## **UNITED STATES** SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

## **FORM 10-Q**

[\sqrt{]} QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010	OF THE SECURITIES
OR [ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	OF THE SECURITIES
<b>001-34258</b> (Commission file number)	
WEATHERFORD INTERNATIONA  (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter	
Switzerland (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	<b>98-0606750</b> (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
<b>4-6 Rue Jean-Francois Bartholoni, 1204 Geneva, Switzerland</b> (Address of principal executive offices)	Not Applicable (Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 41.22.8	16.1500
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such si was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements.	horter period that the registrant
Yes No	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and post- any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to	e 405 of Regulation S-T during
Yes No	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerate or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.	
Large accelerated filer ☑ Accelerated filer □ Non-accelerated filer □ S	Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule	12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No	
Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of copracticable date:	ommon stock, as of the latest

As of October 25, 2010, there were 741,424,789 shares of Weatherford registered shares, 1.16 Swiss francs par

value per share, outstanding.

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## WEATHERFORD INTERNATIONAL LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands, except par value)

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(unaudited)	
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 951,382	\$ 252,519
Accounts Receivable, Net of Allowance for Uncollectible		
Accounts of \$24,477 and \$20,466, Respectively	2,535,625	2,504,876
Inventories	2,493,289	2,239,762
Current Deferred Tax Assets	260,128	259,077
Other Current Assets	943,740	884,372
Total Current Assets	7,184,164	6,140,606
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net of Accumulated Depreciation of		
\$4,026,775 and \$3,438,248, Respectively	6,931,216	6,991,579
Goodwill	4,141,972	4,156,105
Other Intangible Assets, Net of Accumulated Amortization of		
\$427,151 and \$359,052, Respectively	741,796	778,786
Equity Investments	537,505	542,667
Other Assets	347,790	256,440
Total Assets	\$ 19,884,443	\$ 18,866,183
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Short-term Borrowings and Current Portion of Long-term Debt	\$ 582,628	\$ 869,581
Accounts Payable	1,200,627	1,002,359
Other Current Liabilities	984,857	924,948
Total Current Liabilities	2,768,112	2,796,888
Total Culton Elabinics	2,700,112	2,770,000
Long-term Debt	6,694,963	5,847,258
Other Liabilities	434,843	423,333
Total Liabilities	9,897,918	9,067,479
Total Elaonitics	7,077,710	7,007,477
Shareholders' Equity:		
Shares, CHF 1.16 Par Value: Authorized 1,137,670 Shares, Conditionally		
Authorized 379,223 Shares, Issued 758,447 Shares at September 30,		
2010; Authorized 1,093,303 Shares, Conditionally Authorized 364,434		
Shares, Issued 758,447 Shares at December 31, 2009	761,077	761,077
Capital in Excess of Par Value	4,682,827	4,642,800
Treasury Shares, Net	(565,464)	(616,048)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4,895,374	4,817,101
Retained Earnings		
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	143,123	9,719,672
Weatherford Shareholders' Equity	9,916,937	
Noncontrolling Interests	69,588	79,032
Total Shareholders' Equity	9,986,525	9,798,704
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$ 19,884,443	\$ 18,866,183

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

## WEATHERFORD INTERNATIONAL LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (UNAUDITED)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

		Three Ended Se					e Mont Septem	Ionths tember 30,	
		2010		2009		2010		2009	
Revenues:									
Products	\$	910,653	\$	636,378	\$	2,522,867	\$	2,029,831	
Services		1,623,504		1,513,501		4,787,809		4,371,021	
		2,534,157		2,149,879		7,310,676		6,400,852	
Costs and Expenses:									
Cost of Products		640,752		526,960		1,817,693		1,621,196	
Cost of Services		1,259,247		1,082,281		3,640,504		2,965,407	
Research and Development		54,457		49,300		156,844		144,434	
Attributable to Segments		254,072		287,453		1,009,915		892,822	
Corporate General and Administrative		47,014		53,963		187,330	<u> </u>	162,981	
Operating Income		278,615		149,922		498,390		614,012	
Other Expense:									
Interest Expense, Net		(99,318)		(90,285)		(290,376)		(274,846)	
Bond Tender Premium		(10,731)		` <u> </u>		(10,731)			
Devaluation of Venezuelan Bolivar						(63,859)			
Other, Net		(12,277)		(11,046)	_	(35,681)	. <u> </u>	(28,456)	
Income Before Income Taxes		156,289		48,591		97,743		310,710	
Benefit (Provision) for Income Taxes		(7,157)		34,369		(7,833)		(3,535)	
Net Income		149,132		82,960		89,910		307,175	
Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests.		(4,286)		(5,586)		(11,637)	. <u></u>	(23,018)	
Net Income Attributable to Weatherford	\$	144,846	\$	77,374	\$	78,273	\$	284,157	
Earnings Per Share Attributable to Weatherford:									
Basic	\$	0.19	\$	0.11	\$	0.11	\$	0.40	
Diluted	\$ \$	0.19	\$ \$	0.11	\$ \$	0.11	\$ \$	0.40	
Diluca	Ψ	0.19	Ψ	0.11	Ψ	0.10	Ψ	0.70	
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding:		<b>-</b> 4						-0- (-1	
Basic		745,502		724,114		742,192		707,621	
Diluted		751,394		735,109		748,382		715,719	

# WEATHERFORD INTERNATIONAL LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

(In thousands)

		3		
		Ended Se	eptembe	<u>r 30,</u> 2009
		2010		2009
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:				
Net Income	\$	89,910	\$	307,175
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash				
Provided by Operating Activities:				
Depreciation and Amortization		776,745		652,996
Employee Share-Based Compensation Expense		75,167		85,136
Deferred Income Tax Benefit		(112,053)		(209,864)
Devaluation of Venezuelan Bolivar		63,859		_
Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan		38,021		_
Revaluation of Contingent Consideration		(448)		(27,368)
Other, Net		42,302		(17,397)
Change in Operating Assets and Liabilities, Net of Effect of Businesses Acquired				
Accounts Receivable		(71,920)		210,861
Inventories		(272,957)		(122,252)
Accounts Payable		176,284		(95,918)
Other		(67,334)		(508,323)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities		737,576		275,046
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		(59 417)		(4.740)
Acquisitions of Businesses, Net of Cash Acquired		(58,417)		(4,749)
Capital Expenditures for Property, Plant and Equipment		(717,556)		(1,269,884)
Acquisition of Intellectual Property		(22,509)		(25,352)
Purchase of Equity Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates		(1,750)		(26,999)
Proceeds from Sale of Assets and Businesses, Net		191,115		113,720
Other Investing Activities		41,840		
Net Cash Used by Investing Activities		(567,277)		(1,213,264)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:				
Borrowings (Repayments) of Short-term Debt, Net		(841,058)		(237,549)
Borrowings (Repayments) of Long-term Debt, Net		1,396,553		1,230,262
Other Financing Activities, Net		(7,403)		9,046
Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities		548,092		1,001,759
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents		(19,528)		4,656
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents		698,863		68,197
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period		252,519		238,398
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$	951,382	\$	306,595
Supplemental Cash Flow Information:				
Interest Paid.	\$	354,677	\$	304,623
Income Taxes Paid, Net of Refunds		257,605		325,920

# WEATHERFORD INTERNATIONAL LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

(In thousands)

	Three Months					Nine Months				
		Ended Sep	otemb	er 30,	Ended September 30,					
	2010 2009		2010			2009				
Net Income	\$	149,132	\$	82,960	\$	89,910	\$	307,175		
Other Comprehensive Income:										
Curtailment and Remeasurement of Supplemental										
Executive Retirement Plan						35,111				
Amortization of Pension Components		173		1,936		1,819		6,464		
Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment		143,587		146,155		(9,017)		306,377		
Other		157		153		468		456		
Comprehensive Income		293,049		231,204		118,291		620,472		
Comprehensive Income Attributable to										
Noncontrolling Interests		(4,286)		(5,586)		(11,637)		(22,897)		
Comprehensive Income Attributable to										
Weatherford	\$	288,763	\$	225,618	\$	106,654	\$	597,575		

#### 1. General

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Weatherford International Ltd. and all majority-owned subsidiaries (the "Company") are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and include all adjustments of a normal recurring nature which, in the opinion of management, are necessary to present fairly our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2010, Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income and Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. Although we believe the disclosures in these financial statements are adequate to make the interim information presented not misleading, certain information relating to our organization and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted in this Form 10-Q pursuant to U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") rules and regulations. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009 and the related notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the full year.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period and disclosure of contingent liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to uncollectible accounts receivable, lower of cost or market of inventories, equity investments, intangible assets and goodwill, property, plant and equipment, income taxes, percentage-of-completion accounting for long-term contracts, self-insurance, pension and post retirement benefit plans and contingent liabilities. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## **Principles of Consolidation**

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Weatherford International Ltd., all majority-owned subsidiaries, all controlled joint ventures and variable interest entities where the Company has determined it is the primary beneficiary. When referring to Weatherford and using phrases such as "we", "us", and "our", the intent is to refer to Weatherford International Ltd. and its subsidiaries as a whole or on a regional basis, depending on the context in which the statements are made.

Investments in affiliates in which we exercise significant influence over operating and financial policies are accounted for using the equity method. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

### 2. Business Combinations

We have acquired businesses we feel are important to our long-term growth strategy. Results of operations for acquisitions are included in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income from the date of acquisition. The balances included in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets related to recent acquisitions are based on preliminary information and are subject to change when final asset valuations are obtained and the potential for liabilities has been evaluated. The purchase price is allocated to the net assets acquired based upon their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition.

In July 2009, we acquired the Oilfield Services Division ("OFS") of TNK-BP. In this transaction, we acquired drilling, well workover and cementing services operations in West Siberia, East Siberia and the Volga-Urals region. We issued 24.3 million shares valued at approximately \$450 million. Under our sale and purchase agreement dated May 29, 2009, if TNK-BP sold the shares it received in consideration for the transaction for a price less than \$18.50 per share prior to June 29, 2010, we were obligated to pay TNK-BP additional consideration in an amount equal to the difference between the price at which the shares were sold and \$18.50. On June 24, 2010, we entered into an amendment that modifies the provisions relating to the value guarantee mechanism to allow the parties additional

time to settle the amount of consideration received by TNK-BP under the agreement. The settlement date has been extended from June 29, 2010 to the earlier of (a) December 1, 2010, or (b) 30 days after the third business day following our public announcement of our quarterly earnings for the third quarter of 2010. In addition, the base dollar amount used to calculate potential guarantee payments was increased from \$18.50 to \$19.50, and our option to pay the guarantee payment in stock was ended. From October 22 through October 29, 2010, TNK-BP and its affiliates had sold 12.2 million of our common shares in open market transactions at an average price of \$17.07 per share. We currently expect TNK-BP and its affiliates to dispose of the remaining shares in a similar manner before expiration of the value guarantee on November 22, 2010. We finalized the valuation of the assets and liabilities acquired in the OFS acquisition during the third quarter of 2010.

Accounting guidance for business combinations requires contingent consideration to be recognized at its acquisition date fair value. Based on the terms of the arrangement, we classified the contingent consideration for the OFS acquisition as a liability. Such liabilities are required to be remeasured to fair value at each reporting date until the contingency is resolved, with changes in fair value being recognized in earnings. We estimated the fair value of the contingent consideration for the OFS acquisition to be a liability of \$84 million at the date of acquisition, \$63 million at December 31, 2009 and \$152 million at June 30, 2010. This liability was estimated to have a fair value of \$62 million at September 30, 2010, resulting in the recognition of a gain of \$90 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, offsetting the \$89 million loss incurred during the first six months of 2010. This gain was recorded in the Selling, General and Administrative Attributable to Segments line in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. The valuation of the contingent consideration was determined using a lattice-based model incorporating the term of the contingency, the price of our shares over the relevant periods and the volatility of our stock price.

In November 2008, we acquired a group of affiliated companies in Latin America. Consideration for the transaction totaled approximately \$160 million, which was comprised of approximately six million shares valued at approximately \$65 million, non-cash consideration of approximately \$75 million and cash of approximately \$20 million. Additional consideration of up to \$65 million is contingent on the occurrence of future events and circumstances. The additional consideration, if any, is payable in cash or our common shares at our option. We will record this contingent consideration when and if these events occur.

## 3. Inventories

The components of inventory were as follows:

	 September 30, 2010	·	December 31, 2009
	(In th	ousand	(s)
Raw materials, components and supplies	\$ 366,969	\$	328,253
Work in process	116,250		115,564
Finished goods	2,010,070		1,795,945
	\$ 2,493,289	\$	2,239,762

Work in process and finished goods inventories include the cost of materials, labor and plant overhead.

## 4. Goodwill

Goodwill is evaluated for impairment on at least an annual basis. We perform our annual goodwill impairment test as of October 1. Our 2009 impairment tests indicated goodwill was not impaired. We will continue to test our goodwill annually as of October 1 unless events occur or circumstances change between annual tests that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount.

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we incurred a \$76 million charge for revisions to our profitability estimates on our project management contracts in Mexico, where the client's budget constraints triggered an activity decline to near zero and an expected modification to future drilling plans. As a result of this downturn, we performed an impairment test on our Latin America reporting unit and concluded that our goodwill in Latin America was not impaired.

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, were as follows:

	 North America	iddle East/ orth Africa/ Asia	 Europe/ Vest Africa/ FSU thousands)	 Latin America	 Total
As of December 31, 2009 Acquisitions Disposals	\$ 2,097,549 4,109 —	\$ 698,896 24,058 (712)	\$ 1,045,577 2,837	\$ 314,083	\$ 4,156,105 31,004 (712)
Purchase price and other adjustments	\$ (546) 11,667 2,112,779	 (643) 6,682 728,281	\$ (42,905) (12,103) 993,406	\$ (6,364) (213) 307,506	\$ (50,458) 6,033 4,141,972

## 5. Short-term Borrowings and Current Portion of Long-term Debt

The components of short-term borrowings were as follows:

	Sept	ember 30, 2010	De	cember 31, 2009
Revolving credit facilities	\$	_	\$	798,500
Other short-term bank loans		10,838		53,007
Total short-term borrowings		10,838		851,507
Current portion of long-term debt		571,790		18,074
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt	\$	582,628	\$	869,581

In September 2010, we completed a \$1.4 billion long-term debt offering comprised of (i) \$800 million of 5.125% Senior Notes due in 2020 ("5.125% Senior Notes") and (ii) \$600 million of 6.75% Senior Notes due in 2040 ("6.75% Senior Notes"). Net proceeds of \$1.386 billion were used to fund our bond tender offer that commenced in September 2010 and repay short-term borrowings on our revolving credit facilities.

