by
- volume/mix (\$130 million).

Marketing, administration and research costs increased \$290 million (4.9%), due to:

- higher expenses (\$228 million, primarily general and administrative as well as research and development costs),
- currency (\$177 million) and
- the impact of acquisitions (\$20 million), partially offset by
- the 2009 charge related to the Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement (\$135 million).

Operating income increased \$1.2 billion (11.6%). This increase was due primarily to:

- price increases (\$1.7 billion),
- favorable currency (\$337 million),
- the 2009 charge related to the Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement (\$135 million) and
- the impact of acquisitions (\$131 million), partially offset by
- lower volume/mix (\$684 million),
- higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$228 million),
- higher manufacturing costs (\$165 million) and
- higher asset impairment and exit costs (\$18 million).

Interest expense, net, of \$876 million increased \$79 million, due primarily to higher average debt levels and lower interest income, partially offset by lower average interest rates on debt.

Our effective tax rate decreased 1.7 percentage points to 27.4%. The 2010 effective tax rate was favorably impacted by the reversal of tax reserves (\$148 million) following the conclusion of the IRS examination of Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated tax returns for the years 2000 through 2003, partially offset by the negative impact of an enacted increase in corporate income tax rates in Greece (\$21 million) and the net result of an audit in Italy (\$6 million).

Net earnings attributable to PMI of \$7.3 billion increased \$917 million (14.5%). This increase was due primarily to higher operating income and a lower effective tax rate, partially offset by higher interest expense, net. Diluted EPS of \$3.92 and basic EPS of

\$3.93 increased by 21.0% and 20.9%, respectively. Excluding a favorable currency impact of \$0.12, diluted EPS increased 17.3%.

Operating Results by Business Segment

Business Environment

Taxes, Legislation, Regulation and Other Matters Regarding the Manufacture, Marketing, Sale and Use of Tobacco Products

The tobacco industry faces a number of challenges that may adversely affect our business, volume, results of operations, cash flows and financial position. These challenges, which are discussed below and in "*Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results*," include:

- actual and proposed tobacco legislation and regulation;
- actual and proposed excise tax increases, as well as changes in excise tax structures and retail selling price regulations;
- price gaps and changes in price gaps between premium and mid-price and low-price brands and between cigarettes and other tobacco products;
- illicit trade in cigarettes and other tobacco products, including counterfeit and contraband;

- significant governmental actions aimed at imposing regulatory requirements impacting our ability to communicate with adult consumers and differentiate our products from competitors' products;
- increased efforts by tobacco control advocates to "denormalize" smoking and seek the implementation of extreme regulatory measures;
- proposed legislation to mandate plain (generic) packaging resulting in the expropriation of our trademarks;
- pending and threatened litigation as discussed in Note 21. Contingencies;
- actual and proposed requirements for the disclosure of cigarette ingredients and other proprietary information without adequate trade secret protection;
- disproportionate testing requirements and performance standards;
- actual and proposed restrictions on the use of tobacco product ingredients, including a complete ban of tobacco product ingredients;
- actual and proposed restrictions on imports in certain jurisdictions;
- actual and proposed restrictions affecting tobacco manufacturing, packaging, marketing, advertising, product display and sales;
- governmental and private bans and restrictions on smoking;
- the outcome of proceedings and investigations, and the potential assertion of claims, and proposed regulation relating to contraband shipments of cigarettes; and
- governmental investigations.

In the ordinary course of business, many factors can affect the timing of sales to customers, including the timing of holidays and other annual or special events, the timing of promotions, customer incentive programs and customer inventory programs, as well as the actual or speculated timing of pricing actions and tax-driven price increases.

• **Framework Convention on Tobacco Control:** The World Health Organization's ("WHO") Framework Convention on Tobacco Control ("FCTC") entered into force in February 2005. As of February 2012, 174 countries, as well as the European Community, have become Parties to the FCTC. The FCTC is the first international public health treaty, and its objective is to establish a global agenda for tobacco regulation with the purpose of reducing initiation of tobacco use and encouraging cessation. The treaty recommends (and, in certain instances, requires) Parties to have in place or enact legislation that would:

- establish specific actions to prevent youth smoking;
- restrict and/or eliminate all tobacco product advertising, marketing, promotions and sponsorships;
- initiate public education campaigns to inform the public about the health consequences of smoking and the benefits of quitting;
- implement regulations imposing product testing, disclosure and performance standards;
- impose health warning requirements on packaging;
- adopt measures aimed at eliminating cigarette smuggling and counterfeit cigarettes;
- restrict smoking in public places;
- implement public health-based fiscal policies (tax and price measures);
- adopt and implement measures that ensure that packaging and labeling, including descriptive terms, do not create the false impression that one brand of cigarettes is safer than another;
- phase out or restrict duty free tobacco sales; and
- encourage litigation against tobacco product manufacturers.

In many respects, the areas of regulation we support mirror provisions of the FCTC, such as regulation of advertising and marketing, product content and emissions, sales to minors, public smoking and the use of tax and price policy to achieve public health objectives. However, we disagree with the provisions of the FCTC that call for a total ban on marketing, a total ban on public smoking, a ban on the sale of duty free cigarettes, and the use of litigation against the tobacco industry. We also believe that excessive taxation can have significant adverse consequences. The speed at which tobacco regulation has been adopted in our markets has increased as a result of the treaty.

Following the entry into force of the FCTC, the Conference of the Parties ("CoP"), the governing body of the FCTC, has adopted several guidelines that provide non-binding recommendations to the Parties supplementing specific Articles of the Treaty. The recommendations include measures that we strongly oppose, such as point-of-sale display bans, plain (generic) packaging, a ban on

all forms of communications to adult smokers, measures to prohibit or restrict ingredients that may increase the palatability or attractiveness of tobacco products, and limits on tobacco industry involvement in the development of tobacco policy and regulations. These recommendations reflect an extreme application of the Treaty, are not based on sound evidence of a public health benefit and are likely to lead to adverse consequences. In fact, as we discuss below, they are likely to undermine public health by leading to a further increase in illicit trade and low-price cigarettes and, in the case of measures such as plain packaging, will additionally result in the expropriation of our trademarks, harm competition and violate international treaties.

It is not possible to predict whether or to what extent the various guidelines will be adopted by governments. If governments choose to implement regulation based on these extreme recommendations, such regulation may adversely affect our business, volume, results of operations, cash flows and financial position. In some instances, including those

described below, where such regulation has been adopted, we have commenced legal proceedings challenging the regulation. It is not possible to predict the outcome of these legal proceedings.

• **Excise Taxes:** Cigarettes are subject to substantial excise taxes and to other product taxation worldwide. Significant increases in cigarette-related taxes or fees have been proposed or enacted and are likely to continue to be proposed or enacted. In addition, in certain jurisdictions, our products are subject to tax structures that discriminate against premium price products and manufactured cigarettes.

At the fourth session of the CoP, it was decided to establish a working group to develop guidelines on price and tax measures to reduce the demand for tobacco (Article 6 of the FCTC). Draft guidelines will be presented to the fifth CoP scheduled for November 2012. We strongly oppose excessive and disruptive excise tax increases, which encourage illicit trade and drive consumers to low-price and alternative tobacco products. Such tax increases undermine public health and ultimately undercut government revenue objectives.

Tax increases and discriminatory tax structures are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on our sales of cigarettes, due to lower consumption levels and to a shift in consumer purchases from the premium to non-premium or discount segments or other low-price or low-taxed tobacco products such as fine-cut tobacco products and/or counterfeit and contraband products.

• **EU Tobacco Products Directive:** In 2010, the European Commission conducted a public consultation on the revision of the EU Tobacco Products Directive (2001/37/EC), seeking a "wide range of views…on factors such as labeling and health warnings on tobacco packets and additives used as tobacco ingredients." Policy options submitted for comment included measures we oppose, such as plain packaging, a point-of-sale display ban, an ingredients ban, and oversized health warnings, covering 75% of the front and 100% of the back of cigarette packs. Over 85,000 submissions have been made in response to the public consultation.

The Commission has stated that it hopes to make a proposal for amending the EU Tobacco Products Directive in 2012. Thereafter, the proposal requires approval by the European Parliament and the Council of Ministers, a process that is expected to take several years. It is not possible to predict what amendments, if any, will be proposed and ultimately adopted.

• **Plain Packaging:** While to date no country other than Australia has adopted this measure, plain packaging proposals have received support from tobacco control advocates as well as some individual legislators and public health officials in various other countries. Also, as noted above, the FCTC's CoP adopted guidelines recommending plain packaging in 2008. We strongly oppose plain packaging, which would not only constitute an expropriation of our valuable trademarks, but would be a pure and simple confiscation of the core of our business. Transforming the industry into a low price commodity business will not reduce consumption, smoking incidence or initiation. Indeed, plain packaging is a misguided measure that will undermine the public health objectives of its proponents. Furthermore, it will impair free competition, jeopardize freedom of trade, stifle product innovation and spur illicit trade and counterfeit activity to the detriment of the legitimate industry, its entire supply chain and government revenues. Moreover, the imposition of plain packaging would violate the terms of international treaties governing the protection of industrial property and the trade-related aspects of intellectual property rights, and several countries have specifically raised these issues with respect to Australia's plain packaging legislation. We will take all steps necessary to ensure that all constituencies understand the adverse consequences of plain packaging and to obtain all protection and relief to which we are entitled under the law.

In Australia, the Tobacco Plain Packaging Bill 2011 and the Trade Marks Amendment (Tobacco Plain Packaging) Bill 2011 were passed by the Federal Parliament in November 2011 and given Royal assent on December 1, 2011. The legislation will ban, as of December 1, 2012, the use of company branding, logos and colors on packaging other than the brand name and variant which may be printed only in specified locations and in uniform font. It also includes a provision that renders the plain packaging requirements inapplicable to any property (e.g., trademarks, logos, etc.) that a court determines has been expropriated by the legislation. Also, on December 22, 2011, the government amended the health warning requirements to mandate, among other things, increased warning labels on the front of the pack from 30% to 75% effective from January 1, 2012, with transition provisions applicable until December 1, 2012, to coincide with the full compliance deadline for plain packaging.

In June 2011, our subsidiary, Philip Morris Asia Limited, served a notice of claim on the government stating its intention to take Australia to international arbitration pursuant to the Hong Kong-Australia Bilateral Investment Treaty regarding plain packaging for tobacco products. The parties were not able to reach an amicable settlement, so formal arbitration proceedings under the Investment Treaty were initiated against the government on November 21, 2011. In the arbitration, Philip Morris Asia Limited is seeking substantial compensation from the government.

Further, on December 20, 2011, our Australian subsidiary, Philip Morris Limited, filed a lawsuit against the government in the High Court of Australia. Philip Morris Limited is challenging the plain packaging legislation on the basis that the legislation violates the Australian Constitution by acquiring Philip Morris Limited's property without paying for it. Other tobacco companies have filed similar lawsuits against the government.

In March 2011, the UK government stated, in its Tobacco Control Plan, that it "wants to understand whether there is evidence to demonstrate that plain packaging would have an additional public health benefit" and it will also "explore the competition, trade and

legal implications, and the likely impact on the illicit tobacco market." The UK government indicated that it would begin consultation on plain packaging in spring 2012.

• **Brand Descriptors:** Many countries, and the EU, prohibit or are in the process of prohibiting descriptors such as "lights," "mild" and "low tar." The FCTC requires the Parties to adopt and implement measures to ensure that tobacco

product packaging and labeling, including descriptive terms, do not create "the false impression that a particular tobacco product is less harmful than other tobacco products."

Some public health advocates, governments, and the guidelines issued by the FCTC's CoP have called for a ban or restriction on the use of colors, which they claim are also used to signify that some brands provide lower yields of tar, nicotine and other smoke constituents. Other governments have banned, sought to ban or restricted the use of descriptive terms they regard as misleading, including, in at least one country, the use of colors, and terms such as "premium," "full flavor," "international," "gold," and "silver," and one permits only one pack variation per brand, arguing that such terms or pack variations are inherently misleading. We believe such regulations are unreasonably broad, go beyond the scope and intent of legislation designed to prevent consumers from believing that one brand is less harmful than another, unduly restrict our intellectual property and other rights, and violate international trade commitments. As such, we oppose these types of regulations, and in some instances we have commenced litigation to challenge them.

• Testing and Reporting of Other Smoke Constituents: Several countries, including Brazil, Canada, and Taiwan, require manufacturers to test and report to regulators certain by-brand yields of other smoke constituents from the 45 to 80 that have been identified as potential causes of tobacco-related diseases. We measure many of these constituents for our product research and development purposes and support efforts to develop reasonable regulation in this area. However, there is no international consensus on which smoke constituents cause the full range of diseases associated with tobacco use, and there are very limited internationally validated analytical methods to measure the constituents' yields in the smoke. Moreover, there is extremely limited capacity to conduct by-brand testing on a global basis. It is not certain when actual testing requirements will be recommended by the FCTC's CoP and whether individual countries will adopt them, although bills to require testing of a wide range of smoke constituent yields are pending in some countries. The cost of by-brand testing could be significant, and public health groups, including the relevant CoP Working Group, have recommended that tobacco companies should be required to bear that cost.

• **Ceilings on Tar, Nicotine, Carbon Monoxide and Other Smoke Constituents:** Despite the fact that public health authorities have questioned the significance of ISO-measured tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide yields, a number of countries, including all EU Member States, have established maximum yields of tar, nicotine and/or carbon monoxide, as measured by the ISO standard test method. No country to date has adopted ceilings based on an alternative test method or for other smoke constituents. In 2009, the WHO's Study Group on Tobacco Regulation ("TobReg") recommended that governments establish ceilings for nine specific smoke constituents, including tobacco-specific nitrosamines. The TobReg proposal would set ceilings based on the median yield for each constituent in the market determined by testing all brands sold in the market. Although this concept of "selective constituent reduction" is supported by some public health officials, several public health advocates and scientists have criticized the proposal on the grounds that selectively reducing *some* constituents in conventional cigarettes will not lead to a meaningful reduction in disease and thus will not benefit public health and/or will mislead consumers into believing that conventional cigarettes with regulated (i.e., reduced) levels of these constituents are safer.

• **Ingredient Disclosure Laws:** Many countries have enacted or proposed legislation or regulations that require cigarette manufacturers to disclose to governments and to the public the ingredients used in the manufacture of cigarettes and, in certain cases, to provide toxicological information about those ingredients. While we believe the public health objectives of these requests can be met without providing exact by-brand formulae, we have made and will continue to make full disclosures to governments where adequate assurances of trade secret protection are provided. For example, under the EU Tobacco Products Directive, tobacco companies are required to disclose ingredients and toxicological information to each Member State. We have made ingredient disclosures in compliance with the laws of EU Member States, making full by-brand disclosures in a manner that protects trade secrets. In jurisdictions where appropriate assurances of trade secret protection are not possible to obtain, we will seek to resolve the matter with governments through alternative options.

• **Restrictions and Bans on the Use of Ingredients:** Several countries have laws and/or regulations governing the use of ingredients in tobacco products that have been in place for many years. Our products comply with those laws. Until recently, efforts to regulate ingredients have focused on whether ingredients added to cigarettes increase the toxicity and/or addictiveness of cigarette smoke. Increasingly, however, tobacco control advocates and some regulators, including the WHO, the European Commission, and individual governments, are considering regulating or have regulated cigarette ingredients with the stated objective of reducing the "palatability" and "attractiveness" of cigarette smoke, smoking and tobacco products. The Canadian federal government adopted a bill, which became effective in July 2010, that banned virtually all flavor ingredients in cigarettes and little cigars. The bill has had the effect of banning traditional American blend cigarettes in Canada, which represented a share of below 1% of the Canadian market.

In November 2010, the fourth session of the CoP adopted "partial" and "provisional" guidelines on Articles 9 and 10 of the FCTC (regulation of contents and disclosure of tobacco products). Among other things, these guidelines recommend that Parties implement measures to prohibit or restrict ingredients and colorings that may increase the palatability or attractiveness of tobacco products. The CoP determined that these guidelines will have to be periodically re-assessed "in light of the scientific evidence and country experience" and mandated that the Working Group on Articles 9 and 10 present a set of recommendations focused on toxicity and addictiveness to the fifth session of the CoP in November 2012.

We support regulations that would prohibit the use of ingredients that are determined, based on sound scientific test methods and data, to significantly increase the inherent toxicity and/or addictiveness of smoke. The outcome of the fourth session of the CoP makes clear that there is a need for further work to develop a science-based framework for ingredients regulation. We oppose regulations that would ban ingredients to reduce the palatability or attractiveness of tobacco products because, in light of the millions of smokers in countries like Canada, the UK and China who prefer cigarettes without ingredients, there is no reasonable basis to conclude that an ingredient ban would reduce smoking prevalence.

• **Bans and Restrictions on Advertising, Marketing, Promotions and Sponsorships:** For many years, countries have imposed partial or total bans on tobacco advertising, marketing and promotion. The FCTC calls for a "comprehensive ban on advertising, promotion and sponsorship" and requires governments that have no constitutional constraints to ban all forms of advertising. Where constitutional constraints exist, the FCTC requires governments to restrict or ban radio, television, print media, other media, including the Internet, and sponsorships of international events within five years of the effective date of a country's ratification of the FCTC. The FCTC also requires disclosure of expenditures on advertising, promotion and sponsorship where such activities are not prohibited. The CoP adopted guidelines that recommend that governments adopt extreme and sweeping prohibitions, including all forms of communications to adult smokers. We oppose complete bans on advertising and communications. We also believe that the available evidence does not support the contention that limitations on marketing are effective in reducing smoking prevalence, but we would generally not oppose such limitations as long as manufacturers retain the ability to communicate directly and effectively to adult smokers.

• **Bans on Display of Tobacco Products at Retail:** Some countries have adopted, or are considering adopting, bans of product displays at point of sale. We oppose product display bans on the grounds that the data show that where display bans have been implemented they have not reduced smoking prevalence or had any material beneficial impact on public health, and that display bans unnecessarily restrict competition and encourage illicit trade — all of which undermine public health objectives. In some markets, our subsidiaries and, in some cases, individual retailers, have commenced legal proceedings to overturn display bans.

Health Warning Requirements: Many countries require substantial health warnings on cigarette packs. In the EU, for example, health warnings currently must cover between 30% and 35% of the front and between 40% and 50% of the back of cigarette packs. The FCTC requires health warnings that cover, at a minimum, 30% of the front and back of the pack, and recommends warnings covering 50% or more of the front and back of the pack. Following the FCTC, many countries have increased the size of their health warnings. To date, however, only a few countries have implemented warnings that are more than 50% of the front and/or back of the pack. They include, for instance, Australia (30% front, going to 75% as of December 1, 2012, and 90% back), Mexico (30% front and 100% back) and Uruguay (80% front and back), and Canada passed legislation mandating health warnings on 75% of the front and back of the packs. We support health warning requirements and, with certain exceptions, defer to the governments on the content of the warnings. In countries where health warnings are not required, we place them on packaging voluntarily in the official language or languages of the country. For example, we are voluntarily placing health warnings on packaging in many African countries in official local languages occupying 30% of the front and back of the pack. We oppose warning size requirements that infringe on our intellectual property rights, leaving insufficient space for our distinctive trademarks and pack designs. In some markets, for example in Uruguay, we have commenced legal proceedings challenging the disproportionate warning size requirements. We also oppose regulations that would require the placement of health warnings in the middle of the front and back of the pack, as such placement serves no purpose other than to disrupt our trademarks and pack design. While we believe that textual warnings are sufficient, we do not oppose graphic warnings except for images that vilify tobacco companies and their employees or do not accurately represent the health effects of tobacco use.

We believe governments should continue to educate the public on the serious health effects of smoking. We have established a Web site that includes, among other things, the views of public health authorities on smoking, disease causation in smokers, addiction and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke ("ETS"). The site reflects our agreement with the medical and scientific consensus that cigarette smoking is addictive and causes lung cancer, heart disease, emphysema and other serious diseases in smokers. The Web site advises the public to rely on the messages of public health authorities in making all smoking-related decisions. The Web site's address is www.pmi.com. The information on our Web site is not, and shall not be deemed to be, a part of this document or incorporated into any filings we make with the SEC.

• **Restrictions on Public Smoking:** The pace and scope of public smoking restrictions have increased significantly in most of our markets. In the EU, all countries have regulations in place that restrict or ban smoking in public and/or work places, restaurants, bars and nightclubs. Some EU member states allow narrow exemptions from smoking bans, for instance for separate smoking rooms in the hospitality sector, but others have banned virtually all indoor public smoking. In other regions, many countries have adopted or are likely to adopt regulations introducing substantial public smoking restrictions similar to those in the EU, including Australia, Canada, Hong Kong, Thailand and Turkey. In 2009, the Council of the European Union made a non-binding recommendation calling on all EU Member States to introduce, by 2012, comprehensive public smoking restrictions covering all closed public places, workplaces and public transport. Some public health groups have called for, and some regional governments and municipalities have adopted or proposed, bans on smoking in outdoor places, as well as bans on smoking in cars with minors in them. The FCTC requires Parties to the treaty to adopt restrictions on public smoking, and the CoP adopted guidelines on public smoking based on the premise

that any exposure to ETS is harmful; the guidelines call for total bans in all indoor public places, defining "indoor" broadly, and reject any exemptions based on type of venue (e.g., nightclubs). On private place smoking, such as in cars and homes, the guidelines recommend increased education on the risk of exposure to ETS.

We support a single, consistent public health message on the health effects of exposure to ETS. Our Web site states that "the conclusions of public health authorities on secondhand smoke warrant public health measures that regulate smoking in public places" and that "outright bans are appropriate in many places." For example, we support banning smoking in schools, playgrounds and other facilities for youth and in indoor public places where general public services are provided, such as public transportation vehicles, supermarkets, public spaces in indoor shopping centers, cinemas, banks and post offices. We believe, however, that governments can and should seek a balance between the desire to protect non-smokers from exposure to secondhand smoke and allowing the millions of people who smoke to do so in some public places. In the hospitality sector, such as restaurants, bars, cafés and other entertainment establishments, the law should grant private business owners the flexibility to permit, restrict or prohibit smoking. Business owners can take into account their desire to cater to their customers' preferences. In the workplace, designated smoking rooms can provide places for adults to smoke. Finally, we oppose legislation that would prohibit smoking outdoors (beyond outdoor places and facilities for children) and in private places such as homes, apartments and cars.

• **Reduced Cigarette Ignition Propensity Legislation:** Reduced ignition propensity standards have been adopted in several of our markets, for instance in Australia, Canada and the EU, and are being considered in several other markets. The European Standards Organization's cigarette fire-safety standard became effective on November 17, 2011, for all cigarettes sold in the EU. Reduced ignition propensity standards, which will increase production costs, should be the same as those in New York and other jurisdictions to ensure that they are uniform and technically feasible and apply equally to all manufacturers. However, we believe that the experience from countries that have mandated reduced ignition propensity requirements for several years — namely the U.S. and Canada — should be thoroughly examined to evaluate the effectiveness of such requirements in terms of reducing the risk of cigarette-ignited fires before additional countries consider introducing such standards.

• **Illicit Trade:** On a global basis, illicit trade may account for as much as 10% of global cigarette consumption. We estimate that in the European Union alone illicit trade accounted for about 64 billion cigarettes, or approximately 10% of consumption, in 2010. Regulatory measures and related governmental actions to prevent the illicit manufacture and trade of tobacco products are being considered by a number of jurisdictions. Article 15 of the FCTC requires Parties to the treaty to take steps to eliminate all forms of illicit trade, including counterfeiting, and states that national, regional and global agreements on this issue are "essential components of tobacco control." The CoP established an Intergovernmental Negotiating Body ("INB") to negotiate a protocol on the illicit trade in tobacco products pursuant to Article 15 of the FCTC. The draft protocol includes the following main topics:

- licensing schemes for participants in the tobacco business;
- "know your customer" requirements;
- international requirements for the tracking and tracing of tobacco products and tobacco manufacturing equipment;
- the implementation of laws governing record-keeping;
- the regulation of Internet sales and duty free sales of tobacco products, including potential bans;
- measures to implement effective controls on the manufacturing of, and trade in, tobacco products in free zones; and
- enforcement mechanisms, including the criminalization of participation in illicit trade in various forms and measures to strengthen the abilities of law enforcement agencies to fight illicit trade.

The fifth negotiation session of the INB will take place from March 29 to April 4, 2012.

We support strict regulations and enforcement measures to prevent all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. We agree that manufacturers should implement state-of-the-art monitoring systems of their sales and distribution practices, and we agree that where appropriately confirmed, manufacturers should stop supplying vendors who are shown to be knowingly engaged in illicit trade. We are also working with a number of governments around the world on specific agreements and memoranda of understanding to address the illegal trade in cigarettes. However, we disagree with some provisions considered in the draft protocol, including the proposed ban of duty free sales, a ban of domestic Internet sales and measures that would impose payments on tobacco product manufacturers in an amount of lost taxes and duties from seized contraband tobacco products regardless of any fault on the manufacturers' part.

Governments agree that illicit trade is an extremely serious issue. It creates a cheap and unregulated source of tobacco, thus undermining efforts to reduce smoking, especially among youth, damages legitimate businesses, stimulates organized crime, and results in massive amounts of lost tax revenue. We therefore believe that in addition to taking direct measures against illicit trade, as outlined above, governments when assessing proposed regulation, such as display bans, plain packaging, and ingredients bans, or tax increases, should always carefully consider the potential implications of such regulation on illicit trade.

• **Cooperation Agreements to Combat Illicit Trade of Cigarettes:** In 2004, we entered into an agreement with the European Commission (acting on behalf of the European Community) that provides for broad cooperation with European law enforcement agencies on anti-contraband and anti-counterfeit efforts. All 27 Member States of the EU have

signed the agreement. Under the terms of the agreement, we agreed to make financial contributions in the form of 13 payments over 12 years. Commencing in July 2007, we began making payments of approximately \$75 million a year over the final 10 years of the agreement, each of which is to be adjusted based on certain variables, including our market share in the EU in the year preceding payment. We record these payments as an expense in cost of sales when product is shipped. We are also required to pay the excise taxes, VAT and customs duties on qualifying product seizures of up to 90 million cigarettes and are subject to payments of five times the applicable taxes and duties if product seizures exceed 90 million cigarettes in a given year. To date, our annual payments related to product seizures have been immaterial.

In 2009, our subsidiaries Philip Morris Colombia and Coltabaco entered into an Investment and Cooperation Agreement with the Republic of Colombia, together with the Departments of Colombia and the Capital District of Bogotá, to promote investment and cooperation with respect to the Colombian tobacco market and to fight counterfeit and contraband tobacco products. The agreement provides \$200 million in funding to the Colombian governments over a 20-year period to address issues of mutual interest, such as combating the illegal cigarette trade, including the threat of counterfeit tobacco products, and increasing the quality and quantity of locally grown tobacco.

• Labor Conditions for Tobacco Workers: In July 2010, Human Rights Watch published a report raising issues related to labor conditions for tobacco workers in Kazakhstan, particularly migrant workers. We have undertaken both an internal and third-party review of our labor practices and policies in Kazakhstan and subsequently globally. In reviewing our policies and practices, we have sought the advice of local and international non-profit organizations with expertise in the area of fair labor practices. We are in the process of implementing a comprehensive Agricultural Labor Practices Code, which strengthens and expands our existing practices and policies. This includes setting additional principles and standards for working conditions on tobacco farms, tailored training programs, and regular external assessments to monitor the progress we, our suppliers and farmers make.

• **Other Legislation, Regulation or Governmental Action:** In Argentina, the National Commission for the Defense of Competition issued a resolution in May 2010, in which it found that our affiliate's establishment, in 1997, of a system of exclusive zonified distributors ("EZD"s) in Buenos Aires city and region was anticompetitive, despite having issued two prior decisions (in 1997 and 2000) in which it had found the establishment of the EZD system was not anticompetitive. The resolution is not a final decision, and our Argentinean affiliate opposed the resolution and submitted additional evidence.

