

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a)
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Preliminary Proxy Statement | <input type="checkbox"/> Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Definitive Proxy Statement | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Definitive Additional Materials | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12 | |

COCA-COLA ENTERPRISES, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
- (1) Title of each class of securities to which the transaction applies: _____
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which the transaction applies: _____
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of the transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined): _____
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of the transaction: _____
- (5) Total fee paid: _____
- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
- (1) Amount Previously Paid: _____
- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: _____
- (3) Filing Party: _____
- (4) Date Filed: _____
-

Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc.

2500 Windy Ridge Parkway
Atlanta, Georgia 30339
March 4, 2013

Dear Fellow Shareowner:

You are cordially invited to attend the annual meeting of shareowners of Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc., to be held at: 8:30 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, on Tuesday, April 23, 2013, at the Cobb Energy Performing Arts Centre, 2800 Cobb Galleria Parkway, Atlanta, Georgia.

This booklet includes the formal notice of the meeting as well as the proxy statement. The proxy statement gives you information about the formal items of business to be voted on at the meeting and other information relevant to your voting decisions.

We are providing our shareowners access to the proxy materials and our 2012 annual report over the internet. This allows us to provide you with the annual meeting information you need in a fast and efficient manner, while reducing the environmental impact of our annual meeting. On or about March 14, 2013, we will mail to shareowners a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials containing instructions on how to access our proxy statement and 2012 annual report online and how to vote online. If you receive such a Notice by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the materials unless you specifically request one. However, the Notice contains instructions on how to request to receive printed copies of these materials and a proxy card by mail.

Your vote is very important to us. Regardless of the number of shares you own, please vote. You can vote your shares by internet, toll-free telephone call, or, if you request that the proxy materials be mailed to you, by completing, signing and returning the proxy card enclosed with those materials. Please see page 1 of the proxy statement for more detailed information about your voting options.

Very truly yours,



Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc.

NOTICE OF 2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREOWNERS

- Time and Date:* 8:30 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, Tuesday, April 23, 2013
- Place:* Cobb Energy Performing Arts Centre, 2800 Cobb Galleria Parkway, Atlanta, Georgia
- Record Date:* Shareowners at the close of business on February 25, 2013, are entitled to vote
- Matters to be Voted upon:*
- Election as directors of the twelve nominees named in the accompanying proxy statement for terms expiring at the 2014 annual meeting of shareowners;
 - Approval, by non-binding advisory vote, of our executive officers' compensation;
 - Ratification of our Audit Committee's selection of our independent registered public accounting firm for 2013; and
 - Any other business properly brought before the meeting and any adjournments of it.

Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, we encourage you to vote as promptly as possible by the internet or by telephone. If you request a printed copy of the proxy materials, you may complete and return by mail the proxy or voting instruction card you will receive in response to your request, or you can vote by the internet or by telephone. If you attend the meeting and wish to change your vote, you can do so by voting in person at the meeting.



William T. Plybon
Vice President, Secretary and Deputy General Counsel



2500 Windy Ridge Parkway
Atlanta, Georgia 30339

**PROXY STATEMENT
FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREOWNERS**

**to be held at 8:30 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, on Tuesday, April 23, 2013,
at the Cobb Energy Performing Arts Centre, 2800 Cobb Galleria Parkway, Atlanta, Georgia**

We are furnishing this proxy statement to our shareowners in connection with the solicitation of proxies by our board of directors for the 2013 annual meeting of shareowners to be held on April 23, 2013, and any adjournment or postponement of the meeting. Our 2012 annual report accompanies this proxy statement.

This proxy statement and the 2012 annual report are first being made available on our website at www.cokecce.com or mailed to shareowners who have requested paper copies on or about March 14, 2013. Other information on our website does not constitute part of this proxy statement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOTING AND THE MEETING	1
PRINCIPAL SHAREOWNERS	6
GOVERNANCE OF THE COMPANY	6
Board of Directors	6
Board Leadership Structure	6
Communications with the Presiding Director, the Board, and Its Committees	9
Policy Regarding Board Attendance at Shareowner Meetings	10
Board of Directors Guidelines on Significant Corporate Governance Issues	10
Code of Business Conduct	10
Board of Directors Oversight of Risk	10
Director Compensation	11
How Members of the Board of Directors are Selected	12
PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	13
Nominees for Election	14
Committees of the Board	20
Audit Committee	20
Corporate Responsibility and Sustainability Committee	21
Executive Committee	21
Finance Committee	21
Franchise Relationship Committee	21
Governance and Nominating Committee	21
Human Resources and Compensation Committee	21
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	22
Human Resources and Compensation Committee Report	22
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS	23
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	24
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	24
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	25
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	25
Summary Compensation Table	37
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	39
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-end	41
Option Exercises and Stock Vested	44
Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	44
Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control	45
EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION	48
PROPOSAL 2: ADVISORY VOTE ON THE COMPANY'S EXECUTIVE OFFICERS' COMPENSATION	50
PROPOSAL 3: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	51
Audit Committee Report	52
SHAREOWNER PROPOSAL FOR 2014 ANNUAL MEETING	53
OTHER MATTERS	53

This proxy statement contains important information for you to consider when deciding how to vote. Please read this information carefully.

VOTING AND THE MEETING

What is the purpose of this meeting?

This is the annual meeting of the company's shareowners. At the meeting, we will be voting upon:

- the election of directors whose terms will expire in 2014;
- the approval, by a non-binding advisory vote, of our executive officers' compensation;
- the ratification of our Audit Committee's choice of our independent registered public accounting firm for 2013; and
- any other business that may properly come before the meeting.

Our board of directors strongly encourages you to exercise your right to vote on these matters. Your vote is important. Voting early through the internet, by telephone or by a proxy or voting instruction card helps ensure that we receive a quorum of shares necessary to hold the meeting.

How do the directors of the company recommend that I vote?

The board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote:

PROPOSAL 1: FOR the election of Jan Bennink, John F. Brock, Calvin Darden, L. Phillip Humann, Orrin H. Ingram II, Thomas H. Johnson, Suzanne B. Labarge, Véronique Morali, Andrea L. Saia, Garry Watts, Curtis R. Welling, and Phoebe A. Wood as directors of the company for terms expiring in 2014;

PROPOSAL 2: FOR the approval of our executive officers' compensation; and

PROPOSAL 3: FOR the ratification of the Audit Committee's selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2013.

What is a proxy?

Our board of directors is asking for your proxy, which is a legal designation of another person to vote the shares you own. We have designated two officers of the company, John R. Parker, Jr. and William T. Plybon, to vote your shares at the meeting in the way you instruct and, with regard to any other business that may properly come before the meeting, as they think best.

Who may vote?

Common stock shareowners of Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. whose shares are recorded directly in their names in our stock register ("shareowners of record") at the close of business on February 25, 2013, may vote their shares on the matters to be acted upon at the meeting. Shareowners who hold shares of our common stock in "street name," that is, through an account with a bank, broker, or other holder of record, as of such date may direct the holder of record how to vote their shares at the meeting by following the instructions that the street name holders will receive from the holder of record.

A list of shareowners entitled to vote at the meeting will be available for examination at our principal executive offices located at 2500 Windy Ridge Parkway, Atlanta, Georgia 30339, for a period of at least 10 days prior to the meeting and during the meeting. The stock register will not be closed between the record date and the date of the meeting.

How do I vote?

If you meet the above qualification, you may vote in one of the following four ways:

By the internet

Go to www.proxyvote.com 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, and follow the instructions. You will need the 12-digit control number that is included in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, proxy card or voting instructions form that is sent to you. The internet voting system allows you to confirm that the system has properly recorded your votes. This method of voting will be available up until 11:59 p.m. EDT, on April 22, 2013.

By telephone

On a touch-tone telephone, call toll-free 1-800-690-6903, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, and follow the instructions. You will need the 12-digit control number that is included in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, proxy card or voting instructions form that is sent to you. As with internet voting, you will be able to confirm that the system has properly recorded your votes. This method of voting will be available up until 11:59 p.m. EDT, on April 22, 2013.

By mail

If you are a shareowner of record and you elect to receive your proxy materials by mail, you can vote by marking, dating and signing your proxy card exactly as your name appears on the card and returning it by mail in the postage-paid envelope that will be provided to you. If you hold your shares in street name and you elect to receive your proxy materials by mail, you can vote by completing and mailing the voting instruction form that will be provided by your bank, broker or other holder of record. You should mail the proxy card or voting instruction form in plenty of time to allow delivery prior to the meeting. Do not mail the proxy card or voting instruction form if you are voting over the internet or by telephone.

At the annual meeting

Whether you are a shareowner of record or a street name holder, you may vote your shares at the annual meeting if you attend in person. See “What do I need to bring with me in order to attend the annual meeting?” below.

Even if you plan to attend the annual meeting, we encourage you to vote over the internet or by telephone prior to the meeting. It is fast and convenient, and vote is recorded and confirmed immediately.

Why haven't I received a printed copy of the proxy materials and 2012 annual report?

On or about March 14, 2013, we will mail a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to our shareowners who have not previously requested the receipt of paper proxy materials advising them that they can access this proxy statement, the 2012 annual report and voting instructions over the internet at www.proxyvote.com. You may then access these materials and vote your shares over the internet or by telephone. The notice contains a 12-digit control number that you will need to vote your shares over the internet or by telephone. Please keep the notice for your reference through the meeting date.

Alternatively, you may request that a printed copy of the proxy materials be mailed to you. If you want to receive a paper copy of the proxy materials, you may request one over the internet at www.proxyvote.com, by calling toll-free 1-800-579-1639, or by sending an email to sendmaterial@proxyvote.com. There is no charge to you for requesting a copy. Please make your request for a copy on or before April 10, 2013, to facilitate timely delivery. If you previously elected to receive our proxy materials electronically, we will continue to send these materials to you by e-mail unless you change your election.

What does it mean if I receive more than one Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials?

This means that your shares are registered differently and are held in more than one account. To ensure that all shares are voted, please either vote each account over the internet or by telephone, or sign and return by mail all proxy cards or voting instruction forms. If you are a shareowner of record, we encourage you to register all of your shares in the same name and address by contacting the Shareholder Services Department at our transfer agent, Computershare, P.O. Box 358015, Pittsburgh, PA 15252-8015, or by phone at 1-800-418-4CCE (4223). If you hold your shares in street name, you should contact your bank or broker and request consolidation.

How do I revoke my proxy?

You may revoke your proxy before it is voted at the meeting by:

- Submitting a later vote by internet or telephone;
- Submitting a new proxy card or voting instruction form with a later date;
- Notifying the company before the meeting by writing to the Corporate Secretary, Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc., 2500 Windy Ridge Parkway, Atlanta, Georgia 30339; or
- Voting in person at the meeting.

Attendance at the meeting will not revoke a proxy unless the shareowner actually votes in person at the meeting.

How will a quorum be determined?

The holders of a majority of shares of our common stock outstanding on February 25, 2013, the record date, must be present at the meeting, either in person or by proxy, to constitute a quorum. A quorum is necessary before any business may be conducted at the meeting. If a quorum is not present at the meeting, the meeting may be adjourned from time to time until a quorum is present.

As of the record date, 276,563,458 shares of our common stock were outstanding and entitled to vote. Each share has one vote. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials that is sent to you, or the proxy card or voting instruction form that is included in the proxy materials mailed to you if you have requested delivery by mail, will show the number of shares that you are entitled to vote.

If you submit a proxy, your shares will be counted to determine whether we have a quorum even if you withhold authority to vote, abstain or fail to provide voting instructions on any of the proposals listed on the proxy card. If your shares are held in street name, these shares also will be counted for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum for the transaction of business to the extent such nominee exercises its discretion to vote your uninstructed shares on certain matters at the annual meeting.

“Withhold authority” is a shareowner’s instruction to withhold authority to cast a vote “for” the election of one or more director nominees. An “abstention” represents an affirmative choice to decline to vote on a proposal other than the election of directors.

What is a “broker non-vote?”

Under the rules that govern brokers, brokers who do not receive voting instructions from their clients have the discretion to vote uninstructed shares on certain matters (“discretionary matters”), but they do not have discretion to vote uninstructed shares as to certain other matters (“non-discretionary matters”). A broker may return a proxy card on behalf of a beneficial owner from whom the broker has not received voting instructions that casts a vote with regard to discretionary matters but expressly states that the broker is not voting as to non-discretionary matters. The broker’s inability to vote with respect to the non-discretionary matters with respect to which the broker has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner is referred to as a “broker non-vote.”

What are the voting requirements that apply to the proposals discussed in this proxy statement?

Proposal	Vote Required	Discretionary Voting Allowed?
1 Election of Directors	Plurality	No
2 Advisory Vote on Our Executive Officers' Compensation	Majority	No
3 Ratification of Registered Independent Public Accounting Firm	Majority	Yes

A “plurality” means, with regard to the election of directors, that the twelve nominees for director receiving the greatest number of “for” votes from our shares entitled to vote will be elected.

A “majority” means that a proposal receives a number of “for” votes that is a majority of the shares of common stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the meeting.

“Discretionary voting” occurs when a broker does not receive voting instructions from the beneficial owner and votes those shares in its discretion on any proposal as to which the rules that govern brokers permit them to vote. As noted above, when brokers are not permitted under the rules that govern them to vote the beneficial owner’s shares without instruction from the beneficial owner, the affected shares are referred to as “broker non-votes.”

Although the advisory votes on Proposal 2 are non-binding, as provided by law, our board will review the results of the votes and, consistent with our record of shareowner engagement, will take the results into account in making future determinations concerning our executive officers' compensation.

What is the effect of withhold authority votes, abstentions, and broker non-votes?

Shares subject to instructions to withhold authority to vote on the election of directors will not be voted. This will have no effect on the election of directors because, under plurality voting rules, the twelve director nominees receiving the highest number of “for” votes will be elected.

Under Delaware law (under which the company is incorporated), abstentions are counted as shares present and entitled to vote at the meeting. Therefore, abstentions will have the same effect as a vote “against” the advisory vote on our executive officers' compensation, as well as the ratification of the selection of our registered independent public accounting firm.

Brokers are not permitted to vote the uninstructed shares of their customers on a discretionary basis in the election of directors or matters related to executive compensation. Because broker non-votes are not considered under Delaware law to be entitled to vote at the meeting, they will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on the election of directors or the advisory vote on our executive officers' compensation. As a result, if you hold your shares in street name and you do not instruct your broker how to vote your shares on these matters, no votes will be cast on your behalf on these proposals. **Therefore, it is critical that you indicate your vote on these proposals if you want your vote to be counted.**

How are shares for which I am the shareowner of record voted if I give no specific instruction?

We must vote your shares as you have instructed. If there is a matter on which you, as a shareowner of record, have given no specific instruction but have authorized us generally to vote the shares, they will be voted as follows: “for” each of the nominees for director listed in this proxy statement, “for” the approval of the advisory vote on the company’s executive officers' compensation, and “for” the ratification of the Audit Committee’s selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2013. This authorization would exist, for example, if a shareowner of record merely signs, dates and returns the proxy card but does not indicate how the shares are to be voted on one or more proposals.

What if other matters come up at the meeting?

The company is not aware, as of the date of this proxy statement, of any other matters to be voted on at the meeting. If any other matters are properly brought before the meeting for a vote, all shares represented at the meeting will be voted in our discretion on such matters (other than shares that are voted by the holder in person at the meeting).

Are votes confidential? Who counts the votes?

We will hold the votes of all shareowners in confidence from directors, officers, and employees except:

- as necessary to meet applicable legal requirements and to assert or defend claims for or against the company;
- in case of a contested proxy solicitation;
- to allow the independent inspectors of election to certify the results of the vote; or
- if you write comments to us on the proxy card or voting instruction form.

We have retained Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. as our independent agent to receive and tabulate the votes. Additionally, representatives of Broadridge will serve as inspectors of election to determine the existence of a quorum and the validity of proxies and ballots, to certify the voting results and to perform any other related acts required under Delaware law.

What do I need to bring with me in order to attend the annual meeting?

If you are a shareowner of record, you will need to bring with you to the meeting either the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or any proxy card that is sent to you. Otherwise, you will be admitted only upon other verification of record ownership at the admission counter.

If you own shares held in street name, bring with you to the meeting either the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or any voting instruction form that is sent to you, or your most recent brokerage statement or a letter from your bank, broker, or other record holder indicating that you beneficially owned shares of our common stock on February 25, 2013. We can use that to verify your beneficial ownership of common stock and admit you to the meeting. **If you intend to vote at the meeting, you also will need to bring to the meeting a legal proxy from your bank, broker, or other holder of record that authorizes you to vote the shares that the record holder holds for you in its name.**

Additionally, all persons will need to bring a valid government-issued photo ID to gain admission to the meeting.

Please note that, for safety and security reasons, cellular telephones, cameras, sound or video recording equipment, other electronic devices, and large bags, briefcases and packages will not be allowed in the meeting room.

How is the meeting conducted?

We intend to conduct the meeting in an orderly and timely manner. Rules of conduct for shareowners who wish to address the meeting will be distributed at the meeting. We cannot assure that every shareowner who wishes to speak on an item of business will have the opportunity to do so. The chair of the meeting may rely upon the rules of conduct, applicable law, and his best judgment regarding disruptions or disorderly conduct to ensure that the meeting is conducted in an orderly manner.

After the meeting is over, the shareowners will be given the opportunity to ask questions of our executives and directors present at the meeting.

Who is paying the costs of the proxy and proxy solicitation?

We are paying the costs related to the preparation, printing, and distribution of all of the proxy materials. Some of our directors, officers, or employees may also solicit shareowners by mail, email, facsimile, telephone, or personal contact. None of these individual solicitors will receive additional or special compensation for doing this. Additionally, we reimburse banks, brokers, fiduciaries, and custodians for their costs in forwarding proxy materials and obtaining voting instructions from their customers.

I share an address with another shareowner, and we received only one paper copy of the proxy materials and annual report. How may I obtain an additional copy of these materials?

The rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") permit us, under certain circumstances, to send a single set of the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, proxy materials, and annual reports to any household at which two or more shareowners reside. This procedure, known as householding, reduces the volume of duplicate information you receive and helps to reduce our expenses.

In order to take advantage of this opportunity, we have delivered only one Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or, if you previously requested to receive paper proxy materials by mail, one proxy statement and annual report to shareowners who share an address (unless we received contrary instructions from the affected shareowners prior to the mailing date). We will mail a separate copy of any of these documents, if requested. Requests for separate copies of any of these documents, either now or in the future, as well as requests for single copies in the future by shareowners who share an address and are currently receiving multiple copies, can be made by shareowners of record by contacting our corporate secretary at Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc., 2500 Windy Ridge Parkway, Atlanta, Georgia 30339, or by telephone at 678-260-3000. Such requests by street name holders should be made through their bank, broker, or other holder of record.

Where and when will I be able to find the voting results?

You can find the official results of voting at the meeting in our Current Report on Form 8-K to be filed within four days after the annual meeting and available on the SEC's website (www.sec.gov) or on our website (www.cokecce.com). If the official results are not available at that time, we will provide preliminary voting results in the Form 8-K and will provide the final results in an amendment to the Form 8-K as soon as they become available.

PRINCIPAL SHAREOWNERS

The following table shows the number of shares of our common stock beneficially owned by each person known to us as having beneficial ownership of more than five percent of our common stock. The number of shares owned and percent of class is as of December 31, 2012, unless otherwise noted.

Name	Number of Shares Owned	Percent of Class
Summerfield K. Johnston, Jr. 600 Krystal Building One Union Square Chattanooga, TN 37402	17,388,184 ⁽¹⁾	6.06%
The Vanguard Group, Inc. 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	16,740,383 ⁽²⁾	5.83%

⁽¹⁾ Based on Schedule 13G dated February 12, 2013, filed by Summerfield K. Johnston, Jr. based on common stock held on December 31, 2012. (10,787,903 sole dispositive and sole voting power; 6,600,281 shared dispositive and shared voting power).

⁽²⁾ Based on Schedule 13G/A dated February 12, 2013, filed by The Vanguard Group, Inc. based on common stock held on December 31, 2012. (16,278,664 sole dispositive power; 461,719 shared dispositive power and 492,467 sole voting power).

GOVERNANCE OF THE COMPANY

Board of Directors

The board of directors provides oversight, strategic direction, and counsel to management regarding the business, affairs, and long-term interests of the company and our shareowners. The board's responsibilities include:

- selecting and evaluating the performance of the chief executive officer and other senior officers;
- planning for succession with respect to the position of CEO and monitoring management's succession planning for other senior officers;
- reviewing and approving our major financial objectives, strategic and operating plans, strategic transactions with third parties, and other significant actions;
- overseeing the conduct of our business;
- assessing our business risks to evaluate whether the business is being properly managed;
- overseeing the processes for maintaining the integrity of our financial statements and other public disclosures; and
- ensuring compliance with law and ethical standards.

The board and its committees meet throughout the year on a set schedule, hold special meetings, and act by written consent from time to time as appropriate. The board has adopted corporate governance guidelines that establish general guiding principles of corporate governance to assist the board in performing its duties. The board's Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for reviewing the guidelines periodically and suggesting revisions to the board as appropriate.

Board Leadership Structure

In addition to having strong and effective corporate governance guidelines, we believe that our current leadership structure of having a combined chairman and CEO; a substantial majority of independent, experienced, and nonmanagement directors; a presiding director with specified responsibilities on behalf of the independent directors and nonmanagement directors; and key board committees comprised entirely of independent directors is the most appropriate for our company and its shareowners at this time.

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

The board of directors does not have a formal policy with respect to whether the CEO should also serve as its chairman. Rather, the board makes this decision based on its evaluation of current circumstances and the specific needs of the company, and the board, at any time it is considering either or both roles. When making this decision, the board considers factors such as:

- the person filling each role;

- the presence of an independent presiding director and the person in that role;
- the composition, independence, and effectiveness of the entire board;
- other corporate governance structures in place;
- the compensation practices used to motivate our leadership team;
- the company's leadership succession plan; and
- the competitive and economic environment facing the company.

The board of directors periodically reviews its leadership structure to ensure that it remains the optimal structure for our company and our shareowners.

Mr. Brock has served as chairman of the board and CEO of the company and its predecessor entity, Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. ("Legacy CCE"), since 2008. As chairman, Mr. Brock sets the strategic policies for the board (with input from the presiding director, as discussed further below), presides over the board's meetings, and communicates the board's strategic findings and guidance to management. In his position as CEO, he has primary responsibility for the day-to-day operations of the company and provides leadership on the company's key strategic objectives. This structure has proven to be an effective one for governing the company, and the board believes this approach has enhanced efficiency in the board's and management's decision-making processes. The board believes that, especially in view of the size, complexity, and international scope of the company, the combination of these two roles provides more consistent communication and coordination throughout the organization and better oversight of risk. Combining these roles also results in a more effective and efficient implementation of corporate strategy and is important in unifying the company's strategy. For example, it was very helpful for Mr. Brock to be able to provide both active consultation with the board and close supervision of our management team during Legacy CCE's 2010 merger and separation transaction with The Coca-Cola Company (the "Transaction").