In September 2010, we commenced a cash tender offer for up to \$700 million aggregate principal amount of specified series of our outstanding debt. Pursuant to the tender-offer terms, we repurchased \$167 million of our 6.625% senior notes due 2011 in September 2010 and incurred an expense of \$11 million for the premium we paid on the repurchase.

In October 2010, we completed the tender offer by repurchasing \$327 million and \$206 million of our 5.95% senior notes due 2012 and 5.15% senior notes due 2013, respectively. We paid a \$44 million premium on these bonds tendered and expect to incur a charge of approximately \$42 million in the fourth quarter of 2010. The \$533 million principal amounts repurchased in October 2010 are included in current portion of long-term debt in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2010.

At September 30, 2010, we maintained two revolving credit facilities with syndicates of banks available for a combination of borrowings, support for our commercial paper program and issuances of letters of credit. These facilities allow for an aggregate availability of \$1.75 billion and mature in May 2011. There were no outstanding

borrowings on these facilities at September 30, 2010. There were \$63 million in outstanding letters of credit under these facilities at September 30, 2010.

These borrowing facilities require us to maintain a debt-to-capitalization ratio of less than 60% and contain other covenants and representations customary for an investment-grade commercial credit. We are in compliance with these covenants at September 30, 2010.

On October 15, 2010, the Company entered into a \$1.75 billion unsecured revolving credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent. The Credit Agreement replaced our existing revolving credit facilities that were scheduled to mature in May 2011. The Credit Agreement has a scheduled maturity date of October 15, 2013, subject to extension, and can be used for a combination of borrowings, support for our commercial paper program and issuances of letters of credit. Consistent with our prior facilities, the Credit Agreement requires us to maintain a debt-to-capitalization ratio of less than 60%.

We have a \$1.5 billion commercial paper program under which we may from time to time issue short-term unsecured notes. At September 30, 2010, the commercial paper program is supported by our revolving credit facilities. There were no commercial paper borrowings outstanding at September 30, 2010.

We have short-term borrowings with various domestic and international institutions pursuant to uncommitted facilities. At September 30, 2010, we had \$11 million in short-term borrowings under these arrangements with a weighted average interest rate of 5%. In addition, we had \$333 million of letters of credit and bid and performance bonds under these uncommitted facilities. The carrying value of our short-term borrowings approximates their fair value as of September 30, 2010.

In June 2010, we entered into a secured loan agreement with a third-party financial institution and received proceeds of \$180 million. The note bears interest at a rate of 4.8% and will be repaid in monthly installments over seven years. The loan is secured by equipment located in the United States, and is included in long-term debt on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

## 6. Financial Instruments

#### Accounts Receivable Factoring

We have entered into an accounts receivable sales program to sell accounts receivable related to Latin America to third party financial institutions. One of our subsidiaries sold approximately \$350 million under this program during the second and third quarter of 2010. We received cash totaling \$320 million and recognized a loss of \$5 million on these sales. These transactions qualified for sale accounting under the accounting standards. The remainder of the amounts due to us was recorded as other receivables in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2010. The initial proceeds received on the sale are included in operating cash flows in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

## Financial Instruments Measured and Recognized at Fair Value

The accounting guidance for fair value measurements establishes a valuation hierarchy for disclosure of the inputs to the valuations used to measure fair value. This hierarchy prioritizes the inputs into three broad levels. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets or inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly through market corroboration, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs based upon our own assumptions used to measure assets and liabilities at fair value. Classification of a financial asset or liability within the hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The following table presents our non-derivative assets and liabilities that are measured and recognized at fair value on a recurring basis classified under the appropriate level of the fair value hierarchy as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

	<b>September 30, 2010</b>							
	L	evel 1		Level 2		Level 3		Total
				(In	n thousa	ınds)		
Other Assets:								
Other investments	\$	_	\$	_	- \$	<u> </u>	\$	S —
Other Current Liabilities: Contingent consideration on acquisition								
(See Note 2)		_		_	_	62,315		62,315
					nber 31			
	Le	vel 1		Level 2		Level 3		Total
				(In	thousar	ıds)		
Other Assets: Other investments Other Current Liabilities:	\$	_	\$	40,822	\$	_	\$	40,822
Contingent consideration on acquisition (See Note 2)		_		_		62,763		62,763

During the first quarter of 2010, we received proceeds of approximately \$42 million from the redemption of our other investments recorded at fair value at December 31, 2009. The proceeds are included in investing activities in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the period ended September 30, 2010.

The following table provides a summary of changes in fair value of our Level 3 financial liability for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010:

	Three nths Ended tember 30,		Nine nths Ended otember 30,
	2010		2010
	 (In tho	(sands	
Balance at beginning of period Unrealized gain on contingent consideration	\$ 152,326	\$	62,763
on acquisition included in earnings	(90,011)		(448)
Balance at end of period	\$ 62,315	\$	62,315

The related gain recorded during the first nine months of 2010 is included in the Selling, General and Administrative Attributable to Segments line in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

## Fair Value of Other Financial Instruments

Our other financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, foreign currency exchange contracts, interest rate swaps, accounts receivable, notes receivable, accounts payable and short and long-term debt. With the exception of long-term debt, the carrying value of these financial instruments approximates their fair value.

The fair value of outstanding debt fluctuates with changes in applicable interest rates. Fair value will exceed carrying value when the current market interest rate is lower than the interest rate at which the debt was originally issued. The fair value of a company's debt is a measure of its current value under present market conditions. It does not impact the financial statements under current accounting rules. The fair value of our long-term debt was established based on quoted market prices.

The fair value and carrying value of our long-term debt and current portion of long-term debt is as follows:

	Se	eptember 30, 2010	D	ecember 31, 2009
		(In	thousa	nds)
Fair value Carrying value	\$	7,989,214 7,266,753	\$	6,303,203 5,865,332

## 7. Derivative Instruments

We are exposed to market risk from changes in foreign currency and changes in interest rates. From time to time, we may enter into derivative financial instrument transactions to manage or reduce our market risk. We manage our debt portfolio to achieve an overall desired position of fixed and floating rates and we may employ interest rate swaps as a tool to achieve that goal. The major risks from interest rate derivatives include changes in the interest rates affecting the fair value of such instruments, potential increases in interest expense due to market increases in floating interest rates and the creditworthiness of the counterparties in such transactions. In light of events in the global credit markets and the potential impact of these events on the liquidity of the banking industry, we continue to monitor the creditworthiness of our counterparties, which are multinational commercial banks.

The fair values of all our outstanding derivative instruments are determined using a model with Level 2 inputs including quoted market prices for contracts with similar terms and maturity dates.

## **Interest Rate Swaps**

We use interest rate swaps to help mitigate exposures related to interest rate movements. Amounts paid or received upon termination of interest rate swaps accounted for as fair value hedges represent the fair value of the agreements at the time of termination and are recorded as an adjustment to the carrying value of the related debt. These amounts are amortized as a reduction (in the case of gains) or as an increase (in the case of losses) to interest expense over the remaining term of the debt. As of September 30, 2010, we had net unamortized gains of \$61 million associated with interest rate swap terminations.

## Cash Flow Hedges

In 2008, we entered into interest rate derivative instruments to hedge projected exposures to interest rates in anticipation of a debt offering. Those hedges were terminated at the time of the issuance of the debt, and the loss on these hedges is being amortized from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income to interest expense over the remaining term of the debt. As of September 30, 2010, we had net unamortized losses of \$13 million associated with our cash flow hedge terminations.

#### Other Derivative Instruments

As of September 30, 2010, we had foreign currency forward contracts with notional amounts aggregating to \$1,039 million, which were entered into to hedge exposure to currency fluctuations in various foreign currencies, including, but not limited to, the British pound sterling, the Canadian dollar, the euro and the Norwegian krone. The total estimated fair value of these contracts at September 30, 2010, resulted in a net liability of approximately \$17 million. These derivative instruments were not designated as hedges and the changes in fair value of the contracts are recorded each period in Other, Net in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

We have cross-currency swaps between the U.S. dollar and Canadian dollar to hedge certain exposures to the Canadian dollar. At September 30, 2010, we had notional amounts outstanding of \$215 million. The total estimated fair value of these contracts at September 30, 2010, resulted in a liability of \$28 million. These derivative instruments were not designated as hedges and the changes in fair value of the contracts are recorded each period in Other, Net in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

The fair values of outstanding derivative instruments are summarized as follows:

	Sep	tember 30, 2010 (In th	Dec	ember 31, 2009 ds)	Classifications
Derivative assets not designated as hedges: Foreign exchange contracts  Derivative liabilities not designated as hedges:	\$	4,323	\$	9,831	Other Current Assets
Foreign exchange contracts		20,854 28,448		18,939 26,170	Other Current Liabilities Other Liabilities

#### 8. Income Taxes

For the three months ended September 30, 2010, we had a tax provision of \$7 million on income before taxes of \$156 million. Our income before taxes for the three months ended September 30, 2010 includes a \$90 million gain on the fair value adjustment to the put option issued in connection with the OFS acquisition for which no tax expense has been recorded. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we had a tax provision of \$8 million on income before taxes of \$98 million. Our income before taxes for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 includes a curtailment expense on our Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ("SERP") for which no related tax benefit was recorded, partially offset by a tax benefit related to the devaluation of the Venezuelan bolivar. For the three months ended September 30, 2009, we had a tax benefit of \$34 million. This benefit primarily related to a true-up of our effective tax rate to 1.1% year-to-date at September 30, 2009.

## 9. Shareholders' Equity

The following summarizes our shareholders' equity activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:

	Total Shareholders' Equity	Company Shareholders' Equity (In thousands)	Ir Co	ncontrolling nterests in onsolidated ubsidiaries
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 9,798,704	\$ 9,719,672	\$	79,032
Comprehensive Income:				
Net Income	89,910	78,273		11,637
Curtailment and Remeasurement of Supplemental				
Executive Retirement Plan	35,111	35,111		_
Amortization of Pension Components	1,819	1,819		_
Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	(9,017)	(9,017)		_
Other	468	468		_
Comprehensive Income	118,291	106,654		11,637
Transactions with Shareholders	90,611	90,611		_
Dividends Paid to Noncontrolling Interests	(21,378)	· —		(21,378)
Other	297	_		297
Balance at September 30, 2010	\$ 9,986,525	\$ 9,916,937	\$	69,588

	Total Shareholders' Equity	lders' Shareholders' Consolida ity Equity Subsidian		
		(In thousands)		
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 8,366,049	\$ 8,285,648	\$	80,401
Net Income	307,175	284,157		23,018
Amortization of Pension Components	6,464	6,464		· —
Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	306,377	306,498		(121)
Other	456	456		_
Comprehensive Income	620,472	597,575		22,897
Transactions with Shareholders	752,005	752,005		_
Dividends Paid to Noncontrolling Interests	(25,047)	_		(25,047)
Other	3,118	_		3,118
Balance at September 30, 2009	\$ 9,716,597	\$ 9,635,228	\$	81,369

#### 10. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share for all periods presented equals net income divided by the weighted average number of our shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of our shares outstanding during the period, adjusted for the dilutive effect of our stock options, restricted shares, performance units and our outstanding warrants. Our diluted earnings per share calculation excludes three million potential shares for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, three million potential shares for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and nine million potential shares for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, due to their antidilutive effect.

The following reconciles basic and diluted weighted average of shares outstanding:

	Three Mo Ended Septe		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
				iliber 50,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Basic weighted average shares					
outstanding	745,502	724,114	742,192	707,621	
Dilutive effect of:					
Warrants	553	3,163	801	1,756	
Stock options and restricted shares	5,339	7,832	5,389	6,342	
Diluted weighted average shares					
outstanding	751,394	735,109	748,382	715,719	

## 11. Share-Based Compensation

In June 2010, the Weatherford International Ltd. 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan ("2010 Omnibus Plan") was approved by our shareholders. This plan permits the grant of options, stock appreciation rights, restricted share awards, restricted share units, performance share awards, performance unit awards, other share-based awards and cash-based awards to any employee, non-employee director and other individual service providers or any affiliate. The 2010 Omnibus Plan is similar to our 2006 Omnibus Plan. The aggregate number of shares available for grant under this plan is 10,144,000.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we issued one million performance units, which will vest ratably over a three-year period assuming continued employment and if the Company meets certain market-based performance goals. The performance units have a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$12.41 based on the Monte Carlo simulation method.

We recognized the following employee share-based compensation expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	 2010 2009			2010		2009		
	(In thousands)							
Share-based compensation Related tax benefit	\$ 25,298 8,854	\$	30,090 10,532	\$	75,167 26,308	\$	85,136 29,798	

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we granted one million restricted share awards and units at a weighted average grant date fair value of \$15.97 per share.

As of September 30, 2010, there was \$177 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to our unvested stock options, restricted share grants, and performance units. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of two years.

## 12. Retirement and Employee Benefit Plans

We have defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans covering certain employees. The components of net periodic benefit cost for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,								
			2010				2009		
	United States		Int	ernational		United States	International		
	(In thousands)								
Service cost	\$	_	\$	1,450	\$	891	\$	1,778	
Interest cost		765		1,797		1,892		1,772	
Expected return on plan assets		(149)		(1,172)		(166)		(1,043)	
Amortization of prior service cost (credit)		_		(14)		997		(13)	
Amortization of loss		225		41		1,617		249	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	841	\$	2,102	\$	5,231	\$	2,743	

	Nine Months Ended September 30,								
			2010			•	2009		
	United States		Int	ernational		United States		ernational	
				(In the	ousan	ds)			
Service cost	\$	978	\$	4,415	\$	2,672	\$	5,063	
Interest cost		3,921		5,373		5,677		5,022	
Expected return on plan assets		(447)		(3,514)		(497)		(2,976)	
Amortization of transition obligation		_				_		(1)	
Amortization of prior service cost (credit)		1,534		(40)		2,990		(36)	
Amortization of loss		1,139		122		4,851		712	
Curtailment/settlement loss		35,453				1,063			
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	42,578	\$	6,356	\$	16,756	\$	7,784	

Our SERP was amended effective March 31, 2010 to freeze the benefits under the plan. This resulted in the net curtailment loss shown above. The projected benefit obligation of the SERP after recording the curtailment charge in the first quarter of 2010 was \$100 million.