In June 2011 in Brazil, the Secretariat of Economic Defense recommended to the Administrative Council for Economic Defense ("CADE") that it find that the merchandising arrangements of our affiliate and those of a competitor violated the Brazilian Competition Act and that it impose fines in unspecified amounts against each company. The matter awaits the decision of CADE.

It is not possible to predict what, if any, additional legislation, regulation or other governmental action will be enacted or implemented relating to the manufacturing, advertising, sale or use of cigarettes, or the tobacco industry generally. It is possible, however, that legislation, regulation or other governmental action could be enacted or implemented that might materially affect our business, volume, results of operations and cash flows.

Governmental Investigations

From time to time, we are subject to governmental investigations on a range of matters. As part of an investigation by the Department of Special Investigations ("DSI") of the government of Thailand into alleged under-declaration of import prices by Thai cigarette importers, our subsidiary, Philip Morris (Thailand) Limited, Thailand Branch ("PM Thailand"), was informed of DSI's proposal to bring charges against it for alleged underpayment of customs duties and excise taxes of approximately \$2 billion covering the period from July 28, 2003, to February 20, 2007. In September 2009, the DSI submitted the case file to the Public Prosecutor for review. Additionally, the DSI commenced an informal inquiry alleging underpayment by PM Thailand of customs duties and excise taxes of approximately \$1.8 billion, covering the period 2000 – 2003. We have been cooperating with the Thai authorities and believe that PM Thailand's declared import prices are in compliance with the Customs Valuation Agreement of the World Trade Organization ("WTO") and Thai law. PM Thailand also contends that it reached an agreement with the Thai Customs Department in 2003 regarding valuation methodologies. We have provided written submissions and supporting evidence in connection with both investigations. The Public Prosecutor's office has issued a non-prosecution order in the 2003 – 2007 investigation. In August 2011, the Director-General of DSI publicly announced that he disagreed with the non-prosecution order. The matter has now been referred to the Attorney General for determination. If the Attorney General agrees with the Public Prosecutor's non-prosecution order, the 2003 – 2007 investigation will end. If the Attorney General agrees with the Director General of DSI, the matter will be submitted to the Criminal Court.

Additionally, in November 2010, a WTO panel issued its decision in a dispute that began in August 2006 between the Philippines and Thailand concerning a series of Thai customs and tax measures affecting cigarettes imported by PM Thailand into Thailand from the Philippines. The WTO panel decided that Thailand had no basis to find that PM Thailand's declared customs values were too low. The panel found that Thailand was unable to show that the customs values and taxes paid on the cigarette imports should have been higher, as alleged in 2009 by the DSI. While the WTO ruling does not resolve the above referenced investigation, it should assist the Thai authorities' review of the matter. Further, the WTO ruling creates obligations for Thailand to revise its laws, regulations, or practices affecting the customs valuation and tax treatment of future cigarette imports. Following Thailand's limited appeal relating to certain aspects but not the customs valuation part of the WTO ruling in June 2011, the WTO Appellate Body upheld the panel's original finding, effectively dismissing Thailand's appeal. The WTO panel and Appellate Body reports have been adopted by the WTO Dispute Settlement Body ("DSB"). In September 2011, Thailand and the Philippines signed an agreement in which Thailand agreed to implement VAT-related measures to comply with the DSB's recommendations and rulings by October 15, 2012, and to implement measures to comply with the rest of the DSB's recommendations and rulings by May 15, 2012.

Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements

In June 2011, we completed the acquisition of a cigarette business in Jordan, consisting primarily of cigarette manufacturing assets and inventories, for \$42 million. In January 2011, we acquired a cigar business, consisting primarily of trademarks in the Australian and New Zealand markets, for \$20 million. The effects of these and other smaller acquisitions in 2011 were not material to our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Effective January 1, 2011, we established a new business structure with Vietnam National Tobacco Corporation ("Vinataba") in Vietnam. Under the terms of the agreement, we have further developed our existing joint venture with Vinataba through the licensing of *Marlboro* and the establishment of a PMI-controlled branch for the business building of our brands.

On February 25, 2010, our affiliate, Philip Morris Philippines Manufacturing Inc. ("PMPMI"), and Fortune Tobacco Corporation ("FTC") combined their respective business activities by transferring selected assets and liabilities of PMPMI and FTC to a new company called PMFTC Inc. ("PMFTC"). PMPMI and FTC hold equal economic interests in PMFTC, while we manage the day-to-day operations of PMFTC and have a majority of its Board of Directors. Consequently, we account for the contributed assets and liabilities of FTC as a business combination. The establishment of PMFTC permits both parties to benefit from their respective, complementary brand portfolios, as well as cost synergies from the resulting integration of manufacturing, distribution and procurement, and the further development and advancement of tobacco growing in the Philippines.

In June 2010, we announced that our affiliate, Philip Morris Brasil Industria e Comercio Ltda. ("PMB"), will begin directly sourcing tobacco leaf from approximately 17,000 tobacco farmers in Southern Brazil. This initiative enhances PMI's direct involvement in the supply chain and is expected to provide approximately 10% of PMI's global leaf requirements. The vertically integrated structure was made possible following separate agreements with two leaf suppliers in Brazil, Alliance One Brasil Exportadora de Tabacos Ltda. ("AOB") and Universal Leaf Tabacos Ltda. ("ULT"). These agreements resulted in AOB assigning approximately 9,000 contracts with tobacco farmers to PMB and ULT assigning approximately 8,000 contracts with tobacco farmers to PMB. As a result, PMB offered employment to more than 200 employees, most of them agronomy specialists, and acquired related assets in Southern Brazil. The purchase price for the net assets and the contractual relationships was \$83 million, which was paid in 2010.

In September 2009, we acquired Swedish Match South Africa (Proprietary) Limited for ZAR 1.93 billion (approximately \$256 million based on exchange rates prevailing at the time of the acquisition), including acquired cash.

In February 2009, we purchased the *Petterøes* tobacco business for \$209 million. Assets purchased consisted primarily of definite-lived trademarks of other tobacco products primarily sold in Norway and Sweden. In February 2009, we also entered into an agreement with Swedish Match AB ("SWMA") to establish an exclusive joint venture to commercialize Swedish style snus and other smoke-free tobacco products worldwide, outside of Scandinavia and the United States. We and SWMA licensed an agreed list of trademarks and intellectual property exclusively to the joint venture. The joint venture started operations on April 1, 2009.

See Note 6. Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements to our consolidated financial statements for additional information.

Trade Policy

It is our policy to comply with applicable laws of the United States and the laws of the countries in which we do business that prohibit trade with certain countries, organizations or individuals. We do not sell products or have a current intent to sell products in Cuba or North Korea. Certain of our subsidiaries have established commercial arrangements involving Myanmar and the Republic of the Sudan, in each case in compliance with our trade policy and applicable U.S. law. Our contractual arrangements and licenses from the U.S. Office of Foreign Assets Control to export cigarettes to Iran have expired without any sales having been made pursuant to those arrangements, and we have applied for a new license.

Following the imposition of economic sanctions in early 2011 against the former government of Libya and certain designated Libyan persons and entities by the U.S., other national governments, the EU and the U.N., we suspended all arrangements with the Libyan Tobacco Company related to the production and sale of our products. Following the relaxation of these economic sanctions in September 2011, we are in the process of resuming arrangements to supply the Libyan market.

Sales to the domestic market in Syria were suspended following the imposition in August 2011 of economic sanctions by the U.S. government against the government of Syria. Prior to that time, a subsidiary sold products to a customer for export to Syria for domestic market sales, and the state tobacco monopoly, which is the only entity permitted to import tobacco products, purchased products from that customer for resale in the domestic market. Such sales were made in compliance with exemptions under applicable

U.S. laws and regulations and were quantitatively not material, amounting to well below 0.5% of our consolidated annual volume and operating companies income in each of the past three years. Duty free sales to Syria were suspended when a Managing Director and shareholder of the sole Syrian duty free customer of our subsidiary's distributor was placed on the Office of Foreign Assets Control's Specially Designated Nationals ("SDN") list in February 2008. The distributor's customer itself was placed on the SDN list in July 2008.

A subsidiary sells products to a duty free customer that resells those products to its respective customers, some of

which have duty free operations in Myanmar. Another subsidiary sells products to distributors that in turn sell those products to duty free customers that supply U.N. peacekeeping forces around the world, including those in the Republic of the Sudan. All such sales are in compliance with exemptions under applicable U.S. laws and regulations and are de minimis in volume and value. We have no employees, operations or assets in Myanmar or the Republic of the Sudan.

We do not believe that exempt or licensed sales of our products, which are agricultural products under U.S. law and are not technological or strategic in nature, for ultimate resale in Myanmar or the Republic of the Sudan in compliance with U.S. laws, present a material risk to our stockholders, our reputation or the value of our shares. To our knowledge, neither the governments of Myanmar or the Republic of the Sudan, nor entities controlled by those governments, receive cash or act as intermediaries in connection with these transactions.

Certain states have enacted legislation permitting state pension funds to divest or abstain from future investment in stocks of companies that do business with countries that are sanctioned by the U.S. We do not believe such legislation has had a material effect on the price of our shares.

2011 compared with 2010

The following discussion compares operating results within each of our reportable segments for 2011 with 2010.

• **European Union:** Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$1.7 billion (6.1%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased \$401 million (4.6%) to \$9.2 billion. This increase was due to:

- favorable currency (\$440 million) and
- price increases (\$298 million), partially offset by
- unfavorable volume/mix (\$337 million).

Operating companies income increased \$249 million (5.8%). This increase was due primarily to:

- price increases (\$298 million),
- favorable currency (\$277 million), and
- lower marketing, administration and research costs (\$48 million), partially offset by
- unfavorable volume/mix (\$291 million),
- higher manufacturing costs (\$64 million) and
- higher pre-tax charges for asset impairment and exit costs (\$18 million, representing the restructuring of manufacturing and R&D facilities).

The total cigarette market in the European Union declined by 4.3%, due primarily to the impact of a lower total market: in Greece, mainly reflecting the unfavorable impact of excise tax driven price increases in 2010 and 2011, that drove the retail price of *Marlboro* up by 25% between the first quarter of each year, and the continuing adverse economic environment; in Italy, due primarily to excise tax driven price increases in 2010 and July 2011, and the VAT-driven price increase of September 2011; in Spain, following the cumulative unfavorable impact of price increases in 2010 and 2011, the implementation of stricter indoor public smoking bans in January 2011, unfavorable trade inventory movements, and continuing adverse economic conditions; in Portugal, reflecting both excise tax and VAT-driven price increases in 2010 and January 2011, and the continuing adverse economic environment; the growth of the OTP segment, primarily in Belgium, France, Germany and Italy; and an increase in illicit trade, notably in Greece and Spain. Excluding Spain, which represented almost half of the total regional market decline, we estimate that the total cigarette market in the European Union declined by 2.5%. Our cigarette shipment volume in the European Union declined by 5.1%, due primarily to the aforementioned reasons. Our market share in the European Union was down by 0.3 share points to 38.2% as gains, notably in Belgium, France, Germany and the Netherlands, were more than offset by declines, mainly in the Czech Republic, Italy, Poland, Portugal and Spain.

Shipment volume of *Marlboro* decreased by 5.1%, mainly due to lower total markets, particularly in Greece and Spain, and to lower share, primarily in Germany, Italy, Portugal and Spain, partially offset by higher share in Belgium and Hungary. *Marlboro's* market share was down by 0.2 share points to 17.9%, reflecting a higher share mainly in Belgium, the Czech Republic, Greece, Hungary and the Netherlands, which was more than offset by lower share in Germany, Italy and Spain.

Shipment volume of *L&M* was up by 2.7%, driven by higher share in Germany, the Netherlands and Poland. *L&M*'s market share was up by 0.2 share points to 6.5%, driven by gains in Germany, the Netherlands, Poland and Spain.

Shipment volume of *Chesterfield* was up by 8.5%, and market share was up by 0.2 share points to 3.1%, driven primarily by higher share in France, Poland and Portugal.

Our shipment volume of OTP, in cigarette equivalent units, grew by 15.0%, mainly reflecting a higher total market and share in Belgium, France, Germany and Italy.

In the Czech Republic, the total cigarette market was essentially flat in 2011, at 21.1 billion units. Our shipments were down by 7.4%. Market share was down by 3.5 share points to 44.3%, primarily reflecting continued share declines for local brands, such as *Petra* and *Sparta*, down by a combined 3.0 share points. This decline was partly offset by a higher share for *Marlboro*, up by 0.4 share points to 7.2%, benefiting from the April 2011 launch of *Marlboro Core Flavor* and *Marlboro Gold Touch*, and a higher share for *Red & White*, up by 0.3 share points to 12.9%.

In France, the total cigarette market was down by 1.3% to 54.1 billion units. Our shipments were down by 1.7%. Our market share was up slightly by 0.1 share point to 40.5%. While market share of *Marlboro* declined by 0.2 share points to 25.7%, it was more than offset by a higher share for the premium *Philip Morris* brand, up by 0.4 share points to 8.2%, as well as by a higher share for *Chesterfield*, up by 0.3 share points to 3.1%. Our share of the fine-cut market grew by 5.1 share points to 24.6% for the full year, driven by *Philip Morris* and the very successful February 2011 launch of *Marlboro*.

³²

In Germany, the total cigarette market grew by 0.7% to 84.5 billion units. Our shipments were up by 1.8%, and market share grew by 0.4 share points to 35.9%. While share of *Marlboro* was down by 0.5 share points to 20.9%, share of *L*&*M* was up by 1.1 share points to 10.4%.

In Italy, the total cigarette market was down by 1.8% to 85.5 billion units, reflecting the unfavorable impact of excise tax driven price increases in 2010, price increases in July 2011, and a VAT-driven price increase of $\notin 0.20$ per pack in September 2011. Our shipments were down by 3.6%, and market share declined by 0.8 share points to 53.1%. *Marlboro*'s market share was down by 0.3 share points to 22.5%.

In Poland, the total cigarette market was down by 3.1% to 55.6 billion units, reflecting the unfavorable impact of excise tax driven price increases in the fourth quarter of 2010 and second quarter of 2011, as well as the introduction of an indoor public smoking ban in November of 2010. Our shipments were down by 8.3%. Our market share was down by 2.0 share points to 35.3%, mainly due to lower share of low-price *Red & White*, down by 2.6 share points to 5.1%, partially offset by *L&M*, up by 1.1 share points to 15.9%, supported by the launch of *L&M Forward* in April 2011, and *Chesterfield*, up by 0.6 share points to 1.4%. Market share of *Marlboro* was essentially flat at 10.4%.

In Spain, the total cigarette market was down by 16.6% to 60.6 billion units, largely due to the continuing adverse economic environment and the introduction of a total indoor public smoking ban in January 2011. Our shipments were down by 18.4%, and our market share was down by 0.9 share points to 30.8%. Share of *Marlboro* of 14.6% was down by 0.7 share points, reflecting the additional impact of crossing the \notin 4.00 per pack retail price point during the year.

• **Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa:** Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$1.5 billion (9.6%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased \$472 million (6.4%) to \$7.9 billion. This increase was due to:

- price increases (\$271 million),
- favorable volume/mix (\$127 million),
- favorable currency (\$49 million) and
- the impact of acquisitions (\$25 million).

Operating companies income increased \$77 million (2.4%). This increase was due primarily to:

- price increases (\$271 million) and
- favorable volume/mix (\$107 million), partially offset by
- higher manufacturing costs (\$109 million),
- unfavorable currency (\$97 million),
- higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$69 million, including costs related to marketing and business infrastructure investment in Russia) and
- the 2011 pre-tax charges for asset impairment and exit costs (\$25 million).

Our cigarette shipment volume in EEMA increased by 0.3%, predominantly due to: the Middle East, primarily Saudi Arabia, mainly reflecting a higher total market; North Africa, primarily Algeria, driven by a higher total market and share growth; and Turkey, reflecting share growth. This increase was partly offset by a decline in Russia and Ukraine, largely due to lower total markets, and Libya, reflecting the imposition of economic sanctions during most of the year.

In Russia, the total cigarette market declined by approximately 2.0% to an estimated 375 billion units. Our shipment volume decreased by 2.3%. While shipment volume of our premium portfolio was down by 2.7%, primarily due to a decline in *Marlboro* of 12.1%, shipment volume of *Parliament* was up by 4.2%. In the mid-price segment, shipment volume was down by 3.3%, mainly due to *Chesterfield*, down by 0.7%, and *L&M*, down by 4.3%. In the low-price segment, shipment volume of *Bond Street* was up by 3.3%. Our market share of 25.8%, as measured by A.C. Nielsen, was up by 0.2 share points. Market share for *Parliament*, in the premium segment, was up slightly by 0.1 share point; *Marlboro*, in the premium segment was up slightly by 0.1 share points; *Chesterfield* in the mid-price segment was up slightly by 0.1 share points; *Chesterfield* in the mid-price segment was up slightly by 0.1 share points; *Chesterfield* in the mid-price segment was up slightly by 0.1 share points; *Chesterfield* in the mid-price segment was up slightly by 0.4 share points.

In Turkey, the total cigarette market was down by 2.3% to 91.2 billion units, due to the unfavorable impact of excise tax driven price increases in the fourth quarter of 2011. Our shipment volume increased by 7.1%. Our market share, as measured by A.C. Nielsen, grew by 2.7 share points to 44.8%, driven by *Parliament, Muratti* and *L&M*, up by 0.9, 0.6 and 3.1 share points, respectively, partly offset by declines in *Lark* and *Bond Street*, down by 1.0 and 0.6 share points, respectively. Market share of *Marlboro* was down by 0.2 share points to 9.3%.

In Ukraine, the total cigarette market declined by 8.1% to 85.6 billion units, reflecting the unfavorable impact of excise tax

driven price increases in 2010 and 2011. Our shipment volume decreased by 10.7%. Our market share, as measured by A.C. Nielsen, was down by 2.4 share points to 32.5%, due to declines in our medium and low-price segments. Share for premium *Parliament* was up by 0.3 share points to 2.7%. Share of *Marlboro* was up by 0.2 share points to 5.7%.

• Asia: Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$4.4 billion (28.6%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased \$2.8 billion (34.9%) to \$10.7 billion. This increase was due to:

- price increases (\$991 million),
- favorable volume/mix (\$977 million, including increased shipments to Japan in response to in-market shortages of competitors' products),
- favorable currency (\$690 million) and
- the impact of acquisitions (\$112 million, primarily the 2010 business combination in the Philippines).

Operating companies income increased \$1.8 billion (58.6%). This increase was due primarily to:

- price increases (\$991 million),
- favorable volume/mix (\$765 million),
- favorable currency (\$400 million) and
- the impact of acquisitions (\$28 million), partially offset by
- higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$219 million, partially related to increased marketing investment in Japan) and
- higher manufacturing costs (\$183 million, partially related to the air freight of product to Japan).

Our cigarette shipment volume increased by 11.0%, primarily due to growth in Indonesia, Japan, Korea and the Philippines. The growth was partly offset by a decline in Pakistan of 14.6%, due to the continued growth of illicit products and market share erosion. Shipment volume of *Marlboro* was up by 8.8%, driven by growth in Indonesia, Japan, Korea and Vietnam, partly offset by a decline in the Philippines, reflecting the unfavorable impact of an excise tax driven price increase in January 2011.

In Indonesia, the total cigarette market was up by 8.8% to 294.0 billion units, driven by growth in the low-price and the machine-made LTLN (low "tar," low nicotine) segments. Our shipment volume increased by 16.6%, with all brand families recording growth. Market share was up by 2.1 share points to 31.2%, driven by growth from premium *Sampoerna A*, mid-price *U Mild* and low-price *Vegas Mild* and *Trend Mild*. Although *Marlboro's* market share was down slightly by 0.1 share point to 4.3%, shipments grew by 5.2% and share of the "white" cigarettes segment increased by 4.0 share points to 65.5%.

In Japan, the total cigarette market decreased by 10.8% to 195.3 billion units, reflecting the unfavorable impact of the October 1, 2010, excise tax driven price increases and the underlying market decline. Our shipment volume was up by 24.1%, driven by increased demand following in-market shortages of competitors' products during the year. Our market share of 30.7% was up by 6.3 share points, reflecting growth of *Marlboro, Lark*, the *Philip Morris* brand and *Virginia S*. up by 2.1, 3.1, 0.5 and 0.5 share points, to 13.1%, 9.7%, 2.8% and 2.4%, respectively. We exited 2011 with a fourth quarter share of 28.2%, up nearly four share points compared to a full year market share of 24.4% in 2010.

In Korea, the total cigarette market declined by 0.6% to 90.0 billion units. Our shipment volume increased by 16.7%, driven by market share increases. Our market share of 19.8% was up by 2.9 share points, driven by *Marlboro* and *Parliament*, up by 1.7 and 1.1 share points to 8.6% and 6.7%, respectively.

In the Philippines, the total cigarette market declined by 4.0% to 97.4 billion units, mainly reflecting the impact of excise tax driven price increases in January 2011. Our shipment volume was up by 7.5%. Adjusted for the business combination of PMFTC, established on February 25, 2010, shipment volume declined by 4.1%. Our market share reached 94.0%, up by 1.2 share points.

• Latin America & Canada: Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$1.0 billion (12.2%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased \$246 million (8.1%) to \$3.3 billion. This increase was due to:

- price increases (\$334 million) and
- favorable currency (\$70 million), partially offset by
- unfavorable volume/mix (\$158 million).

Operating companies income increased \$35 million (3.7%). This increase was due primarily to:

- price increases (\$334 million), partially offset by
- unfavorable volume/mix (\$159 million),
- higher manufacturing costs (\$72 million),
- higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$42 million) and
- the 2011 pre-tax charges for asset impairment and exit costs (\$24 million, primarily related to the closure of manufacturing facilities in Uruguay and Venezuela).

Our cigarette shipment volume decreased by 4.8%, mainly due to Mexico, partly offset by an increase in Argentina. Shipment volume of *Marlboro* decreased by 5.8%, principally due to Mexico, partially offset by growth in Argentina, Brazil and Colombia.

In Argentina, the total cigarette market grew by 2.6% to 43.8 billion units, reflecting growth in the economy. Our cigarette shipment volume increased by 3.8%. Our market share was up by 0.8 share points to 74.4%, reflecting growth of *Marlboro*, up by 0.8 share points to 24.1%, and of the mid-price *Philip Morris* brand, up by 0.4 share points to 38.0%. Share of low-price *Next* was down by 0.2 share points to 3.6%.

In Canada, the total tax-paid cigarette market was down by 0.8% to 32.1 billion units, reflecting a flattening of the return of illicit trade to the legitimate market. Our cigarette shipment volume increased by 1.3%. Our market share grew by 0.8 share points to 34.1%, with premium brand *Belmont* up by 0.1 share point to 1.8% and low-price brand *Next* up by 2.5 share points to 6.9%, partly offset by mid-price *Number* 7 and *Canadian Classics*, and low-price *Accord*, down by 0.4, 0.4 and 0.7 share points, to 4.1%, 8.7% and 3.6%, respectively.

In Mexico, the total cigarette market was down by 21.1% to 34.3 billion units, primarily due to the significant January 1, 2011, excise tax increase, which drove a 26.7% increase in the retail price of *Marlboro*, and also fueled a surge in the availability of illicit products. Although our cigarette shipment volume decreased by 18.6%, market share grew by 2.2 share points to 72.3%, led by *Marlboro*, up by 3.2 share points to 52.3%, and *Benson & Hedges*, up by 0.6 share points to 6.1%. Market share of low-price *Delicados* declined by 1.0 share point to 10.9%.

2010 compared with 2009

The following discussion compares operating results within each of our reportable segments for 2010 with 2009.

• **European Union:** Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, decreased \$500 million (1.8%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues decreased \$230 million (2.5%) to \$8.8 billion. This decrease was due primarily to:

- lower volume/mix (\$452 million) and
- unfavorable currency (\$172 million), partially offset by
- price increases (\$391 million).

Operating companies income decreased \$195 million (4.3%). This decrease was due primarily to:

- lower volume/mix (\$341 million),
- unfavorable currency (\$191 million) and
- higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$66 million), partially offset by
- price increases (\$391 million).

The total cigarette market in the European Union declined by 4.5%, mainly reflecting a lower total market in Greece, Poland and Spain, primarily due to the unfavorable impact of tax-driven price increases and the impact of continued adverse economic conditions, particularly in Greece and Spain. Our cigarette shipment volume in the European Union declined by 5.2%, primarily reflecting the impact of the lower total market. Our market share in the European Union was down by 0.3 share points to 38.5%, as gains in Belgium, Hungary, the Netherlands and Poland were more than offset by share declines in the Czech Republic, Germany, Greece and Portugal.

Shipment volume of *Marlboro* decreased by 5.8%, mainly due to the lower total market, as well as lower share in Germany and Greece. *Marlboro*'s share in the European Union was down by 0.3 share points to 18.1%, reflecting a lower share in Austria, France, Germany and Greece, partially offset by a higher share in Italy, the Netherlands and Poland.

L&M volume was up by 2.9%, and market share grew by 0.3 share points to 6.3% in the European Union, primarily driven by share gains in the Czech Republic, Belgium, Denmark, Germany, Greece, the Netherlands, the Slovak Republic, Spain, Sweden and Switzerland.

In the Czech Republic, the total cigarette market decreased 2.7%, reflecting the impact of tax-driven price increases implemented in April 2010, and our shipments were down 7.9%. Market share decreased by 2.7 share points to 47.8%, primarily due to share declines for lower-margin local brands, partially offset by a higher share for *Marlboro*, up by 0.1 share points to 6.8%, and for *L&M*, up by 0.5 share points to 7.5%.

In France, the total cigarette market was down 0.3%, and our shipments were down by 0.1%. Market share decreased by 0.2 share points to 40.4\%, while share for *Marlboro* was down by 0.6 share points to 25.9\%, more than offset by a higher share for the *Philip Morris* brand, up by 0.8 share points to 7.8\%.

In Germany, the total cigarette market was down by 1.9%, reflecting the impact of 2009 price increases. Our shipments were down by 4.7%, due primarily to the lower total market and a lower share of 35.5%, down by 1.0 share point. While *L&M* gained 1.0 share point to reach 9.3%, *Marlboro*'s share decreased 1.6 share points to 21.4%, reflecting the continued impact of price sensitivity among adult smokers.

In Italy, the total cigarette market was down by 2.4%, primarily reflecting the impact of the December 2009 and September 2010 price increases. Our shipments were down by 3.1%, largely due to a lower total market. Although market share declined by 0.2 share points to 53.9%, *Marlboro*'s share increased by 0.2 share points to 22.8%, partially due to the June 2010 launch of *Marlboro Core Flavor*.

In Poland, the total cigarette market was down by 6.2%, reflecting the impact of tax-driven price increases in the first quarter of 2010 as well as price increases in the fourth quarter of 2010 in anticipation of excise and VAT increases in January 2011. Our shipments were down by 3.3%. Market share was up by 1.2 share points to 37.3%, primarily reflecting higher *Marlboro* share, up by 1.0 share point to 10.4%, assisted by the launch of *Marlboro Frost* in the first quarter of 2010.

In Spain, the total cigarette market was down by 11.0%, due largely to the continuing adverse economic environment and the impact of the price increase in January 2010, the June 2010 VAT-driven price increase and the December 2010 excise tax driven price increase. Our shipments were down by 11.5%. Our market share remained firm, down by 0.2 share points to 31.7%, mainly reflecting a stable *Marlboro* share at 15.3% and a growing L&M share, up by 0.4 share points to 6.3%, offset by a decline in the share of *Chesterfield*, down by 0.7 share points to 8.7%.

• **Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa:** Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$2.1 billion (14.9%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased \$614 million (9.0%) to \$7.4 billion. This increase was due to:

- price increases (\$605 million),
- the impact of acquisitions (\$80 million) and
- favorable currency (\$76 million), partially offset by
- lower volume/mix (\$147 million).

Operating companies income increased \$489 million (18.4%). This increase was due to:

- price increases (\$605 million),
- favorable currency (\$107 million) and
- the impact of acquisitions (\$28 million), partially offset by
- lower volume/mix (\$119 million),
- higher manufacturing costs (\$77 million) and
- higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$55 million).