Moreover, the board believes that its governance practices provide adequate safeguards against any potential risks that might be associated with having a combined chairman and CEO. Specifically:

- all of the other directors of the company are independent directors;
- as required by New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") rules, all of the members of the Audit Committee, the Governance and Nominating Committee, and the Human Resources and Compensation Committee are independent directors;
- the independent directors annually elect an independent director to serve as the presiding director of the board;
- the board and its committees conduct regularly scheduled meetings in executive session, outside the presence of Mr. Brock and other members of management;
- the board and its committees remain in close contact with, and receive reports on various aspects of the company's management and enterprise risk directly from, the company's senior management; and
- the board and its committees frequently interact with employees of the company outside the ranks of senior management.

Presiding Director

The board instituted the presiding director position to provide an additional measure of balance in our governance structure, ensure the board's independence, and enhance its ability to fulfill its management oversight responsibilities. As noted previously, the independent directors elect a presiding director annually from among the independent directors. L. Phillip Humann currently serves as the presiding director. The presiding director:

- presides over all meetings of the directors at which the chairman is not present, including executive sessions of the independent or nonmanagement directors;
- has the authority to call meetings of the independent or nonmanagement directors;
- frequently consults with the chairman and CEO about strategic policies;
- provides the chairman and CEO with input regarding board meetings;
- communicates with directors regarding individual director performance;
- serves as a liaison between the chairman and CEO and the independent or nonmanagement directors;

- is available for direct communication with major shareowners upon request; and
- otherwise assumes such responsibilities as may be assigned to him by the nonmanagement or independent directors.

Independent Directors

The listing requirements of the NYSE require that a majority of the members of a listed company's board of directors be independent. The question of independence is to be determined by the board with respect to every director in accordance with the rules of the NYSE. Based upon the NYSE rules, our board has affirmatively determined that a majority of its current members are "independent," as defined below.

The NYSE rules also require that certain of our committees be composed entirely of independent directors. Our committees covered by this requirement are the Audit Committee, the Governance and Nominating Committee, and the Human Resources and Compensation Committee. Our board has determined that all current members of these three committees meet the independence and other requirements of the NYSE rules; accordingly, all are independent and otherwise qualified to serve under the NYSE rules.

NYSE Rules Regarding Independence

The NYSE rules specify certain relationships that preclude a finding of independence, to which our board has added certain consulting services and other relationships. If a director does not fall within one of those categories of relationships, then the board must determine that no other material relationship exists that would lead to a finding of nonindependence. The NYSE rules allow boards to adopt broad categories of relationships that would not be material, and our board has done so in Section 3 of its *Board of Directors Guidelines on Significant Corporate Governance Issues*, which is available on our website at www.cokecce.com under "Corporate Governance," then "Board of Directors Guidelines." The guidelines also are available in printed form without charge to any shareowner requesting them. Any such request must be directed to: Corporate Secretary, Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc., 2500 Windy Ridge Parkway, Atlanta, Georgia 30339.

The independence guidelines are:

- A. *A Director will not be considered "independent" if:*
- (1) *the Director is now, or has within the Look Back Period been, employed with the Company;*
 - (2) *a member of the Director's immediate family is now, or has within the Look Back Period been, an executive officer of the Company;*
 - (3) *the Director or a member of his or her immediate family is a current partner of a firm that is the Company's internal or external auditor (the "Company's Audit Firm");*
 - (4) *the Director is a current employee of the Company's Audit Firm;*
 - (5) *the Director or a member of his or her immediate family was, within the Look Back Period, but is no longer, a partner or employee of the Company's Audit Firm and personally worked on the Company's audit within that time;*
 - (6) *the Director or a member of his or her immediate family is now, or within the Look Back Period has been, an executive officer of another entity having a compensation committee on which one or more of the Company's executive officers has concurrently served;*
 - (7) *the Director is a current employee — or a member of the Director's immediate family is a current executive officer — of another company that has made payments to the Company for property or services during the Look Back Period in an amount that exceeds the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the other company's consolidated gross revenues;*
 - (8) *the Director is a current employee — or a member of the Director's immediate family is a current executive officer — of another company that has received payments from the Company for property or services during the Look Back Period in an amount that exceeds the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the other company's consolidated gross revenues; or*
 - (9) *the Director or a member of his or her immediate family receives, or within the Look Back Period has received, more than \$120,000 in direct compensation from the Company, other than Director and committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service).*
- B. *A Director who is a member of the Company's Audit Committee will not be "independent" if he or she, (1) other than in his or her capacity as a member of the Audit Committee or the Board, accepts directly or indirectly any*

consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee from the Company or any subsidiary (except for retirement benefits to the extent permitted by applicable SEC rules), or (2) is an affiliated person of the Company or any subsidiary.

- C. *Ownership of the stock of the Company, or stock of The Coca-Cola Company, does not make a Director who is otherwise independent a nonindependent Director.*

As used in the guidelines, the “Look Back Period” means the period specified in the applicable NYSE corporate governance standards (generally, the last three years), and a director’s “immediate family” member would include the director’s spouse, parents, children, siblings, mothers- and fathers-in-law, sons- and daughters-in-law, brothers- and sisters-in-law, and anyone (other than domestic employees) who shares the director’s home.

Determinations of Independence

The board has determined that eleven of its twelve current members and nominees are independent and meet the standards set by the NYSE and our guidelines. In making this determination, our board first applied its guidelines, then affirmatively determined, with respect to each director and nominee, that he or she did not otherwise have a material relationship with the company. The directors determined to be independent are:

Jan Bennink

Calvin Darden

L. Phillip Humann

Orrin H. Ingram II

Thomas H. Johnson

Suzanne B. Labarge

Véronique Morali

Andrea L. Saia

Garry Watts

Curtis R. Welling

Phoebe A. Wood

In making its independence determinations, the board considered the fact that Mr. Darden, Mr. Humann, Mr. Welling, Ms. Labarge, and Ms. Morali are, or within the past three years have been, directors or officers of, or consultants to, corporations with which we or Legacy CCE has conducted business in the ordinary course. With regard to Mr. Darden, the board considered the fact that he is a director of Target Corporation, which was a customer of Legacy CCE. The board considered the fact that Mr. Humann was in 2009 a consultant to SunTrust Banks, Inc., with which we do, and Legacy CCE did, business. The board considered the fact that Mr. Welling is the president and chief executive officer of Americares Foundation, a charity to which we and Mr. Brock made contributions during the year. The board considered that Ms. Labarge is a director of Deutsche Bank AG and XL Group plc, with which we do business. The board also considered that Ms. Morali is a director of, and an employee of an affiliate of, Fitch, Inc., which provided certain ratings services to Legacy CCE and continues to provide such services to us.

The board believes that all transactions with these companies were on arm’s-length terms that were reasonable and appropriate, and that Mr. Darden, Mr. Humann, Mr. Welling, Ms. Labarge, and Ms. Morali did not personally benefit from, or have a direct or indirect material interest in, such transactions. Accordingly, the board concluded that these relationships are not material and have no effect on the independence of those five directors. Because of the company’s extensive operations, transactions and director relationships of this nature are expected to take place in the ordinary course of business in the future.

Communications with the Presiding Director, the Board, and Its Committees

Any interested party may communicate with the presiding director of the board, any of its committees, the nonmanagement directors, or one or more of the individual members of the board by directing correspondence to such group or persons in care of the corporate secretary at Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc., 2500 Windy Ridge Parkway, Atlanta, Georgia 30339.

Our Audit Committee has also established a confidential and anonymous ethics and compliance hotline that can be used to report, among other things, concerns about questionable accounting or auditing matters. Reports can be made by calling 1-877-627-8685.

Policy Regarding Board Attendance at Shareowner Meetings

Ten of the eleven members of our then-constituted board of directors attended the 2012 annual meeting of shareowners. We encourage attendance by members of the board and senior executives so that shareowners will have the opportunity to meet and question a representative group of our directors and senior executives.

Board of Directors Guidelines on Significant Corporate Governance Issues

As mentioned, our board has adopted *Board of Directors Guidelines on Significant Corporate Governance Issues*. These guidelines are available on our website, www.cokecce.com, under “About CCE,” then “Corporate Governance,” and are available in printed form without charge to any shareowner requesting them. Any such request must be directed to: Corporate Secretary, Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc., 2500 Windy Ridge Parkway, Atlanta, Georgia 30339.

Code of Business Conduct

We have a Code of Business Conduct that covers the members of our board of directors, as well as our officers and employees, and satisfies the requirements for a “code of ethics” within the meaning of SEC rules. This group includes, without limitation, our chief executive officer, chief financial officer, and chief accounting officer.

A copy of the code is posted on our website, www.cokecce.com, under “About CCE,” then “Corporate Governance.” The code is available in print to any person without charge, upon request sent to: Corporate Secretary at Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc., 2500 Windy Ridge Parkway, Atlanta, Georgia 30339.

If we amend or grant any waivers under the code that are applicable to our chief executive officer, our chief financial officer, or our chief accounting officer and that relate to any element of the SEC’s definition of a code of ethics, which we do not anticipate doing, we will promptly post that amendment or waiver on our website, www.cokecce.com, under “About CCE,” then “Corporate Governance.”

Board of Directors Oversight of Risk

While risk management is primarily the responsibility of the company’s management team, the board of directors is responsible for the overall supervision of the company’s risk management activities. The board’s oversight of the material risks faced by our company—including matters such as credit and liquidity risks, the impact of our compensation policies on corporate risk-taking by our executives, and risk-focused auditing strategies—occurs at both the full board level and at the committee level.

The board’s Audit Committee has oversight responsibility not only for financial reporting with respect to the company’s major financial exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures but also for the effectiveness of management’s enterprise risk management process that monitors and manages key business risks facing the company. The Audit Committee also oversees the delegation of specific risk areas among the various other board committees, consistent with the committees’ charters and responsibilities.

As a part of its oversight of enterprise risk management, the Audit Committee works directly with the company’s compliance and risk function. Charged with responsibility for supervision of enterprise risk and compliance processes, the company’s chief compliance and risk officer reports to and receives direction from the Audit Committee at each committee meeting and also communicates directly with the committee and its chair from time-to-time regarding compliance and enterprise risk issues. At least annually, the full board also receives reports regarding compliance and risk matters.

The chief compliance and risk officer and other members of management also provide regular updates throughout the year to the respective committees regarding the management of the risks the committees oversee, and each of these committees reports on such risks to the full board at regular meetings of the board. At least once every year, the Audit Committee and the full board reviews the allocation of risk responsibility among the board’s committees and implements any changes deemed appropriate.

In addition to the reports from the committees, the board receives presentations throughout the year from various functions and business unit leaders that include discussion of significant risks as necessary. At each board meeting, the chairman and CEO addresses, in a director-only session, matters of particular importance or concern, including any significant areas of risk that require board attention. Additionally, through dedicated sessions focusing entirely on corporate strategy, the full board reviews in detail the company’s short- and long-term strategies, including consideration of significant risks facing the company and their potential impact.

We believe that our approach to risk oversight, as described above, optimizes our ability to assess inter-relationships among the various risks, make informed cost-benefit decisions, and approach emerging and changing risks in a proactive manner. We also believe that our risk management structure complements our current board leadership structure, as it allows our independent directors, through the five fully independent board committees and otherwise, to exercise effective oversight of

the actions of management, led by Mr. Brock as chairman and CEO, in identifying risks and implementing effective risk management policies and controls.

Director Compensation

For 2012, our director compensation program provided for the following compensation opportunities for our outside directors:

- \$110,000 annual retainer, paid in cash;
- \$120,000 annual retainer, paid in equity;
- \$10,000 annual cash retainer for service as chair of a committee (\$20,000 for service as chair of the Audit Committee and \$15,000 for service as chair of the Human Resources and Compensation Committee);
- \$5,000 annual cash retainer for service as a member of the Audit Committee or Human Resources and Compensation Committee; and
- \$10,000 annual cash retainer for service as the presiding director unless he or she is also the chair of the Governance and Nominating Committee, in which case the retainer is \$5,000.

We pay the cash portion of the annual retainer in equal quarterly installments. The cash retainer for a director who has a partial month of service (due to joining or leaving the board during the month) is calculated in whole months, provided he or she has served at least 10 days during the partial month. Otherwise, one-third of the month's retainer is payable.

The equity portion of the annual retainer is provided in the form of phantom stock units credited under our Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors (the "Directors Plan"). Specifically, phantom stock units are credited to each director's account under the Directors Plan on the first day of each calendar quarter, with the number of phantom stock units determined by dividing the \$30,000 target value by the closing price of the company's stock on the last trading day of the previous quarter.

Directors may also elect to defer all or a portion of their cash retainers under the Directors' Plan on a voluntary basis. Any voluntary deferrals are treated as invested in our common stock. The stock unit accounts under the Directors' Plan are credited with dividend equivalents equal to the dividends paid on our common stock during the year, and the value of these dividend equivalents are treated as reinvested in our stock. All amounts credited under the Directors Plan, whether as the equity portion of the director's annual retainer or through voluntary deferrals, are payable in shares of our common stock after the director leaves the board.

The directors are eligible to participate in the company's matching gifts program, which is the same program available to all U.S.-based employees. In 2012, this program matched up to \$10,000 of charitable contributions to tax-exempt arts, cultural, environmental, and educational organizations on a one-for-one basis.

We reimburse the outside directors for reasonable expenses of attending board and committee meetings and for expenses associated with director training and development. From time to time, a director's spouse may accompany the director to certain business functions, and tax laws may require the incremental costs associated with the spouse's attendance to be imputed to the director as income. On occasion, a director's spouse may accompany a director when he or she travels on our corporate aircraft for board-related business; in such instances, the value of the spouse's travel is imputed as income to the director (determined under the U.S. Department of Transportation's standard industry fare level). The company does not reimburse directors for taxes on any imputed income related to their spouses' travel or attendance at company events.

Our *Board of Directors Guidelines on Significant Corporate Governance Issues* ("Director Guidelines") provide that a new director should, within five years of joining the board, own stock of our company equal to at least five times the annual cash compensation paid to board members. A director's phantom stock units under the Directors Plan, shares owned by the director or an immediate family member, as well as in-the-money stock options, are credited toward this ownership objective. Additionally, our Director Guidelines prohibit directors from pledging company stock as collateral, and from engaging in puts, calls, equity swaps or other derivative securities to hedge or offset any decreases in market value of shares of company stock they own directly or indirectly.

The table below summarizes the compensation paid by the company to our outside directors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. Compensation paid to John F. Brock, the company's chairman and CEO, is not included in this table because Mr. Brock is an employee and therefore receives no additional compensation for his service as a director.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Stock Awards ⁽²⁾ (\$)	All Other Compensation ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Total (\$)
Jan Bennink	110,000	121,066	—	231,066
Calvin Darden ⁽⁴⁾	120,000	121,066	10,000	251,066
L. Phillip Humann ⁽⁴⁾	130,000	121,066	3,000	254,066
Orrin H. Ingram II	125,000	121,066	—	246,066
Donna A. James ⁽⁵⁾	28,750	60,440	—	89,190
Thomas H. Johnson	125,000	121,066	1,000	247,066
Suzanne B. Labarge	130,000	121,066	—	251,066
Véronique Morali	115,000	121,066	—	236,066
Andrea Saia ⁽⁵⁾	64,167	60,626	—	124,793
Garry Watts	115,000	121,066	—	236,066
Curtis R. Welling	125,000	121,066	10,000	256,066
Phoebe A. Wood	115,000	121,066	7,500	243,566

⁽¹⁾ Amounts shown include annual retainer, committee chair and committee member retainers and, for Mr. Humann, a presiding director retainer, earned by our directors during 2012. The amounts shown include any amounts voluntarily deferred under the Directors Plan.

⁽²⁾ On the first day of each calendar quarter, phantom stock units were credited to the director's account, with the actual number of phantom stock units determined by dividing \$30,000 by the closing trading price of a share of the company's common stock on the last trading day of the preceding calendar quarter, as reported in the NYSE Composite Transactions listing. Specifically, the closing trading prices used to determine the number of phantom stock units credited for the 2012 quarterly awards were \$25.78, \$28.60, \$28.04, and \$31.27, respectively. However, the amounts shown reflect aggregate grant date fair value of phantom stock units credited under the Directors Plan on a quarterly basis during 2012 and computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. For this purpose, the fair value of the phantom stock units, the closing trading price of a share of the company's common stock on the first day of each calendar quarter was used; these closing trading prices were \$25.87, \$28.92, \$28.32, and \$31.61, respectively. The aggregate number of phantom stock units credited to each outside director's account under the Directors Plan is described in the footnotes to the Security Ownership of Directors and Officers table, which begins on page 23.

⁽³⁾ Amounts shown reflect the Company's contribution to the director's designated charitable or educational organization under our matching gifts program.

⁽⁴⁾ As of December 31, 2012, Messrs. Darden and Humann each held 23,339 stock options. These options were granted by Legacy CCE and converted to CCE options in a manner that maintained their same intrinsic value immediately before and after the close of the transaction with The Coca-Cola Company that occurred on October 2, 2010.

⁽⁵⁾ Directors who did not receive a full year of compensation in 2012 include Ms. James, who retired from the board in April, and Ms. Saia, who joined the board in June.

How Members of the Board of Directors Are Selected

Composition of the Board

Our board is authorized to have a minimum of three and a maximum of 15 members. The company's bylaws require that directors serve one-year terms and stand for election at each annual meeting of shareowners.

Director Qualifications

Consistent with our *Board of Directors Guidelines on Significant Corporate Governance Issues*, the Governance and Nominating Committee of our board reviews at least annually the appropriate skills and characteristics of our board members in the context of the then-current make-up of the board. This review includes consideration of factors such as diversity, experience, business or academic background, and other criteria that the committee and the board find to be relevant.

In particular, the board and the committee believe that sound governance of our complex, international company in an increasingly complex international marketplace requires a wide range of viewpoints. As a result, the board and the committee believe that the board should be comprised of a well-balanced group of individuals with diverse backgrounds, educations, experiences, skills, ages, genders, races, national origins and viewpoints that contribute to board heterogeneity. Although the board does not have a formal policy regarding board diversity, the board believes that having such diversity among its members enhances the board's ability to make fully informed, comprehensive decisions and demonstrates leadership with respect to the company's initiatives to recruit and retain the best employees, including women and minorities.

The composition of our current board of directors demonstrates the board's commitment to diversity in a number of areas. Our board is comprised of women and men of differing backgrounds, educations, business and other experiences, skills, ages, races, national origins and viewpoints.

The board's diversity objective is implemented and monitored and its effectiveness is assessed through the Governance and Nominating Committee's annual or more frequent review of the composition of our board and through the annual board and committee self-evaluation process, which in each case includes a determination of whether the board would be enhanced by the addition of one or more directors. If so, the committee, with input from our chairman of the board, considers potential nominees to the board, with a goal of enhancing the diversity and balance of skills, background, experience, and viewpoints represented on the board.

Although we generally seek diversity in the ages of our directors, our bylaws disqualify anyone who has reached the age of 70 from being nominated or re-nominated for election by shareowners as director, provided that a person who has not attained the age of 71 shall be eligible to fill a vacancy caused by the retirement, removal, or resignation of a director if that person does not stand for election upon the expiration of the term of the director whose office became vacant.

The Governance and Nominating Committee will consider director candidates proposed to it by shareowners at any time, using the same criteria described above. See "Communications with the Presiding Director, the Board, and Its Committees," above. The proponent must submit evidence that he, she, or it is a shareowner of Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc., together with a statement of the proposed nominee's qualifications to be a director. A shareowner who wishes to formally nominate a candidate must follow the procedures described in Section 12 of Article II of our bylaws.

If the Governance and Nominating Committee determines that adding a new director is advisable, it may consider potential nominees from various sources, including management, directors, shareowners, and other third parties, including, if the committee deems necessary or appropriate, a search firm retained to assist in a formal search. There is no difference in the manner in which the committee evaluates proposed nominees based upon whether the proposed nominee is recommended by a shareowner. The committee will evaluate the candidates based on the needs of the board at the time and will report its recommendations to the whole board. The board will make the ultimate selection of the nominee and, if it chooses a nominee, either appoint the nominee to fill a vacancy or newly created directorship on the board or direct that the nominee stand for election at the next annual meeting of the shareowners.

PROPOSAL 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The board of directors, based on the recommendations of the Governance and Nominating Committee, has nominated Jan Bennink, John F. Brock, Calvin Darden, L. Phillip Humann, Orrin H. Ingram II, Thomas H. Johnson, Suzanne B. Labarge, Véronique Morali, Andrea L. Saia, Garry Watts, Curtis R. Welling, and Phoebe A. Wood for election as directors at the annual meeting.

If all twelve of the nominees are elected, each of the nominees will hold office for a one-year term ending at the annual meeting of shareowners in 2014, or upon his or her earlier retirement, resignation, removal, or death. Each of the nominees has consented to serve if elected. If, before the annual meeting, any of them becomes unable to serve, or chooses not to serve, the board may nominate a substitute. If that happens, the persons named as proxies on the proxy card will vote for the substitute. Alternatively, the board may either let the vacancy stay unfilled until an appropriate candidate is identified or reduce the size of the board to eliminate the unfilled seat.

Biographical information about each of the nominees is provided beginning on page 14 of this proxy statement. The procedures and considerations applicable to the nomination of persons for election as directors is described above in "GOVERNANCE OF THE COMPANY — How Members of the Board of Directors Are Selected."


Recommendation of the Board of Directors



*Our board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote **FOR** the election of Jan Bennink, John F. Brock, Calvin Darden, L. Phillip Humann, Orrin H. Ingram II, Thomas H. Johnson, Suzanne B. Labarge, Véronique Morali, Andrea L. Saia, Garry Watts, Curtis R. Welling, and Phoebe A. Wood as directors for terms expiring at the 2014 annual meeting of shareowners and until their respective successors are elected and qualified.*




Nominees for Election



Set forth below is information regarding those persons who are being nominated for election as directors by the shareowners at the 2013 annual meeting. As this information indicates, each nominee brings strong and unique experience, qualifications, attributes, and skills to the board. Collectively, this provides the board with competence, experience, and perspective in a variety of areas, including corporate governance and board service; executive management; the beverage and other consumer goods industries, particularly in Western Europe; finance, investments, and accounting; manufacturing and distribution; international business; and the Coca-Cola bottling system.