In April 2010, one executive in the plan left the Company and a distribution payment of \$11 million was made. Three additional executives left the Company in June 2010, and we expect to pay out approximately \$21 million for their SERP benefits in the fourth quarter of 2010 and incur a settlement charge of approximately \$2 million.

Effective April 8, 2010, our SERP was further amended to allow participants a one-time option to convert their vested, fixed-amount, dollar-denominated benefits under the SERP into equity-denominated benefits. The amendment permitted participants in the SERP to make a one-time irrevocable election before June 7, 2010 to convert between 50% and 100% of their cash balance under the plan into units representing the right to receive registered shares in the Company. During May 2010, the remaining participants elected to convert approximately \$76 million of their cash entitlement into approximately 4.7 million shares, which was based on the closing share price on the date of the election.

At September 30, 2010, the projected benefit obligation of the SERP is \$100 million and is primarily comprised of the \$76 million to be paid in shares and the \$21 million to be paid in cash in the fourth quarter of 2010.

We previously disclosed in our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009, that we expected to contribute approximately \$7 million to our pension and other postretirement benefit plans during 2010. As of September 30, 2010, we have contributed approximately \$7 million to these plans and anticipate total annual contributions to approximate original estimates previously disclosed.

## 13. Segment Information

Financial information by segment is summarized below. Revenues are attributable to countries based on the ultimate destination of the sale of products or performance of services.

	Three M	, 2010	_			
	Net Operating Revenues	 Income from Operations (In the		Depreciation and Amortization ousands)		Cotal Assets at September 30, 2010
		(In the	ousun	13)		
North America	\$ 1,098,757	\$ 201,516	\$	81,843	\$	6,446,335
Middle East/North Africa/Asia	603,249	68,197		75,968		4,757,236
Europe/West Africa/FSU	495,800	60,825		58,847		3,763,873
Latin America (a)	336,351	(34,484)		46,527		2,752,967
	2,534,157	 296,054		263,185		17,720,411
Corporate and Research and						
Development (b)	_	(96,426)		5,911		2,164,032
Revaluation of Contingent						
Consideration	_	90,011		_		_
Other (c)	_	(11,024)		_		
Total	\$ 2,534,157	\$ 278,615	\$	269,096	\$	19,884,443

	Three I	, 2009						
	Net Operating Revenues		Income from Operations		Depreciation and Amortization		Total Assets a December 31 2009	
	(In thousands)							
North America Middle East/North Africa/Asia Europe/West Africa/FSU Latin America	\$ 620,496 600,110 404,390 524,883 2,149,879		\$	33,259 101,943 44,468 54,343 234,013	\$	79,737 65,771 44,864 43,403 233,775	\$	6,357,021 4,568,310 3,601,031 3,122,902 17,649,264
Corporate and Research and Development Revaluation of Contingent Consideration				(93,572) 27,368		4,134		1,216,919
Other (d)	\$ 2,149,879		\$	(17,887) 149,922	\$	237,909	\$	<u> </u>

- (a) The three months ended September 30, 2010 includes a \$76 million charge for revisions to our profitability estimates on our project management contracts in Mexico where the client's budget constraints triggered an activity decline to near zero and an expected modification to future drilling plans.
- (b) Total assets at September 30, 2010 include the remaining cash proceeds from the September 2010 debt offering. This cash was subsequently used to repurchase the remaining bonds tendered in October 2010.
- (c) The three months ended September 30, 2010 includes \$8 million for severance and facility closure costs and \$3 million for legal and professional fees incurred in connection with our on-going investigations.
- (d) The three months ended September 30, 2009 includes \$9 million for legal and professional fees incurred in connection with on-going investigations by the U.S. government and \$9 million for severance charges associated with reorganization activities.

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010							
		Net Operating Revenues		Income from Operations thousands)	Depreciation and Amortization			
North America	\$	2,910,744 1,769,005 1,456,275 1,174,652 7,310,676	\$	443,204 229,002 162,187 34,579 868,972	\$	243,543 223,397 159,863 133,759 760,562		
Corporate and Research and Development		_ _ _		(288,665) 448 (82,365)		16,183		
Total	\$	7,310,676	\$	498,390	\$	776,745		

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009							
	Net Operating Revenues	Income from Operations (In thousands)	Depreciation and Amortization					
North America	\$ 2,029,264 1,774,964 1,138,201 1,458,423 6,400.852	\$ 155,586 359,522 182,025 232,319 929,452	\$ 232,088 184,326 114,732 109,816 640,962					
Corporate and Research and Development  Revaluation of Contingent Consideration	— —	(269,139) 27,368	12,034					
Other (g)	\$ 6,400,852	(73,669) \$ 614,012	\$ 652,996					

- (e) The nine months ended September 30, 2010 includes a \$76 million charge for revisions to our profitability estimates on our project management contracts in Mexico.
- (f) The nine months ended September 30, 2010 includes a \$38 million charge related to our SERP which was frozen on March 31, 2010, \$44 million for severance and facility closure costs and \$5 million for legal and professional fees incurred in connection with our on-going investigations. These charges were offset by a \$5 million benefit related to the reversal of prior cost accruals for our exit from certain sanctioned countries.
- (g) The nine months ended September 30, 2009 includes \$36 million for legal and professional fees incurred in connection with on-going investigations by the U.S. government, \$34 million for severance and facility closure costs associated with reorganization activities and \$4 million in costs related to the Company's withdrawal from certain sanctioned countries.

## 14. Disputes, Litigation and Contingencies

### U.S. Government and Internal Investigations

We are currently involved in government and internal investigations involving various areas of our operations.

Until 2003, we participated in the United Nations oil-for-food program governing sales of goods and services into Iraq. The U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") and the SEC have undertaken investigations of our participation in the oil-for-food program and have subpoenaed certain documents in connection with these investigations. We have cooperated fully with these investigations. We have retained legal counsel, reporting to our audit committee, to investigate this matter. We have begun negotiations with the government agencies to resolve these matters, but we cannot yet anticipate the timing, outcome or possible impact of the ultimate resolution of the investigations, financial or otherwise.

The U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Industry & Security, Office of Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC"), DOJ and SEC have undertaken investigations of allegations of improper sales of products and services by the Company and its subsidiaries in certain sanctioned countries. We have cooperated fully with this investigation. We have retained legal counsel, reporting to our audit committee, to investigate these matters and to cooperate fully with these agencies. We have begun negotiations with the government agencies to resolve these matters, but we cannot yet anticipate the timing, outcome or possible impact of the ultimate resolution of the investigation, financial or otherwise.

In light of this investigation and of U.S. and foreign policy environment and the inherent uncertainties surrounding these countries, we decided in September 2007 to direct our foreign subsidiaries to discontinue doing business in countries that are subject to comprehensive U.S. economic and trade sanctions, specifically Cuba, Iran, and Sudan, as well as Syria. Effective September 2007, we ceased entering into any new contracts in these countries and began an orderly discontinuation and winding down of our existing business in these sanctioned countries. Effective March 31, 2008, we substantially completed our winding down of business in these countries. We can complete the withdrawal process only pursuant to licenses issued by OFAC. Our remaining activities in Iran, Sudan and Syria include ongoing withdrawal activities such as attempts to collect accounts receivable, attempts to settle tax liabilities or legal claims and attempts to recover or liquidate assets, including equipment and funds. Certain of our subsidiaries continue to conduct business in countries such as Myanmar that are subject to more limited U.S. trading sanctions.

The DOJ and SEC are investigating our compliance with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act ("FCPA") and other laws worldwide. We have retained legal counsel, reporting to our audit committee, to investigate these matters and to cooperate fully with the DOJ and SEC. As part of our investigations, we have uncovered potential violations of U.S. law in connection with activities in West Africa. We have begun negotiations with the government agencies to resolve these matters, but we cannot yet anticipate the timing, outcome or possible impact of the ultimate resolution of the investigations, financial or otherwise.

The DOJ, SEC and other agencies and authorities have a broad range of civil and criminal penalties they may seek to impose against corporations and individuals for violations of trade sanctions laws, the FCPA and other federal statutes including, but not limited to, injunctive relief, disgorgement, fines, penalties and modifications to business practices and compliance programs. In recent years, these agencies and authorities have entered into agreements with, and obtained a range of penalties against, several public corporations and individuals in similar investigations, under which civil and criminal penalties were imposed, including in some cases fines and other penalties and sanctions in the tens and hundreds of millions of dollars. These agencies are seeking to impose penalties against us for past conduct, but the ultimate amount of any penalties we may pay currently cannot be reasonably estimated. Under trade sanctions laws, the DOJ may also seek to impose modifications to business practices, including immediate cessation of all business activities in specific countries or other limitations that decrease our business, and modifications to compliance programs, which may increase compliance costs. Any injunctive relief, disgorgement, fines, penalties, sanctions or imposed modifications to business practices resulting from these investigations could adversely affect our results of operations. In addition, our historical activities in sanctioned countries, such as Sudan and Iran, could result in certain investors, such as government sponsored pension funds, divesting or not investing in our registered shares. Based on available information, we cannot predict

what, if any, actions the DOJ, SEC or other authorities will take in our situation or the effect any such actions will have on our consolidated financial position or results of operations. To the extent we violated trade sanctions laws, the FCPA, or other laws or regulations, fines and other penalties may be imposed. Because these matters are now pending before the indicated agencies, there can be no assurance that actual fines or penalties, if any, will not have a material adverse affect on our business, financial condition, liquidity or results of operations.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we incurred \$5 million and \$36 million, respectively, in connection with these on-going investigations.

## Macondo Litigation

On April 20, 2010, the Deepwater Horizon rig operating under contract with BP at the Macondo well in the Gulf of Mexico exploded and sank, resulting in 11 deaths, several injuries and significant damages to property and the environment.

Weatherford provided the following services and products to BP on the Macondo well: (1) connected and tightened four intermediate casing strings and one tapered production string ("long string"); (2) furnished a liner hanger on one casing string; (3) furnished centralizers, most of which were not used in the well, and (4) provided float equipment on the long string. The float equipment consisted of a reamer shoe, a float collar and wiper plugs. The float collar is designed to control backflow or ingress of the cement through the shoe track while the cement hardens. At the time of the explosion, Weatherford had two employees on the Deepwater Horizon; they sustained minor injuries.

Weatherford has been named, along with BP and other defendants, in several dozen lawsuits involving pollution damage claims and in several other suits where plaintiffs allege wrongful death and other personal injuries. The pollution damage complaints generally refer to the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 ("OPA") and allege, among other things, negligence and gross negligence by Weatherford and other defendants. They allege that Weatherford and the other defendants are responsible for property damage, trespass, nuisance and economic loss as a result of environmental pollution and generally seek awards of unspecified economic, compensatory, and punitive damages, as well as injunctive relief. Additional lawsuits may be filed in the future relating to the Macondo incident.

Weatherford was not designated as a "Responsible Party," as that term is defined by OPA. Therefore, Weatherford was not charged with responsibility for cleaning up the oil or handling any claims. The Responsible Party may make a claim for contribution against any other party it alleges contributed to the oil spill. Since Weatherford has not been named a Responsible Party, we intend to seek to be dismissed from any and all OPA-related claims and to seek indemnity from any and all liability under OPA.

In the master service contract between BP and Weatherford, under which Weatherford provided products and services to BP related to the Macondo well, BP agreed to "save, indemnify, release, defend and hold harmless [Weatherford, its subcontractors and their affiliates, directors, officers and employees] from and against any claim of whatsoever nature arising from pollution and/or contamination including without limitation such pollution or contamination from the reservoir". BP further agreed to "save, indemnify, release, defend and hold harmless [Weatherford, its subcontractors and their affiliates, directors, officers and employees] from and against any claims, losses, damages, costs (including legal costs) expenses and liabilities resulting from...blowout, fire, explosion, cratering or any uncontrolled well condition (including the costs to control a wild well and the removal of debris)". These indemnity provisions include direct claims asserted against Weatherford by third parties and any claim by BP for contribution under OPA. These indemnities apply regardless of the cause of the condition giving rise to the claim. The indemnities exclude claims for injury to Weatherford's employees and subcontractors. However, as injuries to our two employees were minor, we do not anticipate any significant liabilities with respect to our employees.

We believe that the indemnification obligations of BP are valid and enforceable. However, BP may seek to avoid its indemnification obligations. Should a court determine that the wrongful death and personal injury indemnity provisions are unenforceable, Weatherford might be liable for injuries to, or the death of, BP personnel

and personnel of third party contractors if a case is adversely determined. The cause of the Macondo incident remains under investigation and has yet to be determined.

If BP were to avoid its indemnities regarding personal injury and a case is adversely determined against Weatherford with respect to the Macondo incident, Weatherford believes its exposure to personal injury/death claims is within the limits of its insurance coverage. Weatherford has a self-insured retention of \$2 million. Above that amount, Weatherford has aggregate liability insurance coverage with limits of \$303 million. All relevant insurers have been put on notice. No insurer has denied coverage nor issued a reservation of rights letter. Weatherford has met individually with its insurers to discuss this matter. Weatherford believes all claims for personal injury made against Weatherford, even if they are not covered by indemnity from BP, are covered under its various liability insurance policies, up to the \$303 million in limits.

Weatherford is cooperating fully with the investigations of the accident initiated by various agencies of the U.S. Government and, to the extent requested, has responded to several subpoenas, information and document requests, and requests for testimony of employees.