Our cigarette shipment volume decreased by 3.2%, principally due to Romania, mainly driven by a lower total market and lower market share following excise tax increases in 2009 and 2010; Turkey, due to the significant tax-driven price increase in January 2010; and Ukraine, resulting from significant tax-driven price increases in 2009 and 2010, as well as lower share driven by low-price competition. These declines were partially offset by growth in Russia and North Africa, notably Algeria. Shipment volume of *Marlboro* decreased by 1.5%, with declines in Romania, Russia and Turkey, partially offset by growth in North Africa.

In Russia, our shipment volume increased by 2.0%. Shipment volume of our premium portfolio was down by 5.8%, primarily due to a decline in *Marlboro* of 10.9%. Shipment volume of above-premium *Parliament* was up by 0.3%. In the mid-price segment, shipment volume was down 20.6% and up by 6.4% for *L&M* and *Chesterfield*, respectively. In the low-price segment, shipment volumes of *Bond Street*, *Next* and *Optima* were up by 21.2%, 8.6%, and 3.1%, respectively. Our market share of 25.6%, as measured by A.C. Nielsen, was essentially flat. Market share for *Parliament*, in the above-premium segment, was stable; *Marlboro*, in the premium segment, was down by 0.2 share points; *L&M* in the mid-price segment was up by 0.7 share points; *Chesterfield* in the mid-price segment was up by 0.2 share points; and *Bond Street* in the low-price segment was up by 1.2 share points.

In Turkey, the total cigarette market declined by an estimated 13.2%, primarily reflecting the impact of the steep January 2010 excise tax increase. Our shipment volume declined by 12.9%. Our market share, as measured by A.C. Nielsen, declined by 0.9 share points to 42.1%, due to *Parliament*, down by 1.2 share points; *Marlboro*, down by 1.4 share points; *L&M*, down by 0.6 share points, and *Bond Street*, down by 0.8 share points, partially offset by *Lark* in the low-price segment, up by 2.9 share points.

In Ukraine, the total cigarette market declined by 13.6%. Our shipment volume declined 21.1%, reflecting the impact of steep excise tax driven price increases in 2009 and 2010, as well as lower share, driven by low-price competition. Our market share, as measured by A.C. Nielsen, was down by 1.1 share points to 34.9%, due primarily to lower share for *L&M* and brands in the low-price segment.

• Asia: Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$2.8 billion (22.7%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased \$1.4 billion (21.6%) to \$7.9 billion. This increase was due to:

- favorable currency (\$611 million),
- the impact from the business combination in the Philippines (\$548 million) and
- price increases (\$491 million), partially offset by
- lower volume/mix (\$243 million).

Operating companies income increased \$613 million (25.2%). This increase was due to:

- price increases (\$491 million),
- favorable currency (\$342 million) and
- the impact from the business combination in the Philippines (\$104 million), partially offset by
- lower volume/mix (\$235 million),
- higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$55 million),
- higher asset impairment and exit costs (\$20 million, representing a contract termination charge in the Philippines) and
- higher manufacturing costs (\$14 million).

Our cigarette shipment volume increased by 56.1 billion units or 24.8%, mainly due to an increase of 57.4 billion units from the new business combination in the Philippines, and growth in Korea and Indonesia, partially offset by a decline in Japan of 12.3%, reflecting the significant impact of the October 1, 2010, tax increase. Shipment volume of *Marlboro* grew by 3.0%, reflecting growth in Korea and the Philippines, offset by the aforementioned excise tax impact in Japan.

In Indonesia, the total cigarette market was up by 3.9%. Our shipment volume increased by 3.7%, and market share was flat at 29.1%, despite growth from mid-price *U Mild*, reflecting price sensitivity as the premium price *Sampoerna A* and *Dji Sam Soe* transitioned through key retail price points.

In Japan, the total cigarette market decreased by 7.4%, reflecting the unfavorable impact of the significant October 1, 2010, excise tax driven price increases. Our shipment volume was down 12.3%. Our market share of 24.4% was up by 0.4 share points. *Marlboro's* share increased to 11.0%, up by 0.5 share points, supported by the February and July 2010 national roll-out of *Marlboro Black Gold* and *Marlboro Ice Blast*. Market shares of *Lark* and the *Philip Morris* brand were flat at 6.6% and 2.3%, respectively.

In Korea, the total cigarette market was down by 4.5%. Our shipment volume grew by 12.3%, and our market share reached 16.9%, up by 2.5 share points, driven by *Marlboro* and *Parliament*, up by 1.0 and 1.3 share points, respectively, and *Virginia Slims*, up by 0.3 share points.

On February 25, 2010, Philip Morris Philippines Manufacturing Inc. combined with Fortune Tobacco Corporation to form a new company called PMFTC Inc. As a result of this business combination, our shipments in the Philippines were up by over 100% in 2010. Excluding the favorable impact of this new business combination of 57.4 billion units, cigarette shipments of our brands in the Philippines increased by 10.7%, fueled by the growth of both *Marlboro* and the *Philip Morris* brand.

• Latin America & Canada: Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$1.2 billion (17.2%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased \$382 million (14.3%) to \$3.1 billion. This increase was due to:

- favorable currency (\$179 million),
- price increases (\$175 million) and
- higher volume/mix (\$28 million).

Operating companies income increased \$287 million (43.1%). This increase was due primarily to:

- price increases (\$175 million),
- the 2009 charge related to the Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement (\$135 million),
- favorable currency (\$85 million) and
- higher volume/mix (\$11 million), partially offset by
- higher manufacturing costs (\$82 million) and
- higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$34 million).

Our cigarette shipment volume increased by 1.5%, reflecting growth in Argentina, Canada and Mexico, partly offset by declines in Brazil and Colombia. Shipment volume of *Marlboro* increased by 2.1%, mainly due to growth in Mexico.

In Argentina, our cigarette shipment volume increased by 0.7% and market share increased by 1.4 share points to 73.6%, fueled by *Marlboro*, up by 0.4 share points to 23.3%, and the *Philip Morris* brand, up by 1.5 share points to 37.6%.

In Canada, the total tax-paid cigarette market was up by 9.5%, mainly reflecting stronger government enforcement measures to reduce contraband sales since mid-2009. Although our cigarette shipment volume increased by 8.0%, market share decreased by 0.5 share points to 33.3%, with gains by premium price *Belmont*, up by 0.1 share points, and low-price brands *Next* and *Quebec Classique* up by 3.4 share points and 1.0 share point, respectively, more than offset by mid-price *Number 7* and *Canadian Classics*, and low-price *Accord*, down by 1.2, 1.6 and 1.2 share points, respectively.

In Mexico, the total cigarette market was up by 2.5%, driven by favorable trade inventory movements ahead of a steep excise tax increase on January 1, 2011. Our cigarette shipment volume increased by 3.8%, and market share increased by 0.8 share points to 70.1%, led by *Marlboro*, up by 0.9 share points to 49.1%, and *Delicados*, up by 0.3 share points to 11.9%.

Financial Review

• Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities: Net cash provided by operating activities of \$10.5 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011, increased \$1.1 billion from the comparable 2010 period. The increase was due primarily to higher net earnings (\$1.4 billion), partly offset by unfavorable movements in working capital (\$421 million) and higher contributions to pension plans (\$102 million).

The unfavorable movements in working capital were due primarily to the following:

- more cash used for inventories (\$1.1 billion), driven by higher finished goods inventories (primarily due to stock movements related to tax-driven price increases); and
- more cash used for accounts receivable (\$374 million), primarily due to the timing of collections; partly offset by
- more cash provided by accrued liabilities and other current assets (\$650 million), due primarily to the increase in excise tax liabilities associated with inventory movements and the timing of excise and value-added tax (VAT) payments, partially offset by changes in the fair value of financial instruments;
- more cash provided by accounts payable (\$271 million), primarily due to the timing of payables for leaf and direct materials; and
- more cash provided by income taxes (\$139 million), primarily due to higher income tax provisions and the timing of payments.

On February 10, 2011, we announced a one-year, gross productivity and cost savings target for 2011 of approximately \$250 million to be achieved through product specification changes, improved manufacturing performance and various procurement-related initiatives. During 2011, we exceeded this target.

On February 9, 2012, we announced a one-year, gross productivity and cost savings target for 2012 of approximately \$300 million to be achieved mainly through manufacturing and procurement productivity improvements.

Net cash provided by operating activities of \$9.4 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010, increased \$1.6 billion from the comparable 2009 period. The increase was due primarily to higher net earnings (\$946 million, which includes a non-cash charge of \$135 million in 2009 related to the Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement), favorable movements in working capital (\$703 million) and lower contributions to pension plans (\$125 million).

The favorable movements in working capital were due primarily to the following:

more cash provided by lower inventory levels (\$411 million), primarily due to lower leaf tobacco and finished goods

inventories, reflecting efforts to optimize our supply chain;

- more cash provided by accounts receivable (\$310 million), primarily due to the timing of collections; and
- more cash provided by income taxes (\$87 million), largely due to the timing of payments; partially offset by
- less cash provided by accrued liabilities and other current assets (\$149 million), due primarily to the changes in the fair value of financial instruments and higher interest payments on debt, partially offset by the timing of excise tax payments.

The favorable operating cash flows in 2010 helped us complete, two years ahead of schedule, our goal to generate an additional 5750 million to 1 billion in cash through improvements in working capital over the period 2010 - 2012. Originally communicated in November 2009, the target was achieved at the upper end of the range excluding currency, driven mainly by lowering net receivables, the favorable impact of improved forestalling regulations, and a reduction of inventory durations.

During 2010, we completed our three-year \$1.5 billion productivity and cost savings program.

• Net Cash Used in Investing Activities: Net cash used in investing activities of \$1.0 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011, increased \$322 million from the comparable 2010 period, due primarily to higher capital expenditures (\$184 million) and lower cash proceeds from the settlement of derivatives designated as net investment hedges (\$43 million).

Net cash used in investing activities of \$710 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, decreased \$388 million from the comparable 2009 period, due primarily to less cash spent to purchase businesses (\$346 million), as well as higher cash proceeds from the settlement of derivatives designated as net investment hedges (\$35 million). As discussed in Note 6. *Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements*, our business combination in the Philippines was a non-cash transaction.

In 2011, we acquired a cigar business, consisting primarily of trademarks in the Australian and New Zealand markets, for \$20 million. In 2011, we also completed the acquisition of a cigarette business in Jordan, consisting primarily of cigarette manufacturing assets and inventories, for \$42 million.

In 2010, we spent \$83 million for the net assets and contractual relationships of our current leaf suppliers in Brazil. For further details, see Note 6. *Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements*.

In 2009, we acquired Swedish Match South Africa (Proprietary) Limited, for ZAR 1.93 billion (\$256 million based on exchange rates prevailing at the time of the acquisition), including acquired cash of \$36 million. In 2009, we also purchased the *Petterøes* tobacco business for \$209 million.

Our capital expenditures were \$897 million in 2011, \$713 million in 2010 and \$715 million in 2009. The 2011 expenditures were primarily for the modernization and consolidation of manufacturing facilities, and expansion of production capacity. We expect capital expenditures in 2012 of approximately \$970 million, to be funded by operating cash flows.

• Net Cash Used in Financing Activities: During 2011, net cash used in financing activities was \$8.3 billion, compared with net cash used in financing activities of \$8.6 billion during 2010 and \$6.9 billion in 2009. During 2011, we used a total of \$12.8 billion to repurchase our common stock, pay dividends, and repay debt. These uses were partially offset by proceeds from our debt offerings and short-term borrowings in 2011 of \$4.7 billion. During 2010, we used a total of \$10.1 billion to repurchase our common stock, pay dividends, and repay debt. These uses were partially offset by proceeds from our debt offerings and short-term borrowings in 2010 of \$1.6 billion. During 2009, we used a total of \$10.4 billion to repurchase our common stock, pay dividends to our public stockholders and repay debt, partially offset by net proceeds from the issuance of debt and short-term borrowings of \$3.6 billion.

Dividends paid to public stockholders in 2011, 2010 and 2009 were \$4.8 billion, \$4.4 billion and \$4.3 billion, respectively.

• Debt and Liquidity:

We define cash and cash equivalents as short-term, highly liquid investments, readily convertible to known amounts of cash that mature within a maximum of three months and have an insignificant risk of change in value due to interest rate or credit risk changes. As a policy, we do not hold any investments in structured or equity-linked products. Our cash and cash equivalents are predominantly held in short-term bank deposits with institutions having a long-term rating of A or better and a short-term rating of A-1/P-1.

Credit Ratings: The cost and terms of our financing arrangements as well as our access to commercial paper markets may be affected by applicable credit ratings. At December 31, 2011, our credit ratings and outlook by major credit rating agencies were as follows:

	Short-term	Long-term	Outlook
Moody's	P-1	A2	Stable
Standard & Poor's	A-1	А	Stable
Fitch	F1	A	Stable

Credit Facilities: In May 2011, we entered into an agreement with certain financial institutions to extend the expiration date for our \$2.5 billion revolving credit facility from September 30, 2013, to March 31, 2015.

On October 25, 2011, we entered into a new multi-year revolving credit facility in the amount of \$3.5 billion, which expires on October 25, 2016. This new revolving credit facility replaced our \$2.7 billion multi-year credit facility, which was to expire on December 4, 2012.

At December 31, 2011, our committed credit facilities and commercial paper outstanding were as follows:

Туре	Committed Credit	Commercial
(in billions of dollars)	Facilities	Paper
Multi-year revolving credit, expiring March 31, 2015	\$ 2.5	

Multi-year revolving credit, expiring October 25, 2016	3.5
Total facilities	\$ 6.0
Commercial paper outstanding	<u> </u>

At December 31, 2011, there were no borrowings under the committed credit facilities, and the entire committed amounts were available for borrowing.

All principal banks participating in our committed credit facilities are highly rated by the credit rating agencies. We continuously monitor the credit quality of our banking group, and at this time we are not aware of any potential non-performing credit provider.

Each of these facilities requires us to maintain a ratio of consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("consolidated EBITDA") to consolidated interest expense of not less than 3.5 to 1.0 on a rolling four-quarter basis. At December 31, 2011, our ratio calculated in accordance with the agreements was 15.9 to 1.0. These facilities do not include any credit rating triggers, material adverse change clauses or any provisions that could require us to post collateral. We expect to continue to meet our covenants. The terms "consolidated EBITDA" and "consolidated interest expense," both of which include certain adjustments, are defined in the facility agreements previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

In addition to the committed credit facilities discussed above, certain of our subsidiaries maintain short-term credit arrangements to meet their respective working capital needs. These credit arrangements, which amounted to approximately \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2011, are for the sole use of our subsidiaries. Borrowings under these arrangements amounted to \$247 million at December 31, 2011, and \$538 million at December 31, 2010.

Commercial Paper Program: We have commercial paper programs in place in the U.S. and in Europe. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had \$1.3 billion and \$1.2 billion, respectively, of commercial paper outstanding.

The existence of the commercial paper program and the committed credit facilities, coupled with our operating cash flows, will enable us to meet our liquidity requirements.

Debt: Our total debt was \$18.5 billion at December 31, 2011, and \$16.5 billion at December 31, 2010. Fixed-rate debt constituted approximately 90% of our total debt at December 31, 2011, and 87% of our total debt at December 31, 2010. The weighted-average all-in financing cost of our total debt was 4.4% in 2011, compared to 5.0% in 2010. See Note 16. *Fair Value Measurements* to our consolidated financial statements for a discussion of our disclosures related to the fair value of debt. The debt that we can issue is subject to approval by our Board of Directors.

On February 28, 2011, we filed a new shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission under which we may from time to time sell debt securities and/or warrants to purchase debt securities over a three-year period.

Our debt offerings in 2011 were as follows:

(in millions)

Туре	Face Value		Rate	Issuance	Maturity
U.S. dollar notes	\$	650	2.500%	May 2011	May 2016
U.S. dollar notes	\$	350	4.125	May 2011	May 2021
U.S. dollar notes	\$	600	2.500	August 2011 ^(a)	May 2016
U.S. dollar notes	\$	750	2.900	November 2011	November 2021
U.S. dollar notes	\$	750	4.375	November 2011	November 2041
Swiss franc notes	C	HF 325	1.000	December 2011	December 2016
	(approx	imately			
		\$362)			
Swiss franc notes	C	HF 300	2.000	December 2011	December 2021
	(approx	imately			
		\$335)			

(a) The notes are a further issuance of the 2.500% notes issued by PMI in May 2011.

As a result of the debt issuances shown in the table above, the weighted-average time to maturity of our long-term debt has increased from 7.0 years at the end of 2010 to 8.2 years at the end of 2011.

The net proceeds from the sale of these securities were used to meet our working capital requirements, to repurchase our common stock, to refinance debt and for general corporate purposes.

In March 2010, we issued \$1.0 billion of 4.50% U.S. dollar notes due March 2020 under our previous shelf registration statement.

In March 2010, we renewed our Euro Medium Term Note Program under which we were able to issue unsecured notes from time to time. This program expired in March 2011, and we do not presently intend to renew the program.

• **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations:** We have no off-balance sheet arrangements, including special purpose entities, other than guarantees and contractual obligations that are discussed below.

Guarantees: See Note 21. *Contingencies* to the consolidated financial statements for a discussion of our third-party guarantees. At December 31, 2011, we were also contingently liable for \$0.8 billion of guarantees of our own performance, which were primarily related to excise taxes on the shipment of our products. There is no liability in the consolidated financial statements associated with these guarantees.

Aggregate Contractual Obligations: The following table summarizes our contractual obligations at December 31, 2011:

			Payments Due		
(in millions)	Total	2012	2013- 2014	2015- 2016	2017 and Thereafter
Long-term debt ⁽¹⁾	\$17,133	\$2,206	\$4,067	\$3,535	\$ 7,325
RBH Legal Settlement ⁽²⁾	257	36	79	90	52
Colombian Investment and Cooperation					
Agreement ⁽³⁾	132	7	16	17	92
Interest on borrowings ⁽⁴⁾	6,257	748	1,170	887	3,452
Operating leases ⁽⁵⁾	790	186	232	122	250
Purchase obligations ⁽⁶⁾ :					
Inventory and production costs	2,252	1,615	594	43	
Other	1,669	1,036	466	144	23
	3,921	2,651	1,060	187	23
Other long-term liabilities ⁽⁷⁾	333	30	65	37	201
	\$28,823	\$5,864	\$6,689	\$4,875	\$ 11,395

(1) Amounts represent the expected cash payments of our long-term debt. Amounts include capital lease obligations, primarily associated with vending machines in Japan.

(2) Amounts represent the estimated future payments due under the terms of the settlement agreement. See Note 19. *RBH Legal Settlement*, to our consolidated financial statements for more details regarding this settlement.

- (3) Amounts represent the expected cash payments under the terms of the Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement. See Note 18. *Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement* to our consolidated financial statements for more details regarding this agreement.
- (4) Amounts represent the expected cash payments of our interest expense on our long-term debt, including the current portion of long-term debt. Interest on our fixed-rate debt is presented using the stated interest rate. Interest on our variable rate debt is estimated using the rate in effect at December 31, 2011. Amounts exclude the amortization of debt discounts, the amortization of loan fees and fees for lines of credit that would be included in interest expense in the consolidated statements of earnings.
- (5) Amounts represent the minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable operating leases.
- (6) Purchase obligations for inventory and production costs (such as raw materials, indirect materials and supplies, packaging, co-manufacturing arrangements, storage and distribution) are commitments for projected needs to be utilized in the normal course of business. Other purchase obligations include commitments for marketing, advertising, capital expenditures, information technology and professional services. Arrangements are considered purchase obligations if a contract specifies all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased, a pricing structure and approximate timing of the transaction. Most arrangements are cancelable without a significant penalty and with short notice (usually 30 days). Any amounts reflected on the consolidated balance sheet as accounts payable and accrued liabilities are excluded from the table above.
- (7) Other long-term liabilities consist primarily of postretirement health care costs and accruals established for employment costs. The following long-term liabilities included on the consolidated balance sheet are excluded from the table above: accrued pension and postemployment costs, tax contingencies, insurance accruals and other accruals. We are unable to estimate the timing of payments (or contributions in the case of accrued pension costs) for these items. Currently, we anticipate making pension contributions of approximately \$163 million in 2012, based on current tax and benefit laws (as discussed in Note 13. *Benefit Plans* to our consolidated financial statements).

The E.C. agreement payments discussed below are excluded from the table above, as the payments are subject to adjustment based on certain variables including our market share in the EU.

E.C. Agreement: In 2004, we entered into an agreement with the European Commission (acting on behalf of the European Community) that provides for broad cooperation with European law enforcement agencies on anti-contraband and anti-counterfeit efforts. This agreement has been signed by all 27 Member States. This agreement calls for payments that are to be adjusted based on certain variables, including our market share in the European Union in the year preceding payment. Because future additional payments are subject to these variables, we record these payments as an expense in cost of sales when product is shipped. In addition, we are also responsible to pay the excise taxes, VAT and customs duties on qualifying product seizures of up to 90 million cigarettes and are subject to payments of five times the applicable taxes and duties if qualifying product seizures exceed 90 million cigarettes in a given year. To date, our annual payments related to product seizures have been immaterial. Total charges related to the E.C. Agreement of \$86 million, \$91 million and \$84 million were recorded in cost of sales in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Other: In addition to the contractual obligations noted above, we entered into separate agreements with Grupo Carso, S.A.B. de C.V. ("Grupo Carso") in 2007 and FTC in 2010, which relate to the potential purchase of the noncontrolling interest in our Mexican and Philippines tobacco businesses by PMI. See Note 4. *Related Party Information* to our consolidated financial statements for a

discussion of our agreement with Grupo Carso and Note 6. Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements to our consolidated financial statements for a discussion of our agreement with FTC.

• **Equity and Dividends:** As discussed in Note 9. *Stock Plans* to our consolidated financial statements, during 2011, we granted 3.8 million shares of restricted stock and deferred stock awards at a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$59.44. The restricted stock and deferred stock awards will not vest until the completion of the original restriction period, which is typically three years from the date of the original grant.

On May 1, 2008, we began a \$13.0 billion two-year share repurchase program. On April 30, 2010, we completed this \$13.0 billion share repurchase program by purchasing, in total, 277.6 million shares at an average price of \$46.83 per share.

On May 1, 2010, we began repurchasing shares under a three-year \$12 billion share repurchase program that was authorized by our Board of Directors in February 2010. From May 1, 2010 through December 31, 2011, we repurchased 136.4 million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$8.4 billion under this repurchase program. During 2011, we repurchased 80.5 million shares at a cost of \$5.4 billion.

On February 9, 2012, we announced that our forecast includes a share repurchase target amount for 2012 of \$6.0 billion.

Dividends paid to public stockholders in 2011 were \$4.8 billion. During the third quarter of 2011, our Board of Directors approved a 20.3% increase in the quarterly dividend rate to \$0.77 per common share. As a result, the present annualized dividend rate is \$3.08 per common share.

Market Risk

• **Counterparty Risk:** We predominantly work with financial institutions with strong short and long-term credit ratings as assigned by Standard & Poor's and Moody's. These banks are also part of a defined group of relationship banks. Non-investment grade institutions are only used in certain emerging markets to the extent required by local business needs. We have a conservative approach when it comes to choosing financial counterparties and financial instruments. As such we do not invest or hold investments in any structured or equity-linked products. The majority of our cash and cash equivalents are currently invested in bank deposits maturing within less than 30 days.

We continuously monitor and assess the creditworthiness of all our counterparties.

• **Derivative Financial Instruments:** We operate in markets outside of the United States, with manufacturing and sales facilities in various locations throughout the world. Consequently, we use certain financial instruments to manage our foreign currency exposure. We use derivative financial instruments principally to reduce our exposure to market risks resulting from fluctuations in foreign exchange rates by creating offsetting exposures. We are not a party to leveraged derivatives and, by policy, do not use derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes.

See Note 15. *Financial Instruments* and Note 16. *Fair Value Measurements* to our consolidated financial statements for further details on our derivative financial instruments.

• Value at Risk: We use a value at risk computation to estimate the potential one-day loss in the fair value of our interest-ratesensitive financial instruments and to estimate the potential one-day loss in pre-tax earnings of our foreign currency price-sensitive derivative financial instruments. This computation includes our debt, short-term investments, and foreign currency forwards, swaps and options. Anticipated transactions, foreign currency trade payables and receivables, and net investments in foreign subsidiaries, which the foregoing instruments are intended to hedge, were excluded from the computation.

The computation estimates were made assuming normal market conditions, using a 95% confidence interval. We use a "variance/co-variance" model to determine the observed interrelationships between movements in interest rates and various currencies. These interrelationships were determined by observing interest rate and forward currency rate movements over the preceding quarter for determining value at risk at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and over each of the four preceding quarters for the calculation of average value at risk amounts during each year. The values of foreign currency options do not change on a one-to-one basis with the underlying currency and were valued accordingly in the computation.

The estimated potential one-day loss in fair value of our interest-rate-sensitive instruments, primarily debt, under normal market conditions and the estimated potential one-day loss in pre-tax earnings from foreign currency instruments under normal market conditions, as calculated in the value at risk model, were as follows:

		Pre-Tax Earnings Impact				
(in millions)	At 12/31/	11	Average	High	Low	
Instruments sensitive to:		_				
Foreign currency rates	\$ 4	9	\$ 74	\$90	\$49	
		Fa	ir Value Im	ipact		
(in millions)	<u>At 12/31/</u>	<u>11</u>	Average	High	Low	
Instruments sensitive to:						
Interest rates	\$ 5	7	\$ 55	\$69	\$45	
		Pre-Tax Earnings Impact				
		Pre-T	ax Earnings	s Impact		
(in millions)	At 12/31/		ax Earnings Average	s Impact <u>High</u>	Low	
(in millions) Instruments sensitive to:	At 12/31/		0		Low	
<u>`</u>		<u>10</u>	0		<u>Low</u> \$16	
Instruments sensitive to:		<u>10</u> .4	Average	<u>High</u> \$53		
Instruments sensitive to:		10 -4 	Average \$36	<u>High</u> \$53		
Instruments sensitive to: Foreign currency rates	\$ 4	10 -4 	Average \$36 ir Value Im	High \$53 pact	\$16	

The value at risk computation is a risk analysis tool designed to statistically estimate the maximum probable daily loss from adverse movements in interest and foreign currency rates under normal market conditions. The computation does not purport to represent actual losses in fair value or earnings to be incurred by us, nor does it consider the effect of favorable changes in market

rates. We cannot predict actual future movements in such market rates and do not present these results to be indicative of future movements in market rates or to be representative of any actual impact that future changes in market rates may have on our future results of operations or financial position.

Contingencies

See Note 21. Contingencies to our consolidated financial statements for a discussion of contingencies.

Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results

Forward-Looking and Cautionary Statements

We may from time to time make written or oral forward-looking statements, including statements contained in filings with the SEC, in reports to stockholders and in press releases and investor webcasts. You can identify these forward-looking statements by use of words such as "strategy," "expects," "continues," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "will," "estimates," "intends," "projects," "goals," "targets" and other words of similar meaning. You can also identify them by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts.

We cannot guarantee that any forward-looking statement will be realized, although we believe we have been prudent in our plans and assumptions. Achievement of future results is subject to risks, uncertainties and inaccurate assumptions. Should known or unknown risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove inaccurate, actual results could vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected. Investors should bear this in mind as they consider forward-looking statements and whether to invest in or remain invested in our securities. In connection with the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, we are identifying important factors that, individually or in the aggregate, could cause actual results and outcomes to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements made by us; any such statement is qualified by reference to the following cautionary statements. We elaborate on these and other risks we face throughout this document, particularly in the "Business Environment" section. You should understand that it is not possible to predict or identify all risk factors. Consequently, you should not consider the following to be a complete discussion of all potential risks or uncertainties. We do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement that we may make from time to time except in the normal course of our public disclosure obligations.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

• Cigarettes are subject to substantial taxes. Significant increases in cigarette-related taxes have been proposed or enacted and are likely to continue to be proposed or enacted in numerous jurisdictions. These tax increases may disproportionately affect our profitability and make us less competitive versus certain of our competitors.