Nominees for Election to Terms Expiring 2014



Name	Principal Occupation and Other Information	Age	Our Director Since
John F. Brock	<p>Mr. Brock has been Chairman of the company and of Legacy CCE since April 2008 and Chief Executive Officer since April 2006. He was President of Legacy CCE from April 2006 to April 2008. From February 2003 until December 2005, he was Chief Executive Officer of InBev, S.A., a global brewer, and from March 1999 until December 2002, he was Chief Operating Officer of Cadbury Schweppes plc, an international beverage and confectionery company.</p>	64	2006
	<p>From April 2007 to December 2007, Mr. Brock served as a director of Dow Jones & Company, Inc., a publisher and provider of global business and financial news. From 2004 to 2006, he served as a director of the Campbell Soup Company, a global manufacturer and marketer of branded convenience food products. From 2005 to 2006, he served as a director of Interbrew/Inbrew, a beer brewing company. He also served as a director of Reed Elsevier, a publisher, from 1999 to 2005.</p>		
	<p>Through Mr. Brock's international beverage industry experience and his service as the company's chairman and CEO, he has developed the leadership and consensus-building skills; knowledge of our industry, customers, and competition; knowledge of the Coca-Cola bottling system; and the relationships necessary to lead our company. Mr. Brock's experience with international beverage businesses, particularly in Western Europe, provides him with a uniquely informed perspective on the international beverage industry. In addition, his highly effective management of Legacy CCE in connection with and following the Transaction demonstrates his deep base of knowledge and leadership skills.</p>		

Name	Principal Occupation and Other Information	Age	Our Director Since
Jan Bennink 	<p>Mr. Bennink is the Chairman and acting Chief Executive Officer of D.E. Master Blenders 1753, a coffee and tea company. From 2011 to 2012, he was director and executive chairman of Sara Lee Corporation, a food products company. From 2002 until 2007, Mr. Bennink served as Chief Executive Officer of Royal Numico, a baby food and clinical nutrition company. During the period 1997 to 2002, Mr. Bennink served as President of the Dairy Division and member of the Executive Committee of Danone Group, a global producer of cultured dairy and bottled water products. Mr. Bennink has also held a variety of leadership roles with Joh. A. Benckiser, a manufacturer of cleaning supplies and cosmetics, and Procter and Gamble, an international consumer products company. He is a native of The Netherlands.</p> <p>Mr. Bennink previously served on the advisory board of directors of ABN AMRO Bank, a financial services company, Boots Company Plc, a retail sales company, Dalli-Werke GmbH & Co KG, a manufacturer of laundry detergent products, and Kraft Foods Inc, an international food and beverage company.</p> <p>An international business leader, Mr. Bennink has extensive experience in the food and beverage industry and has served in leadership roles in manufacturing and distribution businesses that are directly comparable to our business. He has significant business experience in Western Europe, where our business operations are located. His understanding of markets there, particularly in the Benelux region where we have significant operations, provides a helpful base of knowledge for our board.</p>	56	2010
Calvin Darden 	<p>Mr. Darden was Senior Vice President of U.S. Operations of United Parcel Service, Inc., an express carrier and package delivery company, from January 2000 until his retirement in 2005. This experience is valuable to the board and translates directly to his board service, because a significant portion of our operations are comprised of product storage and distribution activities.</p> <p>Mr. Darden is also a director of Target Corporation, a variety retailer, and Cardinal Health, Inc., a provider of products and services supporting the health care industry.</p> <p>As chair of our Corporate Responsibility and Sustainability Committee, Mr. Darden has developed valuable expertise in leading an increasingly important area of corporate governance that is a key element of the company's operating framework.</p>	63	2004

Name	Principal Occupation and Other Information	Age	Our Director Since
L. Phillip Humann	 <p>Mr. Humann was Chairman of the Board of SunTrust Banks, Inc., a bank holding company, from March 1998 to April 2008, also serving as Chief Executive Officer from March 1998 until December 2006 and as President from March 1992 until December 2004.</p> <p>Mr. Humann’s experience as chairman and CEO of a large financial institution provides him not only with expertise regarding banking and finance – areas that assist in understanding the intricacies of our company’s finances – but also with leadership and consensus-building skills that are valuable in his role as our board’s presiding director and chair of our Governance and Nominating Committee.</p> <p>Mr. Humann is also a director of Equifax Inc., a credit information provider, and Haverty Furniture Companies, Inc., a furniture retailer. These directorships provide Mr. Humann with an understanding of the consumer goods and services industries, which have application to the industries and markets in which we compete.</p>	67	1992
Orrin H. Ingram II	 <p>Mr. Ingram has been President and Chief Executive Officer of Ingram Industries Inc., a diversified products and services company, since 1999. Before that, he held various positions with Ingram Materials Company and Ingram Barge Company and was co-president of Ingram Industries from January 1996 to June 1999. He is a director of Ingram Micro Inc., a global information technology distributor.</p> <p>Mr. Ingram’s experience as an executive at companies in the wholesale, distribution, consumer goods, and transportation services industries provide him with a broad perspective on our company’s operations, which include aspects of each of these segments. Also, his experience as a director of a public company that is a global distributor has direct application to our business. Mr. Ingram serves as the chair of our Finance Committee.</p>	52	2008
Thomas H. Johnson	 <p>Mr. Johnson has been Managing Partner of THJ Investments, L.P., a private investment firm, from November 2005 to the present. Since 2008, he has also served as Chief Executive Officer of the Taffrail Group, LLP, a private strategic advisory firm. Mr. Johnson served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Chesapeake Corporation, a specialty packaging manufacturer, from August 1997 to November 2005.</p> <p>Through these executive management experiences, Mr. Johnson brings investment, manufacturing, and distribution expertise to bear on his service as a member of the company’s board and also has extensive international management experience in Europe. His manufacturing and distribution experience is valuable to the board because it closely aligns with our operations, and his investment experience facilitates an in-depth understanding of the company’s finances. Mr. Johnson serves as chair of our Human Resources and Compensation Committee.</p> <p>Mr. Johnson is also a director of Tumi, Inc., a manufacturer and retailer of premium luggage and business accessories, and Universal Corporation, a leaf tobacco merchant and processor. He was previously a director of GenOn Corporation and Mirant Corporation, both producers of electricity, ModusLink Global Solutions, Inc., a supply chain business process management company, and Superior Essex Inc., a wire and cable manufacturer.</p>	63	2007

Name	Principal Occupation and Other Information	Age	Our Director Since
Suzanne B. Labarge 	<p>Ms. Labarge was Vice Chairman and Chief Risk Officer of RBC Financial Group, an international financial services company, from 1999 until her retirement in 2004. She is a director of XL Group, plc, a global insurance and reinsurance company, and a member of the Supervisory Board of Deutsche Bank AG, a global investment bank. From January 2005 to May 2007, she was a director of Novelis, Inc., a Canadian producer of aluminum products, and was the chair of its Audit Committee. She is a native of Canada.</p> <p>Through her experience as an officer and director, Ms. Labarge brings international business expertise and finance and investment skills to her board service with the company. She also has a deep understanding of compliance best practices. Ms. Labarge’s expertise, experience, and skills also qualify her to serve as an audit committee financial expert. Ms. Labarge serves as the chair of our Audit Committee.</p> <p>Because our business takes place in international markets, Ms. Labarge’s experience and understanding in the areas of international finance and investments are particularly valued by the board.</p>	66	2007
Véronique Morali 	<p>Ms. Morali is the chairman of Fimalac Développement (“Fimalac”), the parent company of the international financial services organization, Fitch Group, a financial services holding company. In addition, Ms. Morali serves in the following roles at organizations within the Fitch Group: board member and vice-chairman, Fitch Group, Inc. (USA); and board member, Fimalac (SA) and Fitch, Inc. (USA). She was a director and chief operating officer of Fimalac from 1990 to 2007. Ms. Morali also serves as founder and CEO of Terrafemina.com, a website designed for women between the ages of 35 and 50, and she served four years in the French Civil Service as Inspector General at the Ministry of Finance. She is a native of France.</p> <p>Because our business is based in Western Europe, Ms. Morali’s European business and government experience is a very important asset to the board. In particular, Ms. Morali’s business experience specific to France, where we have significant operations, provides the board a uniquely informed European and French perspective.</p> <p>Ms. Morali currently serves as a board member for Publicis Groupe, a French advertising and communications company, and LCF Rothschild Group, a private bank and financial institution. From 2000 to 2005, she served as a nonexecutive director at Tesco, one of the world’s leading retailers. These current and prior board experiences provide Ms. Morali with a strong basis for understanding our business and governance processes.</p>	54	2010

Name	Principal Occupation and Other Information	Age	Our Director Since
Andrea L. Saia	 <p>Ms. Saia was Global Head of the Alcon Division of Novartis AG, a global life science company, from 2011 until her retirement in 2012. From 2008 until 2011, Ms. Saia served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Ciba Vision Corporation, a subsidiary of Novartis. She was Chief Operating Officer for Ciba Vision from 2007 until 2008. From 2005 to 2007, she served as president of Ciba Vision's Europe, Middle East and Africa operations, residing in Switzerland.</p> <p>Before joining Ciba Vision, Ms. Saia held senior executive leadership positions with Revlon Inc., The Procter & Gamble Company, and Unilever, all of which are global consumer products companies.</p> <p>Ms. Saia's extensive experience in leading international businesses, and particularly her management experience in Western Europe, where our business operations are located, provides her with insights that are particularly helpful and valuable to our board.</p>	55	2012
Garry Watts	 <p>Mr. Watts is Chairman of BTG plc, an international healthcare company, and Chairman of Spire Healthcare group, an operator of United Kingdom-based hospitals. He was Chief Executive Officer of SSL International, a British manufacturer and distributor of healthcare products, from 2003 to November 2010. Before that, he was Chief Financial Officer of SSL International from 2001 to 2006. He is a native of Great Britain.</p> <p>Mr. Watts is a United Kingdom chartered accountant and served as Chief Financial Officer of Medeva plc, an international prescription pharmaceutical company, from 1996 to 2000. Prior to that he was an audit partner with KPMG LLP, an international audit, tax and advisory firm, in London. Since 2005, Mr. Watts has been a director of Stagecoach Group plc, a transportation company based in Great Britain, and is chair of its audit committee. Until 2008, he was a director at Protherics plc, a biopharmaceutical company.</p> <p>Mr. Watts has had an extensive career in a variety of businesses with direct correlation to the company's own consumer product manufacturing and distribution operations. His deep business and management experience in Western Europe, particularly in Great Britain where we have significant operations, is highly valued. His expertise, experience, and skills also permit him to provide unique insight into financial issues the company faces and qualify him to serve as an audit committee financial expert.</p>	56	2010

Name	Principal Occupation and Other Information	Age	Our Director Since
Curtis R. Welling 	<p>Mr. Welling has been President and Chief Executive Officer of AmeriCares Foundation, a nonprofit worldwide humanitarian aid and disaster relief organization, since 2002. Before that, he served as Chief Executive Officer of Princeton eCom Corp, an electronic bill presentment and payment company, and SG Cowen Securities Corporation, a securities brokerage firm, and held several executive and management positions with Bear, Stearns, and Co. and the First Boston Corporation (now Credit Suisse), financial advisory and services companies.</p> <p>Mr. Welling brings finance and business leadership skills from his careers in the nonprofit sector, as well as the financial services and securities industries. His finance and transaction expertise is valuable for evaluating the company’s business performance and plans, and also qualifies him to serve as an audit committee financial expert. His tenure with an international aid organization provides a well-rounded perspective regarding the global impact and sustainability of the company’s business.</p> <p>In addition, as chair of our Franchise Relationship Committee, Mr. Welling has developed valuable expertise in leading a specialized committee that is essential to the ongoing relationship between the company and The Coca-Cola Company and to consideration of strategic opportunities.</p>	63	2007
Phoebe A. Wood 	<p>Since 2008, Ms. Wood has been a principal at CompaniesWood, a consulting firm specializing in early stage investments. She was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Brown-Forman, a manufacturer and marketer of alcoholic beverages, from 2001 to 2006 and Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer from 2006 to 2008.</p> <p>Ms. Wood currently serves on the boards of directors and audit committees of Leggett & Platt, Inc., a diversified manufacturer, and Invesco Ltd., a global investment management company, and was a director of OshKosh B’Gosh Inc., a manufacturer of children’s clothing, from 2002 to 2005.</p> <p>Ms. Wood’s experience as chief financial officer of an international beverage company provides us with financial expertise in the beverage industry, and her experience as principal of an investment consulting firm provides us with investment experience. This experience, together with her directorships at consumer goods and investment management companies, provides her a deeply informed perspective on our company, its finances, its global markets and the beverage industry. Ms. Wood’s expertise, experience and skills also qualify her to serve as an audit committee financial expert.</p>	59	2010

Committees of the Board

The board has seven standing committees: Audit, Corporate Responsibility and Sustainability, Executive, Finance, Franchise Relationship, Governance and Nominating, and Human Resources and Compensation. Each committee has a charter that is posted on our website, www.cokecce.com, under “About CCE,” then “Corporate Governance.” Our corporate secretary will furnish a printed copy of any charter upon the request of any shareowner.

The directors serving on each committee are appointed by the board. These appointments are made at least annually, for terms expiring at the next annual meeting of shareowners.

The following table lists the members of each of the standing committees as of the date of this proxy statement:

	Audit	Corporate Responsibility and Sustainability	Executive	Finance	Franchise Relationship	Governance and Nominating	Human Resources and Compensation
John F. Brock		✓	✓				
Jan Bennink				✓	✓		
Calvin Darden		Chair		✓		✓	
L. Phillip Humann			✓			Chair	✓
Orrin H. Ingram II				Chair	✓		✓
Thomas H. Johnson				✓		✓	Chair
Suzanne B. Labarge	Chair			✓		✓	
Véronique Morali		✓					✓
Andrea L. Saia		✓			✓		
Garry Watts	✓			✓	✓		
Curtis R. Welling	✓	✓			Chair		
Phoebe A. Wood	✓	✓			✓		

During 2012, the board met five times and acted by written consent one time, and the committees met as indicated below:

Audit Committee	6
Corporate Responsibility and Sustainability Committee	5
Executive Committee	No meetings
Finance Committee	5
Franchise Relationship Committee	6
Governance and Nominating Committee	5
Human Resources and Compensation Committee	7

Each director attended at least 75% of the aggregate number of board and committee meetings that were held during 2012 while he or she was a member of the board or the committee.

The functions of each committee and any special qualifications for membership are described below.

Audit Committee—Assists the board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities relating to the quality and integrity of our annual and interim consolidated financial statements and financial reporting process, the adequacy and effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting and disclosure, current and emerging business issues, the internal audit function, the annual independent audit of our financial statements and financial reporting controls, ethics programs, legal compliance, enterprise risk, and other matters the board deems appropriate.

The Audit Committee administers the company’s related person transaction policy, which is in writing and which was adopted by the board. Under this policy, the Audit Committee must examine any transactions between the company and a “related person” to be sure that the transaction in question is either in the best interests of the company and its shareowners or is not inconsistent with those interests. With respect to the Audit Committee’s responsibilities, “related persons” are (i) directors and executive officers of the company, (ii) beneficial owners of more than 5% of any class of the company’s equity securities,

(iii) immediate family members of the foregoing, and (iv) firms in which any of the foregoing are employed or have a greater than 5% beneficial interest. The thresholds for the application of this policy are transactions in which the amount exceeds \$120,000, except for certain pre-approved transactions that do not affect the determination of director independence.

All members must be independent and must meet additional NYSE qualifications applicable to Audit Committee members. The board has determined that each member meets all of those qualifications.

The board has determined that Ms. Labarge, Mr. Watts, Mr. Welling and Ms. Wood, in addition to being “independent,” are also “audit committee financial experts” as defined in the SEC’s rules. Biographical information for each is found in “ELECTION OF DIRECTORS —Nominees for Election.”

For additional information about the Audit Committee’s oversight of the risks faced by the company, see “GOVERNANCE OF THE COMPANY —Board of Directors Oversight of Risk.”

Corporate Responsibility and Sustainability Committee—Reviews our policies and practices relating to significant public issues of concern to shareowners, the company generally, our employees, communities served by us, and the general public with specific oversight of corporate responsibility and sustainability, legislative and regulatory issues, and diversity management programs.

Executive Committee—Exercises powers of the board of directors between meetings, except for amending the bylaws or approving or recommending to shareowners any action or matter that under the Delaware General Corporation Law requires shareowner approval.

Finance Committee—Reviews our annual budget and business plan and the company’s performance against those plans, dividend policy, capital structure, and capital expenditures in excess of \$5 million (with the authority to approve any expenditure less than \$15 million), and also evaluates returns on capital expenditures.

Franchise Relationship Committee—Reviews, considers, and negotiates on behalf of the company any proposed merger or consolidation between us and The Coca-Cola Company, any purchase of an equity interest in The Coca-Cola Company, any purchase by The Coca-Cola Company of an equity interest in the company, any purchase by the company from The Coca-Cola Company of goods and services other than in the ordinary course of business, any transaction involving the acquisition or disposition by the company of franchise rights or territories, any other transaction between the company and The Coca-Cola Company or any other franchisor, not in the ordinary course of business, having an aggregate value exceeding \$10 million, and any other transactions between the company and The Coca-Cola Company or any other franchisor that may be referred to the committee by the board.

While the The Coca-Cola Company is not a “related party” under applicable rules of the SEC, our related person transaction policy provides for review by the committee of the transactions described above due to the significance of the franchise relationship with The Coca-Cola Company. This committee must be composed entirely of directors who (i) are not, and for the past five years have not been, an officer, director, or employee of The Coca-Cola Company or one of its affiliates, (ii) do not own more than 1% of The Coca-Cola Company’s outstanding shares, and (iii) do not own any equity in an entity (except as permitted by (ii)) that is a party to the transaction being considered by the committee.

Governance and Nominating Committee—Reviews and recommends corporate governance policies and issues in consultation with the CEO; evaluates and recommends candidates to succeed the CEO; recommends to the board of directors candidates for election to the board; reviews matters relating to potential director conflicts of interest and directors’ fees and retainers; and also considers candidates for election to the board submitted by shareowners.

The process by which the committee considers nominees to the board is described in “GOVERNANCE OF THE COMPANY—How Members of the Board of Directors Are Selected.”

Each member of this committee must be independent, and the board has determined that each member meets that qualification.

Human Resources and Compensation Committee—Establishes the company’s philosophy and goals related to our executive compensation program; coordinates evaluation of the performance of the CEO by the independent directors; approves the compensation of the CEO and other senior officers; recommends to the board of directors the adoption, termination and significant amendment of, and oversees the administration of, equity-based plans, incentive plans, and other employee benefit plans designed to provide compensation primarily for senior officers; regularly assesses the company’s compensation programs and practices to ensure they do not encourage inappropriate risk taking; oversees talent development and succession planning for senior officer positions (other than the position of CEO).

The committee also reviews at least annually the employee retirement programs and, as appropriate, approves amendments to the programs. The committee may delegate responsibilities related to our retirement plans to the Global Retirement Programs Committee, a committee made up of senior management and retirement plan professionals who are responsible for the administration and investment of the assets of our company-sponsored retirement plans.

The board of directors has delegated to the Equity Award Committee, the sole member of which is our CEO, limited authority to make equity grants or modify outstanding equity awards. The Equity Award Committee cannot take any of these actions with respect to awards to senior officers of the company.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During 2012, Ms. Morali and Messrs. Humann, Ingram, and Johnson served on the company's Human Resources and Compensation Committees. None of them has been at any time an officer or employee of the company, each was determined to be an independent director, and, none of them has had any related person transactions that require disclosure under the SEC's proxy rules. Further, as required by the SEC's proxy rules, we have confirmed that no executive officer of the company has served on the board of directors or compensation committee of any other entity that has, or had during any time during 2012, an executive officer who served as a member of our board of directors or our Human Resources and Compensation Committee.

Human Resources and Compensation Committee Report

The Human Resources and Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this proxy statement.

Based upon such review and discussion, the committee recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

Thomas H. Johnson, Chair

L. Phillip Humann

Orrin H. Ingram II

Véronique Morali

February 4, 2013

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

The following table shows the number of shares of our common stock beneficially owned by:

- each director/nominee for director;
- each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table on page 38; and
- all directors and executive officers as a group.

Unless otherwise noted, amounts are as of February 25, 2013.

Name	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	
	Number of Shares Owned	Percent of Class
Jan Bennink ⁽¹⁾	11,206	*
John F. Brock ⁽²⁾	5,100,645	1.84%
Calvin Darden ⁽³⁾	88,562	*
William W. Douglas III ⁽⁴⁾	710,030	*
L. Phillip Humann ⁽⁵⁾	208,393	*
Orrin H. Ingram II ⁽⁶⁾	60,848	*
Thomas H. Johnson ⁽⁷⁾	55,982	*
Suzanne B. Labarge ⁽⁸⁾	53,614	*
Véronique Morali ⁽⁹⁾	15,032	*
John R. Parker, Jr. ⁽¹⁰⁾	316,967	*
Hubert Patricot ⁽¹¹⁾	520,346	*
Suzanne D. Patterson	—	*
Andrea L. Saia ⁽¹²⁾	5,740	*
Garry Watts ⁽¹³⁾	9,930	*
Curtis R. Welling ⁽¹⁴⁾	38,234	*
Phoebe A. Wood ⁽¹⁵⁾	26,111	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (16 persons) ⁽¹⁶⁾	7,221,640	2.61%

* Less than one percent.

(1) The share totals include Mr. Bennink's stock unit account balance under our directors' deferred compensation plan that will be paid in 11,206 shares of our common stock upon distribution from the plan and that could be acquired within 60 days from the date of this table.

(2) The share totals include options to acquire 4,118,246 shares of our common stock that are now exercisable or that could become exercisable within 60 days from the date of this table, 158,000 shares held in a grantor retained annuity trust for which Mr. Brock is trustee, and 50,600 held in an irrevocable trust for which his spouse serves as trustee and in which Mr. Brock has no beneficial interest.

(3) The share totals include Mr. Darden's stock unit account balance under our directors' deferred compensation plan that will be paid in 65,223 shares of our common stock upon distribution from the plan and that could be acquired within 60 days from the date of this table and 23,339 shares of our common stock that may be acquired upon exercise of outstanding stock options that are now exercisable.

(4) The share totals include options to acquire 678,441 shares of our common stock that are now exercisable or that will become exercisable within 60 days from the date of this table.

(5) The share totals include Mr. Humann's stock unit account balance under our directors' deferred compensation plan that will be paid in 154,613 shares of our common stock upon distribution from the plan and that could be acquired within 60 days from the date of this table and 23,339 shares of our common stock that may be acquired upon the exercise of outstanding stock options that are now exercisable.

(6) The share totals include Mr. Ingram's stock unit account balance under our directors' deferred compensation plan that will be paid in 50,848 shares of our common stock upon distribution from the plan and that could be acquired within 60 days from the date of this table.

(7) The share totals include Mr. Johnson's stock unit account balance under our directors' deferred compensation plan that will be paid in 39,482 shares of our common stock upon distribution from the plan and that could be acquired within 60 days from the date of this table and 16,500 shares of our common stock held jointly with his spouse.

(8) The share totals include Ms. Labarge's stock unit account balance under our directors' deferred compensation plan that will be paid in 51,614 shares of our common stock upon distribution from the plan and that could be acquired within 60 days from the date of this table and 2,000 shares of our common stock held indirectly by 1323786 Ontario, Inc., her solely owned company.