We do not expect that we will have liability for these claims, but the litigation surrounding these matters is complex and likely to continue for some time, and the damages claimed are significant. We cannot predict the ultimate outcome of these claims.

## Shareholder Litigation

In June and July 2010, shareholders filed suit in Weatherford's name against those directors in place before June 2010 and certain current and former members of management relating to the U.S. government and internal investigations disclosed above and in our SEC filings since 2007. We will investigate these claims appropriately. We cannot predict the ultimate outcome of these claims.

## Other Disputes

As a result of discussions with a customer, we are currently reviewing how the dual exchange rate might affect amounts we receive for our U.S. dollar-denominated receivables in Venezuela. We believe our contracts are legally enforceable and our customers continue to accept our invoices. However, if a negative outcome were to occur on this matter, the impact could be as high as a \$38 million charge to our consolidated statement of operations.

Our former Senior Vice President and General Counsel (the "Executive") left the Company in June 2009. The Executive had employment agreements with us that terminated on his departure. There is currently a dispute between the Executive and us as to the amount of compensation we are obligated to pay under these employment agreements based on the Executive's separation. This dispute has not resulted in a lawsuit being filed. It is our belief that an unfavorable outcome regarding this dispute is not probable, and as such, we have not accrued for \$9 million of the Executive's claimed severance and other benefits.

Additionally, we are aware of various disputes and potential claims and are a party in various litigation involving claims against us, some of which are covered by insurance. For claims, disputes and pending litigation in which we believe a negative outcome is probable and a loss can be reasonably estimated, we have recorded a liability for the expected loss. These liabilities are immaterial to our financial condition and results of operations. In addition we have certain claims, disputes and pending litigation in which we do not believe a negative outcome is probable. If one or more negative outcomes were to occur, the impact to our financial condition could be as high as \$180 million.

## 15. New Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the FASB issued an update to existing guidance on revenue recognition for arrangements with multiple deliverables. This update will allow companies to allocate consideration received for qualified separate deliverables using estimated selling price for both delivered and undelivered items when vendor-specific objective evidence or third-party evidence is unavailable. Additional disclosures discussing the nature of multiple element

arrangements, the types of deliverables under the arrangements, the general timing of their delivery, and significant factors and estimates used to determine estimated selling prices are required. We will adopt this update for new revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified beginning January 1, 2011. We do not expect the provisions of this update to have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

### 16. Condensed Consolidating Financial Statements

A Swiss corporation named Weatherford International Ltd. is the ultimate parent of the Weatherford group ("Parent"). The Parent guarantees the obligations of Weatherford International Ltd. incorporated in Bermuda ("Weatherford Bermuda") and Weatherford International, Inc. incorporated in Delaware ("Weatherford Delaware") noted below.

The following obligations of Weatherford Delaware were guaranteed by Weatherford Bermuda at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009: (i) the 6.625% Senior Notes, (ii) the 5.95% Senior Notes, (iii) the 6.35% Senior Notes and (iv) the 6.80% Senior Notes.

The following obligations of Weatherford Bermuda were guaranteed by Weatherford Delaware at December 31, 2009: (i) the revolving credit facilities, (ii) the 4.95% Senior Notes, (iii) the 5.50% Senior Notes, (iv) the 6.50% Senior Notes, (v) the 5.15% Senior Notes, (vi) the 6.00% Senior Notes, (vii) the 7.00% Senior Notes, (viii) the 9.625% Senior Notes, (ix) the 9.875% Senior Notes and (x) issuances of notes under the commercial paper program.

In September 2010, Weatherford Bermuda issued \$800 million of 5.125% Senior Notes due 2020 and \$600 million of 6.75% Senior Notes due 2040, both of which are guaranteed by Weatherford Delaware. As a result of these transactions, the following obligations of Weatherford Bermuda were guaranteed by Weatherford Delaware at September 30, 2010: (i) the revolving credit facilities, (ii) the 4.95% Senior Notes, (iii) the 5.50% Senior Notes, (iv) the 6.50% Senior Notes, (v) the 5.15% Senior Notes, (vi) the 6.00% Senior Notes, (vii) the 7.00% Senior Notes, (viii) the 9.625% Senior Notes, (ix) the 9.875% Senior Notes, (x) the 5.125% Senior Notes, (xi) the 6.75% Senior Notes and (x) issuances of notes under the commercial paper program.

As a result of the guarantee arrangements, we are required to present the following condensed consolidating financial information. The accompanying guarantor financial information is presented on the equity method of accounting for all periods presented. Under this method, investments in subsidiaries are recorded at cost and adjusted for our share in the subsidiaries' cumulative results of operations, capital contributions and distributions and other changes in equity. Elimination entries relate primarily to the elimination of investments in subsidiaries and associated intercompany balances and transactions.

## Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet September 30, 2010 (unaudited) (In thousands)

	Parent	Bermuda	Delaware	Other Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidation
ASSETS						
Current Assets: Cash and Cash Equivalents Other Current Assets Total Current Assets  Equity Investments in Affiliates Shares Held in Parent	\$ 4,966 9,654 14,620 9,293,417	\$ 343,053 5,794 348,847 15,479,291	\$ 281,677 99,766 381,443 7,111,564 96,663	\$ 321,686 6,117,568 6,439,254 11,438,346 468,801	\$  (43,322,618) (565,464)	\$ 951,382 6,232,782 7,184,164
Intercompany Receivables, Net Other Assets Total Assets	8,508 \$ 9,316,545	2,217,952 37,177 \$ 18,083,267	598,376 232,212 \$ 8,420,258	12,422,382 \$ 30,768,783	(2,816,328)	12,700,279 \$ 19,884,443
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY						
Current Liabilities: Short-term Borrowings and Current Portion of Long-term Debt	\$ —	\$ 221,435	\$ 342,605	\$ 18,588	\$ —	\$ 582,628
Current Liabilities Total Current Liabilities	88,064 88,064	72,748 294,183	137,090 479,695	1,887,582 1,906,170	<u> </u>	2,185,484 2,768,112
Long-term Debt	162,982 5,188 256,234	5,167,966 	1,515,025 	11,972 2,653,346 348,220 4,919,708	(2,816,328)	6,694,963 434,843 9,897,918
Weatherford Shareholders' Equity . Noncontrolling Interests  Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	9,060,311 — \$ 9,316,545	12,541,846 ————————————————————————————————————	6,423,375 ————————————————————————————————————	25,779,487 69,588 \$ 30,768,783	(43,888,082) —— \$ (46,704,410)	9,916,937 69,588 \$ 19,884,443

## Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet December 31, 2009 (In thousands)

	Parent	Bermuda		Other Subsidiaries Elimination		Consolidation
ASSETS						
Current Assets: Cash and Cash Equivalents Other Current Assets Total Current Assets	\$ 102 510 612	\$ 47 11,163 11,210	\$ 421 98,033 98,454	\$ 251,949 5,778,381 6,030,330	\$ <u>-</u>	\$ 252,519 5,888,087 6,140,606
Equity Investments in Affiliates Shares Held in Parent Intercompany Receivables, Net Other Assets Total Assets  LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	8,615,365 ————————————————————————————————————	15,160,748 — 1,671,487 68,960 \$ 16,912,405	6,754,566 108,268 1,017,215 190,175 \$ 8,168,678	12,092,950 507,780 — 12,457,066 \$ 31,088,126	(42,623,629) (616,048) (2,688,702) — \$ (45,928,379)	12,725,577 \$ 18,866,183
Current Liabilities: Short-term Borrowings and Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	\$ — 46,160 46,160	\$ 352,373 107,984 460,357	\$ 1,868 \[ \frac{116,404}{118,272} \]	\$ 515,340 1,656,759 2,172,099	\$ — ————	\$ 869,581 1,927,307 2,796,888
Long-term Debt Intercompany Payables, Net Other Long-term Liabilities Total Liabilities	36,606 8,132 90,898	3,988,162 132,155 4,580,674	1,848,191 2,309 1,968,772	10,905 2,652,096 280,737 5,115,837	(2,688,702) ————————————————————————————————————	5,847,258 423,333 9,067,479
Weatherford Shareholders' Equity . Noncontrolling Interests  Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	8,534,455 ——————————————————————————————————	12,331,731 ———— \$ 16,912,405	6,199,906 ——— \$ 8,168,678	25,893,257 79,032 \$ 31,088,126	(43,239,677) — \$ (45,928,379)	9,719,672 79,032 \$ 18,866,183

## Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 (unaudited) (In thousands)

	 Parent	B	Bermuda		Delaware		Other Subsidiaries		Eliminations		onsolidation
Revenues	\$ _	\$	_	\$	_	\$	2,534,157	\$	_	\$	2,534,157
Costs and Expenses	52,508		(724)		(716)		(2,306,610)		_		(2,255,542)
Operating Income (Loss)	 52,508		(724)		(716)	_	227,547			_	278,615
Other Income (Expense):											
Interest Income (Expense), Net	(35)		(68,429)		(30,430)		(424)		_		(99,318)
Bond Tender Premium	_				(10,731)				_		(10,731)
Devaluation of Venezuelan Bolivar	_								_		_
Intercompany Charges, Net	(10,603)		543		(44,386)		54,446		_		
Equity in Subsidiary Income (Loss)	102,951		143,625		192,264		_		(438,840)		_
Other, Net	25		27,940		(237)		(40,005)		_		(12,277)
Income (Loss) Before Income											
Taxes	144,846		102,955		105,764		241,564		(438,840)		156,289
Provision for Income Taxes	_		(4)		37,861		(45,014)		_		(7,157)
Net Income (Loss)	 144,846		102,951		143,625		196,550		(438,840)		149,132
Noncontrolling Interests	_		_		_		(4,286)		_		(4,286)
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to	 									-	
Weatherford	\$ 144,846	\$	102,951	\$	143,625	\$	192,264	\$	(438,840)	\$	144,846

## Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 (unaudited) (In thousands)

	 Parent	B	sermuda	<u>I</u>	Delaware	S	Other ubsidiaries	<u>E</u>	Climinations	C	onsolidation_
Revenues Costs and Expenses	(1,356)	\$	(5,176)	\$	<u> </u>	\$	2,149,879 (1,992,977)	\$	_	\$	2,149,879 (1,999,957)
Operating Income (Loss)	 (1,356)		(5,176)	_	(448)	_	156,902	_		_	149,922
Other Income (Expense):											
Interest Income (Expense), Net	_		(61,397)		(28,762)		(126)		_		(90,285)
Intercompany Charges, Net	(27,786)		1,291		(38,486)		64,981				
Equity in Subsidiary Income	106,505		117,671		154,862		_		(379,038)		
Other, Net	11		54,116		(23)		(65,150)		_		(11,046)
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	 77,374		106,505		87,143		156,607		(379,038)		48,591
Provision for Income Taxes	_		_		30,528		3,841		_		34,369
Net Income (Loss)	 77,374		106,505		117,671		160,448		(379,038)		82,960
Noncontrolling Interests	_		_				(5,586)				(5,586)
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to	 										
Weatherford	\$ 77,374	\$	106,505	\$	117,671	\$	154,862	\$	(379,038)	\$	77,374

## Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 (unaudited) (In thousands)

	 Parent	Bermuda		Delaware		Other Subsidiaries		Eliminations		Consolidation	
Revenues	\$ _	\$	_	\$	_	\$	7,310,676	\$		\$	7,310,676
Costs and Expenses	(42,384)		(43,352)		(1,967)		(6,724,583)				(6,812,286)
Operating Income (Loss)	 (42,384)		(43,352)		(1,967)		586,093				498,390
Other Income (Expense):											
Interest Income (Expense), Net	(982)		(198,470)		(88,456)		(2,468)		_		(290,376)
Bond Tender Premium	_		_		(10,731)		_		_		(10,731)
Devaluation of Venezuelan Bolivar	_						(63,859)		_		(63,859)
Intercompany Charges, Net	(21,971)		2,289		(130,257)		149,939		_		
Equity in Subsidiary Income	143,641		197,987		357,361				(698,989)		
Other, Net	(31)		185,191		(646)		(220,195)		_		(35,681)
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	 78,273		143,645	-	125,304		449,510		(698,989)		97,743
Provision for Income Taxes			(4)		72,683		(80,512)		_		(7,833)
Net Income (Loss)	 78,273		143,641	-	197,987		368,998		(698,989)		89,910
Noncontrolling Interests			_		_		(11,637)		_		(11,637)
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to	 										
Weatherford	\$ 78,273	\$	143,641	\$	197,987	\$	357,361	\$	(698,989)	\$	78,273

## Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 (unaudited) (In thousands)

	Parent	1	Bermuda		Delaware	Other Subsidiaries		Eliminations		Consolidation	
Revenues	\$ —	\$	_	\$	_	\$	6,400,852	\$	_	\$	6,400,852
Costs and Expenses	(2,094)		(15,767)		(1,324)		(5,767,655)				(5,786,840)
Operating Income (Loss)	(2,094)		(15,767)		(1,324)		633,197				614,012
Other Income (Expense):											
Interest Income (Expense), Net	_		(191,515)		(85,928)		2,597				(274,846)
Intercompany Charges, Net	(27,803)		5,095		(98,587)		121,295		_		<u> </u>
Equity in Subsidiary Income	314,049		366,159		487,370		_	(1,1	167,578)		_
Other, Net	5		150,077		(356)		(178, 182)		_		(28,456)
Income (Loss) from Continuing											
Operations Before Income Taxes	284,157		314,049		301,175		578,907	(1,1	167,578)		310,710
Provision for Income Taxes	_				64,984		(68,519)		_		(3,535)
Net Income (Loss)	284,157		314,049		366,159		510,388	(1,1	167,578)		307,175
Noncontrolling Interests	_						(23,018)		_		(23,018)
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to											
Weatherford	\$ 284,157	\$	314,049	\$	366,159	\$	487,370	\$ (1,1	167,578)	\$	284,157

## Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 (unaudited) (In thousands)