Tax regimes, including excise taxes, sales taxes and import duties, can disproportionately affect the retail price of manufactured cigarettes versus other tobacco products, or disproportionately affect the relative retail price of our manufactured cigarette brands versus cigarette brands manufactured by certain of our competitors. Because our portfolio is weighted toward the premium-price manufactured cigarette category, tax regimes based on sales price can place us at a competitive disadvantage in certain markets. As a result, our volume and profitability may be adversely affected in these markets.

Increases in cigarette taxes are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on our sales of cigarettes, due to resulting lower consumption levels, a shift in sales from manufactured cigarettes to other tobacco products and from the premium-price to the midprice or low-price cigarette categories, where we may be under-represented, from local sales to legal cross-border purchases of lower price products, or to illicit products such as contraband and counterfeit.

• Our business faces significant governmental action aimed at increasing regulatory requirements with the goal of preventing the use of tobacco products.

Governmental actions, combined with the diminishing social acceptance of smoking and private actions to restrict smoking, have resulted in reduced industry volume in many of our markets, and we expect that such factors will continue to reduce consumption levels and will increase downtrading and the risk of counterfeiting, contraband and cross-border purchases. Significant regulatory developments will take place over the next few years in most of our markets, driven principally by the World Health Organization's Framework Convention on Tobacco Control ("FCTC"). The FCTC is the first international public health treaty on tobacco, and its objective is to establish a global agenda for tobacco regulation. The FCTC has led to increased efforts by tobacco control advocates and public health organizations to reduce the palatability and attractiveness of tobacco products to adult smokers. Regulatory initiatives that have been proposed, introduced or enacted include:

- the levying of substantial and increasing tax and duty charges;
- restrictions or bans on advertising, marketing and sponsorship;
- the display of larger health warnings, graphic health warnings and other labeling requirements;
- restrictions on packaging design, including the use of colors, and plain packaging;
- restrictions or bans on the display of tobacco product packaging at the point of sale and restrictions or bans on cigarette vending machines;
- requirements regarding testing, disclosure and performance standards for tar, nicotine, carbon monoxide and other smoke constituents;
- disclosure, restrictions, or bans of tobacco product ingredients;
- increased restrictions on smoking in public and work places and, in some instances, in private places and outdoors;
- elimination of duty free allowances for travelers; and
- encouraging litigation against tobacco companies.

Our operating income could be significantly affected by regulatory initiatives resulting in a significant decrease in demand for our brands, in particular requirements that lead to a commoditization of tobacco products, as well as any significant increase in the cost of complying with new regulatory requirements.

• Litigation related to tobacco use and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke ("ETS") could substantially reduce our profitability and could severely impair our liquidity.

There is litigation related to tobacco products pending in certain jurisdictions. Damages claimed in some tobacco-related litigation are significant and, in certain cases in Brazil, Canada, Israel and Nigeria, range into the billions of U.S. dollars. We anticipate that new cases will continue to be filed. The FCTC encourages litigation against tobacco product manufacturers. It is possible that our consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position could be materially affected in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year by an unfavorable

outcome or settlement of certain pending litigation. Please see Note 21. *Contingencies* to our consolidated financial statements for a discussion of tobacco-related litigation.

• We face intense competition, and our failure to compete effectively could have a material adverse effect on our profitability and results of operations.

We compete primarily on the basis of product quality, brand recognition, brand loyalty, taste, innovation, packaging, service, marketing, advertising and price. We are subject to highly competitive conditions in all aspects of our business. The competitive environment and our competitive position can be significantly influenced by weak economic conditions, erosion of consumer confidence, competitors' introduction of lower-price products or innovative products, higher tobacco product taxes, higher absolute prices and larger gaps between retail price categories, and product regulation that diminishes the ability to differentiate tobacco products. Competitors include three large international tobacco companies and several regional and local tobacco companies and, in some instances, state-owned tobacco enterprises, principally in Algeria, China, Egypt, Taiwan, Thailand and Vietnam. Industry consolidation and privatizations of state-owned enterprises have led to an overall increase in competitive pressures. Some competitors have different profit and volume objectives and some international competitors are less susceptible to changes in currency exchange rates.

• Because we have operations in numerous countries, our results may be influenced by economic, regulatory and political developments in many countries.

Some of the countries in which we operate face the threat of civil unrest and can be subject to regime changes. In others, nationalization, terrorism, conflict and the threat of war may have a significant impact on the business environment. Economic, political, regulatory or other developments could disrupt our supply chain or our distribution capabilities. In addition, such developments could lead to loss of property or equipment that are critical to our business in certain markets and difficulty in staffing and managing our operations, which could reduce our volumes, revenues and net earnings. In certain markets, we are dependent on governmental approvals of various actions such as price changes.

In addition, despite our high ethical standards and rigorous control and compliance procedures aimed at preventing and detecting unlawful conduct, given the breadth and scope of our international operations, we may not be able to detect all potential improper or unlawful conduct by our employees and international partners.

• We may be unable to anticipate changes in consumer preferences or to respond to consumer behavior influenced by economic downturns.

Our tobacco business is subject to changes in consumer preferences, which may be influenced by local economic conditions. To be successful, we must:

- promote brand equity successfully;
- anticipate and respond to new consumer trends;
- develop new products and markets and broaden brand portfolios;
- improve productivity; and
- be able to protect or enhance margins through price increases.

In periods of economic uncertainty, consumers may tend to purchase lower-price brands, and the volume of our premium-price and mid-price brands and our profitability could suffer accordingly.

• We lose revenues as a result of counterfeiting, contraband and cross-border purchases.

Large quantities of counterfeit cigarettes are sold in the international market. We believe that *Marlboro* is the most heavily counterfeited international cigarette brand, although we cannot quantify the revenues we lose as a result of this activity. In addition, our revenues are reduced by contraband and legal cross-border purchases.

• From time to time, we are subject to governmental investigations on a range of matters.

Investigations include allegations of contraband shipments of cigarettes, allegations of unlawful pricing activities within certain markets, allegations of underpayment of customs duties and/or excise taxes, and allegations of false and misleading usage of descriptors such as "lights" and "ultra lights." We cannot predict the outcome of those investigations or whether additional investigations may be commenced, and it is possible that our business could be materially affected by an unfavorable outcome of pending or future investigations. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Operating Results by Business Segment — Business Environment — Governmental Investigations" for a description of governmental investigations to which we are subject.

• We may be unsuccessful in our attempts to produce products with the potential to reduce the risk of smoking-related diseases.

We continue to seek ways to develop commercially viable new product technologies that may reduce the risk of smoking. Our goal is to develop products whose potential for risk reduction can be substantiated and meet adult smokers' taste expectations. We may not succeed in these efforts. If we do not succeed, but others do, we may be at a competitive disadvantage. Furthermore, we cannot predict whether regulators will permit the marketing of tobacco products with claims of reduced risk to consumers, which could significantly undermine the commercial viability of these products.

• Our reported results could be adversely affected by unfavorable currency exchange rates, and currency devaluations could impair our competitiveness.

We conduct our business primarily in local currency and, for purposes of financial reporting, the local currency results are translated into U.S. dollars based on average exchange rates prevailing during a reporting period. During times of a strengthening U.S. dollar, our reported net revenues and operating income will be reduced because the local currency will translate into fewer U.S. dollars. During periods of local

economic crises, foreign currencies may be devalued significantly against the U.S. dollar, reducing our margins. Actions to recover margins may result in lower volume and a weaker competitive position.

• The repatriation of our foreign earnings, changes in the earnings mix, and changes in U.S. tax laws may increase our effective tax rate.

Because we are a U.S. holding company, our most significant source of funds is distributions from our non-U.S. subsidiaries. Under current U.S. tax law, in general we do not pay U.S. taxes on our foreign earnings until they are repatriated to the U.S. as distributions from our non-U.S. subsidiaries. These distributions may result in a residual U.S. tax cost. It may be advantageous to us in certain circumstances to significantly increase the amount of such distributions, which could result in a material increase in our overall effective tax rate. Additionally, the Obama Administration has indicated that it favors changes in U.S. tax law that would fundamentally change how our earnings are taxed in the U.S. If enacted and depending upon its precise terms, such legislation could increase our overall effective tax rate.

• Our ability to grow may be limited by our inability to introduce new products, enter new markets or to improve our margins through higher pricing and improvements in our brand and geographic mix.

Our profitability may suffer if we are unable to introduce new products or enter new markets successfully, to raise prices or maintain an acceptable proportion of our sales of higher margin products and sales in higher margin geographies.

• We may be unable to expand our brand portfolio through successful acquisitions and the development of strategic business relationships.

One element of our growth strategy is to strengthen our brand portfolio and market positions through selective acquisitions and the development of strategic business relationships. Acquisition and strategic business development opportunities are limited and present risks of failing to achieve efficient and effective integration, strategic objectives and anticipated revenue improvements and cost savings. There is no assurance that we will be able to acquire attractive businesses on favorable terms, or that future acquisitions or strategic business developments will be accretive to earnings.

• Government mandated prices, production control programs, shifts in crops driven by economic conditions and the impacts of climate change may increase the cost or reduce the quality of the tobacco and other agricultural products used to manufacture our products.

As with other agricultural commodities, the price of tobacco leaf and cloves can be influenced by imbalances in supply and demand, and crop quality can be influenced by variations in weather patterns, including those caused by climate change. Tobacco production in certain countries is subject to a variety of controls, including government mandated prices and production control programs. Changes in the patterns of demand for agricultural products could cause farmers to plant less tobacco. Any significant change in tobacco leaf and clove prices, quality and quantity could affect our profitability and our business.

• Our ability to implement our strategy of attracting and retaining the best global talent may be impaired by the decreasing social acceptance of cigarette smoking.

The tobacco industry competes for talent with consumer products and other companies that enjoy greater societal acceptance. As a result, we may be unable to attract and retain the best global talent.

• The failure of our information systems to function as intended or their penetration by outside parties with the intent to corrupt them could result in business disruption, loss of revenue, assets or personal or other sensitive data.

We use information systems to help manage business processes, collect and interpret business data and communicate internally and externally with employees, suppliers, customers and others. Some of these information systems are managed by third-party service providers. We have backup systems and business continuity plans in place, and we take care to protect our systems and data from unauthorized access. Nevertheless, failure of our systems to function as intended, or penetration of our systems by outside parties intent on extracting or corrupting information or otherwise disrupting business processes, could result in loss of revenue, assets or personal or other sensitive data, cause damage to our reputation and that of our brands and result in significant remediation and other costs to us.

Selected Financial Data–Five-Year Review

(in millions of dollars, except per share data)

76,346 10,678	\$						
	¢						
10 678	φ	67,713	\$	62,080	\$	63,640	\$55,243
		9,713		9,022		9,328	8,711
45,249		40,505		37,045		37,935	32,433
20,419		17,495		16,013		16,377	14,099
13,332		11,200		10,040		10,248	8,894
800		876		797		311	10
12,532		10,324		9,243		9,937	8,884
16.4%		15.2%		14.9%		15.6%	16.1%
3,653		2,826		2,691		2,787	2,570
8,879		7,498		6,552		7,150	6,314
288		239		210		260	276
8,591		7,259		6,342		6,890	6,038
4.85		3.93		3.25		3.32	2.86
4.85		3.92		3.24		3.31	2.86
2.82		2.44		2.24		1.54	
897		713		715		1,099	1,072
993		932		853		842	748
6,250		6,499		6,390		6,348	6,435
8,120		8,317		9,207		9,664	9,371
35,488		35,050		34,552		32,972	31,777
14,828		13,370		13,672		11,377	5,578
18,545		16,502		15,416		11,961	6,069
551		3,933		6,145		7,904	16,013
58.1%		62.2%		69.1%		46.5%	
.42-55.85	60).87-42.94	5	2.35-32.04	56	.26-33.30	
78.48		58.53		48.19		43.51	_
16		15		15		13	
1,726		1,802		1,887		2,007	2,109
78,100		78,300		77,300		75,600	75,500
	20,419 13,332 800 12,532 16.4% 3,653 8,879 288 8,591 4.85 4.85 2.82 897 993 6,250 8,120 35,488 14,828 18,545 551 58.1% 2.42-55.85 78.48 16 1,726	20,419 13,332 800 12,532 16.4% 3,653 8,879 288 8,591 4.85 4.85 2.82 897 993 6,250 8,120 35,488 14,828	20,419 17,495 13,332 11,200 800 876 12,532 10,324 16.4% 15.2% 3,653 2,826 8,879 7,498 288 239 8,591 7,259 4.85 3.93 4.85 3.92 2.82 2.44 897 713 993 932 6,250 6,499 8,120 8,317 35,488 35,050 14,828 13,370 18,545 16,502 551 3,933 58.1% 62.2% 6.445 58.53 16 15 1,726 1,802	20,419 17,495 13,332 11,200 800 876 12,532 10,324 16.4% 15.2% 3,653 2,826 8,879 7,498 288 239 8,591 7,259 4.85 3.93 4.85 3.92 2.82 2.44 897 713 993 932 6,250 6,499 8,120 8,317 35,488 35,050 14,828 13,370 18,545 16,502 551 3,933 58.1% 62.2% 2.42-55.85 60.87-42.94 5 78.48 58.53 16 15 1,726 1,802	20,419 17,495 16,013 13,332 11,200 10,040 800 876 797 12,532 10,324 9,243 16.4% 15.2% 14.9% 3,653 2,826 2,691 8,879 7,498 6,552 288 239 210 8,591 7,259 6,342 4.85 3.93 3.25 4.85 3.92 3.24 2.82 2.44 2.24 897 713 715 993 932 853 6,250 6,499 6,390 8,120 8,317 9,207 35,488 35,050 34,552 14,828 13,370 13,672 18,545 16,502 15,416 551 3,933 6,145 58.1% 62.2% 69.1% 2.42-55.85 60.87-42.94 52.35-32.04 78.48 58.53 48.19	20,419 17,495 16,013 13,332 11,200 10,040 800 876 797 12,532 10,324 9,243 16.4% 15.2% 14.9% 3,653 2,826 2,691 8,879 7,498 6,552 288 239 210 8,591 7,259 6,342 4.85 3.93 3.25 4.85 3.92 3.24 2.82 2.44 2.24 897 713 715 993 932 853 6,250 6,499 6,390 8,120 8,317 9,207 35,488 35,050 34,552 14,828 13,370 13,672 18,545 16,502 15,416 551 3,933 6,145 58.1% 62.2% 69.1% 2.42-55.85 60.87-42.94 52.35-32.04 56 78.48 58.53 48.19 <td< td=""><td>20,419 17,495 16,013 16,377 13,332 11,200 10,040 10,248 800 876 797 311 12,532 10,324 9,243 9,937 16.4% 15.2% 14.9% 15.6% 3,653 2,826 2,691 2,787 8,879 7,498 6,552 7,150 288 239 210 260 8,591 7,259 6,342 6,890 4.85 3.93 3.25 3.32 4.85 3.92 3.24 3.31 2.82 2.44 2.24 1.54 897 713 715 1,099 993 932 853 842 6,250 6,499 6,390 6,348 8,120 8,317 9,207 9,664 35,488 35,050 34,552 32,972 14,828 13,370 13,672 11,377 18,545 16,502 1</td></td<>	20,419 17,495 16,013 16,377 13,332 11,200 10,040 10,248 800 876 797 311 12,532 10,324 9,243 9,937 16.4% 15.2% 14.9% 15.6% 3,653 2,826 2,691 2,787 8,879 7,498 6,552 7,150 288 239 210 260 8,591 7,259 6,342 6,890 4.85 3.93 3.25 3.32 4.85 3.92 3.24 3.31 2.82 2.44 2.24 1.54 897 713 715 1,099 993 932 853 842 6,250 6,499 6,390 6,348 8,120 8,317 9,207 9,664 35,488 35,050 34,552 32,972 14,828 13,370 13,672 11,377 18,545 16,502 1

(1) For the year 2007, share amount is based on the number of shares distributed by Altria on the Distribution Date.

This Selected Financial Data should be read together with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Balance Sheets (in millions of dollars, except share data)

at December 31,	2011	2010
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,550	\$ 1,703
Receivables (less allowances of \$45 in 2011 and \$56 in 2010)	3,201	3,009
Inventories:		
Leaf tobacco	3,463	4,026
Other raw materials	1,185	1,314
Finished product	3,472	2,977
	8,120	8,317
Deferred income taxes	397	371
Other current assets	591	356
Total current assets	14,859	13,756
Property, plant and equipment, at cost:		
Land and land improvements	692	703
Buildings and building equipment	3,738	3,720
Machinery and equipment	7,880	7,857
Construction in progress	603	479
	12,913	12,759
Less: accumulated depreciation	6,663	6,260
	6,250	6,499
Goodwill	9,928	10,161
Other intangible assets, net	3,697	3,873
Other assets	754	761
Total Assets	\$35,488	\$35,050

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

at December 31,	2011	2010
Liabilities		
Short-term borrowings	\$ 1,511	\$ 1,747
Current portion of long-term debt	2,206	1,385
Accounts payable	1,031	835
Accrued liabilities:		
Marketing and selling	519	393
Taxes, except income taxes	5,346	4,884
Employment costs	894	739
Dividends payable	1,341	1,162
Other	873	920
Income taxes	897	601
Deferred income taxes	176	138
Total current liabilities	14,794	12,804
Long-term debt	14,828	13,370
Deferred income taxes	1,976	2,027
Employment costs	1,665	1,261
Other liabilities	462	467
Total liabilities	33,725	29,929
Contingencies (Note 21)		
Redeemable noncontrolling interests (Note 6)	1,212	1,188
Stockholders' Equity	,	
Common stock, no par value (2,109,316,331 shares issued in 2011 and 2010)		
Additional paid-in capital	1,235	1,225
Earnings reinvested in the business	21,757	18,133
Accumulated other comprehensive losses	(2,863)	(1, 140)
·	20,129	18,218
Less: cost of repurchased stock (383,407,665 and 307,532,841 shares in 2011 and 2010, respectively)	19,900	14,712
Total PMI stockholders' equity	229	3,506
Noncontrolling interests	322	427
Total stockholders' equity	551	3,933
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$35,488	\$35,050

Consolidated Statements of Earnings (in millions of dollars, except per share data)

for the years ended December 31,	2011	2010	2009
Net revenues	\$76,346	\$67,713	\$62,080
Cost of sales	10,678	9,713	9,022
Excise taxes on products	45,249	40,505	37,045
Gross profit	20,419	17,495	16,013
Marketing, administration and research costs	6,880	6,160	5,870
Asset impairment and exit costs	109	47	29
Amortization of intangibles	<u> </u>	88	74
Operating income	13,332	11,200	10,040
Interest expense, net	800	876	797
Earnings before income taxes	12,532	10,324	9,243
Provision for income taxes	3,653	2,826	2,691
Net earnings	8,879	7,498	6,552
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	288	239	210
Net earnings attributable to PMI	\$ 8,591	\$ 7,259	\$ 6,342
Per share data (Note 10):			
Basic earnings per share	<u>\$ 4.85</u>	\$ 3.93	\$ 3.25
Diluted earnings per share	<u>\$ 4.85</u>	\$ 3.92	\$ 3.24

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (in millions of dollars, except per share data)

		1	PMI Stockholde	rs' Equity			
			Earnings	Accumulated			
	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Reinvested in the Business	Other Comprehensive Losses	Cost of Repurchased Stock	Noncontrolling Interests	Total
Balances, January 1, 2009	\$ —	\$ 1,581	\$ 13,354	\$ (2,281)	\$ (5,154)	\$ 404	\$ 7,904
Comprehensive earnings:							
Net earnings			6,342			210	6,552
Other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of income taxes:							
Currency translation							
adjustments, net of income taxes of (\$12)				1,329		2	1,331
Change in net loss and prior service cost, net of income taxes of							
\$30				36			36
Change in fair value of derivatives accounted for as hedges, net of				50			50
income taxes of (\$8)				87			87
Change in fair value of equity securities				12			12
Total other comprehensive							
earnings				1,464		2	1,466
Total comprehensive earnings			6,342	1,464		212	8,018
Exercise of stock options and							
issuance of other stock awards		(171)			453		282
Dividends declared (\$2.24 per share)			(4,338)				(4,338)
Purchase of subsidiary shares from							
noncontrolling interests		(7)				(2)	(9)
Payments to noncontrolling interests					(5.507)	(185)	(185)
Common stock repurchased	. <u></u>				(5,527)		(5,527)
Balances, December 31, 2009		1,403	15,358	(817)	(10,228)	429	6,145
Comprehensive earnings:			7.250			012(1)	7 470(1)
Net earnings			7,259			213(1)	7,472(1)
Other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of income taxes:							
Currency translation adjustments, net of income taxes of							
(\$107)				(54)		(5)(1)	(59)
Change in net loss and prior service cost, net of income taxes of							
\$23				(242)			(242)
Change in fair value of derivatives accounted for as hedges, net of							
income taxes of \$3				(17)			(17)
Change in fair value of equity securities				(10)			(10)
Total other comprehensive losses				(323)		(5)	(328)

Total comprehensive earnings			7,259	(323)		208	7,144
Exercise of stock options and			,,,	(020)		200	
issuance of other stock awards		(178)			543		365
Dividends declared (\$2.44 per share)		()	(4,484)				(4,484)
Payments to noncontrolling interests			(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			(210)	(210)
Common stock repurchased					(5,027)		(5,027)
Balances, December 31, 2010		1,225	18,133	(1,140)	(14,712)	427	3,933
Comprehensive earnings:		,	,				,
Net earnings			8,591			191 ⁽¹⁾	8,782(1)
Other comprehensive earnings							
(losses), net of income							
taxes:							
Currency translation							
adjustments, net of							
income taxes of \$10				(800)		(52)(1)	(852)
Change in net loss and							
prior service cost, net							
of income taxes of							
\$125				(935)		(2)	(937)
Change in fair value of							
derivatives accounted							
for as hedges, net of $f(x^2)$				13			13
income taxes of (\$3) Change in fair value of				15			15
equity securities				(1)			(1)
1 7				(1)			<u>(1</u>)
Total other comprehensive losses				(1,723)		(54)	(1,777)
			0 201			. ,	
Total comprehensive earnings			8,591	(1,723)		137	7,005
Exercise of stock options and		10					224
issuance of other stock awards		12			212		224
Dividends declared (\$2.82 per share)			(4,967)			(3.41)	(4,967)
Payments to noncontrolling interests						(241)	(241)
Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interests		(2)				(1)	(3)
Common stock repurchased		(2)			(5,400)	(1)	(5,400)
1	¢	¢ 1.025	\$ 31 757	¢ (19(2))		¢ 200	<u> </u>
Balances, December 31, 2011	> —	<u>\$ 1,235</u>	<u>\$ 21,757</u>	<u>\$ (2,863)</u>	<u>\$ (19,900)</u>	<u>\$ 322</u>	<u>\$ 551</u>

(1) Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests exclude \$97 million of earnings related to the redeemable noncontrolling interest, which is reported outside of the equity section in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2011. Currency translation adjustments related to redeemable noncontrolling interest at December 31, 2011, were less than \$1 million. Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests exclude \$26 million of earnings related to the redeemable noncontrolling interest, which is reported outside of the equity section in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2010. Currency translation adjustments also exclude \$16 million of gains related to the redeemable noncontrolling interest at December 31, 2010.

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(in millions of dollars)

for the years ended December 31,	2011	2010	2009
Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities			
Net earnings	\$ 8,879	\$7,498	\$ 6,552
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to operating cash flows:			
Depreciation and amortization	993	932	853
Deferred income tax provision	15	101	129
Colombian investment and cooperation agreement charge			135
Asset impairment and exit costs, net of cash paid	11	(28)	(27)
Cash effects of changes, net of the effects from acquired and divested companies:			
Receivables, net	(251)	123	(187)
Inventories	(36)	1,071	660
Accounts payable	199	(72)	(116)
Income taxes	231	92	5
Accrued liabilities and other current assets	691	41	190
Pension plan contributions	(535)	(433)	(558)
Other	332	112	248
Net cash provided by operating activities	10,529	9,437	7,884
Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities			
Capital expenditures	(897)	(713)	(715)
Purchase of businesses, net of acquired cash	(80)	(83)	(429)
Other	(55)	86	46
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,032)	(710)	(1,098)

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

for the years ended December 31,	2011	2010	2009
Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities			
Short-term borrowing activity by original maturity:			
Net (repayments) issuances — maturities of 90 days or less	\$ (968)	\$ 479	\$ 13
Issuances — maturities longer than 90 days	921		564
Repayments — maturities longer than 90 days	(179)	(488)	(331)
Long-term debt proceeds	3,767	1,130	2,987
Long-term debt repaid	(1,483)	(183)	(101)
Repurchases of common stock	(5,372)	(5,030)	(5,625)
Issuances of common stock	75	229	177
Dividends paid	(4,788)	(4,423)	(4,327)
Other	(311)	(292)	(268)
Net cash used in financing activities	(8,338)	(8,578)	(6,911)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(312)	14	134
Cash and cash equivalents:			
Increase	847	163	9
Balance at beginning of year	1,703	1,540	1,531
Balance at end of year	\$ 2,550	\$ 1,703	\$ 1,540
Cash paid: Interest	\$ 963	\$ 912	\$ 743
Income taxes	\$ 3,366	\$ 2,728	\$ 2,537

As discussed in Note 6. Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements, PMI's 2010 business combination in the Philippines was a non-cash transaction.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1.

Background and Basis of Presentation:

• **Background:** Philip Morris International Inc. is a holding company incorporated in Virginia, U.S.A., whose subsidiaries and affiliates and their licensees are engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products in markets outside of the United States of America. Throughout these financial statements, the term "PMI" refers to Philip Morris International Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Prior to March 28, 2008, PMI was a wholly owned subsidiary of Altria Group, Inc. ("Altria").

• **Basis of presentation:** The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of net revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Significant estimates and assumptions include, among other things, pension and benefit plan assumptions, useful lives and valuation assumptions of goodwill and other intangible assets, marketing programs and income taxes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The consolidated financial statements include PMI, as well as its wholly owned and majority-owned subsidiaries. Investments in which PMI exercises significant influence (generally 20% - 50% ownership interest) are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Investments in which PMI has an ownership interest of less than 20%, or does not exercise significant influence, are accounted for with the cost method of accounting. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Note 2.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

• **Cash and cash equivalents:** Cash equivalents include demand deposits with banks and all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less.

• **Depreciation:** Property, plant and equipment are stated at historical cost and depreciated by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Machinery and equipment are depreciated over periods ranging from 3 to 15 years, and buildings and building improvements over periods up to 40 years. Depreciation expense for 2011, 2010 and 2009, was \$895 million, \$844 million and \$779 million, respectively.

• **Goodwill and non-amortizable intangible assets valuation:** PMI tests goodwill and non-amortizable intangible assets for impairment annually or more frequently if events occur that would warrant such review. PMI performs its annual impairment analysis in the first quarter of each year. The impairment analysis involves comparing the fair value of each reporting unit or non-amortizable intangible asset to the carrying value. If the carrying value exceeds the fair value, goodwill or a non-amortizable intangible asset is considered impaired. To determine the fair value of goodwill, PMI primarily uses a discounted cash flow model, supported by the market approach using earnings multiples of comparable companies. To determine the fair value of non-amortizable intangible assets, PMI primarily uses a discounted cash flow model applying the relief-from-royalty method. These discounted cash flow models include management assumptions relevant for forecasting operating cash flows, which are subject to changes in business conditions, such as volumes and prices, costs to produce, discount rates and estimated capital needs. Management considers historical experience and all available information at the time the fair values are estimated, and PMI believes these assumptions are consistent with the assumptions a hypothetical marketplace participant would use. PMI concluded that the fair value of our reporting units and non-amortizable intangible assets exceeded the carrying value and any reasonable movement in the assumptions would not result in an impairment. Since the March 28, 2008, spin-off from Altria, PMI has not recorded a charge to earnings for an impairment of goodwill or non-amortizable intangible assets.