- (9) The share totals include Ms. Morali's stock unit account balance under our directors' deferred compensation plan that will be paid in 15,032 shares of our common stock upon distribution from the plan and that could be acquired within 60 days from the date of this table.
- (10) The share totals include options to acquire 277,028 shares of our common stock that are now exercisable or that could become exercisable within 60 days from the date of this table and 1,566 shares of stock held by his spouse.
- (11) The share totals include options to acquire 300,382 shares of our common stock that are now exercisable or that will become exercisable within 60 days from the date of this table.
- (12) The share totals include Ms. Saia's stock unit account balance under our directors' deferred compensation plan that will be paid in 4,740 shares of our common stock upon distribution from the plan and that could be acquired within 60 days from the date of this table.
- (13) The share totals include Mr. Watts's, stock unit account balance under our directors' deferred compensation plan that will be paid in 9,930 shares of our common stock upon distribution from the plan and that could be acquired within 60 days from the date of this table.
- (14) The share totals include the portion of Mr. Welling's stock unit account balance under our directors' deferred compensation plan that will be paid in 28,234 shares of our common stock upon distribution from the plan and that could be acquired within 60 days from the date of this table. The share totals do not include the portion of his stock unit account under our directors' plan that will be paid in 27,740 shares of our common stock in future installment distributions.
- (15) The share totals include Ms. Wood's stock unit account balance in our directors' deferred compensation plan that will be paid in 26,111 shares of our common stock upon distribution from the plan and that could be acquired within 60 days from the date of this table.
- (16) The share totals include options to acquire 5,420,775 shares of our common stock that are now exercisable or that will become exercisable within 60 days from the date of this table and stock units representing 457,033 shares of our common stock credited to accounts under our directors' deferred compensation plan that could be acquired within 60 days from the date of this table.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Our directors, executive officers, and beneficial owners of 10% or more of our common stock must file reports with the SEC showing the number of shares of our common stock they beneficially own and any changes in their beneficial ownership. Copies of these reports must be provided to us. Based on our review of these reports and the written representations from such persons, all such reports were filed in a timely manner during 2012, with the exception of the late filing of a Form 3 filed on behalf of Andrea L. Saia on June 26, 2012, as a new director of the company.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Summerfield K. Johnston, Jr. is a more than 5% shareowner of the company. During 2012, we were parties to dry lease agreements with companies owned by Mr. Johnston (the "Johnston Companies"), which leases provide for the shared use of private aircraft at an hourly rate per flight based upon industry standard rates for the make and model of the aircraft. Additionally, the Johnston Companies lease hanger space in our Atlanta, Georgia aviation facility. In 2012, the company paid the Johnston Companies \$177,853, and these companies paid us \$229,872, in connection with these lease agreements.

In 2011, these same parties formed a jointly-owned entity, Enterprises Aviation, LLC, to provide management and support services in connection with the operation of the aircraft subject to the dry leases. The company owns a 90% interest in Enterprises Aviation, and the Johnston Companies own a 10% interest. In 2012, the company and the Johnston Companies paid Enterprises Aviation, LLC annual management services fees of approximately \$2,482,432 and \$727,654, respectively.

These arrangements enable us to defray a portion of the fixed costs associated with maintaining our aircraft facility and systems.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis (“CD&A”) describes the principles, objectives, and features of our executive compensation program, which is generally applicable to each of our senior officers. However, this CD&A focuses primarily on the program as applied to our CEO and the other executive officers included in the Summary Compensation Table, whom we refer to collectively in this proxy statement as the “Named Executive Officers.” For 2012, our Named Executive Officers were:

- John F. Brock, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
- William W. Douglas III, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
- Hubert Patricot, Executive Vice President and President, Europe Group
- John R. Parker, Jr., Senior Vice President and General Counsel
- Suzanne D. Patterson, Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer

Executive Summary

2012 Say on Pay Vote

At the annual meeting of our shareowners held in April 2012, over 97% of the total shareowners' votes were cast in favor of our Named Executive Officers' 2011 compensation. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee (the "Compensation Committee" or "Committee") considered these results and did not make significant changes to our executive compensation program because it believes this advisory shareowner vote indicates strong support for continuing our program's pay-for-performance emphasis.

2012 Financial Performance

Our revenue and operating income for 2012 were below our annual and long-term targets, with the results negatively impacted by the difficult macroeconomic environment in Europe, increases in French excise taxes on certain of our products, and unseasonably cool and wet weather in our territories last summer. In spite of these challenges, our management adapted its business strategies and delivered modest comparable, currency-neutral revenue and operating income growth through customer-focused market execution and increased focus on cost management. Our 2012 performance under several key business measures is summarized below.

<u>Business Measure</u>	<u>2012 Performance</u>
<i>Revenue Growth</i>	\$8.062 billion in revenue, representing an increase of 2.7% over 2011 on a currency-neutral basis
<i>Operating Income Growth</i>	\$1.017 billion in comparable operating income, representing an increase of 2.4% over 2011 on a currency-neutral basis
<i>EPS Growth</i>	EPS of \$2.26 on a comparable basis, which includes a \$0.16 negative impact from foreign currency On a currency-neutral basis, comparable EPS increased 11% over 2011 comparable EPS
<i>Total Shareowner Return ("TSR")</i>	TSR of 25.8% Increase in our quarterly dividends from \$0.13 to \$0.16 per share Completion of \$780 million in share repurchases

In this CD&A, we refer to our comparable operating income and earnings per share ("EPS") for 2011 and 2012, which are non-GAAP financial measures that reflect adjustments to our financial measures reported under U.S. GAAP. Appendix A to this proxy statement contains a reconciliation of these non-GAAP measures to our audited U.S. GAAP financial statements for these two years, as presented in our 2012 10-K filed on February 8, 2013.

Pay-for-Performance Alignment

Our Compensation Committee has established an executive compensation program that ensures the interests of the company's senior leaders are appropriately aligned with those of its shareowners by rewarding performance that meets and exceeds business and individual goals. Key pay-for-performance features of our 2012 compensation program include:

- The majority of our Named Executive Officers' targeted annual total direct compensation (base salary plus targeted annual and long-term incentive award levels) is performance-based pay: For Mr. Brock, 88%, and for our other Named Executive Officers, from 65% to 79%.
- Further, the majority of the performance-based pay opportunities is provided in long-term incentive ("LTI") awards that tie the compensation payable, if any, to year-over-year increases in CCE's earnings per share and our stock's future price performance: For Mr. Brock, 80%, and for our other Named Executive Officers, from 62% to 73%.
- The financial measures under the 2012 incentive award programs were linked directly to the annual and long-term strategic business plans reviewed and approved by the board of directors. Further, if minimum financial goals under the annual cash incentive award plan and the performance stock unit awards under the LTI program had not been met, no payouts would have been made under these awards.
- Even though we delivered 2012 EPS on a comparable, currency-neutral basis above our annual and long-term targets, our 2012 performance against the internal operating income and EPS growth targets under our 2012 annual cash incentive program and 2011 PSU awards, respectively, were not met. Therefore, our Named Executive Officer's earned 81.2% of their annual cash incentive target award and 56.34% of their 2011 PSU target awards.

Executive Compensation Governance and Practices

In addition to our executive compensation program's strong pay-for-performance focus, we believe our other policies and pay practices contribute to ensuring an alignment of executives' and shareowners' interests and discouraging inappropriate risk taking by our executives.

What We Do

- *Independent Compensation Consultants* -- The Compensation Committee has engaged an independent compensation consulting firm that provides no other services to the company.
- *Tally Sheets* -- Prior to making annual executive compensation decisions, the Committee reviews tally sheets describing the Named Executive Officers' direct and indirect compensation, as well as the payments or benefits that could be payable under various termination scenarios.
- *Capped Award Payouts* -- We set maximum award levels under the annual cash incentive and long-term incentive programs.
- *Share Ownership Policy* -- We have meaningful share ownership requirements for our senior officers, which have been exceeded by our Named Executive Officers.
- *Clawbacks* -- Amounts paid to our senior officers, including our Named Executive Officers, under our annual cash incentive and certain long-term incentive awards are subject to "clawback" in the event of a material restatement of our financial statements resulting from fraudulent actions by the officer, and, for the U.S.-based officers, in the event of violations of certain post-termination covenants.

What We Don't Do

- *No Hedging Transactions and Pledging Company Stock* -- Our senior officers, including the Named Executive Officers, are prohibited from pledging company stock as collateral for a loan or otherwise and from engaging in hedging or other similar types of transactions with respect to our stock.
- *No Dividend Equivalents Paid on Unearned Share Units* -- Dividend equivalents on restricted stock units ("RSUs") or performance share units ("PSUs") are only paid if, and to the extent, the stock units are earned and vested.
- *No Repricing of Underwater Options* -- Repricing of stock options is expressly prohibited by our incentive award plan.
- *No Tax Gross-Ups Upon a Change in Control* -- The Company does not provide tax gross ups related to change-in-control payments or benefits.
- *No Executive Pension Benefits* -- Named Executive Officers participate in the same defined contribution retirement plans as other employees.

Overview of Our Executive Compensation Program

Historical Perspective

CCE became an independent public company on October 2, 2010, upon its separation from our predecessor parent company, Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. (which we refer to as "Legacy CCE"). This separation occurred in connection with a merger in which Legacy CCE's North American business became a subsidiary of The Coca-Cola Company ("TCCC") and CCE acquired TCCC's bottling operations in Norway and Sweden. We refer to our separation from Legacy CCE and its related transactions as the "Transaction."

Certain decisions made by Legacy CCE in connection with the anticipated formation of the new company continue to be relevant to our executive compensation program. For example, in order to ensure the recruitment of a successful senior management team to lead the new company following the Transaction, Legacy CCE entered into employment agreements with Mr. Brock and other of its U.S.-based senior officers that preserved these officers' then-current compensation opportunities through 2013, as well as providing other retention incentives. The agreements, which were modified in October 2012 for Messrs. Brock, Douglas and Parker, are discussed below on page 32. Additionally, aspects of our 2012 executive compensation program were the continuation of many of the principles and practices of Legacy CCE's compensation program, which our Compensation Committee determined was an appropriate foundation for our newly configured business focused on establishing its long-term growth strategy.

Our Objectives

The objectives of the company's executive compensation program are as follows:

- *Pay competitively* -- Executive compensation opportunities should be sufficiently competitive to attract external executive talent and support the development and retention of current and future leaders.
- *Pay for performance* -- The majority of each senior officer's compensation should be performance-based. Incentive programs should carry the risk of no payouts when the company's performance or the officer's individual performance does not meet pre-established goals and should provide the opportunity to receive additional pay when those goals are surpassed.
- *Support our business strategies* -- The annual incentive program should be specific to the company's short-term operating strategy, and the long-term incentive program should reward management for developing and successfully executing a long-term business strategy.
- *Align our leaders' interests with those of shareowners* -- Our executive compensation program should emphasize equity ownership, so our leaders' long-term financial interests are aligned with the long-term interests of our shareowners.

Our Process

Role of the Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee establishes our executive compensation philosophy, reviews and approves the company's executive compensation policies, plan designs, and the compensation of our senior officers, including our Named Executive Officers' compensation. The Committee considers various factors in making compensation determinations, including the officer's responsibilities and performance, the effectiveness of our programs in supporting the company's short-term and long-term goals, and our overall financial performance. Additionally, the Compensation Committee coordinates the full board's annual review of the CEO's performance and considers the board's assessment in its compensation decisions related to the CEO.

To evaluate each senior officer's overall compensation, each year the Committee reviews tally sheets prepared by management. Tally sheets detail a senior officer's total direct and indirect compensation and assist the Committee in understanding how its compensation decisions may affect the officer's total compensation, currently and in the future. Tally sheets also ensure the Committee clearly understands the potential payments an executive could receive upon his or her termination of employment under a variety of scenarios.

Role of Compensation Consultants

External consultants provide guidance to management and the Committee on compensation trends and program designs and bring expertise and an objective perspective to the process of evaluating and developing proposals regarding our pay practices. In 2012, CCE's management engaged compensation consultants from Towers Watson ("Towers"), as well as Mercer Human Resources Consulting ("Mercer"). Specifically, Towers provided market data for the comparator group for reviewing senior officers' pay and provided market data that reflected, as appropriate, any differences between our officers'

responsibilities and the survey's job descriptions to which they were compared. Mercer also provided management with information on plan design and competitive practices related to equity award plans.

During 2012, Meridian Compensation Partners ("Meridian") served as the Committee's independent consultant. In addition to providing the Committee with its perspective on current trends and other developments in executive compensation, Meridian reviewed and advised the Committee on market data provided by Towers and Mercer; management's recommendations regarding the compensation of our senior officers, including modifications to their employment contracts; proposals regarding changes to our comparator group for 2012 and 2013 and our incentive compensation plans, including our long-term incentive program's design. During 2012, Meridian consultants attended all of the Committee's meetings in person or by telephone, and the Compensation Committee has established a practice of meeting with the Meridian consultants during the executive session of each meeting. Meridian corresponds with the Committee's chair and other members, from time to time, on specific agenda items and other ad-hoc requests.

Meridian did not provide any other services to the company or its management during 2012. In December 2012, the Committee evaluated Meridian's independence as its compensation consultant by considering each of the independence factors recently adopted by the NYSE and the SEC. Based on such evaluation, the Committee determined that no conflict of interest exists that would prevent Meridian from independently representing the Committee.

Role of Management

Our CEO and senior vice president of human resources are responsible for providing recommendations to the Committee on various aspects of our executive compensation program and the senior officers' compensation, other than their own compensation. Such recommendations include, for example, the design of our annual cash incentive and equity programs, as well as the performance targets established each year under these programs.

Our CEO and senior vice president of human resources also lead a systematic approach for evaluating the performance of our senior officers, including the Named Executive Officers. The process begins by establishing specific leadership team and individual performance goals at the beginning of the year. The CEO reviews the individual objectives with the Committee and considers its input before the goals are finalized. These officers' input and recommendations are an important part of the Committee's decision-making process because they have direct knowledge of both our business objectives and each officer's contributions to the attainment of those objectives.

2012 Executive Compensation Program

Compensation Philosophy

To address its objective of providing competitive pay, the Compensation Committee adopted a philosophy of targeting both annual cash compensation and total direct compensation for its senior officers at the median of a comparator group comprised of general industry companies with revenues within a specified range. "Total direct compensation" is defined as base salary, plus the target level annual incentive and target annual equity award value. Use of comparator group market data is, however, only the starting point for any compensation decisions, as the Committee may decide to position an individual executive's target compensation opportunity above or below the median to reflect that executive's past experience, future potential and individual performance.

Consistent with Legacy CCE's approach of defining its comparator group using companies with revenues between 50% and 200% of its revenues, the Committee determined that it was appropriate to continue reviewing our senior officers' 2012 compensation using market data based on Legacy CCE's structure and revenues, but to also consider market data more reflective of our current structure. This decision was based primarily on the fact that each of the U.S.-based Named Executive Officers' employment agreements provides for target total direct compensation that was set by reference to Legacy CCE's 2010 comparator group. The Committee also believed that continuing to consider the market data of the comparator group with revenues consistent with those of Legacy CCE's was appropriate for our Named Executive Officers given their experience, their proven track record in delivering strong business results and the importance of their expertise and leadership in developing and executing our long-term business strategy.

Specifically, for 2012, the Committee considered market data from 220 companies in the 2011 Towers Watson General Industry Executive Compensation Database with annual revenues from \$10 to \$40 billion, as well as a subset of 139 companies within this group with revenues from \$10 to \$20 billion. The median revenue for these comparator groups was approximately \$15 and \$13 billion, respectively. The companies comprising these comparator groups are listed in Appendix B to this proxy statement.

For 2012, our CEO's pay was aligned with the market median of both groups, at +2% and +10%, respectively. For the other Named Executive Officers, total direct compensation for their respective positions was within a range of 11% to 3% below the medians of these comparator groups, respectively, and within a range of 8% to 12% above the medians of these groups, respectively.

Elements of Compensation

Our Named Executive Officers receive fixed pay in the form of base salary and employee benefits, and variable pay in the form of an annual cash incentive and long-term incentive equity awards. The individual elements of compensation that make up each Named Executive Officer's total direct compensation are discussed below, as are these officers' employment agreements.

Base Salary

Base salary is intended to provide our senior officers with a competitive level of fixed compensation. Following a review of the senior officers' performance against their individual performance objectives, in particular noting the officers' contributions to strong achievements in the areas of sustainability and customer satisfaction, the Compensation Committee approved merit increases to the base salaries of the Named Executive Officers, other than Mr. Brock. These increases were effective April 1, 2012. As explained below, the Committee determined that it was more appropriate to increase Mr. Brock's performance-based compensation opportunities than to adjust his base salary.

The Named Executive Officers' base salaries for 2012, as compared to 2011, were as follows:

Officer	2011 Base Salary	2012 Base Salary	% Increase
John F. Brock	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000	--
William W. Douglas III	550,000	565,000	2.7%
Hubert Patricot*	574,853	605,247	5.3%
John R. Parker, Jr.	510,000	530,000	3.9%
Suzanne D. Patterson	315,000	325,000	3.2%

*Mr. Patricot's 2011 and 2012 salaries are described above in dollars, converted from euros based on the December 31, 2012, currency exchange rate of 1.3215.

Annual Cash Incentive Awards

The Executive Management Incentive Plan ("MIP") provides an opportunity for our senior officers to earn additional cash compensation based on the achievement of financial and individual performance goals for a given year. The financial performance goal for the 2012 MIP was based on the operating income budget under the company's annual business plan, which our board considers a key financial measure of our operating performance.

2012 MIP Award Opportunities

Each officer's MIP target award is expressed as a percentage of the actual base salary he or she is paid in the fiscal year. With the exception of Mr. Brock, the target award percentages for each of the Named Executive Officer's MIP award remained the same as in 2011, which reflects the target award levels provided for in the U.S.-based officers' employment agreements and as the Committee determined was still appropriate for Mr. Patricot. With respect to Mr. Brock, the Committee determined that it was appropriate to reflect a merit-based increase in his annual cash compensation by increasing his performance-based compensation rather than adjusting his base salary, in large part to more closely align his annual cash incentive to the market. Therefore, the Committee increased Mr. Brock's MIP target award from 135% to 150%.

The Named Executive Officers' 2012 MIP target award levels were as follows:

Officer	Target MIP Award as % of Base Salary Earned
John F. Brock	150%
William W. Douglas III	100%
Hubert Patricot	100%
John R. Parker, Jr.	80%
Suzanne D. Patterson	70%

2012 MIP Performance Goals

The 2012 MIP business performance goal set by the Compensation Committee was the company's operating income, which is defined as our operating profits before interest and taxes, as adjusted for specified non-recurring items. Operating income ("OI") is a key metric used by management, the board, and the company's shareowners to evaluate CCE's overall financial performance, and we believe OI goals appropriately focus our senior officers on maximizing profitable revenue growth and minimizing operating expense.

For 2012, the Committee set the target OI performance goal at 100% of the OI required to attain our annual business plan, which was \$1.056 billion and represented a 6.5% currency-neutral increase over the prior year's comparable OI. Under the 2012 MIP, attainment of the target OI goal would result in an award opportunity of 100% of the senior officer's target MIP award. The Committee also set a minimum level of OI performance required to be met for any annual incentive award to be paid and a maximum OI level, above which the award payment is capped, subject to the Committee's discretionary increase of up to 30%, as discussed below.

The 2012 minimum, target, and maximum performance and the corresponding award levels for OI were:

	Performance Level (As a % of OI Target)	Award Level (As a % of MIP Target)
Minimum	85%	25%
Target	100%	100%
Maximum	112%	200%

For purposes of calculating business results under the 2012 MIP, OI is determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP and then adjusted for various predetermined and/or nonrecurring or unusual items. These predetermined adjustments are primarily related to restructuring charges, the financial impact of certain commodity hedges, the effect of acquisitions and dispositions, the external costs and expenses associated with the completion of such transactions, and fluctuations in currency exchange rates.

The annual incentive award an officer earns for business performance is also subject to adjustment by the Compensation Committee based on its evaluation of the officer's performance against his or her individual goals for the year. The adjustment can range from eliminating the award to providing up to a 30% increase. The officers' individual goals vary from year to year, but in 2012 included delivering financial results under our annual business plan, efficiency and effectiveness initiatives related to the management of operating expenses, people leadership objectives, and successful delivery of our corporate responsibility and sustainability ("CRS") initiatives.

2012 MIP Results and Award Determinations

As described above, the award determination under the MIP is a two-step process. First, the business results are determined, and then the Committee determines whether the award levels should be adjusted based on the officer's performance against his or her individual goals.

2012 Operating Income Results. We achieved 96.24% of our target OI goal under the 2012 MIP. As noted above, our OI performance versus our 2012 annual business plan was negatively impacted by the challenging macroeconomic conditions in Europe, increased excise taxes in France, and unusually poor weather conditions during the summer of 2012.

Based on these OI results, the amount each senior officer could earn under the MIP, before the application of any individual performance adjustments, was 81.2% of his or her target award. Therefore, for our Named Executive Officers, the MIP awards based solely on business results, before any adjustment for individual performance, were as follows:

Officer	Target Award as % of Base Salary	Award Earned as % of Base Salary
John F. Brock	150%	121.8%
William W. Douglas III	100%	81.2%
Hubert Patricot	100%	81.2%
John R. Parker, Jr.	80%	65.0%
Suzanne D. Patterson	70%	56.8%

2012 Individual Performance Adjustments. Mr. Brock advised the Committee that while each of the other executive officers had demonstrated strong individual performance within their respective roles, Mr. Douglas and Ms. Patterson had met and exceeded their individual objectives related to the development and implementation of restructuring plans for the finance organization and their contributions to our 2012 cost management initiatives. Additionally, Mr. Douglas provided valuable leadership in managing the company's balance sheet and engaging throughout the year with key stakeholders such as financial analysts, our shareowners and The Coca-Cola Company. Based on Mr. Brock's recommendations, the Committee increased Mr. Douglas's award by 20% and Ms. Patterson's award by 5%.

In making determinations regarding Mr. Brock's individual performance, the Committee considered the board's positive assessment of Mr. Brock's leadership of the company, especially in light of the challenging business environment during 2012.

Noting, in particular, our strong TSR for the year and the company's continuing achievements in the area of corporate responsibility and sustainability, the Committee increased Mr. Brock's MIP award by 10%.

The 2012 MIP payouts to each Named Executive Officer are set forth in the Summary Compensation Table under the column titled "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" on page 38.

Long-Term Incentive Equity Awards

LTI awards represent the majority of each senior officer's annual direct compensation, providing an opportunity for increased compensation based on delivering business results that increase the value of our stock over time. Our LTI awards are designed to focus our leadership on taking actions that lead to the company's sustainable growth and to align their long-term interests with those of our shareowners.

The Compensation Committee approved the 2012 LTI awards, which were granted on November 5, 2012. The Committee again determined that it was appropriate to award 60% of the Named Executive Officers' target LTI awards in the form of PSUs and the remaining 40% in stock options. The Committee believes the use of these two forms of equity is consistent with competitive market practice and that this allocation between PSUs and stock options efficiently utilizes the company's share reserves in the delivery of these officers' target LTI value.