	Parent	Bermuda	Delaware	Other Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidation
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:						
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 78,273	\$ 143,641	\$ 197,987	\$ 368,998	\$ (698,989)	\$ 89,910
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income (Loss) to Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities:						
Charges from Parent or Subsidiary	21,971	(2,289)	130,257	(149,939)	_	_
Equity in (Earnings) Loss of Affiliates	(143,641)	(197,987)	(357,361)	_	698,989	_
Deferred Income Tax Benefit	· -	`	(72,687)	(39,366)	_	(112,053)
Other Adjustments	26,714	(145,518)	(5,158)	883,681		759,719
Net Cash Provided (Used) by						
Operating Activities	(16,683)	(202,153)	(106,962)	1,063,374		737,576
Cash Flows from Investing Activities: Acquisitions of Businesses,						
Net of Cash Acquired	(44,489)	_	_	(13,928)	_	(58,417)
Capital Expenditures for Property,	(11,102)			(13,520)		(30,117)
Plant and Equipment	_	_	_	(717,556)	_	(717,556)
Acquisition of Intellectual Property	_	_	_	(22,509)	_	(22,509)
Acquisition of Equity Investments in						
Unconsolidated Affiliates	. —	_	_	(1,750)	_	(1,750)
Proceeds from Sale of Assets and						
Businesses, Net	_			191,115	_	191,115
Capital Contribution to Subsidiary	_	(873)	(25)	_	898	<del></del>
Other Investing Activities		41,840				41,840
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Investing Activities	(44,489)	40,967	(25)	(564,628)	898	(567.277)
investing Activities	(44,489)	40,907	(23)	(304,028)	090	(567,277)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities: Borrowings (Repayments) Short-term						
Debt, Net	_	(343,073)	(735)	(497,250)	_	(841,058)
Borrowings (Repayments) Long-term						
Debt, Net	_	1,386,010	(169,945)	180,488	_	1,396,553
Borrowings (Repayments) Between	66.026	(520 545)	566.006	(02 (17)		
Subsidiaries, Net	66,036	(538,745)	566,326	(93,617)	(909)	_
Proceeds from Capital Contribution	_	_	(7,403)	898	(898)	(7,403)
Other, Net			(7,403)			(7,403)
Activities	66.036	504,192	388,243	(409,481)	(898)	548,092
1 201 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	00,020	50.,172	200,213	(105,101)	(0,0)	2.0,0,2
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash						
and Cash Equivalents				(19,528)		(19,528)
N. (1 . 10						
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash	4,864	2/2 006	281,256	69,737		698,863
Equivalents  Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	4,864 102	343,006 47	421	251,949	_	252,519
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Tear	\$ 4,966	\$ 343,053	\$ 281,677	\$ 321,686	<u> </u>	\$ 951,382
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Ellu 01 1 cal	φ +,900	φ 5+5,055	φ 201,0//	φ 321,000	φ —	φ 931,364

## Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 (unaudited) (In thousands)

	Parent Bermuda Delaware		Delaware	Other Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidation	
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:							
Net Income	\$ 284,157	\$ 314.049	\$ 366,159	\$ 510.388	\$ (1,167,578)	\$ 307.175	
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income (Loss) to Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities:	\$\psi  \qu	ψ 311,01 <i>9</i>	\$ 300,137	\$ 510,500	ψ (1,107,570)	Ψ 307,173	
Charges from Parent or Subsidiary	27,803	(5,095)	98,587	(121,295)	_		
Equity in (Earnings) Loss of Affiliates	,	(366,159)	(487,370)	(121,295)	1,167,578	_	
Deferred Income Tax Benefit		(500,157)	(64,984)	(144,880)	1,107,570	(209,864)	
Other Adjustments		(218,834)	131,532	264,223	_	177,735	
Net Cash Provided (Used) by	014	(210,034)	131,332	204,223		177,733	
Operating Activities	(1,275)	(276,039)	43,924	508,436		275,046	
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:							
Acquisitions of Businesses,							
Net of Cash Acquired	. —	_	_	(4,749)	_	(4,749)	
Capital Expenditures for Property,				( ) ,		( ): - /	
Plant and Equipment	. –	_	_	(1,269,884)	_	(1,269,884)	
Acquisition of Intellectual Property		_	_	(25,352)	_	(25,352)	
Acquisition of Equity Investment in				( - / /		(==,===)	
Unconsolidated Affiliate		_	_	(26,999)	_	(26,999)	
Proceeds from Sale of Assets and				( -,,		( -,,	
Businesses, Net	—	_	_	113,720	_	113,720	
Capital Contribution to Subsidiary		(338,970)	(39)		339,009		
Net Cash Provided (Used) by	"	(550,570)	(37)		337,007	-	
Investing Activities		(338,970)	(39)	(1,213,264)	339,009	(1,213,264)	
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:							
Borrowings (Repayments) Short-term							
Debt, Net	—	(460,356)	82	222,725	_	(237,549)	
Borrowings (Repayments) Long-term		(100,220)	٠ <b>-</b>	222,120		(207,0.5)	
Debt, Net	. —	1,233,365	_	(3,103)	_	1,230,262	
Borrowings (Repayments) Between	•	-,,		(+,)		-,,	
Subsidiaries, Net	1.238	(157,970)	(51,178)	207,910	_	_	
Proceeds from Capital Contribution		_		339,009	(339,009)	_	
Other, Net		_	9.046		_	9.046	
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Financing	"						
Activities	1,238	615,039	(42,050)	766,541	(339,009)	1,001,759	
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash							
And Cash Equivalents		_	_	4,656	_	4,656	
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash							
Equivalents	(37)	30	1,835	66,369	_	68,197	
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	\ /	24	50	238,222	_	238,398	
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year		\$ 54	\$ 1,885	\$ 304,591	<u> </u>	\$ 306,595	
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Ellu of 1 cal		φ 34	φ 1,000	φ 30 <del>4</del> ,371	φ —	φ 300,393	

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") begins with an executive level overview, which provides a general description of our company today, a synopsis of industry market trends, insight into management's perspective of the opportunities and challenges we face and our outlook for the remainder of 2010 and into 2011. Next, we analyze the results of our operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, including the trends in our overall business. Then we review our liquidity and capital resources. We conclude with a discussion of our critical accounting policies and estimates and a summary of recently issued accounting pronouncements. When using phrases such as "Company," "we," "us" and "our" the intent is to refer to Weatherford International Ltd.

#### Overview

### General

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our financial statements included with this report and our financial statements and related MD&A for the year ended December 31, 2009 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our discussion includes various forward-looking statements about our markets, the demand for our products and services and our future results. These statements are based on certain assumptions we consider reasonable. For information about these assumptions, you should refer to the section entitled "Forward-Looking Statements."

Our principal business is to provide equipment and services to the oil and natural gas exploration and production industry both on land and offshore, including our ten product and service lines, as described in our Form 10-K. We may sell our products and services separately or may bundle them together to provide integrated solutions, up to and including integrated well construction where we are responsible for the entire process of drilling, constructing and completing a well. Our customers include both exploration and production companies and other oilfield service companies. Depending on the service line, customer and location, our contracts vary in their terms, provisions and indemnities. We earn revenues under our contracts when products and services are delivered. Typically, we provide products and services at a well site where our personnel and equipment may be located together with personnel and equipment of our customer and third parties, such as other service providers.

## **Industry Trends**

Changes in the current price and expected future prices of oil and natural gas influence the level of energy industry spending. Changes in expenditures result in an increased or decreased demand for our products and services. Rig count is an indicator of the level of spending for the exploration for and production of oil and natural gas reserves.

The following chart sets forth certain statistics that reflect historical market conditions:

-	W	TI Oil (1)	nry Hub Gas (2)	North American Rig Count (3)	International Rig Count (3)
September 30, 2010	\$	79.97	\$ 3.87	1,995	1,120
December 31, 2009		79.36	5.57	1,485	1,113
September 30, 2009		70.61	4.84	1,217	1,071

- (1) Price per barrel as of September 30 and December 31 Source: Thomson Reuters
- (2) Price per MM/BTU as of September 30 and December 31 Source: Thomson Reuters
- (3) Average rig count for the applicable month Source: Baker Hughes Rig Count and other third-party data

Oil prices increased during the first nine months of 2010, ranging from a low of \$68.01 per barrel in late May to a high of \$86.84 per barrel near the beginning of April. Natural gas prices decreased during the first nine months of 2010 and ranged from a high of \$6.01 MM/BTU in early January to a low of \$3.65 MM/BTU in late August. Factors influencing oil and natural gas prices during the period include hydrocarbon inventory levels, realized and

expected economic growth, realized and expected levels of hydrocarbon demand, levels of spare production capacity within the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries ("OPEC"), weather and geopolitical uncertainty.

## Outlook

We believe the long-term outlook for our businesses is favorable. As decline rates accelerate and reservoir productivity complexities increase, our clients will face growing challenges securing desired rates of production growth. The acceleration of decline rates and the increasing complexity of reservoirs increase our customers' requirements for technologies that improve productivity and efficiency and for our products and services. These phenomena provide us with a positive outlook over the longer term.

We have seen an increase in North America activity during 2010 as compared to 2009 and expect the fourth quarter of 2010 to continue this progression. For 2011, we expect land activity in the U.S. will flatten out and do not expect the market to provide significant volume gains, but do not expect any significant weakness either. There is likely to be a reduction in activity related to conventional gas segments and further strengthening in oil and shale based activity.

Our assessment of the international market for 2011 is constructive. The Eastern Hemisphere is just beginning its recovery process. Russia should have a positive outlook in 2011, both in volume and price. We also have contractual commitments in hand and have initiated start-ups in Algeria, Bangladesh, Iraq, Kuwait, Libya, Oman and Turkmenistan. Our prognosis for Latin America for 2011 is positive. Brazil, Colombia, Argentina and Peru should have robust growth in 2011, with Brazil and Colombia experiencing the strongest growth. In Mexico, we are proceeding with the early reassignment of equipment and people throughout the markets of Latin America, North America and to some extent Eastern Hemisphere. We expect to commence more offshore work during the fourth quarter of 2010 and then gradually restart operations in land-based projects.

Overall, the level of improvements for our businesses for the remainder of 2010 and into 2011 will continue to depend heavily on volume increases and our ability to further penetrate existing markets with our younger technologies as well as to successfully introduce these technologies to new markets. In addition, our ability to continue to grow our business aggressively will rely on our continued demonstration of a high level of operational efficacy for our clients. The recruitment, training and retention of personnel will also be a critical factor in growing our businesses. The continued strength of the industry will be highly dependent on many external factors, such as world economic and political conditions, member country quota compliance within OPEC and weather conditions, including the factors described below under "—Forward-Looking Statements".

## **Results of Operations**

The following charts contain selected financial data comparing our consolidated and segment results from operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,					
		eptem				eptemb				
	2010	T., 41	2009		2010	1 4 \	2009			
Revenues:	(	ın tnou	isanas, except per	rcentag	es and per share	aata)				
	\$ 1,098,757 603,249 495,800 336,351 2,534,157	\$	620,496 600,110 404,390 524,883 2,149,879	\$	2,910,744 1,769,005 1,456,275 1,174,652 7,310,676	\$	2,029,264 1,774,964 1,138,201 1,458,423 6,400,852			
Operating Income:										
North America	201,516		33,259		443,204		155,586			
Middle East/North Africa/Asia	68,197		101,943		229,002		359,522			
Europe/West Africa/FSU	60,825		44,468		162,187		182,025			
Latin America	(34,484)		54,343		34,579		232,319			
Research and Development	(54,457)		(49,300)		(156,844)		(144,434)			
Corporate	(41,969)		(44,272)		(131,821)		(124,705)			
Revaluation of Contingent										
Consideration	90,011		27,368		448		27,368			
Exit and Restructuring	(11,024)		(17,887)		(82,365)		(73,669)			
	278,615		149,922		498,390		614,012			
Interest Expense, Net	(99,318)		(90,285)		(290,376)		(274,846)			
Bond Tender Premium	(10,731)		_		(10,731)		_			
Devaluation of Venezuelan Bolivar	_		_		(63,859)		_			
Other, Net	(12,277)		(11,046)		(35,681)		(28,456)			
Effective Tax Rate	4.6%		(70.7)%		8.0%		1.1%			
Net Income per Diluted Share	\$ 0.19	\$	0.11	\$	0.10	\$	0.40			
Depreciation and Amortization	269,096		237,909		776,745		652,996			

#### Revenues

The following chart contains consolidated revenues by product line for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:

	Three M		Nine Mo	
	Ended Septe	ember 30,	Ended Septe	mber 30,
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Well Construction	15%	15%	16%	16%
Drilling Services.	17	16	16	17
Artificial Lift Systems	17	16	16	16
Completion Systems	7	9	12	10
Integrated Drilling	9	15	11	13
Drilling Tools	8	9	8	8
Stimulation & Chemicals	13	5	7	6
Re-entry & Fishing	6	6	6	6
Wireline	6	7	6	6
Pipeline & Specialty Services	2	2	2	2
	100%	100%	100%	100%

Consolidated revenues increased \$384 million, or 18%, in the third quarter of 2010 as compared to the third quarter of 2009. North America revenue increased \$478 million, or 77%, in the third quarter of 2010 compared to the same quarter of the prior year. International revenues decreased \$94 million, or 6%, in the third quarter of 2010 as compared to the third quarter of 2009. Our quarter-over-quarter decrease in international revenues was the result of a 36% decline in Latin America that was partially offset with a 9% increase in our Eastern Hemisphere revenues. Our stimulation and chemicals, artificial lift systems and drilling services product lines were the strongest contributors to the quarter-over-quarter increase.

For the first nine months of 2010, consolidated revenues increased \$910 million, or 14%, as compared to the first nine months of 2009. Similar to what was experienced in the third quarter of 2010, the increase in revenues during the first nine months of 2010 was driven by our North American business. International revenue increased \$28 million, or 1%, as compared to the first nine months of 2009 with an Eastern Hemisphere increase of 11% being offset with a 20% decline in Latin America due to reduced project activity in Mexico.

## **Operating Income**

Consolidated operating income increased \$129 million, or 86%, in the third quarter of 2010 as compared to the third quarter of 2009. The quarter-over-quarter increase comes from (i) a \$63 million increase in the gain recorded for the revaluation of contingent consideration included as part of our acquisition of the Oilfield Services Division ("OFS") of TNK-BP and (ii) a contribution of \$62 million from our operating segments (inclusive of a \$76 million charge for revisions to our profitability estimates on our project management contracts in Mexico).