• Foreign currency translation: PMI translates the results of operations of its subsidiaries and affiliates using average exchange rates during each period, whereas balance sheet accounts are translated using exchange rates at the end of each period. Currency translation adjustments are recorded as a component of stockholders' equity. In addition, some of PMI's subsidiaries have assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than their functional currencies, and to the extent those are not designated as net investment hedges, these assets and liabilities generate transaction gains and losses when translated into their respective functional currencies. PMI recorded net transaction gains (losses) of (\$24) million, (\$17) million and \$9 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, in marketing, administration and research costs on the consolidated statements of earnings.

• **Hedging instruments:** Derivative financial instruments are recorded at fair value on the consolidated balance sheets as either assets or liabilities. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recorded each period either in accumulated other comprehensive earnings (losses) or in earnings, depending on whether a derivative is designated and effective as part of a hedge transaction and, if it is, the type of hedge transaction. Gains and losses on derivative instruments reported in accumulated other comprehensive earnings (losses) are

reclassified to the consolidated statements of earnings in the periods in which operating results are affected by the hedged item. Cash flows from hedging instruments are classified in the same manner as the affected hedged item in the consolidated statements of cash flows.

• **Impairment of long-lived assets:** PMI reviews long-lived assets, including amortizable intangible assets, for impairment whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable. PMI performs undiscounted operating cash flow analyses to determine if an impairment exists. For purposes of recognition and measurement of an impairment for assets held for use, PMI groups assets and liabilities at the lowest level for which cash flows are separately identifiable. If an impairment is determined to exist, any related impairment loss is calculated based on fair value. Impairment losses on assets to be disposed of, if any, are based on the estimated proceeds to be received, less costs of disposal.

• **Income taxes:** Income tax provisions for jurisdictions outside the United States, as well as state and local income tax provisions, are determined on a separate company basis, and the related assets and liabilities are recorded in PMI's consolidated balance sheets. Significant judgment is required in determining income tax provisions and in evaluating tax positions.

PMI recognizes accrued interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions as part of the provision for income taxes on the consolidated statements of earnings.

• **Inventories:** Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. The first-in, first-out and average cost methods are used to cost substantially all inventories. It is a generally recognized industry practice to classify leaf tobacco inventory as a current asset although part of such inventory, because of the duration of the aging process, ordinarily would not be utilized within one year.

• **Marketing costs:** PMI promotes its products with advertising, consumer incentives and trade promotions. Such programs include, but are not limited to, discounts, rebates, in-store display incentives and volume-based incentives. Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Trade promotions are recorded as a reduction of revenues based on amounts estimated as being due to customers at the end of a period, based principally on historical utilization. For interim reporting purposes, advertising and certain consumer incentive expenses are charged to earnings based on estimated sales and related expenses for the full year.

• **Revenue recognition:** PMI recognizes revenues, net of sales incentives and including shipping and handling charges billed to customers, either upon shipment or delivery of goods when title and risk of loss pass to customers. Excise taxes billed by PMI to customers are reported in net revenues. Shipping and handling costs are classified as part of cost of sales and were \$905 million, \$653 million and \$603 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

• **Software costs:** PMI capitalizes certain computer software and software development costs incurred in connection with developing or obtaining computer software for internal use. Capitalized software costs are included in property, plant and equipment on PMI's consolidated balance sheets and are amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the software, which do not exceed five years.

• **Stock-based compensation:** PMI measures compensation cost for all stock-based awards at fair value on date of grant and recognizes the compensation costs over the service periods for awards expected to vest. The fair value of restricted stock and deferred stock is determined based on the number of shares granted and the market value at date of grant. The fair value of stock options is determined using a modified Black-Scholes methodology.

Excess tax benefits from the vesting of stock-based awards of \$19 million, \$32 million and \$26 million were recognized in additional paid-in capital as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and were presented as financing cash flows.

Note 3.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, net:

Goodwill and other intangible assets, net, by segment were as follows:

	Goodwill Other Intangible						
(in millions)	Dec	ember 31, 2011	Dec	ember 31, 2010	mber 31, 2011		ember 31, 2010
European Union	\$	1,392	\$	1,443	\$ 663	\$	673
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa		666		702	250		263
Asia		4,966		5,004	1,633		1,661
Latin America & Canada		2,904		3,012	1,151		1,276
Total	\$	9,928	\$	10,161	\$ 3,697	\$	3,873

Goodwill is due primarily to PMI's acquisitions in Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, Greece, Serbia, Colombia and Pakistan, as well as the business combination in the Philippines in February 2010. The movements in goodwill are as follows:

	European	Eastern Europe, Middle East &		Latin America &	
(in millions)	Union	Africa	Asia	Canada	Total
Balance at January 1, 2010	\$ 1,539	\$ 743	\$3,926	\$ 2,904	\$ 9,112
Changes due to:					
Philippines business combination			842		842
Other business combinations	8	5	2	2	17
Currency	(104)	(46)	234	106	190
Balance at December 31, 2010	1,443	702	5,004	3,012	10,161
Changes due to:					
Acquisitions		1	1	1	3
Currency	(51)	(37)	(39)	(109)	(236)
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 1,392	\$ 666	\$4,966	\$ 2,904	\$ 9,928

The increase in goodwill during 2010 from other business combinations relates to our new leaf procurement business in Brazil, which has been allocated to all of PMI's reportable segments based on the projected use of Brazilian leaf. For further details on acquisitions and business combinations, see Note 6. Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements.

Additional details of other intangible assets were as follows:

	Decemb	December 31, 2011		er 31, 2010	
	Gross		Gross		
	Carrying	Accumulated	Carrying	Accumulated	
(in millions)	Amount	Amortization	Amount	Amortization	
Non-amortizable intangible assets	\$ 2,067		\$ 2,170		
Amortizable intangible assets	2,001	<u>\$ 371</u>	1,983	<u>\$ 280</u>	
Total other intangible assets	<u>\$ 4,068</u>	<u>\$ 371</u>	\$4,153	<u>\$ 280</u>	

Non-amortizable intangible assets substantially consist of trademarks from PMI's acquisitions in Indonesia in 2005 and Mexico in 2007. Amortizable intangible assets primarily consist of certain trademarks, distribution networks and non-compete agreements associated with business combinations. The range of useful lives as well as the weighted-average remaining useful life of amortizable intangible assets at December 31, 2011, is as follows:

Description	Initial Estimated Useful Lives	Weighted-Average Remaining Useful Life
Trademarks	2-40 years	26 years
Distribution networks	20-30 years	16 years
Non-compete agreements	3-10 years	3 years
Other (including farmer contracts)	12.5 – 17 years	14 years

Pre-tax amortization expense for intangible assets during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, was \$98 million, \$88 million and \$74 million, respectively. Amortization expense for each of the next five years is estimated to be \$98 million or less, assuming no additional transactions occur that require the amortization of intangible assets.

The decrease in other intangible assets from December 31, 2010, was due primarily to currency movements, partially offset by the purchase of patent rights related to a new aerosol delivery technology that has the potential to reduce the harm of smoking.

Note 4.

Related Party Information:

Grupo Carso, S.A.B. de C.V. ("Grupo Carso") retains a 20% noncontrolling interest in PMI's Mexican tobacco business. A director of PMI has an affiliation with Grupo Carso. In 2007, PMI and Grupo Carso entered into an agreement for PMI to potentially acquire, or for Grupo Carso to potentially sell to PMI, Grupo Carso's remaining 20% noncontrolling interest in the future.

Note 5.

Asset Impairment and Exit Costs:

During 2011, 2010 and 2009, pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs consisted of the following:

(in millions)	2011	2010	2009
Separation programs:			
European Union	\$ 35	\$27	\$ 29
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	6		
Asia	7		
Latin America & Canada	15		
Total separation programs	63	27	29
Contract termination charges:			
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	12		
Asia		20	
Total contract termination charges	12	20	_
Asset impairment charges:			
European Union	10		
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	7		
Asia	8		
Latin America & Canada	9		
Total asset impairment charges	34		
Asset impairment and exit costs	<u>\$109</u>	\$47	\$ 29

Exit Costs

• **Separation Programs:** PMI recorded pre-tax separation program charges of \$63 million, \$27 million and \$29 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The 2011 pre-tax separation program charges primarily related to severance costs for factory and R&D restructurings. The 2010 and 2009 pre-tax separation program charges primarily related to severance costs.

• **Contract Termination Charges:** During the third quarter of 2011, PMI recorded exit costs of \$12 million related to the termination of a distribution agreement in Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa.

On February 25, 2010, PMI's affiliate, Philip Morris Philippines Manufacturing Inc. ("PMPMI"), and Fortune Tobacco Corporation ("FTC") combined their respective business activities by transferring selected assets and liabilities of PMPMI and FTC to a new company called PMFTC Inc. ("PMFTC"). For further details on this business combination, see Note 6. *Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements*. During the fourth quarter of 2010, PMI recorded exit costs of \$20 million related to the early termination of a transition services agreement between FTC and PMFTC.

• Movement in Exit Cost Liabilities: The movement in the exit cost liabilities for PMI was as follows:

(in millions)	
Liability balance, January 1, 2010	\$ 84
Charges, net of accrual reversal of \$5	47
Cash spent	(75)
Currency/other	(8)
Liability balance, December 31, 2010	<u>(8)</u> \$ 48
Charges	75
Cash spent	(98)
Currency/other	3
Liability balance, December 31, 2011	<u>3</u> <u>\$ 28</u>

Cash payments related to exit costs at PMI were \$98 million, \$75 million and \$56 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Future cash payments for exit costs incurred to date are expected to be approximately \$28 million, and these costs will be substantially paid by the end of 2012.

Asset Impairment Charges

PMI recorded pre-tax asset impairment charges of \$34 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. These charges primarily related to factory restructurings and the consolidation of R&D activities.

Note 6.

Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements:

• **Philippines Business Combination:** On February 25, 2010, PMI's affiliate, Philip Morris Philippines Manufacturing Inc. ("PMPMI"), and Fortune Tobacco Corporation ("FTC") combined their respective business activities by transferring selected assets and liabilities of PMPMI and FTC to a new company called PMFTC Inc. ("PMFTC"). PMPMI and FTC hold equal economic interests in PMFTC, while PMI manages the day-to-day operations of PMFTC and has a majority of its Board of Directors. Consequently, PMI accounts for the contributed assets and liabilities of FTC as a business combination. The establishment of PMFTC permits both parties to benefit from their respective, complementary brand portfolios, as well as cost synergies from the resulting integration of manufacturing, distribution and procurement, and the further development and advancement of tobacco growing in the Philippines.

As PMI has control of PMFTC, the contribution of PMPMI's net assets was recorded at book value, while the contribution of the FTC net assets to PMFTC was recorded at fair value. The difference between the two contributions resulted in an increase to PMI's additional paid-in capital in 2010 of \$477 million.

The fair value of the assets and liabilities contributed by FTC in this non-cash transaction has been determined to be \$1.17 billion, and this final fair value has been primarily allocated to goodwill (\$842 million), inventories (\$486 million), property, plant and equipment (\$289 million) and brands (\$240 million), partially offset by long-term debt (\$495 million, of which \$77 million was shown as current portion of long-term debt), deferred taxes (\$138 million, net of \$18 million of current deferred tax assets) and other current liabilities. The final purchase price allocations were reflected in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010.

FTC also holds the right to sell its interest in PMFTC to PMI, except in certain circumstances, during the period from February 25, 2015 through February 24, 2018, at an agreed-upon value of \$1.17 billion, which is recorded on PMI's consolidated balance sheet as a redeemable noncontrolling interest at the date of the business combination. The amount of FTC's redeemable noncontrolling interest at the date of the business combination was determined as follows:

(in millions)	
Noncontrolling interest in contributed net assets	\$ 693
Accretion to redeemable value	477
Redeemable noncontrolling interest at date of business combination	<u>\$1,170</u>

PMI decided to immediately recognize the accretion to redeemable value rather than recognizing it over the term of the agreement with FTC. This accretion has been charged against additional paid-in capital and fully offsets the increase that resulted from the contributions of net assets to PMFTC, noted above.

With the consolidation of PMFTC, FTC's share of PMFTC's comprehensive income or loss is attributable to the redeemable noncontrolling interest, impacting the carrying value. To the extent that the attribution of these amounts would cause the carrying value to fall below the redemption amount of \$1.17 billion, the carrying amount would be adjusted back up to the redemption value through stockholders' equity. The movement in redeemable noncontrolling interest after the business combination is as follows:

(in millions)	
Redeemable noncontrolling interest at date of business combination	\$1,170
Share of net earnings	26
Dividend payments	(24)
Currency translation	16
Redeemable noncontrolling interest at December 31, 2010	$\frac{16}{\$1,188}$
Share of net earnings	97
Dividend payments	(73)
Currency translation	
Redeemable noncontrolling interest at December 31, 2011	<u>\$1,212</u>

In future periods, if the fair value of 50% of PMFTC were to drop below the redemption value of \$1.17 billion, the difference would be treated as a special dividend to FTC and would reduce PMI's earnings per share. Reductions in earnings per share may be partially or fully reversed in subsequent periods if the fair value of the redeemable non-controlling interest increases relative to the redemption value. Such increases in earnings per share would be limited to cumulative prior reductions. At December 31, 2011, PMI determined that 50% of the fair value of PMFTC exceeded the redemption value of \$1.17 billion.

• **Brazil:** In June 2010, PMI announced that its affiliate, Philip Morris Brasil Industria e Comercio Ltda. ("PMB"), will begin directly sourcing tobacco leaf from approximately 17,000 tobacco farmers in Southern Brazil. This initiative enhances PMI's direct involvement in the supply chain and is expected to provide approximately 10% of PMI's global leaf requirements. The vertically integrated structure was made possible following separate agreements with two leaf suppliers in Brazil, Alliance One Brasil Exportadora de Tabacos Ltda. ("AOB") and Universal Leaf Tabacos Ltda. ("ULT"). These agreements resulted in AOB assigning approximately 9,000 contracts with tobacco farmers to PMB and ULT assigning approximately 8,000 contracts with tobacco farmers to PMB and ULT assigning approximately 8,000 contracts with tobacco farmers to PMB. As a result, PMB offered employment to more than 200 employees, most of them agronomy specialists, and acquired related assets in Southern Brazil. The purchase price for the net assets and the contractual relationships was \$83 million, which was paid in 2010. PMI accounted for these transactions as a business combination. The allocation of the purchase price was to other intangible assets (\$34 million, farmers contracts), inventories (\$33 million), goodwill (\$18 million), property, plant and equipment (\$16 million) and other non-current assets (\$11 million), partially offset by other current liabilities (\$29 million, which consists primarily of the total amount of bank guarantees for tobacco farmers' rural credit facilities).

• **Other:** In June 2011, PMI completed the acquisition of a cigarette business in Jordan, consisting primarily of cigarette manufacturing assets and inventories, for \$42 million. In January 2011, PMI acquired a cigar business, consisting primarily of trademarks in the Australian and New Zealand markets, for \$20 million.

In September 2009, PMI acquired Swedish Match South Africa (Proprietary) Limited, for ZAR 1.93 billion (approximately \$256 million based on exchange rates prevailing at the time of the acquisition), including acquired cash.

In February 2009, PMI purchased the *Petterøes* tobacco business for \$209 million. Assets purchased consisted primarily of definite-lived trademarks of other tobacco products primarily sold in Norway and Sweden.

The effects of these and other smaller acquisitions were not material to PMI's consolidated financial position, results of operations or operating cash flows in any of the periods presented.

Note 7.

Indebtedness:

• Short-Term Borrowings: At December 31, 2011 and 2010, PMI's short-term borrowings and related average interest rates consisted of the following:

	December	December 31, 2011		31, 2010
		Average		Average
	Amount	Year-End	Amount	Year-End
(in millions)	Outstanding	Rate	Outstanding	Rate
Commercial paper	\$ 1,264	0.1%	\$ 1,209	0.2%
Bank loans	247	7.7	538	6.0
	<u>\$ 1,511</u>		\$ 1,747	

Given the mix of subsidiaries and their respective local economic environments, the average interest rate for bank loans above can vary significantly from day to day and country to country.

The fair values of PMI's short-term borrowings at December 31, 2011 and 2010, based upon current market interest rates, approximate the amounts disclosed above.

• Long-Term Debt: At December 31, 2011 and 2010, PMI's long-term debt consisted of the following:

(in millions)	2011	2010
U.S. dollar notes, 2.500% to 6.875% (average interest rate 4.982%), due through 2041	\$11,269	\$ 8,190
Foreign currency obligations:		
Euro notes, 4.250% to 5.875% (average interest rate 5.100%), due through 2016	3,533	4,899
Swiss franc notes, 1.0% to 4.0% (average interest rate 2.802%), due through 2021	1,719	1,050
Other (average interest rate 2.345%), due through 2024	513	616
	17,034	14,755
Less current portion of long-term debt	2,206	1,385
	<u>\$14,828</u>	\$13,370

Debt offerings in 2011

PMI's debt offerings in 2011 were as follows:

(in millions)

		Interest		
Туре	Face Value	Rate	Issuance	Maturity
U.S. dollar notes	\$ 650	2.500%	May 2011	May 2016
U.S. dollar notes	\$ 350	4.125	May 2011	May 2021
U.S. dollar notes	\$ 600	2.500	August 2011(a)	May 2016
U.S. dollar notes	\$ 750	2.900	November 2011	November 2021
U.S. dollar notes	\$ 750	4.375	November 2011	November 2041
Swiss franc notes	CHF 325 (approximately \$362)	1.000	December 2011	December 2016
Swiss franc notes	CHF 300 (approximately \$335)	2.000	December 2011	December 2021

(a) The notes are a further issuance of the 2.500% notes issued by PMI in May 2011.

The net proceeds from the sale of these securities were used to meet PMI's working capital requirements, to repurchase PMI's common stock, to refinance debt and for general corporate purposes.

Other debt

Other foreign currency debt above includes \$85 million and \$137 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, of capital lease obligations primarily associated with PMI's vending machine distribution network in Japan. Other foreign currency debt also includes long-term debt from our business combination in the Philippines and mortgage debt in Switzerland at December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Aggregate maturities

Aggregate maturities of long-term debt are as follows:

(in millions)	
2012	\$ 2,206
2013	2,811
2014	1,256
2015	972
2016	2,563
2017 - 2021	4,927
2022 - 2026	148
Thereafter	2,250
	17,133
Debt discounts	(99)
Total long-term debt	\$17,034

See Note 16. Fair Value Measurements for additional disclosures related to the fair value of PMI's debt.

• **Credit Facilities:** In May 2011, PMI entered into an agreement with certain financial institutions to extend the expiration date for its \$2.5 billion revolving credit facility from September 30, 2013 to March 31, 2015.

On October 25, 2011, PMI entered into a new multi-year revolving credit facility in the amount of \$3.5 billion, which expires on October 25, 2016. This new revolving credit facility replaced PMI's \$2.7 billion multi-year credit facility, which was to expire on December 4, 2012.

At December 31, 2011, PMI's committed credit facilities and commercial paper outstanding were as follows:

Type (in billions of dollars)	Committed Credit Facilities	Commercial Paper
Multi-year revolving credit, expiring March 31, 2015	\$ 2.5	
Multi-year revolving credit, expiring October 25, 2016	3.5	
Total facilities	<u>\$ 6.0</u>	
Commercial paper outstanding		<u>\$ 1.3</u>

At December 31, 2011, there were no borrowings under the committed credit facilities, and the entire committed amounts were available for borrowing.

Each of these facilities requires PMI to maintain a ratio of consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("consolidated EBITDA") to consolidated interest expense of not less than 3.5 to 1.0 on a rolling four-quarter basis. At December 31, 2011, PMI's ratio calculated in accordance with the agreements was 15.9 to 1.0. These facilities do not include any credit rating triggers, material adverse change clauses or any provisions that could require PMI to post collateral. The terms "consolidated EBITDA" and "consolidated interest expense," both of which include certain adjustments, are defined in the facility agreements previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

In addition to the committed credit facilities discussed above, certain subsidiaries maintain short-term credit arrangements to meet their respective working capital needs. These credit arrangements, which amounted to approximately \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2011, are for the sole use of the subsidiaries. Borrowings under these arrangements amounted to \$247 million at December 31, 2011, and \$538 million at December 31, 2010.

Note 8.

Capital Stock:

Shares of authorized common stock are 6.0 billion; issued, repurchased and outstanding shares were as follows:

		Shares	Shares
	Shares Issued	Repurchased	Outstanding
Balances, January 1, 2009	2,109,316,331	(102,053,271)	2,007,263,060
Repurchase of shares		(129,732,863)	(129,732,863)
Exercise of stock options and issuance of other stock awards		9,634,306	9,634,306
Balances, December 31, 2009	2,109,316,331	(222,151,828)	1,887,164,503
Repurchase of shares		(97,053,310)	(97,053,310)
Exercise of stock options and issuance of other stock awards		11,672,297	11,672,297
Balances, December 31, 2010	2,109,316,331	(307,532,841)	1,801,783,490
Repurchase of shares		(80,514,257)	(80,514,257)
Exercise of stock options and issuance of other stock awards		4,639,433	4,639,433
Balances, December 31, 2011	2,109,316,331	(383,407,665)	1,725,908,666

PMI commenced a \$13.0 billion two-year share repurchase program on May 1, 2008. On April 30, 2010, PMI completed the \$13.0 billion share repurchase program, which resulted in the purchase of 277.6 million shares at an average price of \$46.83 per share. On May 1, 2010, PMI commenced a new \$12 billion three-year share repurchase program. From May 1, 2010, through December 31, 2011, PMI repurchased 136.4 million shares of its common stock at a cost of \$8.4 billion, or \$61.22 per share, under this repurchase program. During 2011, 2010 and 2009, PMI repurchased \$5.4 billion, \$5.0 billion and \$5.5 billion, respectively, of its common stock.

At December 31, 2011, 38,667,433 shares of common stock were reserved for stock options and other stock awards under PMI's stock plans, and 250 million shares of preferred stock, without par value, were authorized but unissued. PMI currently has no plans to issue any shares of preferred stock.

Note 9.

Stock Plans:

• **Performance Incentive Plan and Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors:** Under the Philip Morris International Inc. 2008 Performance Incentive Plan (the "Plan"), PMI may grant to certain eligible employees stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, deferred stock and deferred stock units and other stock-based awards based on PMI's common stock, as well as performance-based incentive awards. Up to 70 million shares of PMI's common stock may be issued under the Plan. At December 31, 2011, shares available for grant under the Plan were 28,404,021.

PMI also adopted the Philip Morris International Inc. 2008 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the "Non-Employee Directors Plan"). A non-employee director is defined as each member of the PMI Board of Directors who is not a full-time employee of PMI or of any corporation in which PMI owns, directly or indirectly, stock possessing at least 50% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock entitled to vote in the election of directors in such corporation. Up to 1 million shares of PMI common stock may be awarded under the Non-Employee Directors Plan. As of December 31, 2011, shares available for grant under the plan were 818,410.

Restricted and Deferred Stock Awards

PMI may grant restricted stock and deferred stock awards to eligible employees; recipients may not sell, assign, pledge or otherwise encumber such shares or awards. Such shares or awards are subject to forfeiture if certain employment conditions are not met. Restricted stock and deferred stock awards generally vest on the third anniversary of the grant date. Shares of restricted stock carry voting and dividend rights. Deferred stock awards carry no such rights, although they do earn dividend equivalents.

During 2011, the activity for restricted stock and deferred stock awards was as follows:

	Number of		eighted- age Grant Fair Value
	Shares	Pe	r Share
Balance at January 1, 2011	8,768,707	\$	43.94
Granted	3,849,600		59.44
Vested	(1,765,109)		47.49
Forfeited	(415,310)		46.51
Balance at December 31, 2011	10,437,888		48.67

The weighted-average grant date fair value of the restricted stock and deferred stock awards granted to PMI employees during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, was \$229 million, \$169 million and \$142 million, or \$59.44, \$47.54 and \$37.01 per restricted or deferred share, respectively. The fair value of the restricted stock and deferred stock awards at the date of grant is amortized to expense ratably over the restriction period. PMI recorded compensation expense for the restricted and deferred stock awards of \$162 million, \$127 million and \$93 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The unamortized compensation expense related to restricted and deferred stock awards was \$221 million at December 31, 2011, and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of two years.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, 1.8 million shares of PMI restricted and deferred stock awards vested. The grant date fair value of all the vested shares was approximately \$84 million. The total fair value of the awards that vested in 2011 was approximately \$107 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, 2.0 million shares of PMI restricted stock and deferred stock awards vested. Of this amount, 1.4 million shares went to PMI employees, and the remainder went to Altria employees who held PMI stock awards as a result of the spin-off. The grant date fair value of all the vested shares was approximately \$123 million. The total fair value of the awards that vested in 2010 was approximately the same as the grant date fair value. The grant price information for restricted stock and deferred stock awarded prior to January 30, 2008, reflects the historical market price of Altria stock at date of grant and was not adjusted to reflect the spin-off.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, 1.5 million shares of PMI restricted and deferred stock awards vested. Of this amount, 1.0 million shares went to PMI employees, and the remainder went to Altria and Kraft Foods Inc. employees who held PMI stock awards as a result of the spin-off. The grant date fair value of all the vested shares was approximately \$107 million. The total fair value of restricted stock and deferred stock awards that vested in 2009 was approximately the same as the grant date fair value.

Stock Option Awards

At December 31, 2011, PMI shares subject to option were as follows:

	Shares Subject to Option	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Balance at January 1, 2011	3,680,512	\$ 26.14		
Options exercised	(3,545,486)	26.18		
Options cancelled	(71,082)	23.33		
Balance/Exercisable at December 31, 2011	63,944	\$ 27.07	2 years	\$3 million

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the total intrinsic value of PMI stock options exercised was \$129 million, \$292 million and \$222 million, respectively.

Note 10.

Earnings per Share:

Unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents are participating securities and therefore are included in PMI's earnings per share calculation pursuant to the two-class method.

Basic and diluted earnings per share ("EPS") were calculated using the following:

	For the Years Ended Decem		
(in millions)	2011	2010	2009
Net earnings attributable to PMI	\$ 8,591	\$ 7,259	\$ 6,342
Less distributed and undistributed earnings attributable to share-based payment awards	49	33	23
Net earnings for basic and diluted EPS	\$ 8,542	\$ 7,226	\$ 6,319
Weighted-average shares for basic EPS	1,761	1,839	1,943
Plus incremental shares from assumed conversions:			
Stock options	1	3	7
Weighted-average shares for diluted EPS	1,762	1,842	1,950

For the 2009 computation, the number of stock options excluded from the calculation of weighted-average shares for diluted EPS, because their effects were antidilutive, was immaterial. For the 2011 and 2010 computations, there were no antidilutive stock options.

Note 11.

Income Taxes:

Earnings before income taxes and provision for income taxes consisted of the following for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

(in millions)	2011	2010	2009
Earnings before income taxes	\$12,532	\$10,324	\$9,243
Provision for income taxes:			
United States federal:			
Current	\$ 270	\$ 157	\$ 348
Deferred	118	145	(202)
	388	302	146
State and local		1	1
Total United States	388	303	147
Outside United States:			
Current	3,368	2,567	2,213
Deferred	(103)	(44)	331
Total outside United States	3,265	2,523	2,544
Total provision for income taxes	<u>\$ 3,653</u>	\$ 2,826	\$2,691

United States income tax is primarily attributable to repatriation costs.

At December 31, 2011, applicable United States federal income taxes and foreign withholding taxes have not been provided on approximately \$15 billion of accumulated earnings of foreign subsidiaries that are expected to be permanently reinvested. The determination of the amount of deferred tax related to these earnings is not practicable.