The target LTI values for the U.S.-based Named Executive Officers are provided for under their employment agreements and, for all the Named Executive Officers, are the same target LTI values provided to these officers in 2011. The 2012 target LTI values, for our Named Executive Officers, as well as the allocation between PSUs and stock options, were as follows:

Officer	Target LTI Value	Target PSU Value	Target Stock Option Value
John F. Brock	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 4,200,000	\$ 2,800,000
William W. Douglas III	1,500,000	900,000	600,000
Hubert Patricot	1,500,000	900,000	600,000
John R. Parker, Jr.	1,000,000	600,000	400,000
Suzanne D. Patterson	375,000	225,000	150,000

Although each Named Executive Officer's target LTI values are reported in the Summary Compensation Table on page 38 as 2012 compensation, the compensation each officer actually receives, if any, is dependent on the satisfaction of vesting conditions, including performance-vesting requirements, and the future value of the company's stock at the time it is paid.

2012 Stock Options

Stock options provide senior officers the opportunity to purchase shares of our stock at a price equal to the market price on the day of grant. After the options vest, officers can exercise this purchase right anytime during the term of the option. The stock options granted to Mr. Patricot in 2012 will vest ratably over three years, and any vested options will remain exercisable for up to a ten-year term as long as he remains employed by the company. Reflecting the terms of their employment agreements, the stock options granted to Messrs. Brock, Douglas and Parker in 2012 will vest ratably over two years and will remain exercisable for the options' ten-year term. Ms. Patterson's 2012 options will vest on the first anniversary of the grant date and will remain exercisable for the options' ten-year term.

2012 Performance Share Unit Awards

PSU awards provide our senior officers the opportunity to receive shares of our stock, and a cash payment equal to hypothetical dividends on such shares, only if both a performance objective and a continued-service requirement are met. Because vested PSU awards are paid out in shares of company stock more than two years after the performance-vesting requirements are satisfied, the ultimate value of any award earned by an officer is dependent on both the number of shares that may be earned based on the business results against the performance objectives and on the trading price of the company's stock at the time the shares, if any, are delivered.

The Committee established two performance conditions to vesting for the 2012 PSU awards. The primary performance goal is based on the annual growth rate in our EPS for the 2013 fiscal year over 2012 EPS. For purposes of the 2012 PSU awards, EPS is defined as our EPS, as determined under GAAP, adjusted to exclude the effects of various predetermined, nonrecurring items, to apply consistent tax and currency exchange rates, and to limit the beneficial effects of the Company's share repurchase program.

EPS was retained as the primary performance goal for the 2012 awards because we continue to believe that, over time, EPS results are the primary driver of our stock price, an important indicator of our profitability, and an accurate indicator of long-term company performance. In setting the specific EPS goals, the Committee considered several factors, including our

2013 business plan, the current operating costs specific to our business, and our projected EPS performance, and it decided that the 2013 EPS growth goals are appropriately challenging.

The secondary performance objective is our TSR performance, as compared to the TSR performance of the companies comprising the S&P 500 at both the beginning and end of 2013 ("S&P 500 Companies"). Specifically, our relative TSR ranking within the S&P 500 Companies may result in an increase to, or decrement to, the percentage of the target award that would otherwise be earned based on our EPS performance. The Compensation Committee believed that adding a performance goal that measures our financial performance, relative to a comparator group, would provide increased incentive to management to focus on the value delivered to shareowners each year, as well as achieving our internal business objectives. The Committee determined that the companies comprising the S&P 500, in which we are included, is the most appropriate comparator group for measuring our TSR performance, in large part because it is representative of the market in which we compete for shareowners.

The following chart sets forth the minimum, target and maximum EPS performance goals for 2013, which will be measured against a 2012 EPS baseline of \$2.26, as well as the corresponding percentages of the target PSU award to be earned upon the attainment of these EPS goals. The chart also describes the adjustments that will be made to the EPS-based percentages based on our relative TSR performance.

<i>EPS Performance Goals and Award Levels</i>			<i>TSR Adjustments to EPS-Based Award Levels</i>		
EPS Growth Rate	EPS Goals	% of Target Earned	Bottom 1/3 of S&P 500 Companies	Middle 1/3 of S&P 500 Companies	Top 1/3 of S&P 500 Companies
Less than 6%	< \$2.40	0%	No Adjustment	37.5% of Target Award	50% of Target Award
Minimum-6%	\$2.40	50%	Multiply EPS-Based Percentage Earned by 75%	No Adjustment	Multiply EPS-Based Percentage Earned by 125%-- Up to 225% maximum
Target-10%	\$2.49	100%			
Maximum-14%	> = \$2.58	200%			

For the 2012 PSU awards, the service-vesting period for Mr. Patricot is 42 months from the grant date. Reflecting the terms of their employment agreements, the service-vesting period for Messrs. Brock, Douglas, and Parker is 26 months and, for Ms. Patterson, 14 months. The payment date for the 2012 PSUs that are earned based on the performance goals described above will be April 30, 2016, which is 42 months after the grant date for all the Named Executive Officers.

2011 PSU Awards' Performance Results

A portion of our Named Executive Officers' 2011 LTI compensation was granted as PSU awards on November 3, 2011. The performance-vesting conditions for these awards were based on a target annual EPS growth-rate goal for 2012 of 10% over our 2011 baseline EPS of \$2.18. The minimum and maximum growth rate goals were 6% and 14% (i.e., EPS of \$2.31 and \$2.49), respectively, and the corresponding award levels were 50% and 200%. Under the 2011 PSU awards, EPS is defined as our comparable EPS, adjusted to eliminate the effects of changes from 2011 to 2012 in foreign currency and our effective tax rate, as well as to limit the net benefits of our share repurchases.

Although we achieved strong year-over-year growth in EPS on a comparable, currency-neutral basis, our 2012 EPS, as defined under the 2011 PSU awards, was \$2.32, representing a below-target growth rate of 6.51%. Based on these results, each Named Executive Officer earned 56.34% of his or her 2011 target PSU award. The number of PSUs earned by each Named Executive Officers is reflected in the "Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End" table that begins on page 41.

Named Executive Officers' Employment Agreements

U.S.-Based Named Executive Officers' Agreements

Prior to the completion of the Transaction in 2010, Legacy CCE's board and compensation committee believed that securing Mr. Brock's commitment to become the chief executive officer of CCE through 2013, as well as that of the other members of his executive leadership team, was critical to ensuring the stability of a newly configured company, establishing its long-term strategy, and implementing a disciplined succession planning process. To accomplish this objective, Legacy CCE determined that entering into employment agreements was the best way to address the board's interest in ensuring at least a

three-year commitment from these officers to lead a newly configured and European-based business and in obtaining noncompetition and other post-termination restrictive covenants (the "2010 Agreements").

In October 2012, Messrs. Brock, Douglas and Parker, as well as other U.S.-based senior officers, entered into amended employment agreements that extended their employment term through 2014 and modified certain other provisions (the "2012 Agreements"). The Board believed this action was appropriate to secure the commitment of the current executive leadership team as the company continues to establish its long-term strategy.

The provisions of the U.S.-based Named Executive Officers' 2010 Agreements, other than those related to base salary and annual incentive awards (which are discussed above), are summarized below. The revised terms of Messrs. Brock's, Douglas's and Parker's 2012 Agreements are also discussed below.

Employment Term. The initial term of the agreements commenced upon the officers' transfer of employment from Legacy CCE through December 31, 2013. For Messrs. Brock, Douglas and Parker, the term of their revised agreements is October 2012 through December 2014. Following the term of each of the Named Executive Officers' agreements, CCE and the executives may extend the term of the agreements or negotiate other employment terms.

Terms of Annual LTI Awards. The 2010 Agreements provide for annual target LTI awards in 2010 through 2012 at least equal to the values described on page 31. The 2012 Agreements of Messrs. Brock, Douglas and Parker also provide for an LTI award of the same value in 2013. The service conditions to vesting correspond to the term of the officer's respective agreement: Ms. Patterson's LTI awards will vest based on her service through 2013. The 2010 and 2011 LTI awards of Messrs. Brock, Douglas and Parker will vest based on service through 2013, but, under the 2012 Agreements, their 2012 and 2013 awards will vest based on service through 2014. Additionally, the service-vesting conditions for any other equity the Committee may grant to the officers during the term of his or her agreement also will be based on continued service through the agreement's term.

Terms of Inaugural Restricted Stock Unit Award. The officers' 2010 Agreements provided for a one-time, inaugural restricted stock unit ("RSU") award. These awards, which were granted in 2010, were described in our 2011 proxy statement. The award's service condition to vesting was met on the second anniversary of the grant date. The Committee determined in February 2013 that the performance-vesting conditions (satisfactory performance with respect to 2011 and 2012 financial goals) had been met, and the awards became fully vested. Because these awards were not vested on December 31, 2012, they are still included in the "Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End" table that begins on page 41.

Retention Incentive. Each Named Executive Officers' employment agreement provides for a retention incentive in the form of a lump-sum cash payment in a specified amount, plus interest, payable in July 2014, provided the officer remains employed through December 31, 2013. The compensation committee of Legacy CCE believed that this retention arrangement would provide a strong incentive for the officers to remain with the company during a critical period, as well as providing the opportunity to earn substantially the same amounts by completing his or her employment term as he or she could have received by declining employment with CCE and receiving severance pay from Legacy CCE. The amount of the retention incentives are as follows:

Officer	Retention Award Value
John F. Brock	\$ 5,650,000
William W. Douglas III	2,750,000
John R. Parker, Jr.	2,500,000
Suzanne D. Patterson	950,000

Other Benefits. The Named Executive Officers are entitled to participate in the same benefit plans and programs as are offered to our other U.S.-based employees.

Payments Upon Involuntary Termination of Employment Without Cause. If a Named Executive Officer's employment is involuntarily terminated by CCE without cause, he or she will become entitled to the following payments and benefits:

- a lump-sum payment (or installments, to the extent necessary to comply with tax requirements) determined, as follows:
 - For Ms. Patterson, an amount equal to her current annual base salary and target bonus for the remainder of the initial employment term, but not less than one year; and
 - For Messrs. Brock, Douglas and Parker, an amount equal to his current annual base salary and target bonus.
- a pro rata portion of the annual incentive award for the year of termination based on actual performance results for the year;
- the cash retention award described above, if such termination occurs prior to December 31, 2013;

- all equity awards converted from Legacy CCE equity awards will be fully vested, and all other service-based equity awards will vest on a pro rata basis; and
- performance-based equity awards will become vested on a pro rata basis, subject to satisfaction of the relevant performance requirements, except that the inaugural restricted stock unit award noted above will be deemed to have satisfied the performance requirements.

Under their 2012 Agreements, Messrs. Brock and Parker would be credited with an additional twelve months of service for purposes of the pro rata determinations with respect to their 2013 equity awards. The Committee determined it was appropriate to provide this additional service in the event of involuntary termination because these officers have satisfied our "Rule of 60" retirement criteria (i.e., age 55 and at least five years of service with the company and Legacy CCE).

Payments Upon the Executive's Voluntary Termination of Employment for Good Reason. If Ms. Patterson were to terminate her employment for good reason during the term of her agreement, she would be entitled to the same payments and equity modifications described in the immediately preceding paragraph. Under their 2012 Agreements, Messrs. Brock, Douglas and Parker would not be entitled to any payments upon voluntary termination for good reason unless it were to occur within two years of a change in control of the company. However, reflecting the terms of their 2010 employment agreements, their 2010 and 2011 equity awards provide for the waiver of service-vesting conditions on a pro rata basis, as well as for the waiver of the performance-vesting conditions under their inaugural restricted stock awards, in the event of the officer's voluntary termination for good reason.

For purposes of the employment agreements, "good reason" includes a material decrease in pay or bonus opportunity, a material diminution of authority or responsibility, or a relocation of more than 50 miles; and "cause" is defined as gross misconduct by the executive that is materially detrimental to the company, acts of personal dishonesty or fraud by the executive toward the company, or the executive's conviction of a felony.

Payments Upon the Executive's Termination Following a Change in Control. In the event of the Named Executive Officer's voluntary termination for good reason or involuntary termination without cause within two years following a change in control, he or she will be entitled to full vesting of all equity awards. Under her agreement, Ms. Patterson would also be entitled to the same payments described above under "*Payments Upon Involuntary Termination of Employment Without Cause.*" Under their 2012 Agreements, Messrs. Brock, Douglas and Parker would receive those same payments, except the officer's lump-sum severance payment would be equal to his current annual base salary and target bonus, multiplied by 1.5 years.

Payments upon Disability or Death. In the event of their death or termination due to disability prior to January 1, 2014, the Named Executive Officer (or his or her beneficiary) would receive the following payments or benefits:

- a full annual incentive award for the year of disability or death, based on actual performance results for the year;
- a payment equal to the Named Executive Officer's current base salary and target bonus, multiplied by the number of years and portions of a year remaining in the employment term; and (if such termination occurs prior to December 31, 2013) the cash retention award;
- an amount equal to the target value of any of the 2010 to 2012 annual long-term incentive awards not yet granted (as of November 5, 2102 all such awards had been granted); and
- the vesting of all outstanding equity grants, with the vesting of performance-based equity awards based on actual results for completed performance periods and based on target levels for incomplete performance periods.

Under the 2012 Agreements, in the event of their death or termination due to disability during 2014, Messrs. Brock, Douglas and Parker (or their beneficiaries) would only be entitled to the full annual incentive award for that year and the vesting of their outstanding equity grants, as described above.

Restrictive Covenants. The agreements subject the Named Executive Officers to a number of obligations, as well as the requirement to execute a release of claims before receiving any severance pay. In addition, the officer cannot compete with the company by becoming employed by certain direct competitors for a period of 12 to 24 months, depending on the number of months of severance to which he or she is entitled. During this same period, the Named Executive Officer cannot solicit our customers on behalf of any non-alcoholic beverage business and cannot hire away our employees. Under the 2012 Agreements, Messrs. Brock, Douglas and Parker are required to obtain the approval of the Compensation Committee before accepting a position on the board of any other business entity.

Consulting Obligation. Under the 2012 Agreements, Messrs. Brock, Douglas and Parker are required to provide a minimum of 10 hours of consulting services for twelve months following their voluntary termination of employment for any reason or their involuntary termination without cause. Although the officers will not receive any additional compensation for these services, the consulting period may be credited toward satisfaction of the service-vesting requirements of the 2012 and 2013 long-term incentive awards, provided the officer is still employed on November 1st of the year following the award's grant date.

“Clawback” Provision. A Named Executive Officer will be required to repay any severance pay and certain gains from equity awards in the event that two-thirds of the board determines (i) within two years of the officer's termination of employment, that he could have been terminated for cause, (ii) that he or she has violated the agreement's noncompetition or nonsolicitation covenants, or (iii) that he or she engaged in fraud or ethical misconduct that resulted in or directly contributed to the restatement of our financial results. A Named Executive Officer also may be required to repay incentive compensation if item (iii) is applicable.

Mr. Patricot's Employment Agreement

Mr. Patricot's 2009 employment agreement with our United Kingdom subsidiary was amended, effective December 12, 2012, to reflect changes consistent with his becoming a full-time tax resident in the United Kingdom. In addition to reflecting his current base salary, now expressed in British pounds sterling, his 2012 agreement provides for the following benefits:

- An annual allowance of £68,685 to assist with maintaining a residence in London;
- Reimbursement of the costs of tax preparation assistance;
- An annual cash payment (net of taxes) equal to the contributions that would have been made on his behalf to certain tax-favorable savings plans had he remained an employee of our French company; and
- A company car and related allowances, which is a standard benefit for our executives in the United Kingdom.

In the event of his involuntary termination without cause, Mr. Patricot would be entitled to a payment equal to two times his base salary and target bonus at the time of such termination (inclusive of any other broad-based programs offered to employees in our United Kingdom subsidiary or other statutory payments). Under such circumstances, he would also be subject to restrictive covenants, including a six-month non-competition period and a 12-month non-solicitation period.

Mr. Patricot's 2012 agreement also contains a “clawback” provision under which he would be required to repay some or all of the income realized from certain incentive compensation in the event two-thirds of the CCE Board of Directors determines that he engaged in fraud or ethical misconduct that resulted in, or directly contributed to, the restatement of our financial results. For this purpose, income from incentive compensation means long-term incentive awards and annual cash incentive awards, if the income is realized in, or within two years of, the year or years affected by such restatement.

Executive Benefit Programs

Our senior officers participate in our company-sponsored benefit programs on generally the same basis as other salaried employees in the country in which they are based. These benefits are designed to provide protection against the financial hardship that can result from illness, disability, or death, and to provide retirement income. In addition to these broad-based benefit programs, our Named Executive Officers are eligible to participate in the following executive-level benefit programs.

Retirement Plans

The U.S.-based Named Executive Officers participate in a tax-qualified defined contribution plan to which the company contributes 7% of each employee's compensation, up to Internal Revenue Code (“IRC”) limits. To the extent that the full 7% cannot be contributed to the qualified plan due to IRC limits, contributions are made to our nonqualified defined contribution plan, but only taking into consideration compensation up to \$500,000. Therefore, the maximum amount of combined contributions to these plans that any employee may receive during a calendar year is \$35,000. These executive officers are also permitted to elect to defer up to 70% of their base salary and annual cash incentive award under the nonqualified defined contribution plan.

During 2012, Mr. Patricot continued to participate in the French social security program and did not participate in any retirement plans sponsored by the company or its subsidiaries. Effective in 2013, Mr. Patricot will be eligible to participate in the defined contribution plan sponsored by our subsidiary in the United Kingdom. Under that plan, participants contribute a minimum of 3% of their pensionable salary. The company matches the individual participant's contributions, up to a maximum of 8%, and contributes an additional 2% of his or her pensionable salary.

Executive Welfare Plan Benefits

All U.S.-based employees are covered under a long-term disability program that provides a monthly disability benefit of up to 60% of the employee's salary, up to a maximum of \$10,000 a month. Our U.S.-based executives, including the Named Executive Officers, are also provided a monthly disability benefit of an additional 10% of his or her base salary, up to a maximum additional benefit of \$5,000 a month. Also, the U.S.-based Named Executive Officers, as well as other members of management, are eligible to participate in an executive physical program that provides enhanced diagnostic screenings and services. Mr. Patricot is provided private medical coverage, as are certain other members of management for our subsidiary in the United Kingdom.

Other Benefits or Perquisites

We provide limited other perquisites to our other senior officers, including the Named Executive Officers. Offered to all U.S.-based employees, our Named Executive Officers are eligible to participate in our charitable matching gifts program that makes a matching company donation to qualifying tax-exempt educational, arts and cultural organizations. From time to time, our executive leadership team sponsors incentive award programs that include travel and entertainment for participants and their spouses or partners. While we consider these programs to be business-related, certain of their costs may be imputed to the participants as income under tax regulations. When this is the case, the company does not reimburse the executives for the taxes on income related to their own participation. However, because the Committee believes that the attendance of these executives' spouses or partners serves a valid business purpose, it has delegated authority to the CEO to approve reimbursements for taxes on income attributable to their attendance at certain business events or incentive award trips. (At his request, tax reimbursements are not provided to Mr. Brock.) The amount of any tax reimbursements and the value of any other perquisites (if a requisite value is met) provided to a Named Executive Officer in 2012 are included in the "All Other Compensation" column of the Summary Compensation Table on page 38.

As mentioned above, Mr. Patricot receives additional benefits and perquisites under his employment agreement. Provision of a company car and related allowances are a customary form of compensation within the European market, and the other contractual benefits were provided to mitigate the increased costs associated with Mr. Patricot becoming ineligible for participation in Legacy CCE's international assignment program when he was required to relocate to London in 2008 upon assuming the leadership of its European business unit.

Additionally, the company operates aircraft that are used by our senior officers and other members of senior management to conduct company business. For personal security reasons, Mr. Brock is required by the board to use the company aircraft for all air travel, both business and personal. Other senior officers make limited use of the company aircraft for personal travel with the permission of the CEO. The Committee has adopted a policy that requires the CEO and senior officers to reimburse the company for the incremental costs associated with certain personal travel. When officers, including Mr. Brock, use the company aircraft for personal reasons, the value of any unreimbursed costs are reported as income, and they are responsible for the applicable taxes on that income.

Other Policies and Considerations

Compensation Risk Considerations

In 2012, the Compensation Committee conducted a risk assessment with respect to our compensation programs, concluding that our programs do not encourage inappropriate risk-taking by our employees or executives that would be reasonably likely to have a material adverse affect on the company.

With respect to any Committee decision regarding senior officers' incentive compensation opportunities, the Committee takes into consideration whether such opportunities would encourage the officers to take unreasonable business risks to realize the compensation at issue. Mitigating such risks, our compensation programs are designed so that a significant portion of our executive compensation opportunities are performance-based, with capped, upside-earning potential and goals set based on board-approved annual and long-term strategic business plans. These plans and the progress against them are reviewed by the full board throughout the year. Further, the board and the Committee hold executive sessions at each meeting and, throughout the year, have access to senior management and members of their teams to discuss any business issues.

The Committee has also constructed our executive compensation program to align the majority of each executive officer's compensation opportunities with the performance of the company's stock over longer periods of time (e.g., stock options with a ten-year term and PSU awards that are not payable for 42 months after grant). The goals established under both the annual and long-term incentive programs by the Committee are directly related to the annual and strategic long-term business plans that are reviewed and approved by the full board.

Through all of these mechanisms, the board and Committee have detailed visibility into our financial performance and other aspects of our operations mitigating the potential for excessive or inappropriate risk taking for our management employees.

Stock Ownership Policy

Our stock ownership policy requires that each senior officer acquire and maintain significant levels of company stock, generally within five years of becoming subject to the policy. The ownership levels are determined as a multiple of the senior officer's base salary: five times for the CEO, three times for an executive vice president, two times for a senior vice president and one time for a corporate vice president. An officer's current ownership level, which is reviewed annually, is determined by including shares owned by the officer or an immediate family member, 60% of the value of shares underlying in-the-money options, and all performance stock units or restricted stock units for which the performance conditions to vesting have been met. As of December 31, 2012, each of the Named Executive Officers had exceeded his or her required stock ownership levels.

Policy Prohibiting Hedging and Pledging Transaction

Our stock ownership policy also prohibits any senior officer, including the Named Executive Officers, from engaging in hedging strategies using puts, calls, or other derivative securities based on the value of the company's stock. Additionally, the Committee amended our policy in December 2012 to also prohibit pledging the company's stock as collateral for a loan or otherwise.

Equity Award Grant Policy

The Compensation Committee is solely responsible for making or modifying equity awards to our senior officers. The board has delegated authority to the CEO to make and modify equity awards to employees other than senior officers, subject to certain limits and procedural controls.

Our equity grant policy requires the exercise price for stock option grants to be at least equal to the closing market price on the grant date. The "grant date" is defined as the date on which both final approval of a grant has occurred and all of the elements of the grant are known. Our policy also sets forth the procedural and control requirements for granting annual, new hire, and promotional equity awards, and these requirements are rigorously followed.