During the first nine months of 2010, consolidated operating income decreased \$116 million, or 19%, as compared to the first nine months of 2009. Our operating segments accounted for \$60 million of this decrease. In addition, the revaluation of OFS contingent consideration resulted in a year-over-year decrease to our operating income of \$27 million and corporate and research and development expenses increased \$20 million. The increase in corporate expenses was primarily attributable to higher costs associated with business process optimization initiatives and professional fees. We also augmented our compliance infrastructure with increased staff and more rigorous policies, procedures and training of our employees regarding compliance with applicable anti-corruption laws, trade sanction laws and import/export laws.

Exit and restructuring costs during the first nine months of 2010 include (i) a \$38 million charge related to our Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ("SERP") which was frozen on March 31, 2010, (ii) \$44 million for severance and facility closure costs and (iii) \$5 million for legal and professional fees incurred in connection with

our on-going investigations. These charges were offset by a \$5 million benefit related to the reversal of prior cost accruals for our exit from sanctioned countries.

Exit and restructuring charges during the first nine months of 2009 include (i) \$36 million for legal and professional fees incurred in connection with our on-going investigations, (ii) \$34 million for severance and facility closure costs and (iii) \$4 million for unusable assets and cost accruals in certain sanctioned countries.

## Devaluation of Venezuelan Bolivar

In January 2010, the Venezuelan government announced its intention to devalue its currency and move to a two tier exchange structure. The official exchange moved from 2.15 to 2.60 for essential goods and 4.30 for non-essential goods and services. In connection with this devaluation, we incurred a charge of \$64 million in the first quarter of 2010 for the remeasurement of our net monetary assets denominated in Venezuelan bolivars at the date of the devaluation.

#### Income Taxes

For the three months ended September 30, 2010, we had a tax provision of \$7 million on income before taxes of \$156 million. Our income before taxes for the three months ended September 30, 2010 includes a \$90 million gain on the fair value adjustment to the put option issued in connection with the OFS acquisition for which no tax expense has been recorded. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we had a tax provision of \$8 million on income before taxes of \$98 million. Our income before taxes for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 includes a curtailment expense on our SERP for which no related tax benefit was recorded, partially offset by a tax benefit related to the devaluation of the Venezuelan bolivar. For the three months ended September 30, 2009, we had a tax benefit of \$34 million. This benefit primarily related to a true-up of our effective tax rate to 1.1% year-to-date at September 30, 2009.

## **Segment Results**

#### North America

North American revenues increased \$478 million, or 77%, in the third quarter of 2010 as compared to the third quarter of 2009 on a 72% increase in average North American rig count over the comparable period. Revenues increased \$881 million, or 43%, during the first nine months of 2010 as compared to the same period of the prior year in line with a 42% increase in rig count. The increase in revenues is principally the result of a strong performance in the U.S. land market, an increase in drilling activity and price improvements.

Operating income increased \$168 million, or 506% in the third quarter of 2010 as compared to the third quarter of the prior year. For the first nine months of 2010, operating income increased \$288 million, or 185%, compared to same period of the prior year. Operating margins were 15% for the first nine months of 2010 compared to 8% for the first nine months of 2009. The increase in operating income and margins was due to increased onshore activity in the U.S., prior cost reduction efforts, more favorable sales mix and improved pricing.

#### Middle East/North Africa/Asia

Middle East/North Africa/Asia revenues increased \$3 million, or 1%, in the third quarter of 2010 as compared to the third quarter of 2009. Revenues decreased \$6 million, or less than 1%, during the first nine months of 2010 as compared to the first nine months of 2009.

Operating income decreased \$34 million, or 33%, during the third quarter of 2010 compared to the same quarter of the prior year and decreased \$131 million, or 36%, during the first nine months of 2010 compared to the first nine months of 2009. Operating margins were 11% in the third quarter of 2010 and 17% in the third quarter of 2009. On a year-to-date basis, operating margins were 13% for the first nine months of 2010 as compared to 20% for the first nine months of 2009. The decline in operating income and margins was primarily the result of lower pricing, the negative impact of higher mobilization and start-up costs and a less favorable sales mix.

## Europe/West Africa/FSU

Revenues in our Europe/West Africa/FSU segment increased \$91 million, or 23%, in the third quarter of 2010 compared to the same quarter of the prior year against a 47% rig count increase over the comparable period. On a

year-to-date basis, revenues increased \$318 million, or 28%, compared to the same period of 2009. Approximately half of this increase was attributable to our acquisition of OFS in July 2009.

Operating income increased \$16 million, or 37%, in the third quarter of the current year as compared to the same quarter of 2009 and decreased \$20 million, or 11%, during the first nine months of 2010 compared to the first nine months of 2009. Operating margins were 12% in the third quarter of 2010 and 11% in the third quarter of 2009. On a year-to-date basis, margins decreased from 16% during the first nine months of 2009 to 11% for the first nine months of 2010. The decline in year-to-date operating income and margins was partially due to \$7 million in charges related to write-offs at a less-than-majority owned subsidiary, a \$6 million depreciation catch-up related to the finalization of the valuation of our OFS acquisition, pricing declines and changes in sales mix over the comparable periods.

#### Latin America

Revenues in our Latin America segment decreased \$189 million, or 36%, in the third quarter of 2010 as compared to the same quarter of the prior year. Revenues decreased \$284 million, or 20%, during the first nine months of 2010 compared to the same period of the prior year. The decline in revenue was due to reduced project activity in Mexico, partially offset by significant growth in Brazil and Colombia.

Operating income decreased \$89 million, or 164%, and \$198 million, or 85%, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, over the comparable periods of the prior year. On a year-to-date basis, margins decreased from 16% during the first nine months of 2009 to 3% for the first nine months of 2010. During the quarter ended September 30, 2010, we incurred a \$76 million charge for revisions to our profitability estimates on our project management contracts in Mexico, where the client's budget constraints triggered an activity decline to near zero and an expected modification to future drilling plans. The change in our profitability estimates this quarter was due to what we view as a change in public policy in Mexico with respect to expenditures.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

## Sources of Liquidity

Our sources of liquidity include current cash and cash equivalent balances, cash generated from operations and committed availabilities under bank lines of credit. We also historically have accessed banks for short-term loans from uncommitted borrowing arrangements and the capital markets with debt, equity and convertible bond offerings.

## **Committed Borrowing Facilities**

At September 30, 2010, we maintained two revolving credit facilities with syndicates of banks available for a combination of borrowings, support for our commercial paper program and issuances of letters of credit. These facilities allow for an aggregate availability of \$1.75 billion and mature in May 2011. There were no outstanding borrowings on these facilities at September 30, 2010. There were \$63 million in outstanding letters of credit under these facilities at September 30, 2010.

These borrowing facilities require us to maintain a debt-to-capitalization ratio of less than 60% and contain other covenants and representations customary for an investment-grade commercial credit. We are in compliance with these covenants at September 30, 2010.

The following is a recap of our availability under our committed borrowing facilities at September 30, 2010 (in millions):

Facilities	\$ 1,750	
Less:		
Amount drawn	_	
Commercial paper	_	
Letters of credit	63	
Availability	\$ 1,687	

On October 15, 2010, the Company entered into a \$1.75 billion unsecured revolving credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent. The Credit Agreement replaced our existing revolving credit facilities that were scheduled to mature in May 2011. The Credit Agreement has a scheduled maturity date of October 15, 2013, subject to extension, and can be used for a combination of borrowings, support for our commercial paper program and issuances of letters of credit. Consistent with our prior facilities, the Credit Agreement requires us to maintain a debt-to-capitalization ratio of less than 60%.

## Commercial Paper

We have a \$1.5 billion commercial paper program under which we may from time to time issue short-term unsecured notes. The commercial paper program is supported by our revolving credit facilities. There was no commercial paper outstanding at September 30, 2010.

## **Debt Offering and Bond Tender**

In September 2010, we completed a \$1.4 billion long-term debt offering comprised of (i) \$800 million of 5.125% Senior Notes due in 2020 ("5.125% Senior Notes") and (ii) \$600 million of 6.75% Senior Notes due in 2040 ("6.75% Senior Notes"). Net proceeds of \$1.386 billion were used to fund our bond tender offer that commenced in September 2010 and repay short-term borrowings on our revolving credit facilities.

In September 2010, we commenced a cash tender offer for up to \$700 million aggregate principal amount of specified series of our outstanding debt. Pursuant to the tender-offer terms, we repurchased \$167 million of our 6.625% senior notes due 2011 in September 2010 and incurred an expense of \$11 million for the premium we paid on the repurchase.

In October 2010, we completed the tender offer by repurchasing \$327 million and \$206 million of our 5.95% senior notes due 2012 and 5.15% senior notes due 2013, respectively. We paid a \$44 million premium on these tenders and will incur a charge of approximately \$42 million in the fourth quarter of 2010. The \$533 million principal amounts repurchased in October 2010 are included in current portion of long-term debt in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2010.

## Accounts Receivable Factoring

We have entered into an accounts receivable sales program to sell accounts receivable related to Latin America to third party financial institutions. One of our subsidiaries sold approximately \$350 million under this program during the second and third quarter of 2010. We received cash totaling \$320 million and recognized a loss of \$5 million on these sales. These transactions qualified for sale accounting under the accounting standards. The remainder of the amounts due to us was recorded as other receivables in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2010. The initial proceeds received on the sale are included in operating cash flows in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

## Secured Loan Agreement

In June 2010, we entered into a secured loan agreement with a third-party financial institution and received proceeds of \$180 million. The note bears interest at a rate of 4.8% and will be repaid in monthly installments over seven years. The loan is secured by assets located in the United States, and is included in long-term debt on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

#### Cash Requirements

During 2010, we anticipate our cash requirements will include working capital needs and capital expenditures and may include opportunistic business acquisitions. We anticipate funding these requirements from cash generated from operations and availability under our committed borrowing facilities.

Capital expenditures for 2010 are projected to be approximately \$1.0 billion, net of proceeds from tools lost down hole. The expenditures are expected to be used primarily to support the growth of our businesses and operations. Capital expenditures during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 were \$646 million, net of proceeds from tools lost down hole.

#### Derivative Instruments

## Interest Rate Swaps

We use interest rate swaps to help mitigate exposures related to interest rate movements. Amounts paid or received upon termination of interest rate swaps accounted for as fair value hedges represent the fair value of the agreements at the time of termination and are recorded as an adjustment to the carrying value of the related debt. These amounts are amortized as a reduction (in the case of gains) or as an increase (in the case of losses) to interest expense over the remaining term of the debt. As of September 30, 2010 we had net unamortized gains of \$61 million associated with interest rate swap terminations.

## Cash Flow Hedges

In 2008, we entered into interest rate derivative instruments to hedge projected exposures to interest rates in anticipation of a debt offering. Those hedges were terminated at the time of the issuance of the debt, and the loss on these hedges is being amortized from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income to interest expense over the remaining term of the debt. As of September 30, 2010, we had net unamortized losses of \$13 million associated with our cash flow hedge terminations.

### Other Derivative Instruments

As of September 30, 2010, we had foreign currency forward contracts with notional amounts aggregating to \$1,039 million, which were entered into to hedge exposure to currency fluctuations in various foreign currencies, including, but not limited to, the British pound sterling, the Canadian dollar, the euro and the Norwegian krone. The total estimated fair value of these contracts at September 30, 2010 resulted in a net liability of approximately \$17 million. These derivative instruments were not designated as hedges and the changes in fair value of the contracts are recorded each period in Other, Net in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

We have cross-currency swaps between the U.S. dollar and Canadian dollar to hedge certain exposures to the Canadian dollar. At September 30, 2010, we had notional amounts outstanding of \$215 million. The total estimated fair value of these contracts at September 30, 2010, resulted in a liability of \$28 million. These derivative instruments were not designated as hedges and the changes in fair value of the contracts are recorded each period in Other, Net in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

## Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

A Swiss corporation named Weatherford International Ltd. is the ultimate parent ("Weatherford Switzerland") of the Weatherford group and guarantees the obligations of Weatherford International Ltd. incorporated in Bermuda ("Weatherford Bermuda") and Weatherford International, Inc. incorporated in Delaware ("Weatherford Delaware") noted below.

The following obligations of Weatherford Delaware were guaranteed by Weatherford Bermuda at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009: (i) the 6.625% Senior Notes, (ii) the 5.95% Senior Notes, (iii) the 6.35% Senior Notes and (iv) the 6.80% Senior Notes.

The following obligations of Weatherford Bermuda were guaranteed by Weatherford Delaware at December 31, 2009: (i) the revolving credit facilities, (ii) the 4.95% Senior Notes, (iii) the 5.50% Senior Notes, (iv) the 6.50% Senior Notes, (v) the 5.15% Senior Notes, (vi) the 6.00% Senior Notes, (vii) the 7.00% Senior Notes, (viii) the 9.625% Senior Notes, (ix) the 9.875% Senior Notes and (x) issuances of notes under the commercial paper program.

In September 2010, Weatherford Bermuda issued \$800 million of 5.125% Senior Notes due 2020 and \$600 million of 6.75% Senior Notes due 2040, both of which are guaranteed by Weatherford Delaware. As a result of these transactions, the following obligations of Weatherford Bermuda were guaranteed by Weatherford Delaware at September 30, 2010: (i) the revolving credit facilities, (ii) the 4.95% Senior Notes, (iii) the 5.50% Senior Notes, (iv) the 6.50% Senior Notes, (v) the 5.15% Senior Notes, (vi) the 6.00% Senior Notes, (vii) the 7.00% Senior Notes, (viii) the 9.625% Senior Notes, (ix) the 9.875% Senior Notes, (x) the 5.125% Senior Notes, (xi) the 6.75% Senior Notes and (xii) issuances of notes under the commercial paper program.