On March 28, 2008, PMI entered into a Tax Sharing Agreement (the "Tax Sharing Agreement") with Altria. The Tax Sharing Agreement generally governs PMI's and Altria's respective rights, responsibilities and obligations for pre-distribution periods and for potential taxes on the spin-off of PMI by Altria. With respect to any potential tax resulting from the spin-off of PMI by Altria, responsibility for the tax will be allocated to the party that acted (or failed to act) in a manner that resulted in the tax.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

(in millions)	2011	2010	2009
Balance at January 1,	<u>2011</u> \$ 95	\$ 174	<u>2009</u> \$160
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year	17	18	26
Additions for tax positions of previous years	8	35	1
Reductions for tax positions of prior years	(8)	(125)	(15)
Reductions due to lapse of statute of limitations	(7)	(1)	
Settlements		(6)	(2)
Other	(1)		4
Balance at December 31,	\$104	\$ 95	\$174

Unrecognized tax benefits and PMI's liability for contingent income taxes, interest and penalties were as follows:

(in millions)	mber 31, 2011	nber 31,)10	nber 31, 2009
Unrecognized tax benefits	\$ 104	\$ 95	\$ 174
Accrued interest and penalties	28	30	48
Tax credits and other indirect benefits	(55)	(58)	(33)
Liability for tax contingencies	\$ 77	\$ 67	\$ 189

The amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate was \$50 million at December 31, 2011. The remainder, if recognized, would principally affect deferred taxes.

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, PMI recognized income in its consolidated statements of earnings of less than \$1 million, \$17 million and \$1 million, respectively, related to interest and penalties due to a decrease in unrecognized tax benefits.

PMI is regularly examined by tax authorities around the world and is currently under examination in a number of jurisdictions.

The U.S. federal statute of limitations remains open for the years 2004 and onward, with years 2004 to 2006 currently under examination by the IRS. Foreign and U.S. state jurisdictions have statutes of limitations generally ranging from three to five years. Years still open to examination by foreign tax authorities in major jurisdictions include Germany (2007 onward), Indonesia (2007 onward), Russia (2010 onward) and Switzerland (2010 onward).

It is reasonably possible that within the next twelve months certain tax examinations will close, which could result in a change in unrecognized tax benefits along with related interest and penalties. An estimate of any possible change cannot be made at this time.

The effective income tax rate on pre-tax earnings differed from the U.S. federal statutory rate for the following reasons for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

U.S. federal statutory rate 35.0% 35.0% 35.0	0%
	0,0
Increase (decrease) resulting from:	
Foreign rate differences (12.5) (10.0) (8.6	6)
Dividend repatriation cost 6.5 3.5 2.5	5
Reversal of tax reserves no longer required (1.4)	
Other $0.1 0.3 0.2$	2
Effective tax rate 29.1% 27.4% 29.1	1%

The 2011 effective tax rate increased 1.7 percentage points to 29.1%. The 2011 effective tax rate was favorably impacted by an enacted decrease in corporate income tax rates in Greece (\$11 million) and the reversal of a valuation allowance in Brazil (\$15 million).

The 2010 effective tax rate was favorably impacted by the reversal of tax reserves (\$148 million) following the conclusion of the IRS examination of Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated tax returns for the years 2000 through 2003, partially offset by the negative impact of an enacted increase in corporate income tax rates in Greece (\$21 million) and the net result of an audit in Italy (\$6 million).

The tax effects of temporary differences that gave rise to deferred income tax assets and liabilities consisted of the following:

	At Decer	nber 31,
(in millions)	2011	2010
Deferred income tax assets:		
Accrued postretirement and postemployment benefits	\$ 223	\$ 214
Accrued pension costs	193	118
Inventory	76	61
Accrued liabilities	145	111
Other	110	84
Total deferred income tax assets	747	588
Deferred income tax liabilities:		
Trade names	(818)	(860)
Property, plant and equipment	(323)	(395)
Unremitted earnings	(897)	(817)
Foreign exchange	(31)	(57)
Total deferred income tax liabilities	(2,069)	(2,129)
Net deferred income tax liabilities	<u>\$(1,322</u>)	\$(1,541)

Note 12.

Segment Reporting:

PMI's subsidiaries and affiliates are engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products in markets outside of the United States of America. Reportable segments for PMI are organized and managed by geographic region. PMI's reportable segments are European Union; Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa; Asia; and Latin America & Canada. PMI records net revenues and operating companies income to its segments based upon the geographic area in which the customer resides.

PMI's management evaluates segment performance and allocates resources based on operating companies income, which PMI defines as operating income before general corporate expenses and amortization of intangibles. Interest expense, net, and provision for income taxes are centrally managed; accordingly, such items are not presented by segment since they are excluded from the measure of segment profitability reviewed by management. Information about total assets by segment is not disclosed because such information is not reported to or used by PMI's chief operating decision maker. Segment goodwill and other intangible assets, net, are disclosed in Note 3. *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, net*. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 2. *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*.

Segment data were as follows:

	For the Ye	ember 31,	
(in millions)	2011	2010	2009
Net revenues:			
European Union	\$29,768	\$28,050	\$28,550
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	17,452	15,928	13,865
Asia	19,590	15,235	12,413
Latin America & Canada	9,536	8,500	7,252
Net revenues ⁽¹⁾	\$76,346	\$67,713	\$62,080
Earnings before income taxes:			
Operating companies income:			
European Union	\$ 4,560	\$ 4,311	\$ 4,506
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	3,229	3,152	2,663
Asia	4,836	3,049	2,436
Latin America & Canada	988	953	666
Amortization of intangibles	(98)	(88)	(74)
General corporate expenses	(183)	(177)	(157)
Operating income	13,332	11,200	10,040
Interest expense, net	(800)	(876)	(797)
Earnings before income taxes	\$12,532	\$10,324	\$ 9,243

(1) Total net revenues attributable to customers located in Germany, PMI's largest market in terms of net revenues, were \$8.1 billion, \$7.5 billion and \$7.9 billion for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

	For t	e Years l	Ended De	cember	31,
(in millions)	2011		2010		009
Depreciation expense:					
European Union	\$ 2 1	.0 \$	212	\$	211
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	22	7	215		206
Asia	35	58	332		286
Latin America & Canada	9	0	75		64
	88	85	834		767
Other	1	.0	10		12
Total depreciation expense	<u>\$ 89</u>	<u>\$</u>	844	\$	779
Capital expenditures:					
European Union	\$ 38	32 \$	329	\$	393
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	13	3	102		130
Asia	20	8	161		116
Latin America & Canada	14	0	120		72
	80	53	712		711
Other		<u> </u>	1		4
Total capital expenditures	<u>\$89</u>	<u>\$</u>	713	\$	715

	A	At December 31,		
(in millions)	2011	2010	2009	
Long-lived assets:				
European Union	\$ 2,938	\$ 3,226	\$ 3,319	
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	1,094	1,158	1,260	
Asia	1,687	1,765	1,452	
Latin America & Canada	706	663	549	
	6,425	6,812	6,580	
Other	146	195	197	
Total long-lived assets	\$ 6,571	\$ 7,007	\$ 6,777	

Long-lived assets consist of non-current assets other than goodwill, other intangible assets, net, and deferred tax assets. PMI's largest market in terms of long-lived assets is Switzerland. Total long-lived assets located in Switzerland, which is reflected in the European Union segment above, were \$1.0 billion, \$1.0 billion and \$976 million at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Items affecting the comparability of results from operations were as follows:

- Asset Impairment and Exit Costs— See Note 5. Asset Impairment and Exit Costs for a breakdown of asset impairment and exit costs by segment.
- Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement charge— During the second quarter of 2009, PMI recorded a pre-tax charge of \$135 million related to the Investment and Cooperation Agreement in Colombia. The charge was recorded in the operating companies income of the Latin America & Canada segment. See Note 18. Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement for additional information.
- Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements— For further details, see Note 6. Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements.

Note 13.

Benefit Plans:

Pension coverage for employees of PMI's subsidiaries is provided, to the extent deemed appropriate, through separate plans, many of which are governed by local statutory requirements. In addition, PMI provides health care and other benefits to substantially all U.S. retired employees and certain non-U.S. retired employees. In general, health care benefits for non-U.S. retired employees are covered through local government plans.

The amounts recorded in accumulated other comprehensive losses at December 31, 2011, consisted of the following:

		Р	ost-]	Post-																																							
(in millions)	Pension	retii	retirement		retirement employn		retirement employment		loyment	Total																																		
Net losses	\$(2,401)	\$	\$ (54)		\$ (54)		\$ (54)		\$ (54)		\$ (54)		\$ (54)		\$ (54)		\$ (54)		\$ (54)		\$ (54)		\$ (54)		\$ (54)		\$ (54)		\$ (54)		\$ (54)		\$ (54)		\$ (54)		\$ (54)		\$ (54)		\$ (54)		(536)	\$(2,991)
Prior service cost	(70)		3			(67)																																						
Net transition obligation	(8)					(8)																																						
Deferred income taxes	299		19		163	481																																						
Losses to be amortized	\$(2,180)	\$	(32)	\$	(373)	\$(2,585)																																						

The amounts recorded in accumulated other comprehensive losses at December 31, 2010, consisted of the following:

(in millions)	Pension	ost- rement	Post- loyment	Total
Net losses	\$(1,425)	\$ (46)	\$ (468)	\$(1,939)
Prior service cost	(62)	4		(58)
Net transition obligation	(9)			(9)
Deferred income taxes	199	 15	 142	356
Losses to be amortized	\$(1,297)	\$ (27)	\$ (326)	\$(1,650)

The amounts recorded in accumulated other comprehensive losses at December 31, 2009, consisted of the following:

(in millions)	Pension	'ost- rement	Post- loyment	Total
Net losses	\$(1,174)	\$ (27)	\$ (463)	\$(1,664)
Prior service cost	(72)	4		(68)
Net transition obligation	(9)			(9)
Deferred income taxes	184	 9	 140	333
Losses to be amortized	\$(1,071)	\$ (14)	\$ (323)	\$(1,408)

The movements in other comprehensive earnings (losses) during the year ended December 31, 2011, were as follows:

(in millions)	Pension	Post- <u>retirement</u>	Post- employment	Total
Amounts transferred to earnings as components of net periodic benefit				
cost:				
Amortization:				
Net losses	\$ 63	\$ 3	\$ 39	\$ 105
Prior service cost	9	(1)		8
Net transition obligation	1			1
Other income/expense:				
Net losses	3			3
Deferred income taxes	(10)	<u>(1</u>)	(12)	(23)
	66	1	27	94
Other movements during the year:				
Net losses	(1,042)	(11)	(107)	(1,160)
Prior service cost	(17)			(17)
Deferred income taxes	110	5	33	148
	(949)	(6)	(74)	(1,029)
Total movements in other comprehensive losses	<u>\$ (883</u>)	<u>\$ (5</u>)	<u>\$ (47)</u>	<u>\$ (935</u>)

The movements in other comprehensive earnings (losses) during the year ended December 31, 2010, were as follows:

(in millions)	Pension	Post- retirement	Post- employment	Total
Amounts transferred to earnings as components of net periodic benefit cost:				
Amortization:				
Net losses	\$ 44	\$ 1	\$ 39	\$ 84
Prior service cost	10			10
Other income/expense:				
Net gains	(1)			(1)
Prior service cost	3			3
Deferred income taxes	(8)		(12)	(20)
	48	1	27	76
Other movements during the year:				
Net losses	(294)	(20)	(44)	(358)
Prior service cost	(3)			(3)
Deferred income taxes	23	6	14	43
	(274)	(14)	(30)	(318)
Total movements in other comprehensive losses	\$ (226)	\$ (13)	<u>\$ (3</u>)	\$(242)

The movements in other comprehensive earnings (losses) during the year ended December 31, 2009, were as follows:

(in millions)	Pension	Post- retirement	Post- employment	Total
Amounts transferred to earnings as components of net periodic benefit cost:				
Amortization:				
Net losses	\$ 38	\$ 1	\$ 23	\$ 62
Prior service cost	6			6
Other income/expense:				
Net losses	4			4
Prior service cost	(2)			(2)
Deferred income taxes	(9)		(7)	(16)
	37	1	16	54
Other movements during the year:				
Net gains (losses)	169	(5)	(180)	(16)
Prior service cost	(46)	(2)		(48)
Deferred income taxes	3	2	41	46
	126	(5)	(139)	(18)
Total movements in other comprehensive earnings (losses)	\$ 163	\$ (4)	\$ (123)	\$ 36

• Pension Plans

Obligations and Funded Status

The benefit obligations, plan assets and funded status of PMI's pension plans at December 31, 2011 and 2010, were as follows:

	U.S. Plans		Non-U.S	5. Plans
(in millions)	2011	2010	2011	2010
Benefit obligation at January 1	\$321	\$288	\$4,932	\$4,589
Service cost	5	6	178	160
Interest cost	16	18	205	189
Benefits paid	(21)	(21)	(208)	(141)
Termination, settlement and curtailment			(4)	(27)
Assumption changes	44	12	510	16
Actuarial (gains) losses	(13)	18	6	(2)
Currency			(52)	116
Other			58	32
Benefit obligation at December 31	352	321	5,625	4,932
Fair value of plan assets at January 1	251	197	4,623	4,240
Actual return on plan assets	9	24	(162)	27
Employer contributions	30	51	505	382

Employee contributions			43	37
Benefits paid	(21)	(21)	(208)	(141)
Termination, settlement and curtailment				(19)
Currency			(23)	97
Fair value of plan assets at December 31	269	251	4,778	4,623
Net pension liability recognized at December 31	<u>\$ (83</u>)	<u>\$(70</u>)	<u>\$ (847)</u>	\$ (309)

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the combined U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans resulted in a net pension liability of \$930 million and \$379 million, respectively. These amounts were recognized in PMI's consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010, as follows:

(in millions)	2011	2010
Other assets	\$ 40	\$ 223
Accrued liabilities — employment costs	(23)	(28)
Long-term employment costs	(947)	(574)
	\$(930)	\$(379)

The accumulated benefit obligation, which represents benefits earned to date, for the U.S. pension plans was \$323 million and \$294 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The accumulated benefit obligation for non-U.S. pension plans was \$5,042 million and \$4,439 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

For U.S. pension plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets, the projected benefit obligation and accumulated benefit obligation were \$76 million and \$66 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2011. The projected benefit obligation and accumulated benefit obligation were \$79 million and \$70 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2010. The underfunding relates to plans for salaried employees that cannot be funded under IRS regulations. For non-U.S. plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets, the projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets were \$3,785 million, \$3,343 million, and \$2,973 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2011, and \$310 million, \$245 million, and \$41 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2010. In 2011, the accumulated benefit obligation of the pension plan in Switzerland exceeded the fair value of plan assets.

The following weighted-average assumptions were used to determine PMI's benefit obligations at December 31:

	U.S. Plans		Non-U.S.	Plans
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Discount rate	4.50%	5.40%	3.40%	4.00%
Rate of compensation increase	3.50	3.50	2.66	2.90

The discount rate for PMI's U.S. plans is based on an index of high-quality corporate bonds with durations that match the benefit obligations. The discount rate for PMI's non-U.S. plans was developed from local bond indices that match local benefit obligations as closely as possible.

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost

Net periodic pension cost consisted of the following for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

	1	U.S. Plans			on-U.S. Plan	s
(in millions)	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Service cost	\$ 5	\$ 6	\$ 6	\$ 178	\$ 160	2009 \$ 135
Interest cost	16	18	17	205	189	176
Expected return on plan assets	(15)	(16)	(15)	(323)	(283)	(234)
Amortization:						
Net losses	5	5	3	58	39	35
Prior service cost	1	1	1	8	9	5
Net transition obligation				1		
Termination, settlement and curtailment	2	1	9	1	(6)	(2)
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 14	\$ 15	\$ 21	\$ 128	\$ 108	\$ 115

Termination, settlement and curtailment charges were due primarily to early retirement programs.

For the combined U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans, the estimated net loss and prior service cost that are expected to be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive earnings into net periodic benefit cost during 2012 are \$135 million and \$11 million, respectively.

The following weighted-average assumptions were used to determine PMI's net pension cost:

	I	U.S. Plans			Non-U.S. Plans		
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009	
Discount rate	5.40%	5.90%	6.10%	4.00%	4.33%	4.68%	
Expected rate of return on plan assets	6.25	7.20	7.20	6.21	6.69	6.89	
Rate of compensation increase	3.50	4.50	4.50	2.90	3.21	3.34	

PMI's expected rate of return on plan assets is determined by the plan assets' historical long-term investment performance, current asset allocation and estimates of future long-term returns by asset class.

PMI and certain of its subsidiaries sponsor defined contribution plans. Amounts charged to expense for defined contribution plans totaled \$61 million, \$53 million and \$42 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Plan Assets

PMI's investment strategy for U.S. and non-U.S. plans is based on an expectation that equity securities will outperform debt securities over the long term. Accordingly, the target allocation of PMI's plan assets is broadly characterized as approximately a 60%/40% split between equity and debt securities. The strategy primarily utilizes indexed U.S. equity securities, international equity securities and investment grade debt securities. PMI's plans have no investments in hedge funds, private equity or derivatives. PMI attempts to mitigate investment risk by rebalancing between equity and debt asset classes once a year or as PMI's contributions and benefit payments are made.

The fair value of PMI's pension plan assets at December 31, 2011 and 2010, by asset category was as follows:

Asset Category (in millions)	At December 31, 2011	Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets/ Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 11	\$ 11	\$	\$ —
Equity securities:				
U.S. securities	89	89		
International securities	894	894		
Investment funds ^(a)	3,704	826	2,878	
International government bonds	314	314		
Corporate bonds	2	2		
Other	33	32	1	
Total	\$ 5,047	\$ 2,168	\$ 2,879	<u>\$ </u>

(a) Investment funds whose objective seeks to replicate the returns and characteristics of specified market indices (primarily MSCI — Europe, Switzerland, North America, Asia Pacific, Japan, Russell 3000, S&P 500 for equities; and Citigroup EMU, Citigroup Switzerland and Barclays Capital U.S. for bonds), primarily consist of mutual funds, common trust funds and commingled funds. Of these funds, 53% are invested in U.S. and international equities; 34% are invested in U.S. and international government bonds; 7% are invested in corporate bonds; and 6% are invested in real estate and other money markets.

Asset Category (in millions)	At December 31, 2010	Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets/ Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 155	\$ 155	\$ —	\$ —
Equity securities:				
U.S. securities	104	104		
International securities	959	959		
Investment funds ^(b)	3,240	799	2,441	
International government bonds	345	345		
Corporate bonds	39	39		
Other	32	32		
Total	\$ 4,874	\$ 2,433	\$ 2,441	\$

(b) Investment funds whose objective seeks to replicate the returns and characteristics of specified market indices (primarily MSCI — Europe, Switzerland, North America, Asia Pacific, Japan, Russell 3000, S&P 500 for equities; and Citigroup EMU, Citigroup Switzerland and Barclays Capital U.S. for bonds), primarily consist of mutual funds, common trust funds and commingled funds. Of these funds, 55% are invested in U.S. and international equities; 36% are invested in U.S. and international government bonds; 5% are invested in corporate bonds; and 4% are invested in real estate and other money markets.

See Note 16. Fair Value Measurements for a discussion of the fair value of pension plan assets.

PMI makes, and plans to make, contributions, to the extent that they are tax deductible and to meet specific funding requirements of its funded U.S. and non-U.S. plans. Currently, PMI anticipates making contributions of approximately \$163 million in 2012 to its pension plans, based on current tax and benefit laws. However, this estimate is subject to change as a result of changes in tax and other benefit laws, as well as asset performance significantly above or below the assumed long-term rate of return on pension assets, or changes in interest rates.

The estimated future benefit payments from PMI pension plans at December 31, 2011, were as follows:

(in millions)	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans
2012	\$ 17	\$ 216
2013	14	217
2014	44	228
2015	17	241
2016	17	253
2017 - 2021	98	1,486

• Postretirement Benefit Plans

Net postretirement health care costs consisted of the following for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

		U.S. Plans	5	N	on-U.S. Pla	ins
(in millions)	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Service cost	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 2	<u>\$ 2</u>	\$ 2	\$ 2
Interest cost	5	5	5	5	5	4
Amortization:						
Net losses	1	1	1	1		
Net postretirement health care costs	<u>\$ 8</u>	<u>\$8</u>	<u>\$8</u>	<u>\$8</u>	<u>\$</u> 7	<u>\$6</u>

The following weighted-average assumptions were used to determine PMI's net postretirement costs for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

	U.S. Plans		Non-U.S. Plans			
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Discount rate	5.40%	5.90%	6.10%	5.14%	5.99%	5.82%
Health care cost trend rate	8.00	7.50	8.00	6.29	7.14	7.09

PMI's postretirement health care plans are not funded. The changes in the accumulated benefit obligation and net amount accrued at December 31, 2011 and 2010, were as follows:

	U.S. P	lans	Non-U.S	S. Plans
(in millions)	2011	2010	2011	2010
Accumulated postretirement benefit obligation at January 1,	<u>\$ 98</u>	\$92	\$ 99	\$ 83
Service cost	2	2	2	2
Interest cost	5	5	5	5
Benefits paid	(4)	(4)	(5)	(5)
Assumption changes	11	4	(1)	13
Actuarial losses (gains)	3	(1)	(2)	3
Currency			(2)	(2)
Accumulated postretirement benefit obligation at December 31,	<u>\$115</u>	\$98	<u>\$ 96</u>	<u>\$ 99</u>

The current portion of PMI's accrued postretirement health care costs of \$10 million at December 31, 2011 and \$9 million at December 31, 2010, is included in accrued employment costs on the consolidated balance sheet.

The following weighted-average assumptions were used to determine PMI's postretirement benefit obligations at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	U.S. Plans		Non-U.S.	Plans
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Discount rate	4.50%	5.40%	5.45%	5.14%
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year	7.50	8.00	6.55	6.29
Ultimate trend rate	5.00	5.00	4.77	4.73
Year that rate reaches the ultimate trend rate	2017	2017	2029	2029

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. A onepercentage-point change in assumed health care trend rates would have the following effects as of December 31, 2011:

	One-Percentage- Point Increase	One-Percentage- Point Decrease
Effect on total service and interest cost	19.1 %	(14.8)%
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation	15.5	(12.4)

PMI's estimated future benefit payments for its post-retirement health care plans at December 31, 2011, were as follows:

(in millions)	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans
2012	\$ 5	\$ 5
2013	5	5
2014	5	5
2015	5	5
2016	6	5
2017 - 2021	29	30

• Postemployment Benefit Plans

PMI and certain of its subsidiaries sponsor postemployment benefit plans covering substantially all salaried and certain hourly employees. The cost of these plans is charged to expense over the working life of the covered employees. Net postemployment costs consisted of the following:

	For the	For the Years Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	2011	2010	2009	
Service cost	\$ 28	\$ 26	\$ 16	
Interest cost	22	24	22	
Amortization of net loss	39	39	23	
Other expense	106	54	57	
Net postemployment costs	<u>\$ 195</u>	\$ 143	\$ 118	

During 2011, 2010 and 2009, certain salaried employees left PMI under separation programs. These programs resulted in incremental postemployment costs, which are included in other expense, above.

The estimated net loss for the postemployment benefit plans that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive earnings into net postemployment costs during 2012 is approximately \$54 million.

The changes in the benefit obligations of the plans at December 31, 2011 and 2010, were as follows:

(in millions)	2011	2010
Accrued postemployment costs at January 1	\$ 574	<u>2010</u> \$ 630
Service cost	28	26
Interest cost	22	24
Benefits paid	(223)	(203)
Actuarial losses	118	44
Other	100	<u>53</u> \$ 574
Accrued postemployment costs at December 31	<u>\$ 619</u>	\$ 574

The accrued postemployment costs were determined using a weighted-average discount rate of 6.8% and 7.3% in 2011 and 2010, respectively, an assumed ultimate annual weighted-average turnover rate of 2.5% and 2.3% in 2011 and 2010, respectively, assumed compensation cost increases of 3.0% in 2011 and 2010 and assumed benefits as defined in the respective plans. In accordance with local regulations, certain postemployment plans are funded. As a result, the accrued postemployment costs shown above are presented net of the related assets of \$24 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010. Postemployment costs arising from actions that offer employees benefits in excess of those specified in the respective plans are charged to expense when incurred.

Note 14.

Additional Information:

	For the Ye	For the Years Ended December 31,	
(in millions)	2011	2010	2009
Research and development expense	<u>\$ 413</u>	\$ 391	\$ 335
Advertising expense	\$ 464	\$ 402	\$ 387
Interest expense	\$ 934	\$ 974	\$ 905
Interest income	(134)	(98)	(108)
Interest expense, net	<u>\$ 800</u>	\$ 876	<u>\$ 797</u>
Rent expense	<u>\$ 308</u>	\$ 278	\$ 258

Minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable operating leases in effect at December 31, 2011, were as follows:

(in millions)	
2012	\$186
2013	134
2014	98
2015	70
2016	52
Thereafter	<u>250</u> \$790
	\$790

Note 15.

Financial Instruments:

• **Overview:** PMI operates in markets outside of the United States, with manufacturing and sales facilities in various locations around the world. PMI utilizes certain financial instruments to manage foreign currency exposure. Derivative financial instruments are used by PMI principally to reduce exposures to market risks resulting from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates by creating offsetting exposures. PMI is not a party to leveraged derivatives and, by policy, does not use derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes. Financial instruments qualifying for hedge accounting must maintain a specified level of effectiveness between the hedging instrument and the item being hedged, both at inception and throughout the hedged period. PMI formally documents the nature and relationships between the hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk-management objectives, strategies for undertaking the various hedge transactions and method of assessing hedge effectiveness. Additionally, for hedges of forecasted transactions, the significant characteristics and expected terms of the forecasted transaction must be specifically identified, and it must be probable that each forecasted transaction will occur. If it were deemed probable that the forecasted transaction would not occur, the gain or loss would be recognized in earnings. PMI reports its net transaction gains or losses in marketing, administration and research costs on the consolidated statements of earnings.

PMI uses deliverable and non-deliverable forward foreign exchange contracts, foreign currency swaps and foreign currency options, collectively referred to as foreign exchange contracts, to mitigate its exposure to changes in exchange rates from third-party and intercompany actual and forecasted transactions. The primary currencies to which PMI is exposed include the Euro, Indonesian rupiah, Japanese yen, Mexican peso, Russian ruble, Swiss franc and Turkish lira. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, PMI had contracts with aggregate notional amounts of \$13.1 billion and \$10.9 billion, respectively. Of the \$13.1 billion aggregate notional amount at December 31, 2011, \$3.4 billion related to cash flow hedges and \$9.7 billion related to other derivatives that primarily offset currency exposures on intercompany financing. Of the \$10.9 billion aggregate notional amount at December 31, 2010, \$2.4 billion related to cash flow hedges of net investments in foreign operations and \$8.3 billion related to other derivatives that primarily offset currency exposures on intercompany financing.