Tax and Accounting Considerations

The Compensation Committee and management consider the accounting and tax effects of various compensation elements when designing our annual incentive and equity compensation plans and making other compensation decisions. Although we design our plans and programs to be tax-efficient and to minimize compensation expense, these considerations are secondary to meeting the overall objectives of the executive compensation program.

One of the significant tax considerations is IRC Section 162(m), which limits the tax deduction available for compensation over \$1 million paid to a public company's CEO and to each of the three other most highly compensated executive officers (other than the CFO) unless such compensation is "performance-based." To the extent consistent with our executive compensation program and the officers' employment agreements, we have designed our executive compensation program to be performance-based and also to comply with requirements for tax deductibility where feasible.

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year ⁽¹⁾	Salary ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Stock Awards ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Option Awards ⁽⁴⁾ (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ⁽⁵⁾ (\$)	All Other Compensation ⁽⁶⁾ (\$)	Total (\$)
John F. Brock	2012	1,200,000	4,363,436	2,799,846	1,607,760	278,805	10,249,847
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	2011	1,200,000	4,199,490	2,799,762	2,031,480	366,911	10,597,643
	2010	1,192,308	11,298,420	2,800,158	3,500,000	323,432	22,849,054
William W. Douglas III	2012	561,250	934,108	599,886	546,320	72,694	2,714,258
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2011	550,000	900,450	600,216	689,700	59,821	2,800,187
	2010	543,500	2,099,620	599,865	1,165,000	121,109	4,837,473
Hubert Patricot	2012	580,699	934,108	599,886	488,589	283,312	2,886,594
Executive Vice President and President, European Group	2011	596,124	900,450	600,216	706,189	245,211	3,048,190
	2010	529,164	3,080,500	479,892	1,167,622	302,018	5,559,196
John R. Parker, Jr.	2012	525,000	623,805	399,735	340,541	112,042	2,001,123
Senior Vice President and General Counsel	2011	510,000	600,300	399,966	511,632	76,070	2,097,968
	2010	505,808	1,400,560	400,107	870,000	128,205	3,907,026
Suzanne D. Patterson	2012	322,500	233,527	150,255	192,245	50,000	948,527
Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer	2011	311,308	224,460	150,054	272,759	52,202	1,010,783
	2010	298,693	524,600	150,114	448,107	28,552	1,550,218

All amounts shown are in U.S. dollars.

⁽¹⁾ CCE became a public company on October 2, 2010, and we paid the compensation for our Named Executive Officers for the period of October 2, 2010, through December 31, 2010. However, we have included compensation provided to these officers by Legacy CCE for the period of January 1, 2010 through October 1, 2010, in order to give a complete description of the compensation they received in 2010. We have not, however, included information regarding 2010 accruals under Legacy CCE's defined benefit pension plans because the officers no longer participate in those plans, and, as of December 2010, we do not maintain any defined benefit plans. Note, however, that the 2010 total compensation shown for the U.S.-based Named Executive Officers includes the value of that year's pension accruals under the Legacy CCE pension plan.

⁽²⁾ Mr. Patricot's salary from January 1, 2012 through December 22, 2012, was paid in euros and has been converted to U.S. dollars based on the average of the daily exchange rates for 2012, which was 1.2856. His salary from December 23, 2012 through December 31, 2012, was paid in British pounds sterling and has been converted to U.S. dollars based on the exchange rate on the date of payment, which was 1.6031. (His 2011 and 2010 amounts were paid in euros based on exchange rates of 1.392 and 1.328, respectively.) As discussed on page 35 of the CD&A, Mr. Patricot's 2012 employment agreement provides for his compensation to be paid in British pounds sterling, but the majority of his 2012 related compensation was provided in euros. Accordingly, the footnotes to this table reference the conversion of Mr. Patricot's amounts to U.S. dollars based on the how the payments were made or the benefits valued.

⁽³⁾ Amounts shown reflect the aggregate fair value of the 2012 PSU awards as of their grant date calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 718, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures. Although the closing price of our shares was \$30.79 on the grant date, the values shown above were determined under the Monte Carlo valuation model, which valued each PSU at \$31.99, assuming the target EPS growth rate goal is met. Therefore, the values shown above were calculated by multiplying this PSU value by the number of shares that would be earned if the actual performance during the applicable performance period is consistent with the probable performance as of the grant date, which was 100% of the 2012 target award. However, assuming the maximum payout under this award is achieved, each PSU is valued at \$30.5268; accordingly, the value of each Named Executive Officer's award as of the grant date, assuming the highest level of performance under the 2012 PSU award (225% of the target), is as follows:

Officer	Value at 225% Performance
John F. Brock	\$ 9,368,675
William W. Douglas III	2,005,611
Hubert Patricot	2,005,611
John R. Parker, Jr.	1,339,363
Suzanne D. Patterson	501,403

Dividend equivalents provided for under the 2012 PSU awards were taken into account in determining the fair value of the underlying awards. No assumptions were made regarding forfeitures or the nontransferability for the awards. The valuation assumptions used for determining the amounts discussed in this footnote are provided in Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

⁽⁴⁾ Amounts shown reflect the aggregate fair value of 2012 stock option awards as of their grant date calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 718. The values were calculated using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The valuation assumptions used for determining the amounts discussed in this footnote are provided in Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

⁽⁵⁾ Amounts shown reflect the Named Executive Officers' total annual incentive earned during 2012 under the Executive Management Incentive Plan ("MIP"). These amounts were approved by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee at its February 4, 2013, meeting and will be paid in March or, for Mr. Patricot, April 2013. Mr. Patricot's non-equity incentive plan compensation award has been converted to U.S. dollars from euros based on the daily exchange rate of 1.3639, which was the rate on February 4, 2013, the date on which the Human Resources and Compensation Committee approved his award.

(His 2011 and 2010 amounts were converted from euros to U.S. dollars based on exchange rates of 1.315 and 1.2577, respectively.) Amounts shown are not reduced to reflect deferrals, if any, to qualified or retirement plans.

⁽⁶⁾ Amounts shown as “All Other Compensation” reflect, for each Named Executive Officer, the sum of (i) the incremental cost to the company of all perquisites and other personal benefits, (ii) the amount of any tax reimbursements or gross-up payments, and (iii) the amounts contributed by the company to a defined contribution plan maintained by the company.

Type of Perquisite/Personal Benefit ^(a)	Mr. Brock	Mr. Douglas	Mr. Patricot	Mr. Parker	Ms. Patterson
Incremental cost of personal use of company aircraft ^(b)	\$ 199,405	\$ -	\$ 0	\$ -	\$ 0
Legal / financial planning assistance ^(c)	0	0	-	0	-
Payment in lieu of defined contribution participation ^(d)	0	0	42,729	0	0
Mobility allowance / costs associated with sponsoring reward and recognition events ^(c)	29,944	-	133,661	-	0
Auto allowance ^(f)	0	0	-	0	0
Other ^(g)	-	-	-	-	0

^(a) This table outlines those perquisites and other personal benefits required by SEC rules to be separately described and/or quantified. A dash indicates that the Named Executive Officer received this type of perquisite or personal benefit but the amount is not required to be disclosed in this footnote under SEC rules.

^(b) Amounts shown reflect the incremental cost of personal use of company aircraft by the Named Executive Officers during 2012. These amounts were calculated based on the variable operating costs to the company for each flight hour attributed to personal use (as well as any flight hours attributable to empty pick-up or return flights), including fuel costs; labor, parts, and maintenance costs; landing and parking fees; on-board catering costs; and crew expenses during layovers. These per-hour costs were determined by using industry-standard cost-estimating guides, which are updated semi-annually. Because company aircraft are used primarily for business purposes, the amounts provided exclude fixed costs, such as pilot salaries and training and overhead costs associated with our aircraft hangar.

^(c) Under Mr. Patricot’s employment agreement, the company covers the cost of tax return preparation assistance to Mr. Patricot. The exchange rate used to convert the amount of these costs from British pounds sterling to U.S. dollars was 1.5847, the average daily exchange rate during 2012. Under Ms. Patterson’s employment agreement, the company provides an annual allowance that may be, but is not required to be, used for legal and financial planning assistance.

^(d) No contributions were made to a company-sponsored savings plan on Mr. Patricot’s behalf during 2012; however, pursuant to his employment agreement, he received a direct payment equal to the amount the company would have contributed to its French profit sharing plans in 2012 on his behalf had he been eligible to participate in such plans. The exchange rate used to convert this payment from euros to U.S. dollars was 1.2576, the exchange rate on the date the payment was made.

^(e) For Mr. Brock and Mr. Patricot, amounts reflect the incremental cost to the company for his and his spouse's participation in a recognition/reward travel program sponsored by the executive leadership team. Mr. Patricot's amount also reflects payments of a mobility allowance related to his localization in Great Britain, as provided under his 2009 employment agreement. The exchange rate used to convert the mobility payment from euros to U.S. dollars was 1.2856, the average daily exchange rate for 2012.

^(f) Mr. Patricot receives the same auto allowance offered to all executives who are based in Great Britain. The currency exchange rate used to convert the amount included for this allowance from euros to U.S. dollars was 1.2856, the average daily exchange rate in 2012.

^(g) "Other" category includes the company-paid costs for the U.S.-based officer’s participation in the executive physical program and premiums related to supplemental long-term disability coverage, as well as the company’s matching gifts under its charitable gifts program. For Mr. Patricot, it includes the cost to the company of a private health insurance above the level provided to all other employees in our subsidiary in the United Kingdom. The currency exchange rate used to convert the amount included for this allowance from euros to U.S. dollars was 1.2856, the average daily exchange rate in 2012.

As noted above, “All Other Compensation” also includes the amounts contributed by the company to defined contribution plans and the amount of any company-paid taxes, which, for 2012, was as follows:

Compensation Category	Mr. Brock	Mr. Douglas	Mr. Patricot	Mr. Parker	Ms. Patterson
Company contributions to defined contribution plans ^(a)	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 0	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000
Company-paid taxes ^(b)	0	9,739	78,252	24,135	0

^(a) Amounts shown for U.S.-based Named Executive Officers reflect company contributions made and/or credited on their behalf under our 401(k) plan and nonqualified deferred compensation plan.

^(b) Amount shown reflects a tax gross-up payment on imputed income associated with the officers' spouses' attendance at business events, and, for Mr. Patricot, on income related to the amount the company paid to him in lieu of participation in a company-sponsored defined contribution plan. For Mr. Patricot, the amounts related to his spouse's attendance at business events were converted to U.S. dollars from euros by applying an exchange rate of 1.3215, the rate on the date the payment was made to him, and the amount related to the payment in lieu of retirement plan participation, was converted to U.S. dollars from euros was 1.2576, the exchange rate on the date the payment was made to him.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The general terms of the incentive compensation provided to our Named Executive Officers are described below or, as referenced, in the CD&A. Additionally, the provisions of these awards that apply upon a grantee's termination of employment under various scenarios are summarized in the "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" section beginning on page 45.

Nonequity Incentive Compensation. The company provided an annual cash incentive opportunity to executives under the 2012 Executive Management Incentive Plan ("MIP"). A description of the MIP's design, relevant performance targets and actual performance is provided in the CD&A beginning on page 29.

Annual Stock Option Awards. On November 5, 2012, the Named Executive Officers were awarded stock options with an exercise price of \$30.79. For Mr. Patricot, these options vest in one-third increments on November 5, 2013, 2014 and 2015. For Messrs. Brock, Douglas and Parker, these options vest in one-half increments on November 5, 2013 and 2014. Ms. Patterson's options vest on November 5, 2013. The U.S.-based Named Executive Officers' vested options may be exercised for ten years after the date of grant. Mr. Patricot's vested options may be exercised for ten years after the date of grant, assuming continued employment.

Annual Performance Share Unit Awards. On November 5, 2012, the Named Executive Officers were awarded PSUs, which entitle them to shares of company stock (and a cash payment representing hypothetical dividends) if the award's vesting conditions are satisfied. A description of the 2012 PSU design, as well as the minimum, target, and maximum EPS and TSR performance targets, are provided in the CD&A beginning on page 32.

The following table summarizes the annual cash incentive awards and equity awards granted to the Named Executive Officers during 2012:

Name	Grant Date	Committee Action Date ⁽¹⁾	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽³⁾			All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards ⁽⁴⁾ (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards ⁽⁵⁾ (\$)
			Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Max. (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Max. (#)			
John F. Brock											
2012 Executive MIP	2/6/2012	2/6/2012	450,000	1,800,000	4,680,000						
2012 Incentive Award (Options)	11/5/2012	10/22/2012						493,800	30.79	2,799,846	
2012 Incentive Award (PSUs)	11/5/2012	10/22/2012				51,150	136,400	306,900		4,363,436	
William W. Douglas III											
2012 Executive MIP	2/6/2012	2/6/2012	140,168	560,673	1,457,750						
2012 Incentive Award (Options)	11/5/2012	10/22/2012						105,800	30.79	599,886	
2012 Incentive Award (PSUs)	11/5/2012	10/22/2012				10,950	29,200	65,700		934,108	
Hubert Patricot											
2012 Executive MIP	2/6/2012	2/6/2012	145,751	583,005	1,515,814						
2012 Incentive Award (Options)	11/5/2012	10/22/2012						105,800	30.79	599,886	
2012 Incentive Award (PSUs)	11/5/2012	10/22/2012				10,950	29,200	65,700		934,108	
John R. Parker, Jr.											
2012 Executive MIP	2/6/2012	2/6/2012	104,846	419,385	1,090,400						
2012 Incentive Award (Options)	11/5/2012	10/22/2012						70,500	30.79	399,735	
2012 Incentive Award (PSUs)	11/5/2012	10/22/2012				7,313	19,500	43,875		623,805	
Suzanne D. Patterson											
2012 Executive MIP	2/6/2012	2/6/2012	56,370	225,481	586,250						
2012 Incentive Award (Options)	11/5/2012	10/22/2012						26,500	30.79	150,255	
2012 Incentive Award (PSUs)	11/5/2012	10/22/2012				2,738	7,300	16,425		233,527	

⁽¹⁾ At its February 2012 meeting, the Compensation Committee approved the terms and values of the annual cash incentive award under the Executive MIP. At its October 2012 meeting, the Committee approved the terms and values of the annual option and PSU awards. These cash and equity incentive awards were granted under the company's 2010 Incentive Award Plan (As Amended Effective February 7, 2012).

⁽²⁾ Amounts shown reflect the threshold, target, and maximum awards for business goals under the 2012 MIP, which is described in detail in the CD&A beginning on page 29. For purposes of this table, we applied an individual performance factor of 1.0 for each officer under the threshold and target incentive amounts so that the incentive amount payable for the minimum and target levels of business performance are described. However, because the maximum incentive amount could have been earned by applying a 1.3 individual performance factor, this feature of the MIP is reflected in the maximum incentive amount. Individual performance factors below 1.0 could have reduced each of the amounts to \$0. The exchange rate used to convert Mr. Patricot's award amounts from euros to U.S. dollars was 1.3215, the exchange rate on December 31, 2012.

The actual MIP award payments, the amounts of which are provided in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table, were approved at the Committee's February 2013, meeting and will be paid in March or April 2013.

(3) The amounts shown are the threshold, target, and maximum numbers of shares of company stock that may be earned, based on the extent to which the EPS and/or TSR goals are met, under the 2012 PSU Awards, as described beginning on page 31 of the CD&A.

(4) The exercise price of the 2012 stock options is the closing price of the company's stock on the grant date, November 5, 2012, as reported in the NYSE Composite Transactions listing.

(5) The valuation assumptions used for determining the amounts discussed in this footnote are provided in Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. Specifically, the fair value of the stock option awards was determined under the Black-Scholes valuation model. Although the closing price of a share of our common stock was \$30.79 on the grant date, the values shown above for the PSU awards were determined under the Monte Carlo valuation model, which valued each PSU at \$31.99. Therefore, the values shown above were calculated by multiplying \$31.99 by the number of shares that would be earned if the actual performance during the applicable performance period is consistent with the probable performance as of the grant date, which was 100%. Dividend equivalents provided under the PSU awards were taken into account in determining the fair value of the underlying awards, but the effect of estimated forfeitures was excluded.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The table below summarizes the Named Executive Officers' equity awards⁽¹⁾ that were unvested or unexercised, as applicable, as of December 31, 2012. For purposes of this table, "market value" was determined by multiplying the number of stock units by \$31.73, the closing trading price of our common stock on December 31, 2012.

Name	Grant Date	Options Awards			Stock Awards				
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (# Exercisable)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (# Unexercisable)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
John F. Brock									
Options	4/25/2006	1,312,842		14.19	4/25/2016				
Options	8/3/2006	371,972		14.94	8/3/2016				
Options	10/31/2007	340,318		17.70	10/31/2017				
Options	10/30/2008	738,624		6.74	10/30/2018				
Options	11/4/2009	776,474		13.11	11/4/2019				
Options	11/4/2010	315,866	157,934 ⁽²⁾	24.40	11/4/2020				
Options	11/3/2011	262,150	262,150 ⁽³⁾	26.10	11/3/2021				
Options	11/5/2012		493,800 ⁽⁵⁾	30.79	11/5/2022				
RSUs	11/4/2010						204,900 ⁽⁸⁾	6,501,477	
PSUs	11/4/2009					641,252 ⁽¹⁰⁾	20,346,926		
PSUs	11/4/2010					304,617 ⁽¹¹⁾	9,665,497		
PSUs	11/3/2011					90,651 ⁽¹³⁾	2,876,358		
PSUs	11/5/2012						306,900 ⁽¹⁵⁾	9,737,937	
William W. Douglas III									
Options	9/1/2005	58,348		15.30	9/1/2015				
Options	8/3/2006	102,146		14.94	8/3/2016				
Options	10/31/2007	65,933		17.70	10/31/2017				
Options	10/30/2008	211,709		6.74	10/30/2018				
Options	11/4/2009	166,439		13.11	11/4/2019				
Options	11/4/2010	67,666	33,834 ⁽²⁾	24.40	11/4/2020				
Options	11/3/2011	56,200	56,200 ⁽³⁾	26.10	11/3/2021				
Options	11/5/2012		105,800 ⁽⁵⁾	30.79	11/5/2022				
RSUs	11/4/2010						30,700 ⁽⁸⁾	974,111	
PSUs	11/4/2009					137,412 ⁽¹⁰⁾	4,360,083		
PSUs	11/4/2010					65,313 ⁽¹¹⁾	2,072,381		
PSUs	11/3/2011					19,437 ⁽¹³⁾	616,746		
PSUs	11/5/2012						65,700 ⁽¹⁵⁾	2,084,661	

Name	Grant Date	Options Awards			Stock Awards				
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (# Exercisable)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (# Unexercisable)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
Hubert Patricot									
Options	2/26/2004	25,527		16.19	2/26/2014				
Options	9/1/2005	14,587		15.30	9/1/2015				
Options	8/3/2006	15,316		14.94	8/3/2016				
Options	10/31/2007	12,836		17.70	10/31/2017				
Options	10/30/2008	7,338		6.74	10/30/2018				
Options	11/4/2009	133,180		13.11	11/4/2019				
Options	11/4/2010	54,132	27,068 ⁽²⁾	24.40	11/4/2020				
Options	11/3/2011	37,466	74,934 ⁽⁴⁾	26.10	11/3/2021				
Options	11/5/2012		105,800 ⁽⁶⁾	30.79	11/5/2022				
RSUs	11/4/2010						24,600 ⁽⁸⁾	780,558	
RSUs	11/4/2010						57,400 ⁽⁹⁾	1,821,302	
PSUs	11/4/2009				109,988 ⁽¹⁰⁾	3,489,919			
PSUs	11/4/2010				52,215 ⁽¹²⁾	1,656,782			
PSUs	11/3/2011				19,437 ⁽¹⁴⁾	616,746			
PSUs	11/5/2012						65,700 ⁽¹⁶⁾	2,084,661	
John R. Parker, Jr.									
Options	2/26/2004	72,935		16.19	2/26/2014				
Options	9/1/2005	58,348		15.30	9/1/2015				
Options	8/3/2006	24,798		14.94	8/3/2016				
Options	10/31/2007	38,364		17.70	10/31/2017				
Options	11/4/2010	45,133	22,567 ⁽²⁾	24.40	11/4/2020				
Options	11/3/2011	37,450	37,450 ⁽³⁾	26.10	11/3/2021				
Options	11/5/2012		70,500 ⁽⁵⁾	30.79	11/5/2022				
RSUs	11/4/2010						20,500 ⁽⁸⁾	650,465	
PSUs	11/4/2009				91,608 ⁽¹⁰⁾	2,906,722			
PSUs	11/4/2010				43,542 ⁽¹¹⁾	1,381,588			
PSUs	11/3/2011				12,958 ⁽¹³⁾	411,164			
PSUs	11/5/2012						43,875 ⁽¹⁵⁾	1,392,154	
Suzanne D. Patterson									
Options	11/4/2010		8,467 ⁽²⁾	24.40	11/4/2020				
Options	11/3/2011		14,050 ⁽³⁾	26.10	11/3/2021				
Options	11/5/2012		26,500 ⁽⁷⁾	30.79	11/5/2022				
PSUs	11/4/2009				34,426 ⁽¹⁰⁾	1,092,337			
PSUs	11/4/2010				16,284 ⁽¹¹⁾	516,691			
PSUs	11/3/2011				4,845 ⁽¹³⁾	153,739			
PSUs	11/5/2012						16,425 ⁽¹⁷⁾	521,165	

¹ Equity awards granted by Legacy CCE prior to 2010 and held by our Named Executive Officers on October 2, 2010, were converted to CCE awards, with the number of shares underlying the awards converted in accordance with the terms of the Transaction agreement. Specifically, any shares of unvested restricted stock were converted on a one-for-one basis, plus the \$10 per share merger consideration paid to all shareholders. Stock options, restricted stock units, and performance share units were converted based on a conversion ratio equal to the ratio of the trading price of Legacy CCE's stock on the day before the Transaction's closing compared to that same price less \$10. Exercise prices of stock options were adjusted accordingly. The conversion methodology was intended to maintain each award's same intrinsic value immediately before and after the conversion of the awards.