## Letters of Credit

We execute letters of credit and bid and performance bonds in the normal course of business. While these obligations are not normally called, these obligations could be called by the beneficiaries at any time before the expiration date should we breach certain contractual or payment obligations. As of September 30, 2010, we had \$396 million of letters of credit and bid and performance bonds outstanding, consisting of \$333 million outstanding under various uncommitted credit facilities and \$63 million letters of credit outstanding under our committed facilities. If the beneficiaries called these letters of credit our available liquidity would be reduced by the amount called.

## **New Accounting Pronouncements**

See Note 15 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

## **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements. We prepare these financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. As such, we are required to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the periods presented. We base our estimates on historical experience, available information and various other assumptions we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates; however, actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. There have been no material changes or developments in our evaluation of the accounting estimates and the underlying assumptions or methodologies that we believe to be Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates as disclosed in our Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 2009.

## **Goodwill Impairment Test**

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we incurred a \$76 million charge for revisions to our profitability estimates on our project management contracts in Mexico, where the client's budget constraints triggered an activity decline to near zero and an expected modification to future drilling plans. As a result of this downturn, we performed an impairment test on our Latin America reporting unit and concluded that our goodwill in Latin America was not impaired.

## **Exposures**

An investment in our registered shares involves various risks. When considering an investment in our Company, you should consider carefully all of the risk factors described in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K under the heading "Item 1A. *Risk Factors*" as well as the information below and other information included and incorporated by reference in this report.

## **Forward-Looking Statements**

This report, as well as other filings made by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), and our releases issued to the public contain various statements relating to future results, including certain projections and business trends. We believe these statements constitute "Forward-Looking Statements" as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements generally are identified by the words "believe," "project," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "intend," "strategy," "plan," "may," "should," "will," "would," "will be," "will continue," "will likely result," and similar expressions, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words.

From time to time, we update the various factors we consider in making our forward-looking statements and the assumptions we use in those statements. However, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking events or circumstances that may arise after the date of this report. The following sets forth the various assumptions we use in our forward-looking statements, as well as risks and uncertainties relating to those statements. Certain of the risks and uncertainties may cause actual results to be materially different from projected results contained in forward-looking statements in this report and in our other disclosures. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Global political, economic and market conditions could affect projected results. Our operating results and the forward-looking information we provide are based on our current assumptions about oil and natural gas supply and demand, oil and natural gas prices, rig count and other market trends. Our assumptions on these matters are in turn based on currently available information, which is subject to change. The oil and natural gas industry is extremely volatile and subject to change based on political and economic factors outside our control. Worldwide drilling activity, as measured by average worldwide rig counts, increased in each year from 2002 to 2008. However, activity began declining in the fourth quarter of 2008, particularly in North America. The weakened global economic climate has resulted in lower demand and lower prices for oil and natural gas, which has reduced drilling and production activity, which in turn resulted in lower than expected revenues and income in 2009 and the first nine months of 2010 and may affect our future revenues and income. Our projections assume that the decline in North America rig activity reached its trough during 2009. Worldwide drilling activity and global demand for oil and natural gas may also be affected by changes in governmental policies and debt loads, laws and regulations related to environmental or energy security matters, including those addressing alternative energy sources and the risks of global climate change. We have assumed global demand will continue to be down in 2010 and thereafter compared to 2008 and only slightly up compared to 2009. For the remainder of 2010 and for 2011, worldwide demand may be significantly weaker than we have assumed.
- We may be unable to recognize our expected revenues from current and future contracts. Our customers, many of whom are national oil companies, often have significant bargaining leverage over us and may elect to cancel or revoke contracts, not renew contracts, modify the scope of contracts or delay contracts, in some cases preventing us from realizing expected revenues and/or profits. Our projections assume that our customers will honor the contracts we have been awarded and that those contracts and the business that we believe is otherwise substantially firm will result in anticipated revenues in the periods for which they are scheduled.
- Currency fluctuations could have a material adverse financial impact on our business. A material change in currency rates in our markets, such as the devaluation of the Venezuelan Bolivar experienced during the first quarter of 2010, could affect our future results as well as affect the carrying values of our assets. World currencies have been subject to much volatility. In addition, due to the volatility we may be unable to enter into foreign currency contracts at a reasonable cost. As we are not able to predict changes in currency valuations, our forward-looking statements assume no material impact from future changes in currency exchange rates.
- Our ability to manage our workforce could affect our projected results. In a climate of decreasing demand, we are faced with managing our workforce levels to control costs without impairing our ability to provide service to our customers. Conversely, in a climate of increasing demand, we are faced with the challenge of hiring and maintaining a skilled workforce. Our forward-looking statements assume we will be able to do so.

- Increases in the prices and availability of our raw materials could affect our results of operations. We use large amounts of raw materials for manufacturing our products and some of our fixed assets. The price of these raw materials has a significant impact on our cost of producing products for sale or producing fixed assets used in our business. We have assumed that the prices of our raw materials will remain within a manageable range and will be readily available. If we are unable to obtain necessary raw materials or if we are unable to minimize the impact of increased raw material costs or to realize the benefit of cost decreases in a timely fashion through our supply chain initiatives or pricing, our margins and results of operations could be adversely affected.
- Our ability to manage our supply chain and business processes could affect our projected results. We have undertaken efforts to improve our supply chain, invoicing and collection processes and procedures. These undertakings include costs, which we expect will result in long-term benefits of our business processes. Our forward-looking statements assume we will realize the benefits of these efforts.
- Our long-term growth depends upon technological innovation and commercialization. Our ability to deliver our long-term growth strategy depends in part on the commercialization of new technology. A central aspect of our growth strategy is to improve our products and services through innovation, to obtain technologically advanced products through internal research and development and/or acquisitions, to protect proprietary technology from unauthorized use and to expand the markets for new technology by leveraging our worldwide infrastructure. The key to our success will be our ability to commercialize the technology that we have acquired and demonstrate the enhanced value our technology brings to our customers' operations. Our major technological advances include, but are not limited to, those related to controlled pressure drilling and testing systems, expandable solid tubulars, expandable sand screens and intelligent well completion. Our forward-looking statements have assumed successful commercialization of, and above-average growth from, these new products and services, as well as legal protection of our intellectual property rights.
- Nonrealization of expected benefits from our redomestication could affect our projected results. We operate through our various subsidiaries in numerous countries throughout the world including the United States. During the first quarter of 2009, we completed a transaction in which our former parent Bermuda company became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Weatherford International Ltd., a Swiss joint-stock corporation, and holders of common shares of the Bermuda company received one registered share of the Swiss company in exchange for each common share that they held. Consequently, we are or may become subject to changes in tax laws, treaties or regulations or the interpretation or enforcement thereof in the U.S., Bermuda, Switzerland or any other jurisdictions in which we or any of our subsidiaries operates or is resident. Our income tax expense is based upon our interpretation of the tax laws in effect in various countries at the time that the expense was incurred. If the U.S. Internal Revenue Service or other taxing authorities do not agree with our assessment of the effects of such laws, treaties and regulations, this could have a material adverse effect on us including the imposition of a higher effective tax rate on our worldwide earnings or a reclassification of the tax impact of our significant corporate restructuring transactions.
- Nonrealization of expected benefits from our acquisitions could affect our projected results. We expect to gain certain business, financial and strategic advantages as a result of business acquisitions we undertake, including synergies and operating efficiencies. Our forward-looking statements assume that we will successfully integrate our business acquisitions and realize the benefits of those acquisitions.
- The downturn in our industry could affect the carrying value of our goodwill. As of September 30, 2010, we had approximately \$4.1 billion of goodwill. Our estimates of the value of our goodwill could be reduced in the future as a result of various factors, including market factors, some of which are beyond our control. Our forward-looking statements do not assume any future goodwill impairment. Any reduction in the fair value of our businesses may result in an impairment charge and therefore adversely affect our results.
- Adverse weather conditions in certain regions could adversely affect our operations. In the summers of 2005 and 2008, the Gulf of Mexico suffered several significant hurricanes. These hurricanes and associated hurricane threats reduced the number of days on which we and our customers could operate, which resulted in lower revenues than we otherwise would have achieved. In parts of 2006, and particularly in the second quarters of 2007 and 2008, climatic conditions in Canada were not as favorable to drilling as we anticipated, which limited our potential results in that region. Similarly, unfavorable weather in Russia, China, Mexico and in the North Sea could reduce our operations and revenues from that area

during the relevant period. Our forward-looking statements assume weather patterns in our primary areas of operations will be conducive to our operations.

- U.S. Government and internal investigations could affect our results of operations. We are currently involved in government and internal investigations involving various of our operations. We have begun negotiations with the government agencies to resolve these matters, but we cannot vet anticipate the timing, outcome or possible impact of the ultimate resolution of these investigations, financial or otherwise. The governmental agencies involved in these investigations have a broad range of civil and criminal penalties they may seek to impose against corporations and individuals for violations of trade sanction laws, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and other federal statutes including, but not limited to, injunctive relief, disgorgement, fines, penalties and modifications to business practices and compliance programs. In recent years, these agencies and authorities have entered into agreements with, and obtained a range of penalties against, several public corporations and individuals in similar investigations, under which civil and criminal penalties were imposed, including in some cases fines and other penalties and sanctions in the tens and hundreds of millions of dollars. These agencies likely will seek to impose penalties of some amount against us for past conduct, but the ultimate amount of any penalties we may pay currently cannot be reasonably estimated. Under trade sanction laws, the U.S. Department of Justice may also seek to impose modifications to business practices, including immediate cessation of all business activities in specific countries or other limitations that decrease our business, and modifications to compliance programs, which may increase compliance costs. Any injunctive relief, disgorgement, fines, penalties, sanctions or imposed modifications to business practices resulting from these investigations could adversely affect our results of operations. To date, we have incurred \$53 million for costs in connection with our exit from certain sanctioned countries and incurred \$111 million for legal and professional fees in connection with complying with and conducting these on-going investigations. This amount excludes the costs we have incurred to augment and improve our compliance function. We may have additional charges related to these matters in future periods, which costs may include labor claims, contractual claims, penalties assessed by customers, and costs, fines, taxes and penalties assessed by the local governments, but we cannot quantify those charges or be certain of the timing of them.
- Failure in the future to ensure ongoing compliance with certain laws could affect our results of operations. In 2009, we substantially augmented our compliance infrastructure with increased staff and more rigorous policies, procedures and training of our employees regarding compliance with applicable anti-corruption laws, trade sanctions laws and import/export laws. As part of this effort, we now undertake audits of our compliance performance in various countries. Our forward-looking statements assume that our compliance efforts will be successful and that we will comply with our internal policies and applicable laws regarding these issues. Our failure to do so could result in additional enforcement action in the future, the results of which could be material and adverse to us.
- Political disturbances, war, or terrorist attacks and changes in global trade policies could adversely impact our operations. We operate in over 100 countries, and as such are at risk of various types of political activities, including acts of insurrections, war, terrorism, nationalization of assets and changes in trade policies. We have assumed there will be no material political disturbances or terrorist attacks and there will be no material changes in global trade policies that affect our business. Any further military action undertaken by the U.S. or other countries or political disturbances in the countries in which we conduct business could adversely affect our results of operations.
- Current turmoil in the credit markets may reduce our access to capital or reduce the availability of financial risk-mitigation tools. The worldwide credit markets have experienced turmoil and uncertainty since mid-2008. Our forward-looking statements assume that the financial institutions that have committed to extend us credit will honor their commitments under our credit facilities. If one or more of those institutions becomes unwilling or unable to honor its commitments, our access to liquidity could be impaired and our cost of capital to fund growth could increase. We use interest-rate and foreign-exchange swap transactions with financial institutions to mitigate certain interest-rate and foreign-exchange risks associated with our capital structure and our business. Our forward-looking statements assume that those tools will continue to be available to us at prices we deem reasonable. However, the failure of any counter party to honor a swap agreement could reduce the availability of these financial risk-mitigation tools or could result in the loss of expected financial benefits. Our forward-looking statements assume that we will operate with lower capital expenditures in 2010 than in 2009. However, as the business climate changes

and if attractive opportunities for organic or acquisitive growth become available, we may spend capital selectively above the amounts we have budgeted.

Finally, our future results will depend upon various other risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, those detailed in our other filings with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. For additional information regarding risks and uncertainties, see our other filings with the SEC available, free of charge, at the SEC's website at <a href="https://www.sec.gov">www.sec.gov</a>.

#### **Available Information**

We make available, free of charge, on our website (<a href="www.weatherford.com">www.weatherford.com</a>) our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file or furnish them to the SEC.

## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are currently exposed to market risk from changes in foreign currency and changes in interest rates. From time to time, we may enter into derivative financial instrument transactions to manage or reduce our market risk. A discussion of our market risk exposure in these financial instruments follows.

## **Foreign Currency Exchange Rates**

We operate in virtually every oil and natural gas exploration and production region in the world. In some parts of the world, such as the Middle East and Southeast Asia, the currency of our primary economic environment is the U.S. dollar. We use this as our functional currency. In other parts of the world, we conduct our business in currencies other than the U.S. dollar and the functional currency is the applicable local currency. In those countries in which we operate in the local currency, the effects of foreign currency fluctuations are largely mitigated because local expenses of such foreign operations are also generally denominated in the same currency.

In January 2010, the Venezuelan government announced its intention to devalue its currency and move to a two tier exchange structure. The official exchange rate moved from 2.15 to 2.60 for essential goods and from 2.15 to 4.30 for non-essential goods and services. Our Venezuelan entities maintain the U.S. dollar as their functional currency. In connection with this devaluation, we incurred a charge of \$64 million for the remeasurement of our net monetary assets denominated in Venezuelan bolivars at the date of the devaluation, which was not tax deductible in Venezuela. We also recorded a \$24 million tax benefit for local Venezuelan income tax purposes related to our net U.S. dollar-denominated monetary liability position in the country. As of September 30, 2010, we had a net monetary asset position denominated in Venezuelan bolivars of approximately \$72 million comprised primarily of cash and accounts receivable. We are continuing to explore opportunities to reduce this exposure but should another devaluation occur in the future, we may be required to take further charges related to the remeasurement of our net monetary asset position. For example, if the Venezuela bolivar devalued by an additional 10% in the future, we would record a devaluation charge of approximately \$7 million.