The fair value of PMI's foreign exchange contracts included in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, were as follows:

	Asset Derivatives			Liability Derivatives				
		Fair Value		Fair Value			-	air alue
(in millions)	Balance Sheet Classification	2011	2010	Balance Sheet Classification	2011	2010		
Foreign exchange contracts designated as hedging								
instruments	Other current assets	\$ 57	\$16	Other accrued liabilities	\$ 4	\$ 26		
Foreign exchange contracts not designated as hedging								
instruments	Other current assets	88	44	Other accrued liabilities	62	77		
Total derivatives		<u>\$145</u>	<u>\$60</u>		<u>\$66</u>	<u>\$103</u>		

Hedging activities, which represent movement in derivatives as well as the respective underlying transactions, had the following effect on PMI's consolidated statements of earnings and other comprehensive earnings for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2011				
(in millions)	Cash Flow Hedges	Net Investment Hedges	Other Derivatives	Income Taxes	Total
Gain (Loss)					
Statement of Earnings:					
Net revenues	\$ (17)		\$ —		\$(17)
Cost of sales	34				34
Marketing, administration and research costs					
Operating income	17		—		17
Interest expense, net	(37)		56		19
Earnings before income taxes	(20)		56		36
Provision for income taxes	2		(13)		(11)
Net earnings attributable to PMI	<u>\$ (18)</u>		<u>\$ 43</u>		<u>\$ 25</u>
Other Comprehensive Earnings:					
Losses transferred to earnings	\$ 20			\$ (2)	\$ 18
Recognized losses	(4)			(1)	(5)
Net impact on equity	<u>\$ 16</u>			\$ (3)	<u>\$ 13</u>
Cumulative translation adjustment		\$ 2			\$ 2
	~ .		Ended December	31, 2010	
	Cash Flow	Net Investment	Other	Income	
(in millions)	Hedges	Hedges	Derivatives	Taxes	Total
Gain (Loss)					
Statement of Earnings:					
Net revenues	\$ 24		\$ —		\$ 24
Cost of sales	(14)				(14)
Marketing, administration and research costs	3		(3)		
Operating income	13		(3)		10
Interest expense, net	(49)		10	<u></u>	(39)
Earnings before income taxes	(36)		7		(29)
Provision for income taxes	3		(1)		2
Net earnings attributable to PMI	<u>\$ (33)</u>		\$ 6		<u>\$(27</u>)

\$ 36

\$ (20)

\$ (2)

(56)

24

\$

\$ (3)

\$ (10)

\$

6

3

\$ 33

(50)

\$(17)

\$ 12

Other Comprehensive Earnings: Losses transferred to earnings

Cumulative translation adjustment

Recognized losses

Net impact on equity

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2009						
(in millions)	Cash Flow Hedges	Fair Value Hedges	Net Investment Hedges	Other Derivatives	Income Taxes	Total	
Gain (Loss)							
Statement of Earnings:							
Net revenues	\$ 65	\$ —		\$ —		\$ 65	
Cost of sales	(11)					(11)	
Marketing, administration and research costs	13			(1)		12	
Operating income	67	_		(1)		66	
Interest expense, net	(94)	37		(5)		(62)	
Earnings before income taxes	(27)	37		(6)		4	
Provision for income taxes	1	(3)		3		1	
Net earnings attributable to PMI	\$ (26)	\$ 34		\$ (3)		\$ 5	
Other Comprehensive Earnings:							
Losses transferred to earnings	\$ 27				\$ (1)	\$ 26	
Recognized gains	68				(7)	61	
Net impact on equity	\$ 95				<u>\$ (8</u>)	\$ 87	
Cumulative translation adjustment			<u>\$ (57)</u>		<u>\$ 14</u>	<u>\$(43</u>)	

Each type of hedging activity is described in greater detail below.

• **Cash Flow Hedges:** PMI has entered into foreign exchange contracts to hedge foreign currency exchange risk related to certain forecasted transactions. The effective portion of gains and losses associated with qualifying cash flow hedge contracts is deferred as a component of accumulated other comprehensive losses until the underlying hedged transactions are reported in PMI's consolidated statements of earnings. During the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, ineffectiveness related to cash flow hedges was not material. As of December 31, 2011, PMI has hedged forecasted transactions for periods not exceeding the next twelve months. The impact of these hedges is included in operating cash flows on PMI's consolidated statement of cash flows.

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, foreign exchange contracts that were designated as cash flow hedging instruments impacted the consolidated statements of earnings and other comprehensive earnings as follows:

(pre-tax, in millions)	For the Years Ended December 31,										
		А	mount of	ſ	A	mount o	f				
		G	ain/(Loss)	G	ain/(Los	5)				
	Statement of Earnings	R	eclassifie	d	R	ecognize	d				
	Classification of Gain/(Loss)	fı	from Other		from Other		from Other			in Other	
	Reclassified from Other			Comprehensive		Comprehensive		nprehens	sive		
Derivatives in Cash Flow	Comprehensive Earnings		Earnings into				0 0				
Hedging Relationship	into Earnings]	Earnings		Derivatives		s				
		2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009				
Foreign exchange contracts					\$(4)	\$(56)	\$68				
	Net revenues	\$(17)	\$ 24	\$ 65							
	Cost of sales	34	(14)	(11)							
	Marketing, administration and research costs	—	3	13							
	Interest expense, net	(37)	(49)	(94)							
Total		\$(20)	\$(36)	\$(27)	\$(4)	\$(56)	\$68				

• **Fair Value Hedges:** In 2009, PMI entered into foreign exchange contracts to hedge the foreign currency exchange risk related to an intercompany loan between subsidiaries. For a derivative instrument that is designated and qualifies as a fair value hedge, the gain or loss on the derivative, as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk, is recognized in current earnings. At June 30, 2009, all fair value hedges matured and were settled. Since June 30, 2009, there have been no fair value hedges. For the year ended December 31, 2009, ineffectiveness related to fair value hedges was not material. Gains (losses) associated with qualifying fair value hedges were recorded in the consolidated statements of earnings and were \$42 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The impact of fair value hedges is included in operating cash flows on PMI's consolidated statement of cash flows.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, foreign exchange contracts that were designated as fair value hedging instruments impacted the consolidated statement of earnings as follows:

(pre-tax, in millions)				
Derivatives in Fair Value Hedging Relationship	Statement of Earnings Classification of Gain/(Loss) on Derivatives	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Earnings <u>on Derivative</u>	Statement of Earnings Classification of Gain/(Loss) on Hedged Item	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Earnings Attributable to the Risk <u>Being Hedged</u>
Foreign exchange contracts	Marketing, administration and		Marketing, administration and	
	research costs	\$ 5	5 research costs	\$ (5)
	Interest expense, net	37	Interest expense, net	
Total		\$ 42		\$ (5)

• Hedges of Net Investments in Foreign Operations: PMI designates certain foreign currency denominated debt and forward exchange contracts as net investment hedges of its foreign operations. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, these hedges of net investments resulted in gains (losses), net of income taxes, of (\$37) million, \$315 million and (\$71) million, respectively. These gains (losses) were reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive losses within currency translation adjustments. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, ineffectiveness related to net investment hedges was not material. Settlement of net investment hedges is included in other investing cash flows on PMI's consolidated statement of cash flows.

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, foreign exchange contracts that were designated as net investment hedging instruments impacted the consolidated statements of earnings and other comprehensive earnings as follows:

(pre-tax, in millions)	For the Ye	For the Years Ended December 31,						
			Amount of				of	
			Gain/(Loss)			Gain/(Loss)		
	Statement of Earnings		Reclassified Recog		Recognized in			
	Classification of Gain/(Loss)	from Other			from Other Other			
	Reclassified from Other	C	Comprehensive			Comprehensive		
Derivatives in Net Investment	Comprehensive Earnings	E	Carnings in	to	I	Earnings	on	
Hedging Relationship	into Earnings		Earnings]	Derivativ	es	
		2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009	
Foreign exchange contracts					\$ 2	\$24	\$(57)	
	Interest expense, net	<u>\$—</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>				

• **Other Derivatives:** PMI has entered into foreign exchange contracts to hedge the foreign currency exchange risks related to intercompany loans between certain subsidiaries, and third-party loans. While effective as economic hedges, no hedge accounting is applied for these contracts; therefore, the unrealized gains (losses) relating to these contracts are reported in PMI's consolidated statement of earnings. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the gains (losses) from contracts for which PMI did not apply hedge accounting were \$34 million, (\$97) million and \$248 million, respectively. The gains (losses) from these contracts substantially offset the losses and gains generated by the underlying intercompany and third-party loans being hedged.

As a result, for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, these items affected the consolidated statement of earnings as follows:

(pre-tax, in millions)

			Amount of Gain/(Loss	
Derivatives not Designated as <u>Hedging Instruments</u>	Statement of Earnings Classification of Gain/(Loss)		Recognized n Earning	
		2011	2010	2009
Foreign exchange contracts	Marketing, administration and research costs	<u>\$</u>	\$(3)	$\overline{\$(1)}$
	Interest expense, net	56	10	(5)
Total		<u>\$56</u>	<u>\$ 7</u>	<u>\$(6)</u>

• Qualifying Hedging Activities Reported in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Losses: Derivative gains or losses reported in accumulated other comprehensive losses are a result of qualifying hedging activity. Transfers of these gains or losses to earnings are offset by the corresponding gains or losses on the underlying hedged item. Hedging activity affected accumulated other comprehensive losses, net of income taxes, as follows:

	For	For the Years Ended December 31,			
(in millions)	2011	2010	2009		
Gain (loss) as of January 1	<u>\$</u> 2	\$ 19	\$ (68)		
Derivative losses (gains) transferred to earnings	18	33	26		
Change in fair value	(5)	(50)	61		
Gain (loss) as of December 31	<u>\$ 15</u>	\$ 2	\$ 19		

At December 31, 2011, PMI expects \$11 million of derivative gains that are included in accumulated other comprehensive losses to be reclassified to the consolidated statement of earnings within the next twelve months. These gains are expected to be substantially offset by the statement of earnings impact of the respective hedged transactions.

• Contingent Features: PMI's derivative instruments do not contain contingent features.

• **Credit Exposure and Credit Risk:** PMI is exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by counterparties. While PMI does not anticipate non-performance, its risk is limited to the fair value of the financial instruments. PMI actively monitors its exposure to credit risk through the use of credit approvals and credit limits, and by selecting and continuously monitoring a diverse group of major international banks and financial institutions as counterparties.

• Fair Value: See Note 16. Fair Value Measurements for disclosures related to the fair value of PMI's derivative financial instruments.

Note 16.

Fair Value Measurements:

The authoritative guidance defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The guidance also establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The guidance describes three levels of input that may be used to measure fair value, which are as follows:

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

• **Derivative Financial Instruments** — Foreign Exchange Contracts: PMI assesses the fair value of its derivative financial instruments, which consist of foreign exchange forward contracts, foreign currency swaps and foreign currency options, using internally developed models that use, as their basis, readily observable market inputs. The fair value of PMI's foreign exchange forward contracts is determined by using the prevailing foreign exchange spot rates and interest rate differentials, and the respective maturity dates of the instruments. The fair value of PMI's currency options is determined by using a Black-Scholes methodology based on foreign exchange spot rates and interest rate differentials, currency volatilities and maturity dates. PMI's derivative financial instruments have been classified within Level 2 at December 31, 2011 and 2010. See Note 15. *Financial Instruments* for additional discussion on derivative financial instruments.

• **Pension Plan Assets:** The fair value of pension plan assets, determined by using readily available quoted market prices in active markets, has been classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy at December 31, 2011 and 2010. The fair value of pension plan assets determined by using quoted prices in markets that are not active has been classified within Level 2 at December 31, 2011 and 2010. See Note 13. *Benefit Plans* for additional discussion on pension plan assets.

• **Debt:** The fair value of PMI's outstanding debt, which is utilized solely for disclosure purposes, is determined using quotes and market interest rates currently available to PMI for issuances of debt with similar terms and remaining maturities. The aggregate carrying value of PMI's debt, excluding short-term borrowings and \$85 million of capital lease obligations, was \$16,949 million at December 31, 2011. The aggregate carrying value of PMI's debt, excluding short-term borrowings and \$137 million of capital lease obligations, was \$14,618 million at December 31, 2010.

The aggregate fair values of PMI's derivative financial instruments, pension plan assets and debt as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, were as follows:

		Quoted		
		Prices		
		in Active		
		Markets for	Significant	
	Fair Value	Identical	Other	Significant
	At	Assets/	Observable	Unobservable
	December 31,	Liabilities	Inputs	Inputs
(in millions)	2011	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Assets:				
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 145	\$ —	\$ 145	\$ —
Pension plan assets	5,047	2,168	2,879	
Total assets	\$ 5,192	\$ 2,168	\$ 3,024	\$ _
Liabilities:				
Debt	\$ 18,900	\$ 18,458	\$ 442	\$
Foreign exchange contracts	66		66	
Total liabilities	\$ 18,966	\$ 18,458	\$ 508	<u> </u>

(in millions)	Fair Value At December 31, 2010	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets/ Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 60	\$ —	\$ 60	\$ —
Pension plan assets	4,874	2,433	2,441	
Total assets	\$ 4,934	\$ 2,433	\$ 2,501	\$
Liabilities:				
Debt	\$ 16,057	\$ 15,578	\$ 479	\$ —
Foreign exchange contracts	103		103	
Total liabilities	\$ 16,160	\$ 15,578	\$ 582	\$

Note 17.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Losses:

PMI's accumulated other comprehensive losses, net of taxes, consisted of the following:

(Losses) Earnings		At December 31,		
(in millions)	2011	2010	2009	
Currency translation adjustments	\$ (293)	\$ 507	\$ 561	
Pension and other benefits	(2,585)	(1,650)	(1,408)	
Derivatives accounted for as hedges	15	2	19	
Equity securities		1	11	
Total accumulated other comprehensive losses	<u>\$(2,863</u>)	\$(1,140)	\$ (817)	

Note 18.

Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement:

On June 19, 2009, PMI announced that it had signed an agreement with the Republic of Colombia, together with the Departments of Colombia and the Capital District of Bogota, to promote investment and cooperation with respect to the Colombian tobacco market and to fight counterfeit and contraband tobacco products. The Investment and Cooperation Agreement provides \$200 million in funding to the Colombian governments over a 20-year period to address issues of mutual interest, such as combating the illegal cigarette trade, including the threat of counterfeit tobacco products, and increasing the quality and quantity of locally grown tobacco. As a result of the Investment and Cooperation Agreement, PMI recorded a pre-tax charge of \$135 million in the operating results of the Latin America & Canada segment during the second quarter of 2009. This pre-tax charge, which represents the net present value of the payments prescribed by the agreement, is reflected in marketing, administration and research costs on the consolidated statement of earnings for the year ended December 31, 2009.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, PMI had \$79 million and \$82 million, respectively, of discounted liabilities associated with the Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement. These discounted liabilities are primarily reflected in other long-term liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets and are expected to be paid through 2028.

Note 19.

RBH Legal Settlement:

On July 31, 2008, Rothmans announced the finalization of a CAD 550 million settlement (or approximately \$540 million, based on the prevailing exchange rate at that time) between itself and RBH, on the one hand, and the Government of Canada and all ten provinces, on the other hand. The settlement resolves the Royal Canadian Mounted Police's investigation relating to products exported from Canada by RBH during the 1989-1996 period. Rothmans' sole holding was a 60% interest in RBH. The remaining 40% interest in RBH was owned by PMI.

Subsequent to the finalization of the settlement, PMI announced that it had entered into an agreement with Rothmans to purchase, by way of a tender offer, all of the outstanding common shares of Rothmans. In October 2008, PMI completed the acquisition of all of Rothmans shares.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, PMI had \$212 million and \$237 million, respectively, of discounted accrued settlement charges associated with the RBH legal settlement. These accrued settlement charges are primarily reflected in other long-term liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets and are expected to be paid through 2019.

Note 20.

E.C. Agreement:

In 2004, PMI entered into an agreement with the European Commission ("E.C.") and 10 Member States of the European Union that provides for broad cooperation with European law enforcement agencies on anti-contraband and anti-counterfeit efforts. This agreement has been signed by all 27 Member States. The agreement resolves all disputes between the parties relating to these issues. Under the terms of the agreement, PMI will make 13 payments over 12 years, including an initial payment of \$250 million, which was recorded as a pre-tax charge against its earnings in 2004. The agreement calls for additional payments of approximately \$150 million on the first anniversary of the agreement (this payment was made in July 2005), approximately \$100 million on the second anniversary (this payment was made in July 2006) and approximately \$75 million each year thereafter for 10 years, each of which is to be adjusted based on certain variables, including PMI's market share in the European Union in the year preceding payment. Because future additional payments are subject to these variables, PMI records charges for them as an expense in cost of sales when product is shipped. In addition, PMI is also responsible to pay the excise taxes, VAT and customs duties on qualifying product seizures of up to 90 million cigarettes and is subject to payments of five times the applicable taxes and duties if qualifying product seizures exceed 90 million cigarettes in a given year. To date, PMI's annual payments related to product seizures have been immaterial. Total charges related to the E.C. Agreement of \$86 million, \$91 million and \$84 million were recorded in cost of sales in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Note 21.

Contingencies:

• Litigation — General: Legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters are pending or threatened against us, and/or our subsidiaries, and/or our indemnitees in various jurisdictions. Our indemnitees include distributors, licensees, and others that have been named as parties in certain cases and that we have agreed to defend, as well as pay costs and some or all of judgments, if any, that may be entered against them. Pursuant to the terms of the Distribution Agreement between Altria and PMI, PMI will indemnify Altria and PM USA for tobacco product claims based in substantial part on products manufactured by PMI or contract manufactured for PMI by PM USA, and PM USA will indemnify PMI for tobacco product claims based in substantial part on product substantial part on products manufactured by PM USA, excluding tobacco products contract manufactured for PMI. Various types of claims are raised in these proceedings, including, among others, product liability, consumer protection, antitrust, employment and tax.

It is possible that there could be adverse developments in pending cases against us and our subsidiaries. An unfavorable outcome or settlement of pending tobacco-related litigation could encourage the commencement of additional litigation.

Damages claimed in some of the tobacco-related litigation are significant and, in certain cases in Brazil, Canada, Israel and Nigeria, range into the billions of dollars. The variability in pleadings in multiple jurisdictions, together with the actual experience of management in litigating claims, demonstrate that the monetary relief that may be specified in a lawsuit bears little relevance to the ultimate outcome. Much of the tobacco-related litigation is in its early stages, and litigation is subject to uncertainty. However, as discussed below, we have to date been largely successful in defending tobacco-related litigation.

We and our subsidiaries record provisions in the consolidated financial statements for pending litigation when we determine that an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. At the present time, while it is reasonably possible that an unfavorable outcome in a case may occur, after assessing the information available to it (i) management has not concluded that it is probable that a loss has been incurred in any of the pending tobacco-related cases; (ii) management is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss for any of the pending tobacco-related cases; and (iii) accordingly, no estimated loss has been accrued in the consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes in these cases, if any. Legal defense costs are expensed as incurred.

It is possible that our consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position could be materially affected in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year by an unfavorable outcome or settlement of certain pending litigation. Nevertheless, although litigation is subject to uncertainty, we and each of our subsidiaries named as a defendant believe, and each has been so advised by counsel handling the respective cases, that we have valid defenses to the litigation pending against us, as well as valid bases for appeal of adverse verdicts, if any. All such cases are, and will continue to be, vigorously defended. However, we and our subsidiaries may enter into settlement discussions in particular cases if we believe it is in our best interests to do so.

The table below lists the number of tobacco-related cases pending against us and/or our subsidiaries or indemnitees as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

Type of Case	Number of Cases Pending as of December 31, 2011	Number of Cases Pending as of December 31, 2010	Number of Cases Pending as of December 31, 2009
Individual Smoking and Health Cases	75	94	119
Smoking and Health Class Actions	10	11	9
Health Care Cost Recovery Actions	11	10	11
Lights Class Actions	2	2	3
Individual Lights Cases (small claims court)	9	10	12
Public Civil Actions	3	7	11

Since 1995, when the first tobacco-related litigation was filed against a PMI entity, 376 Smoking and Health, Lights, Health Care Cost Recovery, and Public Civil Actions in which we and/or one of our subsidiaries and/or indemnitees were a defendant have been terminated in our favor. Ten cases have had decisions in favor of plaintiffs. Six of these cases have subsequently reached final resolution in our favor and four remain on appeal. To date, we have paid total judgments, including costs, of approximately six thousand Euros. These payments were made in order to appeal three Italian small claims cases, two of which were subsequently reversed on appeal and one of which remains on appeal. To date, no tobacco-related case has been finally resolved in favor of a plaintiff against us, our subsidiaries or indemnitees.

The table below lists the verdicts and post-trial developments in the three pending cases (excluding an individual case on appeal from an Italian small claims court) in which verdicts were returned in favor of plaintiffs:

Date	Location of Court/Name of Plaintiff	Type of Case	Verdict	Post-Trial Developments
May 2011	Brazil/Laszlo	Individual Smoking and Health	The Civil Court of São Vicente found for plaintiff and ordered Philip Morris Brasil to pay damages of R\$31,333 (approximately \$16,700), plus future costs for cessation and medical treatment of smoking-related diseases.	In June 2011, Philip Morris Brasil filed an appeal. In December 2011, the Appellate Court reversed the trial court decision. Plaintiff may appeal.
September 2009	Brazil/Bernhardt	Individual Smoking and Health	The Civil Court of Rio de Janeiro found for plaintiff and ordered Philip Morris Brasil to pay R\$13,000 (approximately \$6,900) in "moral damages."	Philip Morris Brasil filed its appeal against the decision on the merits with the Court of Appeals in November 2009. In February 2010, without addressing the merits, the Court of Appeals annulled the trial court's decision and remanded the case to the trial court to issue a new ruling, which was required to address certain compensatory damage claims made by the plaintiff that the trial court did not address in its original ruling. In July 2010, the trial court reinstated its original decision, while specifically rejecting the compensatory damages claim. Philip Morris Brasil appealed this decision. In March 2011, the Court of Appeals affirmed the trial court's decision and denied Philip Morris Brasil's appeal. The Court of Appeals increased the amount of damages awarded to the plaintiff to R\$100,000 (approximately \$53,000). Philip Morris Brasil filed an appeal in June 2011.
February 2004	Brazil/The Smoker Health Defense Association	Class Action	The Civil Court of São Paulo found defendants liable without hearing evidence. The court did not assess moral or actual damages, which were to be assessed in a second phase of the case. The size of the class was not defined in the ruling.	In April 2004, the court clarified its ruling, awarding "moral damages" of R\$1,000 (approximately \$530) per smoker per full year of smoking plus interest at the rate of 1% per month, as of the date of the ruling. The court did not award actual damages, which were to be assessed in the second phase of the case. The size of the class was not estimated. Defendants appealed to the São Paulo Court of Appeals, which annulled the ruling in November 2008, finding that the trial court had inappropriately ruled without hearing evidence and returned the case to the trial court for further proceedings. In May 2011, the trial court dismissed the claim. Plaintiff has appealed. In addition, the defendants filed a constitutional appeal to the Federal Supreme Tribunal on the basis that the plaintiff did not have standing to bring the lawsuit. This appeal is still pending.

Pending claims related to tobacco products generally fall within the following categories:

• **Smoking and Health Litigation:** These cases primarily allege personal injury and are brought by individual plaintiffs or on behalf of a class of individual plaintiffs. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, strict liability, fraud, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, breach of express and implied warranties, violations of deceptive trade practice laws and consumer protection statutes. Plaintiffs in these cases seek various forms of relief, including compensatory and other damages, and injunctive and equitable relief. Defenses raised in these cases include licit activity, failure to state a claim, lack of defect, lack of proximate cause, assumption of the risk, contributory negligence, and statute of limitations.

As of December 31, 2011, there were a number of smoking and health cases pending against us, our subsidiaries or indemnitees, as follows:

- 75 cases brought by individual plaintiffs in Argentina (32), Brazil (30), Canada (2), Chile (2), Greece (1), Italy (5), the Philippines (1), Scotland (1) and Turkey (1), compared with 94 such cases on December 31, 2010, and 119 cases on December 31, 2009; and
- 10 cases brought on behalf of classes of individual plaintiffs in Brazil (2) and Canada (8), compared with 11 such cases on December 31, 2010, and 9 such cases on December 31, 2009.

In the first class action pending in Brazil, *The Smoker Health Defense Association (ADESF) v. Souza Cruz, S.A. and Philip Morris Marketing, S.A., Nineteenth Lower Civil Court of the Central Courts of the Judiciary District of São Paulo, Brazil, filed July 25, 1995, our subsidiary and another member of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, a consumer organization, is seeking damages for smokers and former smokers and injunctive relief. The verdict and post-trial developments in this case are described in the above table.*

In the second class action pending in Brazil, *Public Prosecutor of São Paulo v. Philip Morris Brasil Industria e Comercio Ltda., Civil Court of the City of São Paulo, Brazil,* filed August 6, 2007, our subsidiary is a defendant. The plaintiff, the Public Prosecutor of the State of São Paulo, is seeking (i) unspecified damages on behalf of all smokers nationwide, former smokers, and their relatives; (ii) unspecified damages on behalf of people exposed to environmental tobacco smoke ("ETS") nationwide, and their relatives; and (iii) reimbursement of the health care costs allegedly incurred for the treatment of tobacco-related diseases by all Brazilian States and Municipalities, and the Federal District. In an interim ruling issued in December 2007, the trial court limited the scope of this claim to the State of São Paulo only. In December 2008, the Seventh Civil Court of São Paulo issued a decision declaring that it lacked jurisdiction because the case involved issues similar to the *ADESF* case discussed above and should be transferred to the Nineteenth Lower Civil Court in São Paulo where the *ADESF* case is pending. The court further stated that these cases should be consolidated for the purposes of judgment. Our subsidiary appealed this decision to the State of São Paulo Court of Appeals, which subsequently declared the case stayed pending the outcome of the appeal. In April 2010, the São Paulo Court of Appeals reversed the Seventh Civil Court's decision that consolidated the cases, finding that they are based on different legal claims and are progressing at different stages of proceedings. This case was returned to the Seventh Civil Court of São Paulo, and our subsidiary filed its closing arguments in December 2010.

In the first class action pending in Canada, *Cecilia Letourneau v. Imperial Tobacco Ltd., Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc. and JTI Macdonald Corp., Quebec Superior Court, Canada,* filed in September 1998, our subsidiary and other Canadian manufacturers are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages for each member of the class who is deemed addicted to smoking. The class was certified in 2005. Pre-trial proceedings are ongoing. Trial is scheduled to begin on March 5, 2012.

In the second class action pending in Canada, *Conseil Québécois Sur Le Tabac Et La Santé and Jean-Yves Blais v. Imperial Tobacco Ltd.*, *Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc. and JTI Macdonald Corp., Quebec Superior Court, Canada*, filed in November 1998, our subsidiary and other Canadian manufacturers are defendants. The plaintiffs, an anti-smoking organization and an individual smoker, are seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages for each member of the class who allegedly suffers from certain smoking-related diseases. The class was certified in 2005. Pre-trial proceedings are ongoing. Trial is scheduled to begin on March 5, 2012.

In the third class action pending in Canada, *Kunta v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council, et al., The Queen's Bench, Winnipeg, Canada,* filed June 12, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges her own addiction to tobacco products and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease ("COPD"), severe asthma and mild reversible lung disease resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers, their estates, dependents and family members, as well as restitution of profits, and reimbursement of government health care costs allegedly caused by tobacco products. In September 2009, plaintiff's counsel informed defendants that he did not anticipate taking any action in this case while he pursues the class action filed in Saskatchewan (see description of *Adams*, below).

In the fourth class action pending in Canada, *Adams v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council, et al., The Queen's Bench, Saskatchewan, Canada,* filed July 10, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges her own addiction to tobacco products and COPD resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed



class comprised of all smokers who have smoked a minimum of 25,000 cigarettes and have allegedly suffered, or suffer, from COPD, emphysema, heart disease, or cancer, as well as restitution of profits. Preliminary motions are pending.

In the fifth class action pending in Canada, *Semple v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council, et al., The Supreme Court (trial court), Nova Scotia, Canada,* filed June 18, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges his own addiction to tobacco products and COPD resulting from the use of tobacco products. He is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers, their estates, dependents and family members, as well as restitution of profits, and reimbursement of government health care costs allegedly caused by tobacco products. No activity in this case is anticipated while plaintiff's counsel pursues the class action filed in Saskatchewan (see description of *Adams*, above).

In the sixth class action pending in Canada, *Dorion v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council, et al., The Queen's Bench, Alberta, Canada,* filed June 15, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges her own addiction to tobacco products and chronic bronchitis and severe sinus infections resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers, their estates, dependents and family members, restitution of profits, and reimbursement of government health care costs allegedly caused by tobacco products. To date, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees have not been properly served with the complaint. No activity in this case is anticipated while plaintiff's counsel pursues the class action filed in Saskatchewan (see description of *Adams*, above).