Type of Grant	Grant Date	Vesting Rate	Vesting Dates	Conditions
² Service-based stock options	11/4/2010	33 1/3% per year	11/4/2011 11/4/2012 11/4/2013	Continued employment through vesting date required
³ Service-based stock options	11/3/2011	50% per year	11/3/2012 11/3/2013	Continued employment through vesting date required
⁴ Service-based stock options	11/3/2011	33 1/3% per year	11/3/2012 11/3/2013 11/3/2014	Continued employment through vesting date required
⁵ Service-based stock options	11/5/2012	50% per year	11/5/2013 11/5/2014	Continued employment through vesting date required
⁶ Service-based stock options	11/5/2012	33 1/3% per year	11/5/2013 11/5/2014 11/5/2015	Continued employment through vesting date required
⁷ Service-based stock options	11/5/2012	100% cliff-vesting	11/5/2013	Continued employment through vesting date required
⁸ Performance-based restricted share units	11/4/2010	100% cliff-vesting	11/4/2012	Continued service through the vesting date has been met. Vesting was conditioned on the Committee's determination of satisfactory attainment of performance goals, which occurred in February 2013
⁹ Performance-based restricted share units	11/4/2010	100% cliff-vesting	11/4/2013	Continued employment through vesting date required Vesting was conditioned on the Committee's determination of satisfactory attainment of performance goals, which occurred in February 2013
¹⁰ Performance share units	11/4/2009	100% cliff-vesting	4/30/2013	Maximum number of shares were earned based on EPS performance for the period of 1/1/2010 through 12/31/2010 Continued employment through 4/30/2013 required
¹¹ Performance share units	11/4/2010	100% cliff-vesting	12/31/2013	Number of shares earned based on EPS performance for the period of 1/1/2011 through 12/31/2011 was determined in February 2012 to be 177% of the target award Continued employment through vesting date required
¹² Performance share units	11/4/2010	100% cliff-vesting	4/30/2014	Number of shares earned based on EPS performance for the period of 1/1/2011 through 12/31/2011 was determined in February 2012 to be 177% of the target award Continued employment through vesting date required
¹³ Performance share units	11/3/2011	100% cliff-vesting	12/31/2013	Number of shares EPS performance for the period of 1/1/2012 through 12/31/2012, was determined in February 2013 to be 56.34% of the target award Continued employment through vesting date required
¹⁴ Performance share units	11/3/2011	100% cliff-vesting	4/30/2015	Number of shares EPS performance for the period of 1/1/2012 through 12/31/2012, was determined in February 2013 to be 56.34% of the target award Continued employment through vesting date required
¹⁵ Performance share units	11/5/2012	100% cliff-vesting	12/29/2014	Number of shares shown in table is based on assumed maximum EPS and TSR performance for the period of 1/1/2013 through 12/31/2013, but number of shares earned will be based on actual EPS and TSR performance Continued employment through vesting date required
¹⁶ Performance share units	11/5/2012	100% cliff-vesting	4/30/2016	Number of shares shown in table is based on assumed maximum EPS and TSR performance for the period of 1/1/2013 through 12/31/2013, but number of shares earned will be based on actual EPS and TSR performance Continued employment through vesting date required
¹⁷ Performance share units	11/5/2012	100% cliff-vesting	12/31/2013	Number of shares shown in table is based on assumed maximum EPS and TSR performance for the period of 1/1/2013 through 12/31/2013, but number of shares earned will be based on actual EPS and TSR performance Continued employment through vesting date required

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

During 2012, the Named Executive Officers had restricted stock and/or restricted stock unit awards vest, and they exercised stock options, as described in the following table:

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#) ⁽¹⁾	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$) ⁽²⁾
John F. Brock	82,000	1,989,320	1,158,512	34,703,227
William W. Douglas III	29,174	423,023	249,442	7,472,035
Hubert Patricot	49,125	995,273	142,664	4,273,500
John R. Parker, Jr.	178,887	3,474,869	160,460	4,806,579
Suzanne D. Patterson	44,840	422,034	41,124	1,244,678

⁽¹⁾ The stock options exercises by Messrs. Brock and Patricot and Ms. Patterson during 2012 were all pursuant to trading plans established under Rule 10b5-1 of the 1934 Act.

⁽²⁾ The value of the PSUs and/or RSUs awards that vested in 2012 was determined by multiplying the average of the high and low trading prices of our common stock on the respective award's vesting date, multiplied by the number of shares underlying the award.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

Supplemental Savings Plan. We sponsor a nonqualified supplemental savings plan (the “Supplemental Plan”) under which U.S.-based management may defer the receipt and taxation of up to 70% of their regular pay and annual cash incentive awards.

Additionally, the company also credits contributions equal to 7% of the participant’s salary and annual cash incentive award paid during the year to the extent such contributions are in excess of the tax code limits on contributions to our 401(k) plan. However, \$500,000 is the maximum compensation that will be used in determining the company’s annual contribution or contribution credit to a participant’s accounts under both the 401(k) and the Supplemental Plan.

A participant may receive Supplemental Plan distributions only following his or her separation from service with the company or in a designated year following separation. The distribution is paid as a lump-sum or in up to ten annual installments, according to the participant’s election.

Deferral of Vested Restricted Stock Unit Awards. Pursuant to the terms of certain restricted stock unit awards, the shares (and cash equal to hypothetical dividend credits) otherwise payable upon vesting may not be distributed until a specified future date. On such specified date, the shares and cash will be distributed to the participant in a lump sum. Because the awards are vested (i.e., no longer subject to forfeiture), they are considered to be nonqualified deferred compensation arrangements for purposes of the following table.

The table below shows the Supplemental Plan contributions made by the U.S.-based Named Executive Officers during 2012. The table also shows the aggregate earnings credited to the executives’ Supplemental Plan accounts during 2012, as well as the executives’ aggregate balances under the Supplemental Plan as of December 31, 2012. None of the Named Executive Officers received payments under the Supplemental Plan during 2012. For Mr. Parker, the table also includes the aggregate earnings related to, and the distributions of, his restricted stock unit award that vested in 2010.

Name	Executive Contributions in 2012 ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Company Contributions in 2012 ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions in 2012 ⁽⁴⁾ (\$)	Aggregate Balance at 12/31/2012 ⁽⁵⁾ (\$)
John F. Brock	—	17,500	434,020	—	4,712,377
William W. Douglas III	—	17,500	124,607	—	977,540
Hubert Patricot ⁽⁶⁾	—	—	6,827	—	148,474
John R. Parker, Jr.	—	17,500	68,845	317,983	1,573,744
Suzanne D. Patterson	—	17,500	51,851	—	422,462

⁽¹⁾ Contributions to the Supplemental Plan that relate to an executive’s deferrals from salary are included in the “Salary” column of the Summary Compensation Table on page 38. Contribution amounts that relate to deferrals of annual cash incentives are included in the “Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation” column of the Summary Compensation Table.

- (2) All company contributions to the Supplemental Plan are included in the “All Other Compensation” column of the Summary Compensation Table.
- (3) A participant’s account under the Supplemental Plan is deemed to be invested in hypothetical investment options selected by the participant from among a menu of mutual funds offered under the company’s 401(k) plan. The account is credited with gains or losses experienced by these hypothetical investments. Accordingly, the Supplemental Plan does not credit above-market or preferential earnings on nonqualified deferred compensation. For Mr. Parker, \$43,785 of his amount relates to the earnings with respect to his deferred vested restricted stock unit award, determined as the increase in value of the shares of our common stock between December 31, 2011, and the date they were payable, as well as the amount credited as hypothetical dividends during that period. No amounts reported in this column have previously been reported in the Summary Compensation Table, because neither the Supplemental Plan nor Mr. Parker’s deferred stock units provide for above-market returns.
- (4) Amounts shown reflect the value of the shares and cash related to the restricted stock unit award in which Mr. Parker vested in 2010 and that, in accordance with the terms of the award, was paid to him during 2012. The value of the shares was determined as the average of the high and low trading prices of the company’s common stock on the date the award became payable.
- (5) Amounts shown include the executive’s and company’s contributions and associated earnings/losses during 2012, as well as deferrals of salary and annual incentives (together with associated earnings) from prior years’ participation in Legacy CCE’s supplemental plans. The amounts reflected in this column, with the exception of the reported aggregate earnings, have been reported in prior company proxy statements.
- (6) Amounts shown for Mr. Patricot reflect his 2012 earnings and December 31, 2012, account balance under our French company’s defined contribution plan (as converted from euros to U.S. dollars using a December 31, 2012, exchange rate of 1.3215). Mr. Patricot has not participated in this plan since his relocation to Great Britain in 2009.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

The company has entered into employment agreements with each of the U.S.-based Named Executive Officers that provide for cash payments and equity vesting in the event of specified termination events. For the U.S.-based officers, these events are, as follows:

- involuntary termination without cause;
- voluntary termination by the executive for good reason (no longer applicable to Messrs. Brock, Douglas and Parker under their 2012 Agreements);
- involuntary termination without cause or voluntary termination for good reason within two years of a change in control of the company; and
- death or termination due to disability.

Mr. Patricot’s employment agreement with our United Kingdom subsidiary provides for cash payments in the event of his involuntary termination without cause. (Although Mr. Patricot is eligible for termination payments under our United Kingdom subsidiary’s redundancy program, these amounts are offset by the severance payment provided for under his employment agreement.)

The Named Executive Officers’ employment agreements, including the methodology for calculating any payments under these potential termination scenarios and the executives’ obligations to the company under such circumstances, are described beginning on page 32 of the CD&A.

The company does not provide any cash payments upon an executive’s voluntary termination without good reason, involuntary termination for cause or in the event of a change in control of the company, unless there is also a subsequent termination event. Similarly, no equity awards become vested under these circumstances, except that certain equity awards may become vested (and the option exercise period extended) if the executive meets certain criteria for retirement at the time of his or her termination. Finally, the stock options granted prior to 2006 provide for extended exercise periods upon a change in control of the company.

The “Potential Termination Scenario Summary Table” that begins on page 47 shows the amount of any cash benefits payable under the various termination events, as well as the value of any equity for which vesting is accelerated upon such an event.

The treatment of equity awards upon termination of employment depends on the reason for the termination and/or the executive’s age and length of service at the time. The charts below summarize the termination provisions of the equity awards (which also reflect the equity-related terms of the U.S.-based executives’ employment agreements) that would be applicable to the equity awards held by our Named Executive Officers on December 31, 2012.

Stock Option Awards

2010 - 2012 Awards. The stock option awards granted in 2010, 2011 and 2012 to our Named Executive Officers provide for the following treatment:

Termination Event	Vesting Treatment of Unvested Options	Vested Options Exercise Period (After Date of Termination)
Involuntary termination without cause or voluntary termination with good reason within two years after a change in control ("Change in Control Termination")	100% vesting	Option expiration date
Involuntary termination without cause or, for U.S.-based officers only, voluntary termination for good reason ("Severance Termination") Note: For Messrs. Brock, Douglas and Parker, "Severance Termination" does not include voluntary termination for good reason for options or PSUs granted in 2012	Pro rata vesting based on service between grant and vesting dates For Mr. Patricot: Two years of additional years of service credited for vesting, except for his 2010 UK approved options that provide for 100% vesting	Option expiration date For Mr. Patricot: 24 months after termination, except for his 2010 UK approved options that provide for 36 months after termination
Death or disability	100% vesting	60 months after death or termination due to disability For Mr. Patricot: 36 months after death or termination due to disability, except for his 2010 UK approved options that provide for 12 months after death
Retirement at or after age 55 with at least 5 years of service ("Rule of 60 Retirement") (Mr. Patricot only)	100% vesting	48 months after retirement, except for his UK approved options that provide for 36 months
Other	Forfeiture	Option expiration date For Mr. Patricot: 6 months after termination

Pre-2010 Awards. All the stock option awards granted prior to 2010 to our Named Executive Officers were fully vested as of December 31, 2012. In the event of termination, the period for exercising these vested options would be, as follows:

Termination Event	Vested Options Exercise Period (After Date of Termination, but Not Exceeding Option Expiration Date)
For pre-2006 awards, upon a change in control	Option expiration date
For 2006 - 2009 awards, upon a Change in Control Termination	Option expiration date
Death or disability	36 months after death or termination due to disability or 60 months for pre-2006 awards For Mr. Patricot: 6 months after death for his pre-2008 awards and 36 months for his 2008 and 2009 awards
For pre-2006 awards, retirement at or after age 55 if age and years of service equal at least 75 ("Rule of 75 Retirement")	60 months after termination
For 2006-2009 awards, retirement at or after age 55 (age 65 for Mr. Patricot) with at least 5 years of service ("Rule of 60 Retirement")	48 months after termination For Mr. Patricot: 36 months after termination 2008 and 2009 awards
Other	6 months after termination

Performance Share Unit Awards

If a Named Executive Officer's employment with the company terminates before his or her 2009 through 2012 performance share unit awards have vested, the following terms apply:

2010 - 2012 PSU Awards

Termination Event	Applicable Terms
Severance Termination Under the 2012 PSU awards for Messrs. Brock, Douglas and Parker, "Severance Termination" does not include voluntary termination for good reason	Service-vesting condition waived on pro rata portion of the award earned if any, based on satisfaction of performance-vesting condition
Rule of 60 Retirement (Mr. Patricot only)	Service-vesting condition waived on a pro rata portion of the award earned, if any, based on satisfaction of performance-vesting condition
Death, disability or Change in Control Termination	Service-vesting and performance-vesting conditions waived on 100% of the target award if event occurs before end of performance period. (At 12/31/2012, only applicable to 2012 award) Service-vesting condition waived on portion of award earned if the event occurs on or following the end of the performance period. (At 12/31/2012, applicable to 2010-2011 awards)
Other	100% of award forfeited immediately

2009 PSU Award

Termination Event	Applicable Terms
Death, disability or Severance Termination	100% of the earned award vests immediately For Mr. Patricot: only a pro rata portion of the earned award vests immediately
Change in Control Termination	100% of the earned award vests immediately
Rule of 60 Retirement (Applicable only to Messrs. Brock and Parker only)	100% of the award already earned vests immediately
Other	100% of the award forfeited immediately

2010 Inaugural Restricted Stock Unit Awards. The service condition to vesting for 100% of Messrs. Brock, Douglas and Parker's 2010 RSU awards, and for a portion of Mr. Patricot's award, was met during 2012. However, the Compensation Committee, as of December 31, 2012, had not determined that the performance conditions to vesting had been met (although it did so in February 2013). The following chart describes how this award would be affected (under either the terms of the award or the officer's employment agreements) in the event of his termination of employment as of December 31, 2012:

Termination Event	Applicable Terms
Change of Control Termination	100% vests immediately
Death or disability	100% vests immediately
Involuntary termination without cause	A pro rata portion of the award vests immediately
Other	100% of the award forfeited immediately

Potential Termination Scenario Summary Table

The amounts shown in the table below assume that the specified hypothetical triggering event (termination or change in control, as applicable) occurred on December 31, 2012. (A change in control without a subsequent termination is not an event that triggers any cash payments or the acceleration of vesting of any equity awards.)

Values shown in the table and footnotes below are based on the closing trading price of our common stock on December 31, 2012, which was \$31.73. The amounts shown reflect only the additional payments or benefits that a Named

Executive Officer would have received upon the occurrence of the respective triggering events listed below; they do not include the value of payments or benefits earned without regard to the event, or any amounts associated with equity awards for which vesting has not been accelerated or performance requirements waived on account of the triggering event. Other relevant assumptions and explanations are provided in the footnotes following the table.

Named Executive Officer	Payment Type	Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control				
		Involuntary Termination w/o Cause	Voluntary Termination for Good Reason	Termination within 2 Years of Change in Control (Involuntary or Good Reason Termination Required)	Voluntary Termination w/o Good Reason or Rule of 60 Retirement	Death/ Disability
John F. Brock	Cash ⁽¹⁾	\$ 8,650,000	\$ —	\$ 10,150,000	\$ —	\$ 8,650,000
	Intrinsic Value of RSUs/PSUs after Vesting Acceleration ⁽²⁾	35,343,367	8,162,043	43,718,231	20,346,926	43,718,231
	Intrinsic Value of Options after Vesting Acceleration ⁽²⁾	1,755,051	1,697,029	3,097,733	—	3,097,733
	Total	\$ 45,748,418	\$ 9,859,072	\$ 56,965,964	\$ 20,346,926	\$ 55,465,964
William W. Douglas III	Cash ⁽¹⁾	\$ 3,880,000	\$ —	\$ 4,445,000	\$ —	\$ 3,880,000
	Intrinsic Value of RSUs/PSUs after Vesting Acceleration ⁽²⁾	7,155,503	1,750,039	8,949,837	—	8,949,837
	Intrinsic Value of Options after Vesting Acceleration ⁽²⁾	376,115	363,684	663,861	—	663,861
	Total	\$ 11,411,618	\$ 2,113,723	\$ 14,058,698	\$ —	\$ 13,493,698
Hubert Patricot	Cash ⁽¹⁾	\$ 2,363,345	\$ —	\$ 2,363,345	\$ —	\$ —
	Intrinsic Value of RSUs/PSUs after Vesting Acceleration ⁽²⁾	6,528,817	—	9,291,823	—	9,291,823
	Intrinsic Value of Options after Vesting Acceleration ⁽²⁾	686,588	—	719,739	—	719,739
	Total	\$ 9,578,750	\$ —	\$ 12,374,907	\$ —	\$ 10,011,562
John R. Parker, Jr.	Cash ⁽¹⁾	\$ 3,454,000	\$ —	\$ 3,931,000	\$ —	\$ 3,454,000
	Intrinsic Value of RSUs/PSUs after Vesting Acceleration ⁽²⁾	4,771,474	1,166,693	5,968,673	2,906,722	5,968,673
	Intrinsic Value of Options after Vesting Acceleration ⁽²⁾	250,743	242,459	442,530	—	442,530
	Total	\$ 8,476,217	\$ 1,409,152	\$ 10,342,203	\$ 2,906,722	\$ 9,865,203
Suzanne D. Patterson	Cash ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,502,500	\$ 1,502,500	\$ 1,502,500	\$ —	\$ 1,502,500
	Intrinsic Value of RSUs/PSUs after Vesting Acceleration ⁽²⁾	1,561,735	1,561,735	1,994,397	—	1,994,397
	Intrinsic Value of Options after Vesting Acceleration ⁽²⁾	95,118	95,118	166,075	—	166,075
	Total	\$ 3,159,353	\$ 3,159,353	\$ 3,662,972	\$ —	\$ 3,662,972

⁽¹⁾ The cash amounts shown are comprised of the following items:

- For Messrs. Brock, Douglas and Parker, the amounts shown are the cash payments provided for under their 2012 Agreements. In the event the officer is involuntarily terminated without cause or upon his death or termination due to disability, the amounts are equal to (a) his annual base salary as of December 31, 2012, plus his MIP target award; and (b) the amount of his retention award. In the event of a Change in Control Termination, the amounts are equal to (a) the officer's annual base salary as of December 31, 2012, plus his MIP target award, multiplied by 1.5; and (b) the amount of his retention award.
- For Ms. Patterson, the amount shown for each termination event is the cash payment provided for under her 2010 employment agreement, which is equal to (a) her annual base salary as of December 31, 2012, plus her MIP target award, and (b) the amount of her retention award.
- For Mr. Patricot, the amount shown for each termination event is the cash payment provided for under his 2012 employment agreement, which is equal to his annual salary as of December 31, 2012, plus his MIP target award, multiplied by two. The conversion to U.S. dollars from British pounds sterling is based on the December 31, 2012, exchange rate of 1.6153.

Although the terms of the agreements and equity awards may vary slightly, generally, "cause" is defined as (a) gross misconduct by the executive that is materially detrimental to the company, (b) acts of personal dishonesty or fraud by the executive toward the company, or (c) the executive's conviction of a felony. "Good reason" generally means (a) a material diminution of duties, responsibilities or authority, (b) a reduction in salary or annual target MIP award opportunity, or (c) a change from the work location specified in the executive's employment agreement.

⁽²⁾ Amounts shown reflect the intrinsic value of stock-based awards and options with respect to which, under the terms of the applicable grant documents and/or employment agreements, (i) service conditions to vesting would be waived upon the occurrence of the termination scenario, and/or (ii) any applicable performance conditions that have not previously been satisfied would be waived under such scenario.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table gives information about our shares of common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of stock options, warrants, and rights under all of our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2012.

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants, and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants, and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for further issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders ⁽¹⁾	14,165,108	\$ 18.53	10,704,040
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	—	N/A	—
Total	14,165,108	\$ 18.53	10,704,040

⁽¹⁾ The Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan (the “Legacy Plan”), the Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. 2010 Incentive Award Plan (the “2010 Plan”) and the Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors (the “Directors Plan”) were adopted by us and approved by our sole shareowner, Legacy CCE, prior to, and contingent upon, the completion of the Transaction.

⁽²⁾ Represents shares of our common stock issuable pursuant to the following outstanding equity awards:

- Under the Legacy Plan: 5,359,743 stock options, 26,717 unvested restricted stock units and 1,897,160 unvested performance share units for which the performance conditions to vesting have been satisfied;
- Under the 2010 Plan: 3,485,963 stock options, 897,133 unvested restricted stock units and 2,014,748 unvested performance share units (assuming the level of attainment under the performance-vesting conditions on 1,052,564 performance share units result in target-level awards); and
- Under the Directors Plan: 483,644 fully vested phantom stock units that are payable following the director’s departure from the board.

⁽³⁾ The weighted-average exercise price shown in column (b) relates to the 8,845,706 outstanding stock options issuable under the Legacy Plan and 2010 Plan.

⁽⁴⁾ Represents shares of our common stock issuable pursuant to future awards under the 2010 Plan as of December 31, 2012. We note that the shares authorized for issuance under the Legacy Plan and under the shareowner-approved component of the Directors Plan were to be related solely to the conversion of outstanding awards made by Legacy CCE on October 2, 2010, and, pursuant to the terms of these plans, no additional awards may be granted. Therefore, there are no shares still available for issuance under the Legacy Plan or the shareowner-approved component of the Directors Plan.

⁽⁵⁾ The number of shares remaining for further issuance under each of the following equity compensation plans approved by shareowners are not presently determinable, as explained below.

- Under the Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors, shares are issued to the extent that a participant’s deferred compensation account is credited with phantom stock units. In addition to the phantom stock units related to the participants’ voluntary deferrals of their compensation, the plan provides for quarterly credits of phantom stock units equal in value to \$30,000, with the number of such units based on the closing price of our stock on the last trading day of the previous quarter. This plan will terminate on October 2, 2020, unless extended by our board and approved by the shareowners.
- Under the Coca-Cola Enterprises UK Employee Share Plan (the “UK Plan”), shares are purchased on the open market only to the extent that employees of our subsidiary in the United Kingdom elect to contribute from their pay, as well as for matching contributions made by their employer. Such matching contributions are equal to the participant’s contributions, up to a maximum of 3% of pay or £125 each month. With limited exceptions, matching contributions vest only after one year of continued employment and of holding the related shares. Participants may obtain favorable tax treatment of shares acquired under the UK Plan if the shares remain in the participant’s account for three to five years. This plan will terminate on October 2, 2020, unless extended by our board of directors and approved by the shareowners.
- Under the Belgian and Luxembourg Stock Savings Plan (the “Belgian Plan”), shares are purchased on the open market only to the extent that employees of our subsidiaries in Belgium and Luxembourg elect to contribute from their pay, as well as matching contributions made by their employer. Participant contributions are used to purchase shares of our common stock in increments of five shares. For every five shares purchased for a participant, the participant’s employer makes a matching contribution that is used to purchase one share of our common stock for the participant’s account. Shares acquired under the Belgian Plan must remain in the participant’s account for two years (four years for participants in Luxembourg). This plan will terminate on October 2, 2020, unless extended by our board of directors and approved by the shareowners.