Assets and liabilities of entities for which the functional currency is the local currency are translated into U.S. dollars using the exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date, resulting in translation adjustments that are reflected in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in the shareholders' equity section on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. A portion of our net assets are impacted by changes in foreign currencies in relation to the U.S. dollar. We recorded a \$9 million adjustment to reduce our equity account for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 to reflect the net impact of the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against various foreign currencies.

As of September 30, 2010, we had foreign currency forward contracts with notional amounts aggregating to \$1,039 million, which were entered into to hedge exposure to currency fluctuations in various foreign currencies, including, but not limited to, the British pound sterling, the Canadian dollar, the euro and the Norwegian krone. The total estimated fair value of these contracts at September 30, 2010 resulted in a net liability of approximately \$17 million. These derivative instruments were not designated as hedges, and the changes in fair value of the contracts are recorded each period in current earnings.

We have cross-currency swaps between the U.S. dollar and Canadian dollar to hedge certain exposures to the Canadian dollar. At September 30, 2010, we had notional amounts outstanding of \$215 million. The total estimated fair value of these contracts at September 30, 2010 resulted in a liability of \$28 million. These derivative instruments were not designated as hedges and the changes in fair value of the contracts are recorded each period in current earnings.

#### **Interest Rates**

We are subject to interest rate risk on our long-term fixed-interest rate debt and variable-interest rate borrowings. Variable rate debt, where the interest rate fluctuates periodically, exposes us to short-term changes in market interest rates. Fixed rate debt, where the interest rate is fixed over the life of the instrument, exposes us to changes in market interest rates reflected in the fair value of the debt and to the risk that we may need to refinance maturing debt with new debt at a higher rate. All other things being equal, the fair value of our fixed rate debt will increase or decrease as interest rates change.

Our long-term borrowings that were outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 subject to interest rate risk consist of the following:

	September 30, 2			2010		Decemb	er 31, 20	31, 2009		
	Car	rying		Fair		Carrying		Fair		
	An	ount		Value		Amount		Value		
				(In	millions	:)				
6.625% Senior Notes due 2011	\$	184	\$	194	\$	353	\$	380		
5.95% Senior Notes due 2012		599		632		599		648		
5.15% Senior Notes due 2013		509		533		511		526		
4.95% Senior Notes due 2013		253		268		253		263		
5.50% Senior Notes due 2016		359		383		360		351		
6.35% Senior Notes due 2017		600		670		600		647		
6.00% Senior Notes due 2018		498		546		498		514		
9.625% Senior Notes due 2019		1,034		1,313		1,034		1,236		
5.125% Senior Notes due 2020		799		819		_				
6.50% Senior Notes due 2036		596		622		596		574		
6.80% Senior Notes due 2037		298		311		298		303		
7.00% Senior Notes due 2038		498		529		498		517		
9.875% Senior Notes due 2039		247		341		247		326		
6.75% Senior Notes due 2040		597		632		_		_		

We have various other long-term debt instruments of \$20 million at September 30, 2010, but believe the impact of changes in interest rates in the near term will not be material to these instruments. The carrying value of our short-term borrowings of \$11 million at September 30, 2010 approximates their fair value.

As it relates to our variable rate debt, if market interest rates average 1% more for the remainder of 2010 than the rates as of September 30, 2010, interest expense for the remainder of 2010 would increase by less than \$1 million. This amount was determined by calculating the effect of the hypothetical interest rate on our variable rate debt. This sensitivity analysis assumes there are no changes in our financial structure.

## **Interest Rate Swaps and Derivatives**

We manage our debt portfolio to achieve an overall desired position of fixed and floating rates and may employ interest rate swaps as a tool to achieve that goal. The major risks from interest rate derivatives include changes in the interest rates affecting the fair value of such instruments, potential increases in interest expense due to market increases in floating interest rates and the creditworthiness of the counterparties in such transactions. The counterparties to our interest rate swaps are multinational commercial banks. In light of events in the global credit markets and the potential impact of these events on the liquidity of the banking industry, we continue to monitor the creditworthiness of our counterparties.

Amounts paid or received upon termination of interest rate swaps represent the fair value of the agreements at the time of termination and are recorded as an adjustment to the carrying value of the related debt. These amounts are amortized as a reduction (in the case of gains) or as an increase (in the case of losses) to interest expense over the remaining term of the debt.

As of September 30, 2010 we had net unamortized gains of \$61 million associated with interest rate swap terminations.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

At the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and the Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15 (e) and 15d-15 (e) under the Exchange Act). Based upon that evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of the end of the period covered by this report to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that information relating to us (including our consolidated subsidiaries) required to be disclosed is accumulated and communicated to management, including the CEO and CFO, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our management, including the CEO and CFO, identified no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See Note 14 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Except for the additional risk factors added or modified below, there have been no material changes during the quarter ended September 30, 2010 to the risk factors set forth in Part I, Item 1A in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 filed with the SEC on March 1, 2010.

Physical dangers are inherent in our operations and may expose us to significant potential losses. Personnel and property may be harmed during the process of drilling for oil and natural gas.

Drilling for and producing hydrocarbons, and the associated products and services that we provide, include inherent dangers that may lead to property damage, personal injury, death or the discharge of hazardous materials into the environment. Many of these events are outside our control. Typically, we provide products and services at a well site where our personnel and equipment are located together with personnel and equipment of our customer and third parties, such as other service providers. At many sites, we depend on other companies and personnel to conduct drilling operations in accordance with appropriate safety standards. From time to time, personnel are injured or equipment or property is damaged or destroyed as a result of industrial accidents, failed equipment, faulty products or services, failure of safety measures, uncontained formation pressures, or other dangers inherent in drilling for oil and natural gas. Any of these events can be the result of human error. With increasing frequency, our products and services are deployed on more challenging prospects both onshore and offshore, where the occurrence of the types of events mentioned above can have an even more catastrophic impact on people, equipment and the environment. Such events may expose us to significant potential losses.

We may not be fully indemnified against financial losses in all circumstances where damage to or loss of property, personal injury, death or environmental harm occur.

As is customary in our industry, our contracts typically provide that our customers indemnify us for claims arising from the injury or death of their employees, the loss or damage of their equipment, damage to the reservoir and pollution emanating from the customer's equipment or from the reservoir (including uncontained oil flow from

a reservoir). Conversely, we typically indemnify our customers for claims arising from the injury or death of our employees, the loss or damage of our equipment, or pollution emanating from our equipment. Our contracts typically provide that our customer will indemnify us for claims arising from catastrophic events, such as a well blowout, fire or explosion.

Our indemnification arrangements may not protect us in every case. For example, from time to time we may enter into contracts with less favorable indemnities or perform work without a contract that protects us; our indemnity arrangements may be held unenforceable in some courts and jurisdictions; or we may be subject to other claims brought by third parties or government agencies. Furthermore, the parties from which we seek indemnity may not be solvent, may become bankrupt, may lack resources or insurance to honor their indemnities, or may not otherwise be able to satisfy their indemnity obligations to us. The lack of enforceable indemnification could expose us to significant potential losses.

## Our business may be exposed to uninsured claims, and litigation might result in significant potential losses.

In the ordinary course of business, we become the subject of various claims and litigation. For example, we have been named in a number of lawsuits because, along with other oilfield service companies, we provided products and services on the Deepwater Horizon in the Gulf of Mexico. We maintain liability insurance, which includes insurance against damage to people, equipment and the environment, up to maximum limits of \$600 million, and subject to self-insured retentions and deductibles of \$2 million, per occurrence.

Our insurance policies are subject to exclusions, limitations, and other conditions and may not apply in all cases, for example where willful wrongdoing on our part is alleged. It is possible an unexpected judgment could be rendered against us in cases in which we could be uninsured and beyond the amounts we currently have reserved or anticipate incurring, and in some cases those potential losses could be material.

Our insurance may not be sufficient to cover any particular loss, or our insurance may not cover all losses. For example, although we maintain product liability insurance, this type of insurance is limited in coverage and it is possible an adverse claim could arise in excess of our coverage. Finally, insurance rates have in the past been subject to wide fluctuation. In response to the recent catastrophic accident in the Gulf of Mexico, insurance rates are volatile and increasing, and some forms of insurance may become entirely unavailable in the future or unavailable on terms that we or our customers believe are economically acceptable. Reductions in coverage, changes in the insurance markets and accidents affecting our industry may result in further increases in our cost and higher deductibles and retentions in future years and may also result in reduced activity levels in certain markets. Any of these events would have an adverse impact on our financial performance.

## Our operations are subject to environmental and other laws and regulations that may expose us to significant liabilities and could reduce our business opportunities and revenues.

We are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the energy industry in general and the environment in particular. An environmental claim could arise with respect to one or more of our current businesses, products or services, or a business or property that one of our predecessors owned or used, and such claims could involve material expenditures. Generally, environmental laws have in recent years become more stringent and have sought to impose greater liability on a larger number of potentially responsible parties. The scope of regulation of our industry and our products and services may increase further following recent events in the Gulf of Mexico, including possible increases in liabilities or funding requirements imposed by governmental agencies. In early 2010, a moratorium was issued on new deepwater projects in the Gulf of Mexico. Although that moratorium was recently lifted, we cannot anticipate when and to what extent drilling activity in the deepwater Gulf will resume. We also cannot ensure that our future business in the deepwater Gulf, if any, will be profitable in light of new regulations that may be promulgated and in light of the current risk environment and insurance markets. Further, additional regulations on deepwater drilling elsewhere in the world could be imposed as a result of the Deepwater Horizon incident, and those regulations could limit our business where they are imposed. In addition, members of the U.S. Congress and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency are reviewing more stringent regulation of hydraulic fracturing, a technology which is used in one of our business segments, and regulators are investigating whether any chemicals used in the fracturing process might adversely affect groundwater. A significant portion of North American service activity today is directed at prospects that require hydraulic fracturing in order to produce hydrocarbons. Additional regulation could increase the costs of conducting our business and could materially

reduce our business opportunities and revenues if our customers decrease their levels of activity in response to such regulation.

We have updated the following paragraph in our risk factor, "Our significant operations in foreign countries expose us to currency fluctuation risks or devaluation" included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 to include our bolivar position as of September 30, 2010. That paragraph now reads as follows:

In January 2010, the Venezuelan government announced its intention to devalue its currency and move to a two tier exchange structure. The official exchange moved from 2.15 to 2.60 for essential goods and 4.30 for non-essential goods and services. In connection with this devaluation, we incurred a charge of \$64 million for the remeasurement of our net monetary assets denominated in Venezuelan bolivars at the date of the devaluation, which was not tax deductible. We also recorded a \$24 million tax benefit for local Venezuelan income tax purposes related to our net U.S. dollar-denominated monetary liability position in the country. We currently utilize the 4.30 Venezuelan bolivar to U.S. dollar exchange rate. As of September 30, 2010, we had a net monetary asset position denominated in Venezuelan bolivars of approximately \$72 million comprised primarily of cash and accounts receivable. We are continuing to explore opportunities to reduce this exposure but should another devaluation occur in the future, we may be required to take further charges related to the remeasurement of our net monetary asset position. For example, if the Venezuela bolivar devalued by an additional 10% in the future, we would record a devaluation charge of approximately \$7 million.

As a result of discussions with a customer, we are currently reviewing how the dual exchange rate might affect amounts we receive for our U.S. dollar-denominated receivables in Venezuela. We believe our contracts are legally enforceable and our customers continue to accept our invoices. However, if a negative outcome were to occur on this matter, the impact could be as high as a \$38 million charge to our consolidated statement of operations.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

In December 2005, our Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program under which up to \$1 billion of our outstanding common shares (now registered shares) could be purchased. Future purchases of our shares can be made in the open market or privately negotiated transactions, at the discretion of management and as market conditions and our liquidity position warrant. During the quarter ended September 30, 2010, we did not purchase any of our registered shares.

Under our restricted share plan, employees may elect to have us withhold registered shares to satisfy minimum statutory federal, state and local tax withholding obligations arising on the vesting of restricted stock awards and exercise of options. When we withhold these shares, we are required to remit to the appropriate taxing authorities the market price of the shares withheld, which could be deemed a purchase of the registered shares by us on the date of withholding. During the quarter ended September 30, 2010, we withheld registered shares to satisfy these tax withholding obligations as follows:

<b>Period</b>	No. of Shares	Aver	age Price
July 1 – July 31, 2010	22,241	\$	13.66
August 1 – August 31, 2010	2,684		16.98
September 1 – September 30, 2010	21,457		15.36

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

\*\*32.2

2002.

## (a) Exhibits: **Exhibit** Number **Description** \*4.1 Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated September 23, 2010, among Weatherford International Ltd., a Bermuda exempted company, Weatherford International Ltd., a Swiss joint-stock corporation, Weatherford International, Inc., a Delaware corporation and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas. 4.3 Form of global note for 5.125% Senior Notes due 2020 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-34258) filed September 22, 2010). 4.4 Form of global note for 6.750% Senior Notes due 2040 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-34258) filed September 22, 2010). 4.5 Form of guarantee notation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-34258) filed September 22, 2010). 10.1 Employment Agreement, dated September 14, 2010, between Andrew P. Becnel and Weatherford International Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-34258) filed September 15, 2010). 10.2 Credit Agreement, dated October 15, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-34258) filed October 19, 2010). \*10.3 Guarantee Agreement dated October 15, 2010 among Weatherford International Ltd., a Swiss joint-stock corporation, Weatherford International, Inc., a Delaware corporation and JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. as administrative agent. \*31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. \*31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. \*\*32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of

Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of

\*\*101 The following materials from Weatherford International Ltd.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (iv) the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income and (v) related notes to the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

<sup>\*</sup> Filed with this Form 10-Q

<sup>\*\*</sup> Furnished with this Form 10-Q

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Weatherford International Ltd.

By: /s/ Bernard J. Duroc-Danner

Bernard J. Duroc-Danner Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Andrew P. Becnel

Andrew P. Becnel

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial

Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

/s/ Charles E. Geer, Jr.

Charles E. Geer, Jr.

Vice President – Financial Reporting (Principal Accounting Officer)

Date: November 1, 2010