In the seventh class action pending in Canada, *McDermid v. Imperial Tobacco Canada Limited, et al., Supreme Court, British Columbia, Canada,* filed June 25, 2010, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges his own addiction to tobacco products and heart disease resulting from the use of tobacco products. He is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers who were alive on June 12, 2007, and who suffered from heart disease allegedly caused by smoking, their estates, dependents and family members, plus disgorgement of revenues earned by the defendants from January 1, 1954, to the date the claim was filed. Defendants have filed jurisdictional challenges on the grounds that this action should not proceed during the pendency of the Saskatchewan class action (see description of *Adams*, above).

In the eighth class action pending in Canada, *Bourassa v. Imperial Tobacco Canada Limited, et al., Supreme Court, British Columbia, Canada,* filed June 25, 2010, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, the heir to a deceased smoker, alleges that the decedent was addicted to tobacco products and suffered from emphysema resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers who were alive on June 12, 2007, and who suffered from chronic respiratory diseases allegedly caused by smoking, their estates, dependents and family members, plus disgorgement of revenues earned by the defendants from January 1, 1954, to the date the claim was filed. Defendants have filed jurisdictional challenges on the grounds that this action should not proceed during the pendency of the Saskatchewan class action (see description of *Adams*, above).

• Health Care Cost Recovery Litigation: These cases, brought by governmental and non-governmental plaintiffs, seek reimbursement of health care cost expenditures allegedly caused by tobacco products. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery including unjust enrichment, negligence, negligent design, strict liability, breach of express and implied warranties, violation of a voluntary undertaking or special duty, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, public nuisance, defective product, failure to warn, sale of cigarettes to minors, and claims under statutes governing competition and deceptive trade practices. Plaintiffs in these cases seek various forms of relief including compensatory and other damages, and injunctive and equitable relief. Defenses raised in these cases include lack of proximate cause, remoteness of injury, failure to state a claim, adequate remedy at law, "unclean hands" (namely, that plaintiffs cannot obtain equitable relief because they participated in, and benefited from, the sale of cigarettes), and statute of limitations.

As of December 31, 2011, there were 11 health care cost recovery cases pending against us, our subsidiaries or indemnitees in Canada (4), Israel (1), Nigeria (5) and Spain (1), compared with 10 such cases on December 31, 2010, and 11 such cases on December 31, 2009.

In the first health care cost recovery case pending in Canada, *Her Majesty the Queen in Right of British Columbia v. Imperial Tobacco Limited, et al., Supreme Court, British Columbia, Vancouver Registry, Canada,* filed January 24, 2001, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitee (PM USA), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, the government of the province of British Columbia, brought a claim based upon legislation enacted by the province authorizing the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, resulting from a "tobacco related wrong." The Supreme Court of Canada has held that the statute is constitutional. We and certain other non-Canadian defendants challenged the jurisdiction of the court. The court rejected the jurisdictional challenge, and pre-trial discovery is ongoing. The trial court also has granted plaintiff's request that the target trial date of September 2011 be postponed indefinitely. Meanwhile, in December 2009, the British Columbia Court of Appeal ruled that the defendants could pursue a third-party claim against the government of Canada

⁷⁷

for negligently misrepresenting to defendants the efficacy of the low tar tobacco strain that the federal government developed and licensed to some of the defendants. In May 2010, the Supreme Court of Canada agreed to hear both the appeal of the Attorney General of Canada and the defendants' cross-appeal from the British Columbia Court of Appeal decision. In July 2011, the Supreme Court of Canada dismissed the third-party claims against the federal government.

In the second health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, *Her Majesty the Queen in Right of New Brunswick v. Rothmans Inc., et al., Court of Queen's Bench of New Brunswick, Trial Court, New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada,* filed March 13, 2008, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of New Brunswick based on legislation enacted in the province. This legislation is similar to the law introduced in British Columbia that authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a "tobacco related wrong." Pre-trial discovery is ongoing.

In the third health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, *Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Ontario v. Rothmans Inc., et al., Ontario Superior Court of Justice, Toronto, Canada,* filed September 29, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Ontario based on legislation enacted in the province. This legislation is similar to the laws introduced in British Columbia and New Brunswick that authorize the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a "tobacco related wrong." Preliminary motions are pending.

In the fourth health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, *Attorney General of Newfoundland and Labrador v. Rothmans Inc., et al., Supreme Court of Newfoundland and Labrador, St. Johns, Canada,* filed February 8, 2011, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Newfoundland and Labrador based on legislation enacted in the province that is similar to the laws introduced in British Columbia, New Brunswick and Ontario. The legislation authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a "tobacco related wrong." Preliminary motions are pending.

In the case in Israel, *Kupat Holim Clalit v. Philip Morris USA, et al., Jerusalem District Court, Israel,* filed September 28, 1998, we, our subsidiary, and our indemnitee (PM USA), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, a private health care provider, brought a claim seeking reimbursement of the cost of treating its members for alleged smoking-related illnesses for the years 1990 to 1998. Certain defendants filed a motion to dismiss the case. The motion was rejected, and those defendants filed a motion with the Israel Supreme Court for leave to appeal. The appeal was heard by a three-judge panel of the Supreme Court in March 2005. In July 2011, the Supreme Court issued a decision that accepted the defendants' appeal and dismissed the case. In August 2011, plaintiff filed a petition for an *en banc* rehearing by the Israeli Supreme Court of the decision dismissing the case, which the Supreme Court rejected on January 29, 2012. This case is now terminated and we will no longer report on the case.

In the first case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Lagos State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al., High Court of Lagos State, Lagos, Nigeria,* filed April 30, 2007, our subsidiary and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. In February 2008, our subsidiary was served with a Notice of Discontinuance. The claim was formally dismissed in March 2008. However, the plaintiff has since refiled its claim. Our subsidiary is in the process of making challenges to service and the court's jurisdiction. Currently, the case is stayed in the trial court pending the appeals of certain co-defendants relating to service objections. We currently have no employees, operations or assets in Nigeria.

In the second case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Kano State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al., High Court of Kano State, Kano, Nigeria,* filed May 9, 2007, our subsidiary and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. Our subsidiary is in the process of making challenges to service and the court's jurisdiction.

In the third case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Gombe State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al., High Court of Gombe State, Gombe, Nigeria,* filed May 18, 2007, our subsidiary and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. In July 2008, the court dismissed the case against all defendants based on the plaintiff's failure to comply with various procedural requirements when filing and serving the complaint. The plaintiff did not appeal the dismissal. However, in October 2008, the plaintiff refiled its claim. In June 2010, the court ordered the plaintiff to amend the claim to properly name Philip Morris International Inc. as a defendant. Philip Morris International Inc. is not currently a defendant in the case. Plaintiff may

appeal the ruling or follow the procedural steps required to serve Philip Morris International Inc.

In the fourth case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Oyo State, et al., v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al., High Court of Oyo State, Ibadan, Nigeria,* filed May 25, 2007, our subsidiary and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiffs seek reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. Our subsidiary challenged service as improper. In June 2010, the court ruled that plaintiffs did not have leave to serve the writ of summons on the defendants and that they must re-serve the writ. Our subsidiary has not yet been re-served.

In the fifth case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Ogun State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al., High Court of Ogun State, Abeokuta, Nigeria,* filed February 26, 2008, our subsidiary and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. In May 2010, the trial court rejected our subsidiary's service objections. Our subsidiary has appealed.

In a series of proceedings in Spain, *Junta de Andalucia, et al. v. Philip Morris Spain, et al., Court of First Instance, Madrid, Spain,* the first of which was filed February 21, 2002, our subsidiary and other members of the industry were defendants. The plaintiffs sought reimbursement for the cost of treating certain of their citizens for various smoking-related illnesses. In May 2004, the first instance court dismissed the initial case, finding that the State was a necessary party to the claim, and thus, the claim must be filed in the Administrative Court. The plaintiffs appealed. In February 2006, the appellate court affirmed the lower court's dismissal. The plaintiffs then filed notice that they intended to pursue their claim in the Administrative Court against the State. Because they were defendants in the original proceeding, our subsidiary and other members of the industry filed notices with the Administrative Court. In November 2007, the Plaintiffs filed their complaint in the Administrative Court. In November 2007, the Administrative Court dismissed the Administrative Court against the State was rejected in a ruling rendered in February 2008. Plaintiffs appealed to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court rejected plaintiffs' appeal in November 2009, resulting in the final dismissal of the claim. However, plaintiffs have filed a second claim in the Administrative Court against the Ministry of Economy. This second claim seeks the same relief as the original claim, but relies on a different procedural procedural proceding our subsidiary as a party in this proceeding. Our subsidiary and other defendants 'preliminary objections that resulted in a stay of the term to file the answer. In May 2011, the court rejected the defendants' preliminary objections, but it has not yet set a deadline for defendants to file their answers.

• Lights Cases: These cases, brought by individual plaintiffs, or on behalf of a class of individual plaintiffs, allege that the use of the term "lights" constitutes fraudulent and misleading conduct. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery including misrepresentation, deception, and breach of consumer protection laws. Plaintiffs seek various forms of relief including restitution, injunctive relief, and compensatory and other damages. Defenses raised include lack of causation, lack of reliance, assumption of the risk, and statute of limitations.

As of December 31, 2011, there were a number of lights cases pending against our subsidiaries or indemnitees, as follows:

- 2 cases brought on behalf of various classes of individual plaintiffs (some overlapping) in Israel, compared with 2 such cases on December 31, 2010, and 3 such cases on December 31, 2009; and
- 9 cases brought by individuals in the equivalent of small claims courts in Italy, where the maximum damages are approximately one thousand Euros per case, compared with 10 such cases on December 31, 2010, and 12 such cases on December 31, 2009.

In the first class action pending in Israel, *El-Roy, et al. v. Philip Morris Incorporated, et al., District Court of Tel-Aviv/Jaffa, Israel,* filed January 18, 2004, our subsidiary and our indemnitees (PM USA and our former importer) are defendants. The plaintiffs filed a purported class action claiming that the class members were misled by the descriptor "lights" into believing that lights cigarettes are safer than full flavor cigarettes. The claim seeks recovery of the purchase price of lights cigarettes and compensation for distress for each class member. Hearings took place in November and December 2008 regarding whether the case meets the legal requirements necessary to allow it to proceed as a class action. The parties' briefing on class certification was completed in March 2011. A hearing for final oral argument on class certification took place in November 2011. We are awaiting the court's decision.

The claims in a second class action pending in Israel, *Navon, et al. v. Philip Morris Products USA, et al., District Court of Tel-Aviv/Jaffa, Israel,* filed December 5, 2004, against our indemnitee (our distributor) and other members of the industry are similar to those in *El-Roy*, and the case is currently stayed pending a ruling on class certification in *El-Roy*.

• **Public Civil Actions:** Claims have been filed either by an individual, or a public or private entity, seeking to protect collective or individual rights, such as the right to health, the right to information or the right to safety. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery including product defect, concealment, and misrepresentation. Plaintiffs in these cases seek various forms of relief including injunctive relief such as banning cigarettes, descriptors, smoking in certain places and advertising, as well as implementing communication campaigns and

reimbursement of medical expenses incurred by public or private institutions.

As of December 31, 2011, there were 3 public civil actions pending against our subsidiaries in Argentina (1), Brazil (1), and Venezuela (1), compared with 7 such cases on December 31, 2010, and 11 such cases on December 31, 2009.

In the public civil action in Argentina, Asociación Argentina de Derecho de Danos v. Massalin Particulares S.A., et al., Civil Court of Buenos Aires, Argentina, filed February 26, 2007, our subsidiary and another member of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, a consumer association, seeks the establishment of a relief fund for reimbursement of medical costs associated with diseases allegedly caused by smoking. Our subsidiary filed its answer in September 2007. In March 2010, the case file was transferred to the Federal Court on Administrative Matters after the Civil Court granted the plaintiff's request to add the national government as a co-plaintiff in the case.

In the public civil action in Brazil, *The Brazilian Association for the Defense of Consumer Health ("SAUDECON") v. Philip Morris Brazil Industria e Comercio Ltda. and Souza Cruz S.A., Civil Court of City of Porto Alegre, Brazil, filed November 3, 2008, our subsidiary is a defendant. The plaintiff, a consumer organization, is asking the court to establish a fund that will be used to provide treatment to smokers who claim to be addicted and who do not otherwise have access to smoking cessation treatment. Plaintiff requests that each defendant's liability be determined according to its market share. In May 2009, the trial court dismissed the case on the merits. Plaintiff has appealed.*

In the public civil action in Venezuela, *Federation of Consumers and Users Associations ("FEVACU"), et al. v. National Assembly of Venezuela and the Venezuelan Ministry of Health, Constitutional Chamber of the Venezuelan Supreme Court, filed April 29, 2008, we were not named as a defendant, but the plaintiffs published a notice pursuant to court order, notifying all interested parties to appear in the case. In January 2009, our subsidiary appeared in the case in response to this notice. The plaintiffs purport to represent the right to health of the citizens of Venezuela and claim that the government failed to protect adequately its citizens' right to health. The claim asks the court to order the government to enact stricter regulations on the manufacture and sale of tobacco products. In addition, the plaintiffs ask the court to order companies involved in the tobacco industry to allocate a percentage of their "sales or benefits" to establish a fund to pay for the health care costs of treating smoking-related diseases. In October 2008, the court ruled that plaintiffs have standing to file the claim and that the claim meets the threshold admissibility requirements.*

- Other Litigation: Other litigation includes an antitrust suit, a breach of contract action, and various tax and individual employment cases.
 - Antitrust: In the antitrust class action in Kansas, Smith v. Philip Morris Companies Inc., et al., District Court of Seward County, Kansas, filed February 7, 2000, we and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff asserts that the defendant cigarette companies engaged in an international conspiracy to fix wholesale prices of cigarettes and sought certification of a class comprised of all persons in Kansas who were indirect purchasers of cigarettes from the defendants. The plaintiff claims unspecified economic damages resulting from the alleged price-fixing, trebling of those damages under the Kansas price-fixing statute and counsel fees. The trial court granted plaintiff's motion for class certification. A court-ordered mediation was held in October 2010, prior to which we filed a summary judgment motion. The court has not yet ruled on our summary judgment motion, but has set a trial date in July 2012.
 - Breach of Contract: In the breach of contract action in Ontario, Canada, *The Ontario Flue-Cured Tobacco Growers' Marketing* Board, et al. v. Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc., Superior Court of Justice, London, Ontario, Canada, filed November 5, 2009, our subsidiary is a defendant. Plaintiffs in this putative class action allege that our subsidiary breached contracts with the proposed class members (Ontario tobacco growers and their related associations) concerning the sale and purchase of flue-cured tobacco from January 1, 1986 to December 31, 1996. Plaintiffs allege that our subsidiary was required by the contracts to disclose to plaintiffs the quantity of tobacco included in cigarettes to be sold for duty free and export purposes (which it purchased at a lower price per pound than tobacco that was included in cigarettes to be sold in Canada), but failed to disclose that some of the cigarettes it designated as being for export and duty free purposes were ultimately sold in Canada. Our subsidiary has been served, but there is currently no deadline to respond to the statement of claim. In September 2011, plaintiffs served a notice of motion seeking class certification.
 - *Tax:* In Brazil, there are 114 tax cases involving Philip Morris Brasil S.A. and Philip Morris Brasil Ltda. relating to the payment of state tax on the sale and transfer of goods and services, federal social contributions, excise, social security and income tax, and other matters. Fifty-eight of these cases are under administrative review by the relevant fiscal authorities and 56 are under judicial review by the courts.
 - *Employment:* Our subsidiaries, Philip Morris Brasil S.A. and Philip Morris Brasil Ltda. are defendants in various individual employment cases resulting, among other things, from the termination of employment in connection with the shut-down of one of our factories in Brazil.

Third-Party Guarantees

At December 31, 2011, PMI's third-party guarantees were \$7 million, of which \$2 million expire through December 31, 2012, and the remainder through 2015. PMI is required to perform under these guarantees in the event that a third party fails to make contractual payments. PMI does not have a liability on its consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2011, as the fair value of these guarantees is insignificant due to the fact that the probability of future payments under these guarantees is remote.

Note 22. **Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited):**

	2011 Quarters			
(in millions, except per share data)	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
Net revenues	<u>\$16,530</u>	\$20,234	\$20,706	<u>\$18,876</u>
Gross profit	\$ 4,496	\$ 5,429	\$ 5,515	\$ 4,979
Net earnings attributable to PMI	\$ 1,919	\$ 2,409	\$ 2,377	\$ 1,886
Per share data:				
Basic EPS	<u>\$ 1.06</u>	<u>\$ 1.35</u>	<u>\$ 1.35</u>	<u>\$ 1.08</u>
Diluted EPS	\$ 1.06	\$ 1.35	\$ 1.35	\$ 1.08
Dividends declared	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.77
Market price:				
— High	<u>\$ 65.92</u>	<u>\$ 71.75</u>	<u>\$ 72.74</u>	<u>\$ 79.42</u>
— Low	\$ 55.85	\$ 64.49	\$ 62.32	\$ 60.45
		2010 Quarters		
(in millions, except per share data)	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
Net revenues	\$15,587	\$17,383	\$16,936	\$17,807
Gross profit	\$ 4,124	\$ 4,511	\$ 4,324	\$ 4,536
Net earnings attributable to PMI	\$ 1,703	\$ 1,982	\$ 1,822	\$ 1,752
Per share data:				
Basic EPS	\$ 0.90	\$ 1.07	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.96
Diluted EPS	\$ 0.90	\$ 1.07	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.96

Net revenues	\$15,587	\$17,383	\$16,936	\$17,807
Gross profit	\$ 4,124	\$ 4,511	\$ 4,324	\$ 4,536
Net earnings attributable to PMI	\$ 1,703	\$ 1,982	\$ 1,822	\$ 1,752
Per share data:				
Basic EPS	<u>\$ 0.90</u>	\$ 1.07	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.96
Diluted EPS	\$ 0.90	\$ 1.07	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.96
Dividends declared	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.64
Market price:				
— High	\$ 53.07	\$ 53.91	\$ 57.11	\$ 60.87
— Low	\$ 45.01	\$ 42.94	\$ 45.55	\$ 55.10

Basic and diluted EPS are computed independently for each of the periods presented. Accordingly, the sum of the quarterly EPS amounts may not agree to the total for the year.

During 2011 and 2010, PMI recorded the following pre-tax charges in earnings:

	2011 Quarters				
(in millions)	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	
Asset impairment and exit costs	\$ 16	\$ 1	\$43	\$49	
		2010 Quai		ters	
(in millions)	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	
Asset impairment and exit costs	<u>s</u>	<u>\$</u>	\$20	\$27	

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm



To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of earnings, stockholders' equity, and cash flows, present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Philip Morris International Inc. and its subsidiaries ("PMI") at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, PMI maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). PMI's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on PMI's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PricewaterhouseCoopers SA

/s/ JAMES A. SCHUMACHER James A. Schumacher

Lausanne, Switzerland February 9, 2012 /s/ FELIX ROTH Felix Roth

Report of Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of Philip Morris International Inc. ("PMI") is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. PMI's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Internal control over financial reporting includes those written policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of PMI;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America;
- provide reasonable assurance that receipts and expenditures of PMI are being made only in accordance with the authorization of management and directors of PMI; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Internal control over financial reporting includes the controls themselves, monitoring and internal auditing practices and actions taken to correct deficiencies as identified.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of PMI's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011. Management based this assessment on criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting described in "*Internal Control* — *Integrated Framework*" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Management's assessment included an evaluation of the design of PMI's internal control over financial reporting and testing of the operational effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting. Management reviewed the results of its assessment with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors.

Based on this assessment, management determined that, as of December 31, 2011, PMI maintained effective internal control over financial reporting.

PricewaterhouseCoopers SA, an independent registered public accounting firm, who audited and reported on the consolidated financial statements of PMI included in this report, has audited the effectiveness of PMI's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, as stated in their report herein.

February 9, 2012

List of Significant Subsidiaries

As of December 31, 2011

Listed below are subsidiaries of Philip Morris International Inc. (the "Company") as of December 31, 2011 and their state or country of organization. This list omits the subsidiaries of the Company that in the aggregate would not constitute a "significant subsidiary" of the Company, as that term is defined in Rule 1-02(w) of Regulation S-X.

Name

Compania Colombiana de Tabaco S.A. Leonard Dingler (Proprietary) Limited Massalin Particulares S.A. Papastratos Cigarette Manufacturing Company Philip Morris Benelux B.V.B.A. Philip Morris Brands SARL Philip Morris Brasil Industria e Comercio Ltda. Philip Morris Cigatam Productos Y Servicios, S. de R.L. de C.V. Philip Morris CR a.s. Philip Morris Finance S.A. Philip Morris Finland OY Philip Morris Global Brands Inc. Philip Morris GmbH Philip Morris Holland B.V. Philip Morris Holland Holdings B.V. Philip Morris International Inc. Philip Morris International Management SA Philip Morris Investments B.V. Philip Morris Japan Kabushiki Kaisha Philip Morris Kazakhstan LLP Philip Morris Korea Inc. Philip Morris Limited Philip Morris Malaysia Sdn. Bhd. Philip Morris Manufacturing GmbH Philip Morris Mexico, Sociedad Anónima de Capital Variable Philip Morris Operations a.d. Philip Morris (Pakistan) Limited Philip Morris Polska Distribution Spolka z ograniczona odpowiedzialnościa Philip Morris Polska S.A. Philip Morris Products S.A. Philip Morris SA, Philip Morris Sabanci Pazarlama ve Satis A.S. Philip Morris Sales and Marketing Ltd. PHILSA Philip Morris Sabanci Sigara ve Tutunculuk Sanavi ve Ticaret A.S. PMFTC Inc. PM Tobakk Norge AS PrJSC Philip Morris Ukraine PT Haniava Mandala Sampoerna Tbk. PT Philip Morris Indonesia Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc. Tabaqueira II, S.A. Tabaqueira - Empresa Industrial de Tabacos, S.A. ZAO Philip Morris Izhora

State or Country of Organization Colombia South Africa Argentina Greece Belgium Switzerland Brazil Mexico **Czech Republic** Switzerland Finland USA Germany Netherlands Netherlands USA Switzerland Netherlands Japan Kazakhstan Korea, Republic of Australia Malaysia Germany Mexico Serbia Pakistan Poland Poland Switzerland Turkey Russia Turkey Philippines Norway Ukraine Indonesia Indonesia Canada Portugal Portugal Russia

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in Philip Morris International Inc.'s Registration Statements on Form S-3 (File No. 333-172490) and Form S-8 (File Nos. 333-149822, 333-149821) of our report dated February 9, 2012 relating to the consolidated financial statements and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which appears in the Annual Report to Shareholders, which is incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

PricewaterhouseCoopers SA

/s/ JAMES A. SCHUMACHER

/s/ FELIX ROTH Felix Roth

James A. Schumacher

Lausanne, Switzerland February 24, 2012

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS THAT the undersigned, a Director of Philip Morris International Inc., a Virginia corporation (the "Company"), does hereby constitute and appoint Louis C. Camilleri, Hermann Waldemer and Jerry Whitson, or any one or more of them, his or her true and lawful attorney, for him or her and in his or her name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2011, and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these presents.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his or her hand and seal as of the 9th day of February, 2012.

/s/ HAROLD BROWN Harold Brown

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS THAT the undersigned, a Director of Philip Morris International Inc., a Virginia corporation (the "Company"), does hereby constitute and appoint Louis C. Camilleri, Hermann Waldemer and Jerry Whitson, or any one or more of them, his or her true and lawful attorney, for him or her and in his or her name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2011, and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these presents.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his or her hand and seal as of the 9th day of February, 2012.

/s/ MATHIS CABIALLAVETTA Mathis Cabiallavetta

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS THAT the undersigned, a Director of Philip Morris International Inc., a Virginia corporation (the "Company"), does hereby constitute and appoint Louis C. Camilleri, Hermann Waldemer and Jerry Whitson, or any one or more of them, his or her true and lawful attorney, for him or her and in his or her name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2011, and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these presents.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his or her hand and seal as of the 9th day of February, 2012.

/s/ J. DUDLEY FISHBURN J. Dudley Fishburn

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS THAT the undersigned, a Director of Philip Morris International Inc., a Virginia corporation (the "Company"), does hereby constitute and appoint Louis C. Camilleri, Hermann Waldemer and Jerry Whitson, or any one or more of them, his or her true and lawful attorney, for him or her and in his or her name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2011, and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these presents.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his or her hand and seal as of the 9th day of February, 2012.

/s/ JENNIFER LI Jennifer Li

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS THAT the undersigned, a Director of Philip Morris International Inc., a Virginia corporation (the "Company"), does hereby constitute and appoint Louis C. Camilleri, Hermann Waldemer and Jerry Whitson, or any one or more of them, his or her true and lawful attorney, for him or her and in his or her name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2011, and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these presents.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his or her hand and seal as of the 9th day of February, 2012.

/s/ GRAHAM MACKAY Graham Mackay

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS THAT the undersigned, a Director of Philip Morris International Inc., a Virginia corporation (the "Company"), does hereby constitute and appoint Louis C. Camilleri, Hermann Waldemer and Jerry Whitson, or any one or more of them, his or her true and lawful attorney, for him or her and in his or her name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2011, and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these presents.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his or her hand and seal as of the 9th day of February, 2012.

/s/ SERGIO MARCHIONNE Sergio Marchionne

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS THAT the undersigned, a Director of Philip Morris International Inc., a Virginia corporation (the "Company"), does hereby constitute and appoint Louis C. Camilleri, Hermann Waldemer and Jerry Whitson, or any one or more of them, his or her true and lawful attorney, for him or her and in his or her name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2011, and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these presents.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his or her hand and seal as of the 9th day of February, 2012.

/s/ KALPANA MORPARIA Kalpana Morparia

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS THAT the undersigned, a Director of Philip Morris International Inc., a Virginia corporation (the "Company"), does hereby constitute and appoint Louis C. Camilleri, Hermann Waldemer and Jerry Whitson, or any one or more of them, his or her true and lawful attorney, for him or her and in his or her name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2011, and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these presents.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his or her hand and seal as of the 9th day of February, 2012.

/s/ LUCIO A. NOTO Lucio A. Noto

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS THAT the undersigned, a Director of Philip Morris International Inc., a Virginia corporation (the "Company"), does hereby constitute and appoint Louis C. Camilleri, Hermann Waldemer and Jerry Whitson, or any one or more of them, his or her true and lawful attorney, for him or her and in his or her name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2011, and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these presents.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his or her hand and seal as of the 9th day of February, 2012.

/s/ ROBERT B. POLET Robert B. Polet

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS THAT the undersigned, a Director of Philip Morris International Inc., a Virginia corporation (the "Company"), does hereby constitute and appoint Louis C. Camilleri, Hermann Waldemer and Jerry Whitson, or any one or more of them, his or her true and lawful attorney, for him or her and in his or her name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2011, and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these presents.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his or her hand and seal as of the 9th day of February, 2012.

/s/ CARLOS SLIM HELÚ Carlos Slim Helú

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS THAT the undersigned, a Director of Philip Morris International Inc., a Virginia corporation (the "Company"), does hereby constitute and appoint Louis C. Camilleri, Hermann Waldemer and Jerry Whitson, or any one or more of them, his or her true and lawful attorney, for him or her and in his or her name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2011, and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these presents.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his or her hand and seal as of the 9th day of February, 2012.

/s/ STEPHEN M. WOLF Stephen M. Wolf

Certifications

I, Louis C. Camilleri, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Philip Morris International Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 24, 2012

/s/ LOUIS C. CAMILLERI Louis C. Camilleri

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Certifications

I, Hermann Waldemer, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Philip Morris International Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 24, 2012

/s/ HERMANN WALDEMER Hermann Waldemer Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Philip Morris International Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2011 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Louis C. Camilleri, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ LOUIS C. CAMILLERI

Louis C. Camilleri Chairman and Chief Executive Officer February 24, 2012

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to Philip Morris International Inc. and will be retained by Philip Morris International Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Philip Morris International Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2011 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Hermann Waldemer, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ HERMANN WALDEMER

Hermann Waldemer Chief Financial Officer February 24, 2012

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to Philip Morris International Inc. and will be retained by Philip Morris International Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.