PROPOSAL 2

ADVISORY VOTE ON THE COMPANY'S EXECUTIVE OFFICERS' COMPENSATION (THE "SAY ON PAY" VOTE)

At last year's annual meeting, we provided our shareowners with the opportunity to cast an advisory vote regarding the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the proxy statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareowners. At our 2012 annual meeting, our shareowners overwhelmingly approved the proposal, with more than 97% of the votes cast voting in favor of the proposal. This year we are again asking our shareowners to vote "For" the compensation of our executive officers, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section that begins on page 25.

We believe that our executive compensation program is designed to support the company's long-term success by achieving the following objectives:

- Attracting and retaining talented senior executives;
- Tying the majority of executive pay to company and individual performance;
- Supporting our annual and long-term business strategies; and
- Aligning executives' interests with those of our shareowners.

We urge shareowners to read the Compensation Discussion and Analysis ("the CD&A"), as well as the Summary Compensation Table and related tables and narrative that follow it. The CD&A provides detailed information regarding our executive compensation program, policy and processes, as well as the compensation of our Named Executive Officers.

The board of directors requests that shareowners approve the following resolution at the 2013 annual meeting:

RESOLVED, that the shareowners of Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. (the "Company") approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's Named Executive Officers described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Summary Compensation Table, and the related compensation tables and narrative in the Proxy Statement for the Company's 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareowners.

Because this vote is advisory, it will not be binding upon the board of directors or the Human Resources and Compensation Committee. However, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee will take the outcome of the vote into account when considering future executive compensation arrangements.

Recommendation of the Board of Directors

*Our board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote **FOR** the above resolution approving, on an advisory basis, our executive officers' compensation.*

PROPOSAL 3

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Our Audit Committee, which is composed entirely of independent directors, has appointed the firm of Ernst & Young LLP to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013. Our board of directors has unanimously endorsed this appointment. Ernst & Young has served as Legacy CCE's and our independent auditors since 1986, and our management considers the firm to be well qualified.

While the Audit Committee is responsible for the appointment, compensation, oversight, retention, and termination of the independent registered public accounting firm, the Audit Committee and our board are requesting, as a matter of policy, that the shareowners ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee is not required to take any action as a result of the outcome of the vote on this proposal. However, if the shareowners do not ratify the appointment, the Audit Committee may investigate the reasons for shareowner rejection and may consider whether to retain Ernst & Young LLP or to appoint another independent registered public accounting firm. Furthermore, even if the the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the company and its shareowners.

A formal statement by representatives of Ernst & Young LLP is not planned for the annual meeting. However, Ernst & Young LLP representatives are expected to be present at the meeting and available to respond to appropriate questions.

Audit and Non-Audit Fee Table

In connection with its audit of our 2012 financial statements, we entered into an engagement agreement with Ernst & Young LLP that sets forth the terms under which Ernst & Young LLP will perform services for us.

The following table sets forth the fees for services Ernst & Young LLP provided in 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	2012	2011
Audit fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 4,267	\$ 4,377
Audit-related fees ⁽²⁾	164	115
Tax fees ⁽³⁾	129	25
All other fees ⁽⁴⁾	2	2
	<u>\$ 4,562</u>	<u>\$ 4,519</u>

⁽¹⁾ Represents professional fees for the audit of our annual financial statements, audit of our internal controls over financial reporting, statutory audits of international subsidiaries' financial statements, review of the consolidated quarterly financial statements included in our Forms 10-Q, certain accounting consultations, consents issued related to registration statements, and issuance of comfort letters.

⁽²⁾ Represents professional fees for pension plan audits, certain accounting consultations, and other attest engagements.

⁽³⁾ Represents professional fees for tax advisory services for assistance with analyses of tax laws, regulations and other rules .

⁽⁴⁾ Represents subscription fees to an on-line accounting research tool.

Preapproval by Audit Committee

Under the Audit Committee's charter, which can be found on our website at www.cokecce.com under "About CCE," then "Corporate Governance," the committee is required to give advance approval of any audit and non-audit services to be performed by our auditors, provided that any such non-audit services are not otherwise prohibited. There is no *de minimis* exception to the committee's preapproval procedures. All of the non-audit services were approved by the committee to ensure compatibility with maintaining Ernst & Young LLP's independence.

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors is comprised of directors who are independent directors, as defined under the New York Stock Exchange corporate governance listing standards. The committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors. Pursuant to that charter, the committee assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities relating to:

- * The quality and integrity of the company's financial statements and financial reporting process;*
- * The adequacy and effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting, as well as its disclosure controls and procedures;*
- * The effectiveness of management's enterprise risk management process that monitors and manages key business risks facing the company;*
- * The selection of the company's independent auditors and the performance of the independent auditors and the company's internal audit function;*
- * The independent auditors' qualifications and independence;*
- * The company's compliance with ethics policies and legal and regulatory requirements; and*
- * The preparation of the report of the committee to be included in the company's annual proxy statement.*

The committee met six times either in person or by telephone during 2012. In the course of those meetings, the committee met with management, including collective and individual meetings with the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, the Chief Accounting Officer, the General Counsel, the Chief Compliance and Risk Officer, and the Vice President, Internal Audit, and also met with the Company's independent auditors, Ernst & Young LLP, both with and without management present.

As stated above, the Audit Committee is responsible for overseeing the Company's accounting and financial reporting processes and audits of the Company's financial statements. As set forth in its charter, the Audit Committee acts only in an oversight capacity and relies on the work and assurances of management, which has primary responsibility for the Company's financial statements and reports, as well as Ernst & Young, which is responsible for expressing an opinion of the conformity of those financial statements to generally accepted accounting principles and for auditing the Company's internal controls over financial reporting and expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of those controls.

In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the committee has reviewed and discussed with management and Ernst & Young the Company's audited financial statements, including the quality, not just the acceptability, of the financial reporting, the reasonableness of significant accounting judgments and estimates, the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements, and the assessment of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting. The committee reviewed with Ernst & Young the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards, AU section 368 (SAS No. 61), Communication with Audit Committees, as amended, and such other matters as the committee and the auditors are required to discuss under auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Additionally, the committee received the written disclosures and the letter from Ernst & Young to the committee required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent auditor's communications with the committee concerning independence, and discussed with Ernst & Young their independence from the Company and its management.

Based on the foregoing reviews and discussions, and in reliance on management and Ernst & Young as described above, the committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the 2012 audited consolidated financial statements of Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. be included in the Annual Report of Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Suzanne B. Labarge, Chair

Garry Watts

Curtis R. Welling

Phoebe A. Wood

February 4, 2013

Recommendation of the Board of Directors

*Our board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote **FOR** ratification of the Audit Committee's selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the 2013 fiscal year.*

SHAREOWNER PROPOSALS FOR 2014 ANNUAL MEETING

Nominations of persons for the election to our board of directors and the proposal of other business for consideration by the shareowners at the 2014 annual meeting of shareowners will be acted upon only in the following circumstances:

- if the proposal is to be included in next year's proxy statement pursuant to the SEC's Rule 14a-8 or other applicable rules, the proposal (meeting all of the requirements set forth in such rules and related SEC rules and interpretations) is received by our corporate secretary on or before November 5, 2013; or
- if the proposal is not to be included in next year's proxy statement, pursuant to our by-laws, a written proposal (meeting all other requirements set forth in our by-laws) is received by our corporate secretary after December 24, 2013, but on or before January 23, 2014, (unless the 2014 annual meeting is not scheduled to be held within the period between March 24th and July 2nd, in which case our by-laws prescribe an alternate deadline). These time limits also apply in determining whether notice is timely for purposes of rules adopted by the SEC relating to the exercise of discretionary voting authority.

The summary in the two bullet points above is not intended to be complete and is qualified by the text of our by-laws, which are available upon request from our corporate secretary.

In addition, the shareowner proponent or a representative of the proponent must appear in person at the 2014 annual meeting to present such proposal.

Any shareowner submissions should be sent to us by certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed to: Corporate Secretary, Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc., 2500 Windy Ridge Parkway, Atlanta, Georgia 30339.

OTHER MATTERS

We do not know of anything else that will come before the annual meeting, including any adjournments of it, that has not been discussed in this proxy statement. If other matters properly come before the meeting, the persons named in the proxy card will vote the shares for which they hold proxies in their discretion.

Atlanta, Georgia

March 4, 2013

APPENDIX A
COCA-COLA ENTERPRISES, INC.
RECONCILIATION OF GAAP TO NON-GAAP INCOME (a)
(Unaudited; In Millions, Except Per Share Data which is calculated prior to rounding)

Full-Year 2012											
	Net Sales	Cost of Sales	Gross Profit	Selling, Delivery, and Administrative Expenses	Operating Income	Interest Expense	Other Nonoperating Income	Income Before Income Tax	Income Tax Expense	Net Income	Diluted Earnings Per Share
Reported (GAAP) (b)	\$ 8,062	5,162	2,900	1,972	928	94	3	837	160	\$ 677	\$ 2.25
<i>Items Impacting Comparability:</i>											
Mark-to-Market Effects (c)	—	(2)	2	(2)	4	—	—	4	1	3	0.01
Restructuring Charges (d)	—	—	—	(85)	85	—	—	85	24	61	0.21
Net Tax Items (f)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	62	(62)	(0.21)
Comparable (non-GAAP)	\$ 8,062	5,160	2,902	1,885	1,017	94	3	926	247	\$ 679	\$ 2.26
Diluted Weighted Average Shares Outstanding											
301											

Full-Year 2011											
	Net Sales	Cost of Sales	Gross Profit	Selling, Delivery, and Administrative Expenses	Operating Income	Interest Expense	Other Nonoperating Expense	Income Before Income Tax	Income Tax Expense	Net Income	Diluted Earnings Per Share
Reported (GAAP) (b)	\$ 8,284	5,254	3,030	1,997	1,033	85	(3)	945	196	\$ 749	\$ 2.29
<i>Items Impacting Comparability:</i>											
Mark-to-Market Effects (c)	—	(4)	4	1	3	—	—	3	1	2	—
Restructuring Charges (d)	—	—	—	(19)	19	—	—	19	6	13	0.04
Tax Indemnification Charges (e)	—	—	—	(5)	5	—	—	5	1	4	0.01
Net Tax Items (f)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	53	(53)	(0.16)
Comparable (non-GAAP)	\$ 8,284	5,250	3,034	1,974	1,060	85	(3)	972	257	\$ 715	\$ 2.18
Diluted Weighted Average Shares Outstanding											
327											

(a) These non-GAAP measures are provided to allow investors to more clearly evaluate our operating performance and business trends. Management uses this information to review results excluding items that are not necessarily indicative of ongoing results. The adjusting items are based on established defined terms and thresholds and represent all material items management considered for year-over-year comparability.

(b) As reflected in CCE's U.S. GAAP Consolidated Financial Statements.

(c) Amounts represent the net out of period mark-to-market impact of non-designated commodity hedges.

(d) Amounts represent non-recurring restructuring charges.

(e) Amounts represent post-Merger changes to certain underlying tax matters covered by our indemnification to The Coca-Cola Company for periods prior to the Merger.

(f) Amounts represent the deferred tax impact related to income tax rate or law changes.

APPENDIX B

2012 Comparator Group Companies

3M	* DCP Midstream	* Kao Brands	* SAIC
Abbott Laboratories	* Dean Foods	* Kellogg	* SCA Americas
ABC	Deere & Company	* Kimberly-Clark	Schlumberger
Accenture	Delhaize America	* Kohl's	Schneider Electric
* ACH Food	Delta Air Lines	* Komatsu America	* Seagate Technology
* Adecco	* Diageo North America	KPMG	* Showtime
AFLAC	DIRECTV Group	* Kyocera Corporation	* Sodexo
* Agrium	* Dominion Resources	* L-3 Communications	* Southern Company Services
* Air Liquide	* Duke Energy	Lafarge North America	* Southwest Airlines
Alcoa	DuPont	* Land O'Lakes	Sprint Nextel
Allstate	* Eaton	* Lear	Staples
* Ally Financial	* Edison International	Lenovo	* Starbucks
Alstom	Eli Lilly	Liberty Mutual	Sun Life Financial
* American Electric Power	* EMC	* Lincoln Financial	Sunoco
American Express	* EMD Millipore	* Linde	Sunovion Pharmaceuticals
American Express Publishing	Emerson Electric	* Loews	* SunTrust Banks
* Ameriprise Financial	* Enbridge Energy	Macy's	SuperValu Stores
* Amgen	* Entergy	* Marriott International	* Syngenta Crop Protection
* Anadarko Petroleum	Ericsson	* Marsh & McLennan	* Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited
* Apache	* Euroclear	* Massachusetts Mutual	* TD Bank Financial Group
* ARAMARK	* Evergreen Packaging	McDonald's	* TE Connectivity
* Areva	* Exelon	* Medtronic	* Tektronix
* Arrow Electronics	* Fidelity Investments	* MidAmerican Holdings	* Tennessee Valley Authority
AstraZeneca	* FirstEnergy	* Millennium Pharmaceuticals	Tesoro
BAE Systems	Fluor	Mitsubishi Power Systems Americas	* Tetrapak
* Bank of Montreal	Fox Networks Group	* Monsanto	* Textron
* Baxter International	* Freddie Mac	* Motorola	* Textron Financial
BBVA	* Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold	* Motorola Mobility	* Thermo Fisher Scientific
Bechtel Marine Propulsion - Bettis	* Gap	* MTV Networks	* Thomson Reuters
* BJ's Wholesale Club	General Dynamics	Murphy Oil	Time
* Blue Shield of California	* General Mills	National Grid USA	Time Warner
* Boehringer Ingelheim	* Genworth Financial	Nationwide	* Time Warner Cable
* Bovis Lend Lease	* Goodyear Tire & Rubber	* Navistar International	Travelers
* Bristol-Myers Squibb	Google	NBC Universal	* TRW Automotive
BT	Great-West Life Annuity	* New York Life	Turner Broadcasting
* C&S Wholesale Grocers	* Grupo Ferrovial	* NextEra Energy	Twentieth Century Fox
* Capital One Financial	Hartford Financial Services	Northrop Grumman	Tyson Foods
* Carnival	HBO	Northwestern Mutual	* U.S. Bancorp
* CBS	HCA Healthcare	* Novo Nordisk Pharmaceuticals	* U.S. Foodservice
CHS	* Health Net	* Occidental Petroleum	Union Bank N.A.
CIGNA	Hess	* Office Depot	* Union Pacific
* CNA	* Highmark, Inc.	Oracle	* United States Steel
The Coca-Cola Company	Holcim	* Pacific Gas & Electric	* United Water
* Colgate-Palmolive	Honeywell	* Paramount	* Unum Group
Comcast	Humana	* PPG Industries	* USAA
Compass Group	IKON Office Solutions	* Praxair	* Viacom
* ConAgra Foods	* Illinois Tool Works	* Progress Energy	Walt Disney
* Consolidated Edison	* Ingersoll-Rand	Prudential Financial	Warner Bros Entertainment
* Constellation Energy	International Paper	* Public Service Enterprise Group	* Waste Management
Continental Automotive Systems	* ITT - Corporate	* QUALCOMM	* Whirlpool
* Covidien	* ITT Mission Systems	* QVC	* Wilsonart International
* Cox Enterprises	* J.C. Penney Company	* R.R. Donnelley	Wm. Wrigley Jr.
* CSX	* Jabil Circuit	* Reckitt Benckiser	* Xcel Energy
* Daiichi Sankyo	John Hancock	* Research in Motion	Xerox
Dannon	Johnson Controls	* Rolls-Royce North America	* Yum! Brands

* Indicates company was included in the comparator group of companies with \$10 to \$20 billion in revenue, as well as the group of companies with \$10 to \$40 billion in revenue

***** Exercise Your *Right to Vote* *****

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the
Annual Shareowners Meeting to Be Held on April 23, 2013**

COCA-COLA ENTERPRISES, INC.

Meeting Information

Meeting Type: Annual Meeting

For holders as of: February 25, 2013

Date: April 23, 2013 **Time:** 8:30 AM EDT

Location: Cobb Energy Performing Arts Centre
2800 Cobb Galleria Parkway
Atlanta, GA 30339

Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc.
2500 WINDY RIDGE PARKWAY
ATLANTA, GA 30339

You are receiving this communication because you hold shares in the above named company.

This is not a ballot. You cannot use this notice to vote these shares. This communication presents only an overview of the more complete proxy materials that are available to you on the Internet. You may view the proxy materials online at www.proxyvote.com or easily request a paper copy (see reverse side).

We encourage you to access and review all of the important information contained in the proxy materials before voting.

See the reverse side of this notice to obtain proxy materials and voting instructions.

M53803-P33343

Before You Vote

How to Access the Proxy Materials

Proxy Materials Available to VIEW or RECEIVE:

NOTICE AND PROXY STATEMENT

ANNUAL REPORT

How to View Online:

Have available the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow → XXXX XXXX XXXX (located on the following page) and visit: www.proxyvote.com.

How to Request and Receive a PAPER or E-MAIL Copy:

If you want to receive a paper or e-mail copy of these documents, you must request one. There is NO charge for requesting a copy. Please choose one of the following methods to make your request:

- 1) *BY INTERNET*: www.proxyvote.com
- 2) *BY TELEPHONE*: 1-800-579-1639
- 3) *BY E-MAIL* *: sendmaterial@proxyvote.com

* If requesting materials by e-mail, please send a blank e-mail with the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow → XXXX XXXX XXXX (located on the following page) in the subject line.

Requests, instructions and other inquiries sent to this e-mail address will NOT be forwarded to your investment advisor. Please make the request as instructed above on or before April 9, 2013, to facilitate timely delivery.

M40945-P20771

HOW TO VOTE

Please Choose One of the Following Voting Methods

To Vote By Internet: To vote by Internet, go to www.proxyvote.com. Have the information printed in the box marked by the arrow available and follow the instructions.

To Vote By Mail: To vote by mail, request a paper copy of the proxy materials, which will include a proxy card and postage-paid envelope for returning your proxy card.

To Vote In Person: To vote at the meeting, you will need to request a ballot and complete it there.

ATTENDEES: Please bring this Notice and a suitable form of personal photo identification for admission to the meeting. No cell phones, cameras, recording equipment or other electronic devices, or large bags, briefcases, or packages will be permitted inside the meeting room. For security purposes, all purses and bags are subject to inspection.

Voting Items

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR ALL NOMINEES in Proposal 1.

1. Election of twelve directors for terms expiring at the 2014 annual meeting.

NOMINEES:

- | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|
| 01) Jan Bennink | 07) Suzanne B. Labarge |
| 02) John F. Brock | 08) Véronique Morali |
| 03) Calvin Darden | 09) Andrea L. Saia |
| 04) L. Phillip Humann | 10) Garry Watts |
| 05) Orrin H. Ingram II | 11) Curtis R. Welling |
| 06) Thomas H. Johnson | 12) Phoebe A. Wood |

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR Proposal 2.

2. To approve, by non-binding vote, our executive officers' compensation

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR Proposal 3.

3. To approve the ratification of our Audit Committee's selection of Ernst & Young as our independent registered public accounting firm for the 2013 fiscal year.

In their discretion, the named proxies are authorized to vote on any other business properly brought before the meeting and at any adjournments or postponements thereof.

Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc.
Annual Meeting of Shareowners
Tuesday, April 23, 2013, 8:30 a.m. EDT
Cobb Energy Performing Arts Centre
2800 Cobb Galleria Parkway
Atlanta, GA

AGENDA

ELECTION OF TWELVE DIRECTORS
TO APPROVE, BY NON-BINDING VOTE, OUR EXECUTIVE OFFICERS' COMPENSATION
RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE
FISCAL YEAR 2013

Please bring this portion of the proxy card and a suitable form of personal photo identification for admission to the Annual Meeting.

If you vote these shares over the Internet or telephone, there is no need to mail back the proxy card.

The Internet and telephone voting facilities will close at 11:59 p.m., EDT, April 22, 2013.

Voting is important - Thank you for voting!

ATTENDEES:

No cell phones, cameras, recording equipment or other electronic devices, large bags, briefcases or packages will be permitted inside the meeting room. For security purposes, all purses and bags are subject to inspection.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting:

The Notice and Proxy Statement and Annual Report are available at www.proxyvote.com.

M53802-P33343

COCA-COLA ENTERPRISES, INC.

**THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
OF COCA-COLA ENTERPRISES, INC.**

The undersigned hereby appoints John R. Parker, Jr. and William T. Plybon and each of them, with power to act without the other and power of substitution, as proxies and attorneys-in-fact and hereby authorizes them to represent and vote, as provided on the other side, all the shares of Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. Common Stock which the undersigned is entitled to vote and, in their discretion, to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting of Shareowners of the Company to be held April 23, 2013, or any adjournment thereof, with all powers which the undersigned would possess if present at the Meeting.

This proxy, when properly executed, will be voted in the manner directed herein. If no directions are given, this proxy will be voted FOR ALL NOMINEES for the election of the twelve directors, FOR Proposal 2, FOR Proposal 3, and as the proxies deem advisable on all other matters that may properly come before the meeting.

You are encouraged to specify your choices by marking the appropriate boxes on the reverse side. If you mark no boxes, these shares will be voted in accordance with the Board of Directors' recommendations.

Address Changes/Comments:

(If you noted any address changes/comments above, please mark corresponding box on the reverse side.)

(Continued and to be signed on reverse side.)

Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc.
 2500 WINDY RIDGE PARKWAY, ATLANTA, GA 30339

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com
 Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 P.M., Eastern Time, the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS
 If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by our company in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903
 Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 P.M., Eastern Time, the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL
 Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

M53801-P33343

KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS

DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY

THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.

COCA-COLA ENTERPRISES, INC.

Vote on Directors

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR ALL NOMINEES in Proposal 1.

For All Withhold All For All Except

To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark "For All Except" and write the number(s) of the nominee(s) on the line below.

1. Election of twelve directors for terms expiring at the 2014 annual meeting:

NOMINEES:

- | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|
| 01) Jan Bennink | 07) Suzanne B. Labarge |
| 02) John F. Brock | 08) Véronique Morali |
| 03) Calvin Darden | 09) Andrea L. Saia |
| 04) L. Phillip Humann | 10) Garry Watts |
| 05) Orrin H. Ingram II | 11) Curtis R. Welling |
| 06) Thomas H. Johnson | 12) Phoebe A. Wood |

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR Proposal 2.

For Against Abstain

2. To approve, by non-binding vote, our executive officers' compensation.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR Proposal 3.

3. To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year 2013

In their discretion, the named proxies are authorized to vote on any other business properly brought before the meeting and at any adjournments or postponements thereof.

For address changes and/or comments, please check this box and write them on the back where indicated.

NOTE: Please sign, date, and return your instructions promptly in the enclosed envelope. Sign exactly as name(s) appear(s) hereon. Joint owners should each sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee, guardian or other fiduciary, please give full title as such.

 Signature (PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX) Date

 Signature (Joint Owners) Date